

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**)

AND

IN THE MATTER of **Private Plan Change 74** to the Auckland Unitary Plan

JOINT WITNESS STATEMENT (JWS) IN RELATION TO:

Planning 1 (inc Urban Design and Parks)

23 September 2022

Expert Conferencing Held on: 23 September 2022

Venue: Online

Independent Facilitator: Marlene Oliver

Admin Support: James Oakley

1 Attendance:

- 1.1 The list of participants is included in the schedule at the end of this Statement. Where experts participated in only some items, this is indicated in the schedule.

2 Basis of Attendance and Environment Court Practice Note 2014

- 2.1 All participants agree to the following:
- (a) The Environment Court Practice Note 2014 provides relevant guidance and protocols for the expert conferencing session;
 - (b) They will comply with the relevant provisions of the Environment Court Practice Note 2014;
 - (c) They will make themselves available to appear before the Hearing Panel;
 - (d) This statement is to be filed with the Hearing Panel and posted on the Council's website.

3 Matters considered at Conferencing – Agenda and Outcomes

- 3.1 All agree to refer to PPC 74 proposed provisions Version 8 for the purposes of this expert conferencing.
- 3.2 The issues identified as forming the agenda for conferencing were:
- (a) Golding Road interface
 - (b) Park edge roads and shared paths
 - (c) Acoustic wall design
 - (d) Precinct Plan – collector road within YLH land
 - (e) Precinct Plan 1 included elements i.e. modified streams, indicative roundabout (YLH submission)
 - (f) Subdivision rule structure/ activity status (YLH submission)
 - (g) Education
 - (h) Noise standards expiry provision

4 Golding Road Interface

- 4.1 All agree that Golding Road is to be a Collector Road. It will require widening on the plan change side and that is reflected in Appendix 1 to PPC 74 provisions (Version 8).
- 4.2 All agree that it is appropriate to create a clear urban boundary at the Golding Road edge with the Mixed Housing Urban Zone and standards proposed within PPC 74 (Version 8).
- 4.3 All agree that development should front Golding Road (and all other roads) to create a high quality interface. All agree that the existing AUP provisions and the proposed PPC 74 provisions adequately address this matter.

5 Park edge roads and shared paths

- 5.1 All agree to delete the row “Local roads (residential park edge)” from the PPC 74 Appendix 1 (pg. 30) (Version 8). This addresses the concerns raised in the s42A report relating to pedestrian/cycle facilities bordering potential reserves.

6 Acoustic wall design

- 6.1 All agree with the following amendments to the PPC 74 provisions (pg. 28).

I4XX.8.2 Acoustic Report and Landscape Mitigation Plan

1) The first subdivision and/or first development for any activity sensitive to noise between the Business - Light Industry Zone and the 55 dB L_{Aeq} noise contour illustrated on the Precinct Plan must be accompanied by an acoustic design report to ensure that the acoustic barrier will meet the requirements listed in Rule I4XX6.5 and that it will perform as an effective acoustic barrier. The acoustic report must include noise modelling outputs and demonstration of how the noise model has been calibrated to the noise level contours set out in the Precinct Plan.

2) The provision of a landscape mitigation plan to mitigate the short-term or temporary effects resulting from the construction of the acoustic barrier prior to the progressive development of the Light Industry Zone.

7 Precinct Plan – collector road within YLH land

7.1 All agree that the final location of the Collector Road can be determined through the resource consenting processes that will be required under a combination of AUP E38 and the proposed PPC 74 provisions, particularly I4XX.7.2 (2)(a) (i)-(iii) (pg. 25).

8 Precinct Plan 1 included elements i.e. modified streams, indicative roundabout (YLH submission)

8.1 Discussion relating to “indicative roundabout” on the precinct plan to be deferred to expert conferencing (transport and planning) on 27 September 2022.

8.2 All agree that the final treatment and location of modified streams can be determined through the resource consenting processes that will be required under a combination of AUP E3 and the proposed PPC 74 provisions.

9 Subdivision rule structure/ activity status (YLH submission)

9.1 Monique Kimber considers that the wording in proposed PPC 74 activity table (A6, A7) should be replaced by a Restricted Discretionary activity status for “Subdivision within the precinct”.

9.2 All others agree that the activity table relating to subdivision as currently proposed is appropriate. It is noted that the current AUP provisions mean that subdivision of any property greater than 1ha is classified as a Discretionary Activity.

10 Education

- 10.1 Karin Lepoutre seeks an additional policy to be included in PPC 74 provisions to “enable educational facilities”. She considers this to be consistent with the structure planning requirements of Appendix 1 and giving effect to the Pukekohe-Paerata Structure Plan and the national directives of the NPS-UD.
- 10.2 All others do not support an additional policy in PPC 74. They note that the existing MHU provisions include policy H5.3(8) and educational facilities are a Discretionary Activity. They also note that it is not certain that any Ministry of Education facilities would necessarily occur in this precinct, but may be required for the wider area.

11 Noise standards expiry provision

- 11.1 Item deferred to expert conferencing session on 27 September 2022.

12 PARTICIPANTS TO JOINT WITNESS STATEMENT

- 12.1 The participants to this Joint Witness Statement, as listed below, confirm that:
- (a) They agree that the outcome(s) of the expert conferencing are as recorded in this statement; and
 - (b) They have read Appendix 3 of the Environment Court’s Practice Note 2014 and agree to comply with it; and
 - (c) The matters addressed in this statement are within their area of expertise; and
 - (d) As this session was held online, in the interests of efficiency, it was agreed that each expert would verbally confirm their position to the Facilitator and this is recorded in the schedule below.

Confirmed online 23 September 2022

EXPERT’S NAME	PARTY	EXPERT’S CONFIRMATION REFER PARA 4.1
Peter Reaburn (P)	Auckland Council (as regulator). S42A lead author.	Yes – all items
Rebecca Skidmore (UD)	Auckland Council (as regulator)	Yes – for items 4, 5, 6 and 8.
Lea van Heerden (Parks P)	Auckland Council (as regulator)	Yes – for item 5.1 only
Ian Blundell (P)	Auckland Council (as submitter)	Yes – for items 4, 5, 7 and 8.1

Chris Freke (P)	Auckland Transport	Yes – for items 4, 5, 7 and 8.1
Karin Lepoutre (P)	Ministry of Education	Yes – for item 10 only
Monique Kimber (P)	YLH Holdings Ltd	Yes – for item 7, 8 and 9
Ian Munro (UD)	PPC Applicant	Yes – for all items
Mark Tollemache (P)	PPC Applicant	Yes – for all items