PPC Application Māngere 1 Precinct – Clause 23 Requests (RFI) from Auckland Council - 4 November 2024

Applicant: Rotokohu Investment Limited

Proposal / Address: To rezone land at 50 Westney Road, Mangere from Residential – Mixed Housing Suburban to Business - Light Industry Zone and remove the Mangere 1 Precinct over the site

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DAT
LANDSCA	NPE / URBAN DESIGN (SPE	CIALIST REBECCA SKIDMORE)	·	• •
L/UD1	Urban Design – development pattern	Please provide detail of the constraints the location of the Wiri RNZ Liquid Fuel pipeline places on development within the PPC area	P.3 of the Urban Design report notes the pipleline as a site-specific characteristic that presents a unique constraint and careful planning. The request is made to better understand any implications on site layout or restriction on activities that would be enabled in the proposed LIZ.	
L/UD2	Landscape – landscape character and visual amenity	Please provide a survey and analysis of the vegetation in the street front area of the PPC site, the contribution it makes to the neighbourhood character and potential mitigation of visual effects of industrial activity viewed from residential properties on the western side of Westney Road.	The Site analysis contained in the Urban Design report (p.3) notes the presence of groups of mature trees within the Site and in the adjacent street berm. However, no detailed analysis of their role in contributing to the neighbourhood character or their potential to mitigate adverse effects is provided. The request is made to better understand the need for site-specific provisions to protect any vegetation on the Site.	
L/UD3	Urban Design – effects on surrounding context	Please advise what provisions are relied on to ensure a suitable interface is created along identified 'sensitive boundaries'		
L/UD3	Urban Design report	Please clarify the purpose of p. 8 in the Urban Design report	It is unclear what the diagram on p. 8 of the Urban Design report is demonstrating.	
L/UD4	Urban Design — development patter	Please confirm whether any consideration has been given to alternative development patterns enabled in the LIZ, other than the site being developed as a single parcel.	To clarify whether any other effects require consideration if the site is subdivided and smaller sites developed for light industrial purposes.	
L/UD5	Urban Design/landscape character effects	Please provided examples of the built form outcomes and interface created between LIZ uses and residential or school uses in the surrounding area.	To better understand the likely amenity interfaces that will be achieved with application of the zone provisions.	
L/UD6	Trees	Please provide an arboricultural assessment that details the values of the trees on site and whether there are any trees worthy of adding to the Auckland Unitary Plan Notable Tree Schedule	There are groups of matures trees on-site which should be assessed as to whether they are worthy of being retained/protected.	

ATE)	Councils Responses to Applicant Responses (DATE)

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DAT
AIR QUA	LITY (SPECIALIST LOU WIC	CKHAM)		
AQ1	Air Quality Analysis	Please provide information on how the proposal will ensure that the types of light industrial activities envisaged which do not have significant discharges to air (e.g. freight, logistics, warehousing) do not in future become the types of light industrial activities permitted as of right under the AUP:(OIP) that may have significant discharges to air and potentially impact on existing activities that are sensitive to discharges to air (including two schools, an early childcare centre and around 200 residential properties).	addressed through individual assessment.	

ATE)	Councils Responses to Applicant Responses (DATE)

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DAT
#		Specific Request	Reasons for Request A reasonable separation distance between light industry and activities sensitive to odour and dust would be ~ 250 metres. My site visit identified the following sensitive activities within 250 metres (refer Figure 2, which follows): • Two schools; • A nearly childcare centre (and another one within 300 metres); • Around 200 properties to the west (70), north (20) and east/northeast (110). Figure 2. which follows: • Two schools; • Around 200 properties to the west (70), north (20) and east/northeast (110). Figure 2. Proposed alle and activities senditive to discharges to all from light industry within "29 metres • Two schools; • Around 200 properties to the west (70), north (20) and east/northeast (110). Figure 2. Proposed alle and activities senditive to discharges to all from light industry within "29 metres • Two schools; • Optimize the discharges to all from light industry within "29 metres • Two schools; • Optimize the discharges to all from light industry within "29 metres • Optimize the discharges to all from light industry within "29 metres • Optimize the discharges to all from light industry within "29 metres • Optimize the discharge to all discharge to all discharge to all discharge to all disc	Applicant Responses (DA
			 A fundamental gap in the application is that the <i>future</i> (potential) impacts of discharges to air from activities permitted under the light industry zone on <i>existing</i> neighbouring sensitive activities have not been considered. Chapter E14 of the AUP(OIP) describes the key air quality issue (my emphasis): The range of residential, commercial and industrial land uses means there needs to be greater focus on the management of individual discharges to air from various sources and the separation of incompatible land uses. Industrial processes and their operation need to be recognised because they cannot avoid discharging contaminants into air. Chapter E14.2 of the AUP(OIP) includes the following objectives: (3) Incompatible uses and development are separated to manage adverse effects on air quality from discharges of contaminants into air and avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects. (4) The operational requirements of light and heavy industry, other location-specific industry, infrastructure, rural activities and mineral extraction activities are recognised and provided for. Chapter E14.3 of the AUP(OIP) further includes the following policies: (2)in urban zones (a) avoid offensive or objectionable effects from dust and odour discharges and remedy or mitigate all other adverse effects of dust and odour discharges; or 	

ATE)	Councils Responses Responses (DATE)	to	Applicant

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DA
			 (b) require adequate separation distance between use and development which discharges dust and odour to air and activities that are sensitive to adverse effects of dust and odour discharges, or both of the above. (4) Support the use and development in the Business – Light Industry Zone by providing for medium dust and odour levels and avoiding, remedying or mitigating, the adverse effects of dust and odour. Whilst A14.6.1.1 general standards in the AUP:(OIP) requires: (2) The discharge must not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, dust, particulate, smoke or ash beyond the boundary of the premises where the activity takes place. This does not negate that the list of permitted light industrial activities in Table 1 may cause potential adverse amenity effects within a relatively short distance (250 m) of the proposed site from an air quality perspective. 	
ARCHAEC	DLOGY (SPECIALIST MATT	THEW CAMPBELL)		T
A1	Archaeological Assessment	 Please provide an archaeological assessment This assessment should address at a minimum: The archaeological and historic background of the area. The current condition of the property. The likelihood of archaeological evidence being present on the property. The potential constraints any archaeology on the property will have on the property will have on the proposed plan change and subsequent development. An outline of methods to minimise or mitigate potential effects on archaeology (acknowledging that no development proposals have been put forward yet). 	The applicant has not provided an archaeological assessment but the area around the airport is a rich archaeological landscape. The property is the current SPCA compound to the west and is used for campervan parking to the east (the former SPCA horse paddock). The latter, previously grassed, is now covered with aggregate and the ground surface is not visible. An assessment is required to inform the plan change process	
HEALTHY	WATERS (SPECIALISTS: S	AMEER VINNAKOTA / ZHENG QIAN)		
SW1	Precinct Provisions	Please specify how the measures outlined in the Stormwater Management Plan (SMP) will be implemented as there are no precinct provisions relating to stormwater.	and/or potential effects are proposed to be avoided or mitigated. There should be a mechanism for standards and enforceable conditions at the subsequent resource consent stage so that the	

ATE)	Councils Responses to Applicant Responses (DATE)

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DAT
SW2	Hydrology Mitigation	As the site is proposed to discharge to an open channel towards the north of 3 Verissimo Drive, hydrology mitigation for retention and detention for SMAF-1 control over the subject site is required. Please revise the SMP accordingly.	This is a recommendation by Healthy Waters to ensure effects on stream erosion from increased stormwater flows in the receiving environment will be appropriately avoided or mitigated.	
SW3	Existing Flows	Section 1.5 of the SMP mentions that stormwater from all existing buildings, sumps within the driveway and carparking on site discharges to the public network on the road. In Section 1.8 of the SMP, it is stated that Sub- Catchment B flows are conveyed through 44 Westney Road before flowing into 22 Westney Road, while Sub-Catchment C, D and E are directed to the south-eastern corner of the site to an outlet at the north of 3 Verissimo Drive. The existing OLFP catchment plan in Appendix B appears to not match with the surveyed contour plan. Please overlay surveyed contour plans with colored catchment plan to understand the exiting OLFP flow directions. Please provide 1% AEP predevelopment flow rate and direction/discharge location of the sub-catchments. Please provide photo evidence of the existing private outfall and open channel downstream of the outfall and assess the condition of the open channel.		
SW4	Proposed Flows	 Please provide plans for post development sub catchments and contours of the site. Please specify proposed pipe flows and overland flows for each post development sub-catchment and discharge locations. Please revise proposed drainage plans in Appendix C to show 	To understand where stormwater flows are being directed to and if the proposed arrangements are viable. And hence to enable a full assessment of stormwater and flood effects.	

DATE)	Councils Responses to Applicant Responses (DATE)

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DATI
		 proposed overland flow paths within and outside of the site. Please provide plan showing cross sections 1-3 of the overland flow paths assessed, if they are the same as cross sections A to C, the ground profile appears to be different in the two sets of cross sections. Please assess impacts of increased runoff to properties along the overland flow paths, including risk of flooding and erosion, whether flood water will encroach into private properties on Jaylo Place in the post development scenario. Please clarify whether there will be a formed channel downstream of cross section 3. Please specify future floor level requirements within the site. Please clarify whether any public roads will be proposed within the site. 		
SW5	Water Quality Treatment	Only full height cartridge Atlan Filter is considered to meet GD01 requirements. It appears that filters of other sizes will also be used and considered not acceptable. Sizing of filters is based on trafficable area only, and filters are placed close to discharge locations. Please clarify whether runoff from trafficable areas will be separated from roof runoff. Please specify how contaminated stormwater will be contained within the site in the case of chemical spill.	This information is required to enable a full assessment of water quality effects.	
SW6	Works on Third Party Land	Please clarify whether works for stormwater connections are required on third party land and	This is needed in order to ascertain whether the stormwater management approach is viable or not and will inform the best practicable option.	

Councils Responses Responses (DATE)	to	Applicant
	Councils Responses Responses (DATE)	Councils Responses to Responses (DATE)

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DA
		whether land owner approval has been obtained. Please provide details of works proposed within third party land if any and associated impacts.		
SW7	Asset Ownership	As the proposed 750mm diameter and 825mm diameter pipes serve the site only, Healthy Waters would like clarification on whether these pipes can remain in private ownership or whether they will be vested to Council. Please consider this option and advise.	To inform the Best Practicable Option and to understand what assets are being vested to Council.	
TRANS	PORT (SPECIALIST ANDREV	V TEMPERLEY)		
71	Insufficient Assessment around potential long-term transport outcomes resulting from Business – Light Industry Zoning	Please provide further information from the applicant of a range of potential land-use scenarios considering other permitted activities within the zone, and their potential effects upon traffic patterns and generation. This could take the form of a sensitivity test, considering activities resulting in greater weekday peak hour traffic effects, such as more intense office development, and activities resulting in greater off-peak traffic effects, such as retail activities, as permitted within the zone. Please also provide further information confirmation of the trip generation of the existing SPCA facility on the site.	development activities within the Business – Light Industry Zone, including potentially greater and more adverse traffic effects during both peak and off-peak hours, depending on particular development activities. While the Integrated Transport Assessment (ITA) considers traffic generation potential of a variety of land-use activities that are permitted under the existing Unitary Plan Zoning (being Residential – Mixed Housing Suburban zone), it does not undertake a corresponding analysis of different activities permitted under the proposed Business – Light Industry Zone. The ITA considers only a single trip generation scenario associated with the proposed new zoning, namely that associated with 22,000 sqm of 'industrial development'. However, it does not elaborate on specific land-use activities that have been assumed in this scenario, to confirm whether this represents a 'typical' or likely scenario that could be expected or the most intense use of the site. The Unitary Plan Zone chapter for the Business – Light Industry Zone refers to a range of activities that are permitted or discretionary within the zone, which in addition to industrial activities includes offices, trade and retail related uses and 'community' uses, including emergency services. It is further noted that the zone permits building heights of up to 20 metres, which could equate to a commercial building of up to 6 storeys in height, thus further adding to the site's trip generation potential.	
T2	Access Strategy for the site	Please provide further analysis of locations along the site's Westney Road frontage where a new intersection could potentially be accommodated, as well as locations where this would not be considered practicable due to the above constraints, or else confirmation that the constraints	The ITA does not elaborate on potential intersection access arrangements for the site, in terms of confirming either form or specific location. It is apparent that a number of constraints along the site's frontage to Westney Road may serve to limit options for locating new vehicle access points. Specifically, the site frontage includes several trees and several items of utilities infrastructure,	

ATE)	Councils Responses to Applicant Responses (DATE)

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DATE
		in question could be removed or relocated. The analysis should include assessment of vehicle intervisibility and pedestrian- vehicle intervisibility along the Westney Road frontage, noting that these could be key parameters which may influence suitable future intersection locations.		
ТЗ	Future Traffic Impact Assessment	 Please provide capacity analyses for key interventions on the adjoining network, including: Access to the subject site off Westney Road Intersection of Westney Road / Kirkbride Road Intersection of Westney Road / Timberly Road Assessment time periods to be selected according to network peak hours and peak traffic hours for land use activities. The assessment should also take account of the influence of the heavy vehicle ban to the north of the site on Westney Road. 	To understand network performance both in the current and future scenarios. It is noted that the intersection of Westney Road / Kirkbride Road is subject to heavy traffic and delays during the afternoon school peak hour. The traffic generation scenarios presented in section 5.1 of the ITA confirm that development resulting from the Plan Change has potential to trigger trip generation assessment under Standard E27.6.1 of the Unitary Plan Transport Chapter, as acknowledged in section 6.1 of the ITA. However, the ITA does not include any assessment of vehicle trip generation on the adjoining road network. As noted above under item I, the ITA does not include trip generation of the existing SPCA facility, hence it is not possible to determine the potential traffic impact of the Plan Change over and above the status quo.	
Τ4	Existing road safety analysis	Please provide further analysis of specific crash 'hot spot' locations, including breakdowns of crash types by location, and also including analysis of non-injury crashes.	The ITA section 3.7 provides an analysis of crash records for the five year period from 2019 to 2023, including a breakdown of crash types. While the plot provided in figure 12 highlights key crash locations, it is not always possible to correlate crash types with specific locations on the adjoining network.	
75	Heavy Vehicle ban on Westney Road	Please provide further clarity in relation to Section 5.2 of the ITA, which states that heavy vehicle access via the southern end of the site could 'work around' the existing ban without any changes being needed.	It is not clear how this would be viable, as the current heavy vehicle ban sits outside the southern property boundary. Further clarity is therefore needed to understand how viable and fit-for-purpose heavy vehicle access can be provided to the subject site.	
CONTAN	INATED LAND (SPECIALIS	T MARCUS HERMANN)		
CL1	PSI	Please provide a contaminated land Preliminary Site	No information about past or current site activities (refer Ministry for the Environment Hazardous Activities List) re: their potential for having caused soil contamination has been provided for	

DATE)	Councils Responses Responses (DATE)	to	Applicant

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DAT
		Investigation (PSI), to be prepared by a Suitably Qualified and Experienced Practitioner (SQEP).	review. A PSI is required to determine whether the risk of soil contamination on / within the site is more likely than not, to assess what risks to health and/or the environment may be present, and to assist in informing future site investigation and consenting requirements relevant to subdivision and/or disturbance of soils on the site, under the National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health Regulations 2011 (NES-CS) and/or environmental discharge consent requirements under the Auckland Unitary Plan – Operative in Part (AUP-OP), chapter E30.6.	
ECONON	NICS (SPECIALIST DEREK F	OY)		
Ε1	Growth projections	Please update the economics assessment to refer to Council's recently published population and household growth projections.	The Property Economics assessment presents Auckland Region population projections which are referenced as "Stats NZ and Property Economics". Those projections are between 8% and 10% higher than the current Statistics NZ population projections for Auckland Region, and the Property Economics projections appear to be more similar to the previous Statistics NZ population projections which have since been updated. That update involved significant downwards revision of future growth expectations in the Auckland Region. Auckland Council bases its strategic planning (including NPS-UD HBA and Future Development Strategy) on a custom projection series referred to as "Auckland Growth Scenario" (AGS), with the current version being v1.1. The Council projections are available from https://data-aucklandcouncil.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/ed61b2290e914993a2f63eca2f73bb49_0/explore/	
E2	Data references	Please provide specific references for the data relied on in the economics assessment.	es While the numbers do not appear to be critical to the conclusions reached, it would be helpful to	
E3	Growth projections	Please provide a description of how the population projections presented are relevant to interpreting the merits of the application, from an economics perspective.	ons is little supporting text that explains how they are relevant to understanding the merits of the application. Explanation in that regard would assist evaluation of the application. the the	
E4	4 Mangere 1 Precinct Please assess the appropriateness The economics assessment has not specifically assessed the appropriateness from an econ		The economics assessment has not specifically assessed the appropriateness from an economics perspective of removing the Mangere 1 Precinct. That appropriateness is implied in some of the assessment, but should be specifically discussed for completeness	
GROUNE	DWATER (SPECIALIST MAR	nja jukic)		•
GW1	Mana Whenua Response	Please provide copies of responses from any Mana Whenua groups who raise issues pertaining to water supply and/or quality	To enable me to incorporate any concerns raised by Mana Whenua in relation to water supply in my assessment of this application.	
GEOTEC	HNICAL (SPECIALIST JAMES	S BEAUMONT)		
GT1	Assessment Report	Please provide a geotechnical report that is specific to the proposed plan change area.	The two geotechnical reports provided by the applicant were prepared for previously proposed specific development proposals but do not address the geotechnical issues as they relate to plan change proposal	
NOISE (S	SPECIALIST BIN QIU)			
N1	Assessment Report	Please provide acoustic assessment on the potential noise effect on the adjacent school Zayed College at 44 Westney Road	The Zayed College site is zoned Special Purpose – School Zone. E25.6.21. Schools interface rule applies to school <u>not</u> located in Special Purpose – School Zone. It appears that there are no other relevant current AUP E25 rules applicable for Special Purpose – School Zone.	

DATE)	Councils Responses Responses (DATE)	to	Applicant

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for Request	Applicant Responses (DA
			The current I420 Māngere 1 Precinct rule provides specific yards controls and activity types and density at this site, these precinct rules with the noise standards may be more stringent than the generic rules under Business Light Industry Zone in term of control of noise effect, for examples, industrial activities, Garden centres, Food and beverage / restaurant are permitted in Business Light Industry but not in the I420 Mangere 1 Precinct, so the rezoning may allow higher noise emission level received in the school than the current situation as there is lack of noise control rule applicable to this school.	
HAZARDS	S (SPECIALIST RUBEN NAIE	000)		
H1	Existing petroleum pipeline	Please identify potential permitted activities that may be located within the Light Industry zone and the cumulative risks that may be presented in relation to the existing petroleum pipeline	If required mitigation measures should be provided as to what would be in place for the protection of human health and the environment.	

ATE)	Councils Responses to Applicant Responses (DATE)

APPENDIX A

Table 1 Permitted activities in the Light Industrial Zone that may cause adverse amenity effects within 250 metres

	vity Potential for Adverse Effects		dverse Effects?	AUP:(OIP) required separation distance	
		Odour	Dust		
A6	Fumigant for use in commercial pest control	~			
A8	Melting of any metal or metal alloy at a rate of no more than 100kg/hour		✓		
A12	Spray application of surface coatings containing diisocyanates or hazardous organic plasticisers at an individual site not in a spray booth or at a domestic premises at an application rate no more than 2L/day	✓		30 m	
A14	Spray application of surface coatings containing diisocyanates or organic plasticisers in a spray booth	~		30 m	
A61	Drying, curing or baking of any solvent based coatings onto a surface by application of heat at a solvent volatile organic compound(VOC) application rate of less than 20kg /hour	✓			
A73	Blasting (sweep) using abrasive material containing less than five per cent dry weight free silica		✓		
A74	Blasting undertaken outside a permanent facility (spray booth) using abrasive material containing less than five per cent silica		~	50 m public road 100 m occupied building	
A77	Bulk cement storage, handling, redistribution, or packaging		~		
A79	Coal storage outdoors where total amount on site is not more than two tonnes		~		
A86	Manufacture of concrete at a rate up to 110 tonnes/day		✓		
A99	Alcoholic beverage production from fermentation of plant matter ¹	✓			
A101	Coffee roasting at a loading rate of green coffee beans up to 50kg/hour and not exceeding a total weekly production of 100kg	✓			
A102	Coffee roasting at a loading rate of green coffee beans greater than 50kg/hour and not exceeding 250kg/hour or with a total weekly production between 100kg and 500kg	✓			
A120	 Air discharges of volatile organic compounds (including organic solvents) from: a) dispensing of motor fuels; or b) ventilation or displacement of air or vapour from storage tanks containing motor fuels; or c) ventilation or displacement of air or vapour from motor fuel tankers (excluding petrol vapour) 	~			
A133	Animal feedlots for cattle	✓	~		

¹ E14.6.1.17. Odour discharges from the wort kettles (or equivalent equipment) from the fermentation of plant matter to produce more than 25 million l/year must be discharged through control equipment with an odour removal efficiency > 90%.

A137	The storage and application of fertiliser (including agricultural lime)	~
A138	Intensive farming of up to 10,000 poultry	~
A144	Manufacture and storage of silage	~
A146	Composting, where the operation is not fully enclosed, of refuse, waste, organic materials excluding green wastes where the total amount on site is between 10m ³ and 50m ³	~
A147	Composting, where the operation is not fully enclosed, of only greenwaste where the total amount on site is between $10m^3$ and $100m^3$	~
A153	Refuse transfer stations with up to 30m ³ of refuse or 500m ³ of green waste	~
A156	Recycling stations where no greenwaste is collected on site	
A162	Treatment of wastewater that was generated on-site (on-site wastewater treatment systems) -excluding municipal wastewater	~
A166	Wastewater facility that is for the primary purpose of pumping or transfer or storage of raw or partially treated wastewater	~

\checkmark	
\checkmark	
✓	
\checkmark	