


Appendix 2: EB3C Stormwater Design Drawings



SHEET LAYOUT KEY PLAN FOR 1:500 (A1) SCALE - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLANS - EB3C

LEGEND

	EXISTING WATERMAIN		METROPIT
	EXISTING WASTEWATER		PROPOSED MANHOLE
	EXISTING STORMWATER		EXISTING MANHOLE
	EXISTING STORMWATER TO BE ABANDONED/ REMOVED		SCRUFFY DOME
	EXISTING TRANSPOWER		GRATED MANHOLE
	CONTOURS 0.1 METRE		CATCHPIT MANHOLE
	CONTOURS 0.5 METRE		GROSS POLLUTANT TRAP
	CONTOURS 1.0 METRE		HEADWALL
	GRATE INLET AT BRIDGE		STORMWATER PIPE
	STANDARD CATCHPIT		SUBSOIL DRAIN
	STANDARD DOUBLE CATCHPIT		RETAINING WALL
	STREET CATCHPIT (1200mm LINTEL)		RIP-RAP
	STREET CATCHPIT (2400mm LINTEL)		ACO DRAIN
	HYNDS MEGAPIT		GRASS CHANNEL
			RAINGARDEN/TREATMENT SWALE WITH EARTHWORKS BATTERS

GENERAL NOTES

- COORDINATES ARE IN TERMS OF MT EDEN 2000 WITH VERTICAL HEIGHTS TO NEW ZEALAND VERTICAL DATUM 2016.
- REFERENCE TO REUSE SUBJECT TO ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT.
 - ALL REDUNDANT MANHOLES, CATCHPITS, INLET AND OUTLET STRUCTURES, HEAD WALLS, WING WALLS ETC. MUST BE REMOVED.
 - ALL REDUNDANT PIPES AND CULVERTS WITH A DEPTH OF LESS THAN 1m TO PIPE SOFFIT MUST BE REMOVED.
 - ALL REDUNDANT PIPES AND CULVERTS WITH A DEPTH OF 1m OR MORE TO SOFFIT MUST EITHER BE REMOVED OR FILLED WITH 5MPa FLOWABLE FILL.
 - TRENCH EXCAVATIONS OF ALL REMOVED PIPE AND CULVERTS MUST BE BACKFILLED TO THE SAME STANDARD AS NEW PIPELINES (WITH SIMILAR APPROVED MATERIAL).
- ALL CATCHPITS TO HAVE A 400mm DEEP SUMP.
- ONLY CRITICAL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES HAVE BEEN SHOWN FOR CLARITY.


DRAWING NO.	DRAWING TITLE
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100	EB3C - STORMWATER - DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - TI RAKAU DRIVE - SHEET 1 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02102	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 2 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02111	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 3 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02112	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 4 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02113	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 5 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02114	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - TI RAKAU DRIVE - SHEET 6 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02115	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - TI RAKAU DRIVE - SHEET 7 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02116	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - TI RAKAU DRIVE - SHEET 8 OF 8
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02201	EB3C - STORMWATER - LONG SECTION - SHEET 1 OF 3
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02202	EB3C - STORMWATER - LONG SECTION - SHEET 2 OF 3
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02203	EB3C - STORMWATER - LONG SECTION - SHEET 3 OF 3
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02501	EB3C - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - TI RAKAU DRIVE - SHEET 1 OF 7
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02502	EB3C - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 2 OF 7
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02511	EB3C - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 3 OF 7
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02512	EB3C - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 4 OF 7
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02513	EB3C - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - BUSWAY - SHEET 5 OF 7
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02514	EB3C - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - TI RAKAU DRIVE - SHEET 6 OF 7
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02515	EB3C - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - TI RAKAU DRIVE - SHEET 7 OF 7

Last saved by: GKAMINSKA(2021-08-24) Last Plotted: 2021-08-24
Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100.DWG

DRAWN	M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW	P. May
APPROVED	S. Jones	APPROVED DATE	20/08/2021
REV	DATE	DRAWN	REVISION DESCRIPTION
0	20/08/2021	M. Santos	ISSUED FOR REFERENCE DESIGN


This drawing is confidential and shall only be used for the purpose of this project. The signing of this title block confirms the design and drafting of this project have been prepared and checked in accordance with the Alliance quality assurance system to ISO 9001. Printed copies of this document are UNCONTROLLED. Do not scale from this drawing.

THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)

DRAWING STATUS	REFERENCE DESIGN	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
DRAWING TITLE	EB3C - STORMWATER DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEY PLAN	
SCALE	NOT TO SCALE	REV 0
SHEET SIZE	A1	DRAWING NO. EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100



W-SECTION BARRIER WITH MAINTENANCE ACCESS PROPOSED TO ALLOW SURFACE WATER TO FLOW DIRECTLY INTO RAINGARGEN



BIO-RETENTION TREATMENT SWALE 01. REFER TO TABLE 1, ITEM 1 IN EB234-1-SW-PA-Z3-0001-A2 SUBJECT TO ASSESSMENT OF GROUND CONTAMINATION

NOTES

- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
- ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
- REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100 FOR DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN.

Last saved by: GKAMINSKA(2021-08-24) Last Plotted: 2021-08-24
Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101-02115.DWG

DRAWN M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK M. Dalvi
DESIGNED C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW P. May
APPROVED S. Jones	APPROVED DATE 20/08/2021



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)



DRAWING STATUS: **REFERENCE DESIGN** **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**


DRAWING TITLE: **EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN**
TI RAKAU DRIVE
SHEET 1 OF 8

SCALE: 1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3)	SHEET SIZE: A1	DRAWING No: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101	REV: 0
-------------------------------	----------------	------------------------------------	--------

REV	DATE	DRAWN	REVISION DESCRIPTION
0	20/08/2021	M. Santos	ISSUED FOR REFERENCE DESIGN

THIS DRAWING IS CONFIDENTIAL AND SHALL ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROJECT. THE SIGNING OF THIS TITLE BLOCK CONFIRMS THE DESIGN AND DRAFTING OF THIS PROJECT HAVE BEEN PREPARED AND CHECKED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ALLIANCE QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM TO ISO 9001. PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE UNCONTROLLED. DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING.

THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.



Last saved by: MCKEER(2022-12-07) Last Plotted: 2022-12-07
 Filename: EB234-1-SW-SK-Z3-02102-02113.DWG

INSTALL HYNDS MEGAPIT OR SIMILAR PIT WITH HIGH INLET CAPACITY TO CAPTURE 1.5 m³/s OVERLAND FLOW. THIS WILL GREATLY REDUCE FLOODING DURING THE Q10-Q100 YEAR EVENTS. PLEASE SEE SECTION 1 OF EB234-1-SW-PA-Z3-0001_EB3C-A2 FOR REFERENCE.


NOTES
 1. DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
 2. ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.

DRAWN	M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW	P. May
APPROVED	S. Jones	APPROVED DATE	01/12/2022
REV	DATE	DRAWN	ISSUED FOR CONSENTING
A1	01/12/2022	R. McKee	ISSUED FOR CONSENTING
THIS DRAWING IS CONFIDENTIAL AND SHALL ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROJECT. THE SIGNING OF THIS TITLE BLOCK CONFIRMS THE DESIGN AND DRAFTING OF THIS PROJECT HAVE BEEN PREPARED AND CHECKED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ALLIANCE QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM TO ISO 9001. PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE UNCONTROLLED. DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING.			

0 12.5 25 m
 1:500 @ A1
 1:1000 @ A3

KEY PLAN


THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)

DRAWING STATUS: **CONSENTING** **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**
 DRAWING TITLE: **EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN**
BUSWAY
SHEET 1 OF 2


SCALE: 1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3) SHEET SIZE: A1 DRAWING No: EB234-1-SW-SK-Z3-02102 REV: A1



Last saved by: GKAMINSKA(2021-08-24) Last Plotted: 2021-08-24
Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101-02115.DWG

- NOTES**
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
 - ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
 - REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100 FOR DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN.

DRAWN	M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW	P. May
APPROVED	S. Jones	APPROVED DATE	20/08/2021



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)



DRAWING STATUS: **REFERENCE DESIGN** **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

DRAWING TITLE: **EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN**
BUSWAY
SHEET 3 OF 8

SCALE	1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3)	SHEET SIZE	A1	DRAWING No	EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02111	REV	0
-------	------------------------	------------	----	------------	------------------------	-----	---



900mm WIDE BIORETENTION RAINGARDEN 05 IN BUSWAY MEDIAN. GAPS IN KERBS TO BE PROVIDED. REFER TO TABLE 1, ITEM 8 IN EB234-1-SW-PA-Z3-00001-A2

GRASS CHANNEL TO DIRECT WATER TOWARDS TREATMENT SWALE 03 & 04

GRASS CHANNEL TO DIRECT WATER TOWARDS TREATMENT SWALE 03 & 04

OVERLAND FLOW CHANNEL TO FOLLOW EXISTING GROUND PROFILE TOWARDS BURSWOOD DRIVE EAST


BIO-RETENTION TREATMENT SWALE 04. REFER TO TABLE 1, ITEM 9 IN EB234-1-SW-PA-Z3-0001-A2

ABANDON EXISTING PIPE

- NOTES**
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
 - ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
 - REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100 FOR DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN.

Last saved by: GRAMINSKA(2021-08-24) Last Plotted: 2021-08-24
Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101-02115.DWG

DRAWN	M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW	P. May
APPROVED	S. Jones	APPROVED DATE	20/08/2021



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)




DRAWING STATUS: **REFERENCE DESIGN** **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

DRAWING TITLE: **EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN**
BUSWAY
SHEET 4 OF 8

SCALE	1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3)	SHEET SIZE	A1	DRAWING No	EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02112	REV	0
-------	------------------------	------------	----	------------	------------------------	-----	---

This drawing is confidential and shall only be used for the purpose of this project. The signing of this title block confirms the design and drafting of this project have been prepared and checked in accordance with the Alliance quality assurance system to ISO 9001. Printed copies of this document are UNCONTROLLED. Do not scale from this drawing.

THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.





NOTES

- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
- ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.

Last saved by: MCKEER(2022-12-07) Last Plotted: 2022-12-07
Filename: EB234-1-SW-SK-Z3-02102-02113.DWG


REV	DATE	DRAWN	ISSUED FOR CONSENTING
A1	01/12/2022	R. McKee	ISSUED FOR CONSENTING
THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.			

DRAWN	M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW	P. May
APPROVED	S. Jones	APPROVED DATE	01/12/2022



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)

DRAWING STATUS	CONSENTING	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	
DRAWING TITLE	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN		
BUSWAY	SHEET 2 OF 2		
SCALE	1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3)	SHEET SIZE	
DRAWING No	EB234-1-SW-SK-Z3-02113	REV	A1





Last saved by: GRAMINSKA(2021-08-24) Last Plotted: 2021-08-24
 Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101-02115.DWG

- NOTES**
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
 - ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
 - REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100 FOR DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN.

REV	DATE	DRAWN	REVISION DESCRIPTION
0	20/08/2021	M. Santos	ISSUED FOR REFERENCE DESIGN

DRAWN	DRAWING CHECK
M. Santos	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	DESIGN REVIEW
C. Kuhn	P. May
APPROVED	APPROVED DATE
S. Jones	20/08/2021



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)

DRAWING STATUS	REFERENCE DESIGN	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
DRAWING TITLE	EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN	
	TI RAKAU DRIVE	
	SHEET 6 OF 8	
SCALE	1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3)	
SHEET SIZE	A1	
DRAWING No	EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02114	REV 0

THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.



BIO-RETENTION TREATMENT RAINGARDEN 11. GAPS IN KERBS TO BE PROVIDED TO ALLOW WATER TO DRAIN INTO MEDIAN ISLAND. REFER TO TABLE 1, ITEM 17 IN EB234-1-SW-PA-Z3-0001-A2

ABANDON EXISTING PIPES AND CATCHPIPS (ASSUMED LOCATION)


RAINGARDENS TO BE MAINTAINED FROM BUSWAY DURING ROUTINE SHUTDOWNS

EXTENT OF WORKS

- NOTES**
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
 - ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
 - REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100 FOR DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN.

Last saved by: GKAMINSKA(2021-08-24) Last Plotted: 2021-08-24
Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101-02115.DWG

DRAWN	M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW	P. May
APPROVED	S. Jones	APPROVED DATE	20/08/2021



AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)



DRAWING STATUS: **REFERENCE DESIGN** **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

DRAWING TITLE: **EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN**
TI RAKAU DRIVE
SHEET 7 OF 8

SCALE	1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3)	SHEET SIZE	A1	DRAWING No	EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02115	REV	0
-------	------------------------	------------	----	------------	------------------------	-----	---

This drawing is confidential and shall only be used for the purpose of this project. The signing of this title block confirms the design and drafting of this project have been prepared and checked in accordance with the Alliance quality assurance system to ISO 9001. Printed copies of this document are UNCONTROLLED. Do not scale from this drawing.

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100mm THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.



SHEET 3

REFER TO SKETCH
EB234-1-SW-Z3-02102 FOR
UPDATED PIPE LAYOUT





NOTES

- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
- ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN MILLIMETRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
- REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02100 FOR DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN.

Last saved by: GRAMINSKA(2021-08-24) Last Plotted: 2021-08-24
Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02101-02115.DWG

DRAWN M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK M. Dalvi
DESIGNED C. Kuhn	DESIGN REVIEW P. May
APPROVED S. Jones	APPROVED DATE 20/08/2021




AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)



DRAWING STATUS
REFERENCE DESIGN NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION


DRAWING TITLE
EB3C - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN
TI RAKAU DRIVE
SHEET 8 OF 8

SCALE 1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3)	SHEET SIZE A1	DRAWING No. EB234-1-SW-DG-Z3-02116	REV 0
---------------------------------	------------------	---------------------------------------	----------




PLAN - TYPICAL OUTFALL DETAILS
Scale: NTS

RIP RAP EXTENDS TO THE SAME HEIGHT AS THE TOP OF THE PIPE



A SECTION
Scale: NTS



B SECTION
Scale: NTS

RIP RAP EXTENDS TO THE SAME HEIGHT AS THE TOP OF THE PIPE


NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

DRAFT

DRAWING CODE:			
A1	AS SHOWN	A3	AS SHOWN
DATE:			
DRAWING NUMBER:			

EB234-1-SW-SK-Z3-00007-A1

Appendix 3: EB4L Stormwater Design Drawings



SHEET LAYOUT KEY PLAN FOR 1:500 (A1) SCALE - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLANS - ZONE 4 (GUYS RESERVE)

DRAWING NO.	DRAWING TITLE
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02100	EB4 - STORMWATER - DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEYPLAN - GUYS RESERVE
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02101	EB4 - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - GUYS RESERVE - SHEET 1 OF 2
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02102	EB4 - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN - GUYS RESERVE - SHEET 2 OF 2
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02201	EB4 - STORMWATER LONG SECTION - SHEET 1 OF 4
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02202	EB4 - STORMWATER LONG SECTION - SHEET 2 OF 4
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02203	EB4 - STORMWATER LONG SECTION - SHEET 3 OF 4
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02204	EB4 - STORMWATER LONG SECTION - SHEET 4 OF 4
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02401	EB4 - STORMWATER STANDARD DETAILS - FLEXIBLE JOINT
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02501	EB4 - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - GUYS RESERVE - SHEET 1 OF 2
EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02502	EB4 - CATCHMENT LAYOUT PLAN - GUYS RESERVE - SHEET 2 OF 2

LEGEND

	EXISTING WATER SUPPLY - TRANSMISSION		PROPOSED MANHOLE
	EXISTING WASTE WATER - TRANSMISSION		SCRUFFY DOME
	EXISTING STORMWATER		GRATED MANHOLE
	EXISTING STORMWATER TO BE ABANDONED/ REMOVED		CATCHPIT MANHOLE
	EXISTING TRANSPOWER HV U/G		HEADWALL
	EXISTING TRANSPOWER JOINT BAY		STORMWATER PIPE
	CONTOURS 0.1 METRE		STORMWATER PIPE (BRIDGE LEVEL)
	CONTOURS 0.5 METRE		RETAINING WALL
	CONTOURS 1.0 METRE		RIP-RAP
	GRATE INLET AT BRIDGE		STORMFILTER
	STANDARD CATCHPIT		VORTCAPTURE (GROSS POLLUTANT TRAP)
	STANDARD DOUBLE CATCHPIT		END CAP
	STREET CATCHPIT (1200mm LINTEL)		DIRECT PIPE CONNECTION (REFER TO EB234-1-SW-SK-Z4-00022)
	STREET CATCHPIT (2400mm LINTEL)		450mm X 450mm BRIDGE INLET PIT
	METROPIT		

GENERAL NOTES


- COORDINATES ARE IN TERMS OF MT EDEN 2000 WITH VERTICAL HEIGHTS TO NEW ZEALAND VERTICAL DATUM 2016.
- REFERENCE TO REUSE SUBJECT TO ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT.
 - ALL REDUNDANT MANHOLES, CATCHPITS, INLET AND OUTLET STRUCTURES, HEAD WALLS, WING WALLS ETC. MUST BE REMOVED.
 - ALL REDUNDANT PIPES AND CULVERTS WITH A DEPTH OF LESS THAN 1m TO PIPE SOFFIT MUST BE REMOVED.
 - ALL REDUNDANT PIPES AND CULVERTS WITH A DEPTH OF 1m OR MORE TO SOFFIT MUST EITHER BE REMOVED OR FILLED WITH 5MPa FLOWABLE FILL.
 - TRENCH EXCAVATIONS OF ALL REMOVED PIPE AND CULVERTS MUST BE BACKFILLED TO THE SAME STANDARD AS NEW PIPELINES (WITH SIMILAR APPROVED MATERIAL).
- ALL CATCHPITS TO HAVE A 400mm DEEP SUMP.
- ONLY CRITICAL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES HAVE BEEN SHOWN FOR CLARITY.

Last saved by: MDALVI(2021-08-19) Last Plotted: 2021-08-19
Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02100.DWG

REV	DATE	DRAWN	REVISION DESCRIPTION
0	18/08/2021	M. Santos	ISSUED FOR REFERENCE DESIGN

DRAWN M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK M. Dalvi
DESIGNED M. Lal	DESIGN REVIEW P. May
APPROVED S. Jones	APPROVED DATE 18/08/2021

This drawing is confidential and shall only be used for the purpose of this project. The signing of this title block confirms the design and drafting of this project have been prepared and checked in accordance with the Alliance quality assurance system to ISO 9001. Printed copies of this document are UNCONTROLLED. Do not scale from this drawing.




AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)

DRAWING STATUS REFERENCE DESIGN	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
DRAWING TITLE EB4 - STORMWATER - DRAWING INDEX, GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND AND KEY PLAN GUYS RESERVE	
SCALE NOT TO SCALE	SHEET SIZE A1
DRAWING No. EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02100	REV 0

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100mm

THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.



- NOTES**
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
 - ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN METRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
 - REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02100 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND.

REV	DATE	DRAWN	ISSUED FOR REFERENCE DESIGN
0	18/08/2021	M. Santos	ISSUED FOR REFERENCE DESIGN


DRAWN	M. Santos	DRAWING CHECK	M. Dalvi
DESIGNED	M. Lal	DESIGN REVIEW	P. May
APPROVED	S. Jones	APPROVED DATE	18/08/2021

0 12.5 25 m

1:500 @ A1
1:1000 @ A3

KEY PLAN

THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.




AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)

DRAWING STATUS: **REFERENCE DESIGN NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

DRAWING TITLE: **EB4 - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN**
GUYS RESERVE
SHEET 1 OF 2

SCALE: 1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3) SHEET SIZE: A1 DRAWING No: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02101 REV: 0

Last saved by: MDALVI (2021-08-19) Last Plotted: 2021-08-19
 Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02101-02102.DWG



Last saved by: MDALVI (2021-08-19) Last Plotted: 2021-08-19
 Filename: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02101-02102.DWG

- NOTES**
- DO NOT SCALE OFF THESE DRAWINGS.
 - ALL DIMENSIONS AND DISTANCES ARE IN METRES UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE.
 - REFER TO DRAWING EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02100 FOR GENERAL NOTES AND LEGEND.


DRAWN M. Santos		DRAWING CHECK M. Dalvi	
DESIGNED M. Lai		DESIGN REVIEW P. May	
APPROVED S. Jones		APPROVED DATE 18/08/2021	
0	18/08/2021	M. Santos	ISSUED FOR REFERENCE DESIGN
REV	DATE	DRAWN	REVISION DESCRIPTION
THIS DRAWING IS CONFIDENTIAL AND SHALL ONLY BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROJECT. THE SIGNING OF THIS TITLE BLOCK CONFIRMS THE DESIGN AND DRAFTING OF THIS PROJECT HAVE BEEN PREPARED AND CHECKED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ALLIANCE QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM TO ISO 9001. PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT ARE UNCONTROLLED. DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING.			

0 12.5 25 m

1:500 @ A1
1:1000 @ A3

KEY PLAN

THIS DRAWING MAY CONTAIN COLOUR CONTENT. CORRECTLY PRINTED COPIES OF THIS DOCUMENT WILL DISPLAY ALLIANCE LOGO IN COLOUR.




AUCKLAND MANUKAU EASTERN TRANSPORT INITIATIVE
EASTERN BUSWAY STAGES 2, 3 AND 4
(PAKURANGA TO BOTANY)


DRAWING STATUS: **REFERENCE DESIGN** **NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**

DRAWING TITLE: **EB4 - STORMWATER LAYOUT PLAN**
GUYS RESERVE
SHEET 2 OF 2


SCALE: 1:500 (A1) 1:1000 (A3) SHEET SIZE: A1 DRAWING No: EB234-1-SW-DG-Z4-02102 REV: 0




PLAN - TYPICAL OUTFALL DETAILS
Scale: NTS



A SECTION
Scale: NTS



RIP RAP EXTENDS TO THE SAME HEIGHT AS THE TOP OF THE PIPE



B SECTION
Scale: NTS

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

DRAFT

DRAWING CODE:		DRAWING DATE:	
A1	AS SHOWN	A3	AS SHOWN
DRAWING NUMBER:			

EB234-1-SW-SK-Z3-00007-A1

Appendix 4: Flood Model Build Report

Eastern Busway Flood Model Build Report

0SW-20 Flood Model

EB-2-D-0-SW-RP-200001

27-04-2023



Control

The latest version of this report is available for all Alliance staff as an electronic read-only file on the project's document control system, Procore.

Revision

Changes to the most recent revision will be highlighted. The revision number is included at the end of the document number, which is also noted in the footer of each page.

The Design Team Leader and/or the Design Manager approves revisions and re-issues.

Document history and status

The following provides the record of authorisation and revisions made to this document.

Revision	Date	Description	Author	Verifier	Approver
0	27-04-2023	For IFC (EB2/EB3R SMP & EB3C SEA)	Tom Newman	Paul May	Simon Jones

- 1) The current electronic version is held in Projectwise
- 2) The current electronic version is controlled
- 3) Changes to the electronic version will be notified to the Design Team leadership
- 4) Printed controlled copies will cease to be controlled copy once the final stage of the project has reached Practical Completion.

Distribution of copies

Revision	Date	Approver	Issued to	Comments
0	27-04-2023	Simon Jones	Planning Team	For EB3C Consent Package

Terms and definitions

Table 1: Terms and definitions.

Acronym	Term	Definition
	Alliance	The alliance between the Alliance Participants formed for the delivery of Eastern Busway Stages 2, 3 and 4
AT	Auckland Transport	Auckland Transport
EB	Eastern Busway	The multi-nodal transport improvements and busway from Pakuranga to Botany
EBA	Eastern Busway Alliance	The name for the alliance project
1D	One dimensional	One dimensional means only one spatial dimension is considered i.e., the horizontal direction of flow.
2D	Two dimensional	Two-dimensional means two spatial dimensions are considered i.e., the horizontal and lateral directions of flow.
ARC	Auckland Regional Council	Auckland Regional Council.
AEP	Annual Exceedance Probability	The probability that a given rainfall event or flow rate will be exceeded in a single year.
ARI	Average Recurrence Interval	Average period of time between rainfall events or flow rates which exceed a certain magnitude.
	Catchment	An area of land draining by force of gravity into a pipe network, stream or watercourse at a given location.
CC	Climate Change	Climate change resulting from global warming due to greenhouse gas emissions.
CN	Curve Number	Defines the shape of the rainfall-runoff relationship and varies from 0 (no runoff) to 100 (complete rainfall) based on the underlying soil type or ground cover (imperviousness).
	Design Storm	The rainfall event calculated from historical record that can be expected for a specific AEP or ARI.
	Design Flows	The flows estimated from various design storms, selected as a basis for the design of works in watercourses and catchments.
	Drainage System	The network of pipes, streams, opens watercourses and secondary overland flow paths which carry flow within a catchment.
ED	Existing Development	The current land use development within the catchment.
EGL	Energy Grade Line	The total energy of flow at a given location, it is the sum of the elevation head, the pressure head, and the velocity head.
	Energy Loss	Energy or head loss occurs due to frictional resistance, contraction and expansion at entrance and exit, change in flow direction, change in elevation, and change in cross-section.
EPA	Engineering Plan Approval	Auckland Council's Engineering plan review and approval process.
	Floodplain	The plan extent of flooding in a given AEP or ARI storm.
	Flood Sensitive Area	The plan extent of flooding for 500mm (freeboard) above the 100-year ARI flood levels.

	Freeboard	Design margin to allow for factors omitted in the overall design (e.g., uncertainties in flood level estimation, wave action, and localised water level variations).
GIS	Geographical Information System.	Geographical Information System, typically software such as ArcMap.
HGL	Hydraulic Grade Line	A line coinciding with the level of flowing water in an open channel. In a closed conduit flowing under pressure, the HGL is the level to which water would rise in a vertical tube at any point along the pipe. It is equal to the energy grade line (EGL) elevation minus the velocity head.
	Hydrograph	A graph illustrating the variation of flow with time.
	Hydrological Soil Group	Soil classification (A, B, C, or D) according to infiltration rate, where A is very high infiltration and D is very poor infiltration.
IA	Initial Abstraction	Rainfall losses occurring before runoff begins, includes water retained in surface depressions, intercepted by vegetation, evaporation, and infiltration.
ISC	Infrastructure Suitability Council	Infrastructure Suitability Council system is adopted for Eastern Busway with a required achievement part of the project requirements.
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging survey	Light Detection and Ranging survey used to create ground models of the terrain.
	Link	Link represents stormwater drainage pipes, culverts, bridges, stream channel reaches or overland flow paths.
	Manning's "n"	Manning's roughness coefficient to account for energy losses due to frictional resistance to flow.
MPD	Maximum Probable Development	The ultimate future land use development which will proceed up to the maximum permitted under the current District Plan.
NDC	Network Discharge Council	Auckland Council's resource consent for stormwater networks (existing and new) and the framework under which flooding is assessed for Eastern Busway.
	Node	Node represents the drainage system attributes such as manholes, inlets, outlets, junction between open channels, ponds.
	Overland Flow	Stormwater runoff travelling downhill over the surface of the ground along the path of least resistance towards streams and watercourses or the sea.
	Runoff	The fraction of rainfall which runs off the land surface to the drainage system.
SEA	Stormwater Effects Assessment	Stormwater technical assessment for resource consent applications
SMP	Stormwater Management Plan	Stormwater Management Plans documenting the design and potential effects of the final design for lodged to EPA for connection approval under the NDC
	Sub-catchment	A smaller sub-area of the catchment draining to a watercourse.
SWCoP	Stormwater Code of Practice	Auckland Council's Stormwater Code of Practice
ToC	Time of Concentration	Time for a water particle to travel from the hydraulically most distant point of a catchment to the outlet.
	Topography	Forms and features of land surfaces.

TP108	TP108	Auckland Regional Council Technical Publication 108.
	Unit Hydrograph	Hydrograph produced by a unit depth of rainfall excess falling uniformly in time and space over a unit area catchment.

Executive summary

Flood modelling has been undertaken to ascertain the existing flood risk to and potential impacts of the Eastern Busway (EB) project.

The purpose of this document is to provide details on the flood model build, methodology and results (i.e. maps). Analysis and interpretation of the results is provided in each Stormwater Effects Assessment (SEA) of the Eastern Busway consent packages and updated for the final design to be incorporated into the corresponding Stormwater Management Plans (SMP). This report is issued to support the stormwater effects assessment for William Roberts Road (WRR) and will be progressively updated as the other Eastern Busway sections are ready for resource consent applications. This report will also be progressively updated throughout the detailed design process to document impacts of design changes and verify the project meets conditions of resource consents and/or Auckland Council Healthy Waters connection requirements under the Network Discharge Consent (NDC).

The four SEA and SMP documents are:

- WRR Early Works Package (completed for Temporary Connection Approval and included in this report)
- Eastern Busway 2 (EB2) and Eastern Busway 3 Residential (EB3R) (completed for SMP)
- Eastern Busway 3 Commercial (EB3C) (completed for SEA)
- Eastern Busway 4 (EB4) (on hold until funding confirmed)

Flood Maps from the modelling are provided in following ten appendices:

- Appendix 1 EB2 flooding assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 2 WRR flooding assessment (temporary design case) flood maps
- Appendix 3 EB3R flooding assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 4 EB3C flooding assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 5 EB4 flooding assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 6 EB2 overland flow path assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 7 EB3R overland flow path assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 8 EB3C overland flow path assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 9 EB4 overland flow path assessment (base and design case) flood maps
- Appendix 10 EB2 and EB3 climate change risk assessment (base and design case) flood maps

The Eastern Busway modelling utilised two existing Auckland Council models; Tamaki River – Pakuranga SW model and Pakuranga Creek SW model. These existing models were trimmed to the catchment extent required to assess the impacts of the Eastern Busway design. The trimmed models were split between EB2 – EB3R and EB3C – EB4, essentially at Pakuranga Creek.

The existing models were used to develop a base case model to ascertain the existing flood risk. The most significant changes to the base case models include updating the LiDAR to 2016, using a 25 cm mesh cell size around the Eastern Busway designation, and converting the projection to NZDG 2000 Mount Eden circuit and vertical datum to NZVD 2016.

The base case model was used to extract flow rates and flow paths to assist in determining where design stormwater networks would be required.

Design stormwater networks and geometrics were added to the base case model to create a design model. This report explains differences in the design model from the proposed design. The purpose of the deviations from the proposed design was to establish what would be required to maintain existing flood risk to third party properties. These deviations from the design are to be refined and amended in the detailed design stage.

The design model results were used to compare differences in flow rate, flow paths, and water level from the base model results. Comparing design to base case model results established areas where increased flood risk was to determine where design pipe upsizing would be required to maintain or reduce the predicted flood risk.

The climate change risk assessment has been carried out as part of the Infrastructure Suitability Council's (ISC) scoring system. Flood maps for the risk assessment based on the updated reference design (December 2021) were developed and represent a higher climate change scenario that was included in the Auckland Council Stormwater Code of Practice (SWCoP) V3 (draft September 2021) where a 3.8-degree temperature increase (30.8% increase in TP108 24-hour design rainfall depth for the 10-year event and 32.7% for the 100-year event) rainfall was used as the climate change scenario with 1 m of sea level rise. The TP108 normalised 24-hour temporal rainfall intensity profile was also updated.

Contents

Terms and definitions.....	ii
Executive summary	v
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Package summary	1
1.2 Scope of design package	2
1.3 Package's contributions towards project objectives.....	3
2. Changes since last submission	4
3. Flood assessment.....	5
3.1 Project requirements	5
3.2 Assumptions and limitations	5
3.3 Models referred to in this report.....	6
3.4 Model runs	6
3.5 Design and modelling philosophy	7
4. Results	23
Appendix 1. EB2 flood assessment maps	
Appendix 2. WRR flood assessment maps	
Appendix 3. EB3R flood assessment maps	
Appendix 4. EB3C flood assessment maps	
Appendix 5. EB4 flood assessment maps	
Appendix 6. EB2 overland flow assessment maps	
Appendix 7. EB3R overland flow assessment maps	
Appendix 8. EB3C overland flow assessment maps	
Appendix 9. EB4 overland flow assessment maps	
Appendix 10. ISC climate change risk assessment maps	
Appendix 11. Auckland Council Model Report	
Appendix 12. Site Visit	

1. Introduction

1.1 Package summary

A flood assessment was undertaken for EB in order to ascertain the existing flood risks and the effects the proposed EB design would have in each of the four EB zones:

- EB2
- EB3R (Residential)
- EB3C (Commercial)
- EB4

The EB flood modelling was split across two catchments:

- EB2 – EB3R: Pakuranga – Tamaki River catchment
- EB3C – EB4: Pakuranga Creek catchment

The flood model extents are shown Figure 1.




Figure 1: Eastern Busway flood model extents.

1.2 Scope of design package

1.2.1 Items covered in report

The scope of this flood assessment comprised of the following:

- Convert the existing Tamaki River – Pakuranga and Pakuranga Creek flood models to NZGD 2000 Mount Eden Circuit XY projection and NZVD 2016 Z projection
- Trim the models to the areas of interest and undertaken any changes required to develop a baseline flood risk assessment
- Update the LiDAR to 2016 including any survey information
- Add the new design terrain and stormwater pipe network
- Assess the effects of the EB design to maintain flood neutrality compared to the updated baseline flood risk assessment

This Revision 0 report includes the following:

- Completed EB2 flooding assessment (base and final design case for SMP) (see Appendix 1)
- Completed WRR flooding assessment (base and temporary design case for temporary connection approval) (see Appendix 2)
- Completed EB3R flooding assessment (base and final design case for SMP) (see Appendix 3)
- EB3C flooding assessment (base and consent design case for SEA) (see Appendix 4)
- Completed EB2 overland flow path assessment (base and final design case for SMP) (see Appendix 6)
- Completed EB3R overland flow path assessment (base and final design case for SMP) (see Appendix 7)
- EB3C overland flow path assessment (base and consent design case for SEA) (see Appendix 8)
- EB2 and EB3R climate change risk assessment flood maps for the ISC process using the SWCoP V3 draft (September 2021) (see Appendix 10)

Flood modelling has generally been carried out in accordance with TP 108 – Guidelines for stormwater runoff modelling in the Auckland Region (April 1999), the Auckland Council (AC) Modelling Methodology (November 2011) and the Auckland Council Code of Practise Version 3 January 2022. Any differences from these documents have been detailed and discussed within this report.

1.2.2 Items not covered in report

This Revision 0 report excludes the following:

- EB4 flooding assessment (base and design cases) (see Appendix 5) which is on hold until funding is confirmed
- EB4 overland flow path assessment (base and design cases) (see Appendix 9) which is on hold until funding is confirmed

1.3 Package's contributions towards project objectives

The package documents the flood model build, methodology and results (i.e. maps). These models and flood maps will be used to inform the design so that changes can be made where necessary to achieve flood neutrality compared to the updated baseline flood risk. This report, the models and the flood maps are also used to develop the assessment of SEA and SMP for each of the four consent packages (WRR, EB2/EB3R, EBC3 and EB4).

2. Changes since last submission

This Revision A report of the flood model build report sets the baseline for documenting future changes. This section will be updated for each future revision.

This revision of the report builds on the mitigation and design compliance flood model runs to include the final Detailed Design.

The flood models have gone through internal reviews at various stages of the design. In addition, latest versions of the flood modelling software and best practices have been updated during the design stages resulting in updates to both the existing scenario and design scenario flood models.

The changes to the flood models since last submission include:

- Increased rainfall following hydrology review
- Flood modelling software version updated from ICM 11.0.5.22025 to ICM 2021.7.1 and ICM 2023.2
- Meshing methodology in the flood models has been changed to 'clip meshing' as the method has been improved in later version of the ICM software
- Added climate change risk assessment flood maps for the updated reference design for ISC assessment

3. Flood assessment

3.1 Project requirements

The basis of the EB design aims to achieve:

- Flooding neutrality for the predicted flood effects during the future 100-year rainfall event between the EB design model and base models including in pipe capacity reduction scenarios for the assessment of changes to overland flow path capacity.
- The project minimum requirements (MRs)
- The requirements of the NDC

3.2 Assumptions and limitations

Key assumptions in relation to the flood modelling are provided in Table 2

Table 2: Key flood modelling assumptions.

No.	Item	Assumption
1	Existing Drainage System	The existing drainage network was taken from the Tamaki and Pakuranga models that have been reviewed and accepted by Auckland Council.
2	Tamaki and Pakuranga models	The assumptions and limitation shown in the Tamaki River – Pakuranga and Pakuranga Creek Model Builds accepted by Auckland Council are also relevant to this project. The assumptions and limitations taken from those reports has been added under Appendix 11.
3	Hydraulic Modelling	Has been based on the approved Tamaki and Pakuranga models and changes through model changes, site inspections or design improvements.
4	Existing catchpit capacity	Existing catchpits (where modelled) are assumed to have an inlet capacity of 25 L/s unless site visits indicated greater capacity may be relevant.
5	Ground Levels	Proposed ground levels have been taken from EB designs and used in the modelling. Existing ground levels have been taken from the EB natural surface.
7	Future rainfall events	The 10 and 100-year future rainfall events have been based on TP108 and the AC Code of Practice (CoP) Version 2 (dated Nov 2015) which also equally applies to Version 3 Jan 2022.
8	Building connections	Private drainage in separated areas (non-soakage) are connected to the nearest pipe to the site without crossing neighbouring private property. In some cases, the discharge is directly to the nearest road kerb line.
9	TP108 Rainfall	Modelling is limited by the ARC TP108 rainfall-runoff model which is expected to be within $\pm 25\%$ at a confidence level of 90 percent for 2-year to 100-year ARI storm events (ARC, 1999).
10	Sub-catchment runoff	All sub-catchment runoff was assumed to enter freely into the reticulation system i.e., catch pit inlet control was modelled for stormwater reticulation as 2D gully type nodes where a maximum 100 litres per second was allowed, the excess

		runoff is diverted to the 2D ground model. The model effectively assumes catch pit inlet capacity is equal to or greater than the modelled pipe capacity.
--	--	---

3.3 Models referred to in this report

There are six SW models that are discussed in this report:

- Tamaki River – Pakuranga SW (Tamaki) Model as accepted by AC Healthy Waters (01/06/2016). This model uses the existing SW network and terrain based on 2013 LiDAR
- Pakuranga Creek SW (Pakuranga) Model as accepted by AC Healthy Waters (20/02/2017). This model uses the existing SW network and terrain based on 2013 LiDAR
- EB2 – EB3R Base Model based on a combined and trimmed version of the Tamaki and Pakuranga SW Models and changes to produce a baseline flood assessment
- EB3C – EB4 Base Model based on a trimmed version of the Pakuranga SW Model and changes to produce a baseline flood assessment
- EB2 – EB3R Design Model based on EB2 – EB3R Base Model with the design ground model and stormwater pipe and manhole network
- EB3C – EB4 Design Model based on EB3C – EB4 Base Model with the design ground model and stormwater pipe and manhole network
- The EB2-EB3R and EB3C-EB4 models are trimmed from the Tamaki River – Pakuranga and Pakuranga Creek models shown in Figure 2 and are updated with terrain based on 2016 LiDAR

3.4 Model runs

Numerous versions of the EB2 – EB3R and EB3C – EB4 design models have been run at different stages of the EB project development:

- Mitigation Design – the EB design with mitigation measures to prevent increased flood risk to third party properties
- MR Compliant Design – EB design with increased network capacity to reduce flood depth a cross busway to <10 mm in a 10-year event and <100 mm in a 100-year event
- William Roberts Road temporary connection run – the EB2 – EB3R model was run with a temporary stormwater connection as detailed in this report
- Overland Flow Path run – the existing and design EB models were run with a reduced pipe capacity to simulate pipe blockage to compare changes in overland flow path capacity
- Final Detailed Design – the EB design which includes measures to ensure mitigation and design compliance
- Climate Change risk assessment – based on the updated reference design (December 2021)

This report details model build methodology and results for all of the model runs listed above.




Figure 2: Eastern Busway and Auckland Council flood model extents.

3.5 Design and modelling philosophy

3.5.1 Rainfall and boundary conditions

The Auckland Council Code of Practise requires that stormwater catchment runoff follows the guidelines outlined in the Auckland Regional Council Technical Publication (TP) No. 108 (ARC, 1999).

The key features of the TP108 rainfall-runoff model are:

- A standard 24-hour temporal rainfall pattern with peak rainfall occurring halfway through the storm event
- Runoff depth calculated using Soil Conservation Service (SCS) rainfall-runoff curves, with curve numbers determined from the SCS guidelines according to classifications assigned to Auckland soil types
- Runoff hydrograph calculated using the standard SCS synthetic unit hydrograph
- Time of concentration estimated using an empirical lag equation derived from a regression analysis of data from the Auckland Region

TP108 existing rainfall depth contours for the existing 10 and 100-year rainfall contours are shown in Figure 3.

Table 3 shows the maximum rainfall that was used in the Tamaki River – Pakuranga and Pakuranga Creek models which was applied to the EB2 – EB3R and EB3C – EB4 models. These rainfall values will be updated in the detailed design phase to better represent the rainfall contours in the truncated EB2 – EB3R and EB3C – EB4 models.

Table 3: Model maximum rainfall.

Eastern Busway Zone	10-year Maximum Rainfall (mm/hour)	100-year Maximum Rainfall (mm/hour)
EB2	106	170.1
EB3R	108.4	174.2
EB3C	108.4	174.2
EB4	108.4	174.2

The rainfall from Table 3 accounts for a climate change allowance of 13.23% for the 10-year ARI event and 16.8% for the 100-year ARI event. These climate change allowances are from the Stormwater Code of Practice Version 2 but also remain the same in the version 3 of the document as of January 2022.




Figure 3: TP108 rainfall depth contours.

The rainfall in the models used a standard 24-hour temporal rainfall pattern shown in Table 4.

Table 4: 24-hour storm temporal pattern.

Time From	Time To	Normalised Intensity (mm)
00:00	06:00	0.33
06:00	09:00	0.73
09:00	10:00	0.95
10:00	11:00	1.40
11:00	11:30	2.20
11:30	11:40	3.82
11:40	11:50	4.86
11:50	12:00	8.86
12:00	12:10	16.65
12:10	12:20	5.95
12:20	12:30	4.24
12:30	13:00	2.92
13:00	14:00	1.70
14:00	15:00	1.19
15:00	18:00	0.75
18:00	00:00	0.39

Rainfall losses were applied to individual sub-catchments (pervious and impervious) within the two ICM models.

Sub-catchments in the base models were imported from the Tamaki River – Pakuranga and Pakuranga Creek models which were delineated in ArcGIS software based on 2013 LiDAR, overland flow paths and stormwater pipe networks as per the AC models. The sub-catchments are typically between 0.1 to 3 ha. Some of the imported sub-catchments were split due to size or additional existing pipe network being imported into the base models.

In the design models, sub-catchments within the Eastern Busway extents were created in 12D using design geometrics and stormwater pipe networks. The sub-catchments outside of the busway extent are typically between 0.1 to 3 ha with sub-catchments within the busway extent being as small as 0.004 ha. The impervious area within the sub-catchments in the cropped model was calculated in ArcGIS using road and building outlines from LINZ for the base case.

TP108 methodology uses SCS Curve Number (CN) and Initial Abstraction (IA) to describe rainfall losses. These are based on soil types and land use.

An initial abstraction of 5 mm was applied to pervious areas whereas an initial abstraction of 0 mm was applied to impervious areas. Initial abstraction was not changed outside the busway extent where impervious area had not changed.

Soil types A, B and C are within the Pakuranga Creek and Tamaki River and Pakuranga catchments which correspond to volcanic soils, alluvial sediments, and Waitemata soils respectively. In the Tamaki River and Pakuranga catchment, a SCS CN of 39 for Group A, 61 for Group B and 74 for Group C were used for pervious areas and a CN of 98 was used for impervious areas. In the Pakuranga Creek catchment, a SCS CN of 61 for Group B and 74 for Group C were used for pervious areas and a CN of 98 was used for impervious areas.

The sub-catchment time of concentration was checked with the majority being 10 minutes whilst up to 8% were between 10 and 20 minutes in the EB2 – EB3R model and up to 22% were between 10 and 25 minutes in the EB3C – EB4 model.

The future tidal boundary of RL2.43 m (NZVD2016) has been applied at all network outlets in both models which includes 1 m for sea level rise in accordance with the climate change section of the Auckland Council Stormwater Code of Practice (Versions 2 and 3).

3.5.2 Software version

All models prior to the preliminary detailed design used ICM version 11.0.5.22025. All EB2-EB3R models after and including the preliminary detailed design use ICM version 2021.7.1. and EB3C uses ICM version 2023.2. EB2-EB3R has not been updated to ICM 2023.2 due differences in the meshing method resulting in mesh changes between the existing and design scenarios which is not present in ICM 2021.7.1.

3.5.2.1 Model extents

The Tamaki and Pakuranga models were trimmed to the extent shown in Figure 1. This was done to reduce run times by excluding running areas of the model that did not affect flow or flooding effects within the Eastern Busway designation.

3.5.3 Model data

The base and design models were converted to NZGD 2000 Mount Eden Circuit projection with NZVD 2016 vertical datum. The conversion included stormwater network asset data, open channels, and boundary levels.

A 0.25 m grid was developed from 2016 LiDAR natural surface terrain being used by the geometrics designers. A 0.25 m² mesh zone was applied across the road corridor in both base and design case models to provide a higher resolution to represent the proposed road design. All other areas of the EB2 – EB3R model had a mesh size between 2 and 4 m². Due to the larger size of the EB3R – EB4 model, a mesh size between 2-8m² was used in main overland flow paths and a mesh size between 10-20m² was used for the rest of the model extent. This provided a balance between model resolution and run time. Clip meshing method was used in ICM for the completed detailed design model runs to reduce model noise in results where the ground level of the mesh varied despite the underlying ground model being the same. Models run before the completed detailed design used the classic meshing method.

Due to the small mesh size, the 2D element factor was increased from one to four in both base and design models. This increases the effective area of the 2D manhole used when meshing the 2D triangles. This ensures flow into or surcharging out of the pipe network is not constrained by the size of the 2D triangle connected to each of the manholes.

3.5.4 Design LiDAR

The design LiDAR used the 2016 LiDAR natural surface used in the existing model and burned the design on top to represent changes in geometrics along the road corridor. The interface between the design and the existing ground were checked to ensure there was a match at the boundary of the two terrains.

In EB2, the flyover embankments are represented in the 2D ground model. The flyover itself is not represented in the ground model with Reeves Road ground level beneath the flyover represented instead. The flyover piers are represented using mesh level zones.

Raingardens and passive drainage channels are represented using mesh level zones adjusting the underlying ground model. ACO drains are also modelled using mesh level zones to provide stable inflow into the stormwater network along the length of the ACO drain.

Geometry and pipe network have been updated through the detailed design stage to ensure that any change to overland flow does not impact properties.

3.5.5 Sub-catchments

Sub-catchments in the base models were reviewed. Large catchments were separated to allow for loading into adjacent pipes in the direction of overland flow.

Sub-catchments around Botany Town Centre (EB3C – EB4 model) were split based on available private drainage plans.

In the design models, sub-catchments along the road were incorporated based on the 12D design. Existing base case sub-catchments that may have previously crossed and included the road were split to include an upstream portion, road sub-catchments and downstream portion. The overall sub-catchment areas for cases where the base case covered the road were checked to ensure overall sub-catchment areas were maintained.

Sub-catchment area was calculated in GIS and 100% of the sub-catchment area contributed to rainfall (pervious and impervious). Checks were made to ensure that the contributing areas and catchment areas in ICM were the same as issues can arise with splitting and reassigned catchments.

In the EB2-EB3R design model, sub-catchments along the Reeves Road flyover are represented with two rainfall profiles. The two rainfall profiles represent the flyover pipe network capacity which is design to convey the 20-year flow through downpipes to the Reeves Road raingardens (green subcatchments shown below in Figure 4) and the overflow greater than the 20-year peak flow that flows along the flyover to the southwest flyover embankments (blue subcatchments). Tim of concentration of the flyover subcatchments was calculated to be 10 minutes.



Figure 4: Flyover sub-catchments loaded to downpipes (green) and flyover sub-catchment to be loaded to the pipe network to the west in the detailed design stage (blue).

Pipe networks in the abutments, at each end of the flyover, have been modelled along with catchpits to take road sub-catchment flows. Each of the flyover embankment catchpit sub-catchments is loaded to that catchpit and excess flow then flows to the next downstream catchpit or inlet.

Additional sub-catchments are added to the design EB3C – EB4 model to represent the widened Ti Rakau Drive bridge and busway behind China Town shopping mall shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5: EB3C – EB4 base model (top image) and EB3C – EB4 design model (bottom image) with additional sub-catchments added to Ti Rakau Drive busway bridge.

3.5.6 Commercial and residential buildings

A site visit of existing commercial buildings in Pakuranga and Botany Town Centres was conducted on 14 May 2021. The purpose of the site visit was to identify openings in commercial buildings that could potentially allow overland flow paths through the buildings. Details from the site visit are provided in Appendix 12.

Openings in the commercial buildings were represented by a 200mm high wall allowing flow with a depth greater than 200 mm through the building opening. Buildings without openings in the flow path were represented by an impervious wall thus preventing flow through the building.

Commercial and residential building outlines were obtained from LINZ. These buildings were represented by a Manning's n value of 0.1. Aerial imagery and the site visit confirmed that some buildings around Cortina Place and Ti Rakau Drive had been removed or rebuilt with a different building footprint. Residential buildings are not represented with impervious walls.

An underground car park accessed from Reeves Road was represented with a mesh level zone. The mesh level zone was lowered to RL6.2 m which is the LiDAR level at the lowest point of the car park entrance. This would result in the car park acting like a storage area in both existing and design scenarios as the full pipe network within the car park was not available at the time of building the model.

3.5.7 Manholes

The flood type of manholes surcharging in the existing model in a 100-year ARI event were changed to 2D. A 2D flood type allowed water to surcharge out of sealed manholes in the case of a manhole lid lifting off the manhole which represents reality as opposed to limiting the outflow based on perceived catchpit connections. This reduces the surcharging of manholes above lid level. Although a 2D flood type manhole will allow water to enter the stormwater network, it will allow for better representation of overland flow paths where the storm water network surcharges.

Where survey was not available for the manholes that were changed to 2D flood types, a shaft plan area of 0.16 m² was applied based on an assumed manhole access lid size of 450 mm. Where level surveys were not available for the amended manholes, the chamber roof level was set to 200 mm below the ground level.

3.5.8 Catchpit Q/H relationships

The existing catchpits have a Q/H relationship of a maximum inflow of 100 L/s when the head reaches 50 mm.

Design Q/H relationships were dependant on the catchpit types. Catchpit types and their relationship are provided in Table 5. Negative flows were also applied to the Q/H relationships to allow flow out of the network when surcharging occurs.

Inlet capacity of catchpits on grade was reduced by 20% and catchpits in sag locations were reduced by 50%.

Table 5: Design Catchpit Q/H Relationships.

Catchpit Type	Maximum Inflow without Blockage (m ³ /s)	Water Height Maximum Inflow Occurs At (m)
Single Catchpit	0.020	0.1
Double Catchpit	0.040	0.1
Metropit 1200 lintel	0.038	0.4
Metropit 2400 lintel	0.075	0.4
Metropit 1200	0.88	0.4
Metropit 2400	1.516	0.4
CP Megapit	0.6734	0.4
CP 1200	0.194	0.4
CP 2400	0.88	0.4
CP	0.271	0.4
Manhole with Flat Grate	1.516	2
ACO Pit (per m)	0.0048	0.4

3.5.9 Pipe diameters

Existing pipe diameters were checked against Auckland Council Geomaps and the previous model network where they are flagged as surveyed in previous models. Pipe diameters were identified where the downstream pipe diameter was smaller than the upstream diameter. The identified downstream pipe diameters were increased to match the upstream pipe diameter where no survey information was available to confirm pipe size. This was confirmed for key pipes during the detailed design stage of the project.

3.5.10 Pipe headloss coefficients

Pipe headloss coefficients were reviewed along surcharging pipelines. Where the headloss coefficient was found to be significantly high (e.g. headloss coefficient of 8), the coefficient was reviewed to see if it was appropriate. ICM interpolation of headloss coefficient can result in high headloss on straight main pipes with smaller inletting pipes connecting at sharp angles. In these instances, a lower headloss was applied to the main pipeline.

3.5.11 Model checks

Model checks were carried out on the results for both base and design models.

Inflow, outflow, and volume balance checks between the base and design models were carried out to ensure no unexplained differences occur (see Table 6). Total inflow is greater in the design models compared to the base models which is expected due to increased impervious area creating more runoff. Due to greater inflow and capacity to outfalls, the total outfall is also greater in the design models. Volume balance error in all models is within modelling tolerance.

Total sub-catchment area and contributing area was checked to ensure rainfall within the whole catchment was contributing to the total inflow. Additional checks were made to ensure the area between the base and design models matched to ensure there is no overlapping or gaps between the sub-catchments. Table 7 shows that total sub-catchment area and contributing area match in all models. Sub-catchment area in the EB2 – EB3R base and design models match and there is slightly more total area in the EB3C – EB4 design model than the base model due to the additional area at Ti Rakau Drive bridge.

Table 6: Inflow, outflow, and volume balance checks.

Model	Total Inflow (m ³)	Total Outflow (m ³)	Net Inflow (m ³)	Volume Balance Error (m ³)	Volume Balance Error (%)
EB2 – EB3R Base	61591298	61186936	404362	-925	0.0015
EB2 – EB3R Design	61665438	61268877	396561	-880	0.0014
EB3C – EB4 Base	22202966	21409533	793433	19419	0.0875
EB3C – EB4 Design	22234400	21441491	792908	18751	0.0843

Table 7: Sub-catchment total and contributing area checks.

Model	Contributing Area (ha) Base	Total Area (ha) Base	Contributing Area (ha) Design	Total Area (ha) Design
EB2 – EB3R	383.6	383.6	383.6	383.6
EB3C – EB4	1158.8	1158.8	1159.3	1159.3

Predicted flood water level outside of the road corridor was checked to ensure no unexplained difference between the base and design cases occurred. In some instances, changes in water level occur between the base and design models which are not due to the design. In these instances, changes typically occur due to the meshing process of the 2D zone in the models. During the meshing process, slight changes in the triangulated ICM ground model can occur even if the underlying DEM is the same. This effect is reduced by using the clip meshing method in ICM although it is not completely eliminated. Where differences in the mesh occurred, checks were carried out to ensure change in flooding was due to the mesh and not any design changes. These checks included confirming no change in flow from the design designation towards areas outside of the design

designation. Changes in flooding confirmed to be due to mesh differences as apposed to design changes are excluded from the model results.

An example of difference meshing is shown in the long section in Figure 6 at Cindy Place shown in Figure 7 which shows differences in mesh in the classic meshing which is removed in the clip meshng. The ground levels shown in the long section graph is the ground model created by ICM, however the DEM in this location is the same. It can be seen that between 0-20 m, the ground models between the base and design match and as such the water levels match due to the flow being the same. However, variations in the ground model occur after 20 m causing variations in water level. This causes adjacent increases and decreases in water level between the base and design at Cindy Place where it would be expected to see a constant increase or decrease in water level if there was an impact from the design.




Figure 6: Ground level and water level long section at Cindy Place shown in Figure 7.

Tamaki and EB base case results were compared to ensure no unexplained differences occurred. The Tamaki model used 2013 LiDAR compared to the EB models using 2016 LiDAR. In addition to this, the Tamaki model used a cell size between 2-4 m compared to the cell size of 0.25 m used in the EB model. This creates significantly different results in some areas but are expected due to the updates made in the EB models.

Due to the difference in vertical datums, a model wide comparison of water levels was not suitable. However, a water depth comparison was undertaken which shows variations in depth between the two models generally to be within +/-50 mm. Several areas were checked in detail to ascertain the cause in depth difference. An example of the depth difference is provided in Figure 8 to the south of Ti Rakau Park which refers to cross section data provided in Figure 9.

The depth difference map shows that depth over Ti Rakau Drive has increased. A cross section comparing the base model ground models and water levels (converted to match vertical datum) is provided to explain the cause in increased depth.

The Tamaki ground model uses a larger cell size which causes the highest and lowest points in a single cell to be averaged across the cell. This causes detail such as curb heights and gullies to be lost which is represented better in the EB models due to the relatively small cell size. The difference in ground models means the water level in the Tamaki model must reach RL8.7 m to overtop the downstream road curb. In comparison, the water

level in the EB2 – EB3R model must reach RL8.8 m to overtop the downstream road curb. This causes additional water to back up in the road before overtopping can occur in the EB2 – EB3R model despite a similar flow rate compared to the Tamaki model. The difference in ground model elevations between the base models is similar to the difference in depths where water overtops the downstream kerb.



Figure 7: Long section referred to in Figure 8.




Figure 8: EB2-EB3R - Tamaki depth difference at Ti Rakau Park.




Figure 9: Cross section of Tamaki and EB2-EB3R ground models and water levels.

3.5.12 William Roberts Road Resource Consent

A temporary connection crossing Ti Rakau Drive from WRR was modelled for consenting purposes.

The EB2 – EB3R design model was amended to create a scenario with the WRR geometrics and stormwater network. The proposed design stormwater network crossing Ti Rakau Drive was removed and a temporary connection from the WRR design network was made into the existing 900 mm pipe crossing (SAP ID 2000693842), see Figure 10. The ground model at the Ti Rakau Drive pipe crossing was reverted back to existing levels. Design geometrics and stormwater network with no interaction with WRR was not removed from the model.



Figure 10: WRR design network highlighted in red connecting to the existing pipe network shown in blue.

3.5.13 Overland flow or secondary flow paths

The Auckland Council Code of Practise (version 2 Nov 2015 and version 3 Jan 2022) requires design consideration of the effects on secondary flow paths. The AC definition of a secondary flow path is shown below (from Section 4.3.4.2 of V3 of the AC Code of Practise (CoP)):

“A secondary stormwater system consists of ponding areas and overland flow paths with sufficient capacity to transfer the flows generated by the event specified in the design standards in Section 4.3.5.2. As far as

possible, the location of secondary systems should be aligned with natural flow paths. The existing constructed or natural flow paths shall be retained as far as practical. Any alteration of the existing stormwater system shall result in no detrimental impacts to either upstream or downstream properties.”

In this case the 1% AEP (or 100-year ARI) future rainfall event with climate change is the design standard for the secondary flow path.

The criteria used for this assessment is based on Section 4.3.5.6 of the AC CoP as shown below:

“Secondary overland flow paths shall be designed with sufficient capacity to accommodate the 1% AEP storm event, assuming the following conditions for the primary network:

- *For pipelines up to and including DN600, assume that the pipeline is 100% blocked*
- *For pipelines between DN600 and DN1,050, assume that the pipeline’s capacity has been reduced by 50%*
- *For pipelines in excess of DN1,050, assume that the pipeline’s capacity has been reduced by 10%”*

In the model these capacity reductions were assessed using the following approach:

- 100% capacity reduction - A 1 mm pipe was replaced for all pipes 600 mm and smaller to allow the model computations to occur but with flow forced to surcharge at the sub-catchment loading node
- 50% capacity reduction - To achieve this a factor of 77% factor was applied to all pipe diameters in the >600 to 1050 mm pipe category to provide an effective pipe diameter. This was done on the assumption that invert levels, pipe roughness and slope all stayed the same. Where pipe Q/H inlet control was applied, this was also reduced using the effective pipe diameter.
- 10% capacity reduction - To achieve this a factor of 96% was applied to all pipe diameters in the >1050 mm pipe category to provide an effective pipe diameter. Where pipe Q/H inlet control was applied, this was also reduced to the effective pipe diameter.

There were also two locations where the design terrain was artificially lowered to the base case levels to allow for geometric changes to the design to reduce the need for added pipe network overland flow. If this cannot be achieved, then this will be dealt with in the detailed design stage.

This capacity reduction was applied to the base and design cases to understand if there are increased flooding issues created by the design.

4. Results

The Flood Model results for each section of the Eastern Busway have been processed through the use of GIS. ArcMap was used to convert polygon results exported from ICM to rasters. The raster cell size used was 1m² compared to the 0.25 m² ICM cell size. Using a 1m² cell size for the rasters allows for a balance between file size and processing time while still providing a resolution suitable to assess flood model results. A raster calculator process was then used to compare existing scenarios with design scenarios.

The following maps been produced:

- EB2 flood assessment maps (see Appendix 1)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
 - Base case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)
 - Base case 100-year flood depths (included for SMP)
 - Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
 - Design case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth >10 mm (included for SMP)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth >100 mm (included for SMP)
 - Depth difference 10-year (included for SMP)
 - Depth difference 100-year (included for SMP)
- WRR flood assessment maps (see Appendix 2 for SMP)
 - Temporary design case 10-year flood difference (Temporary Connection Approval Version)
 - Temporary design case 10-year flood extents (Temporary Connection Approval Version)
- EB3R flood assessment maps (see Appendix 3)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
 - Base case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)
 - Base case 100-year flood depth (included for SMP)
 - Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
 - Design case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth >10 mm (included for SMP)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth >100 mm (included for SMP)
 - Depth difference 10-year (included for SMP)
 - Depth difference 100-year (included for SMP)
- EB3C flood assessment maps (see Appendix 4)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SEA)


- Base case 10-year flood depths (included for SEA)
- Base case 100-year flood depth (included for SEA)
- Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SEA)
- Design case 10-year flood depths (included for SEA)
- Design case 100-year flood depth >10 mm (included for SEA)
- Design case 100-year flood depth >100 mm (included for SEA)
- Depth difference 10-year (included for SEA)
- Depth difference 100-year (included for SEA)
- EB4 flood assessment maps (see Appendix 5)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (on hold)
 - Base case 10-year flood depths (on hold)
 - Base case 100-year flood depth (on hold)
 - Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (on hold)
 - Design case 10-year flood depths (on hold)
 - Design case 100-year >10 mm flood depth (on hold)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth >100 mm (on hold)
 - Depth difference 10-year (on hold)
 - Depth difference 100-year (on hold)
- EB2 overland flow assessment maps (see Appendix 6)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
 - Base case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)
 - Base case 100-year flood depth (included for SMP)
 - Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
 - Design case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth (included for SMP)
 - Depth difference 10-year (included for SMP)
 - Depth difference 100-year (included for SMP)
- EB3R overland flow assessment maps (see Appendix 7)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
 - Base case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)


- Base case 100-year flood depth (included for SMP)
- Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SMP)
- Design case 10-year flood depths (included for SMP)
- Design case 100-year flood depth (included for SMP)
- Depth difference 10-year (included for SMP)
- Depth difference 100-year (included for SMP)
- EB3C overland flow assessment maps (see Appendix 8)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SEA)
 - Base case 10-year flood depths (included for SEA)
 - Base case 100-year flood depth (included for SEA)
 - Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (included for SEA)
 - Design case 10-year flood depths (included for SEA)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth (included for SEA)
 - Depth difference 10-year (included for SEA)
 - Depth difference 100-year (included for SEA)
- EB4 overland flow assessment maps (see Appendix 9)
 - Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (on hold)
 - Base case 10-year flood depths (on hold)
 - Base case 100-year flood depth (on hold)
 - Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (on hold)
 - Design case 10-year flood depths (on hold)
 - Design case 100-year flood depth (on hold)
 - Depth difference 10-year (on hold)
 - Depth difference 100-year (on hold)
- EB2 and EB3R climate change risk assessment (updated reference design) maps (See Appendix 10)
 - EB2 Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (for ISC)
 - EB2 Base case 10-year flood depths (for ISC)
 - EB2 Base case 100-year flood depth (for ISC)
 - EB3R Base case 10 and 100-year flood extents (for ISC)
 - EB3R Base case 10-year flood depths (for ISC)


- EB3R Base case 100-year flood depth (for ISC)
- EB2 Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (for ISC)
- EB2 Design case 10-year flood depths (for ISC)
- EB2 Design case 100-year flood depth (for ISC)
- EB2 Depth difference 10-year (for ISC)
- EB2 Depth difference 100-year (for ISC)
- EB3R Design case 10 and 100-year flood extents (for ISC)
- EB3R Design case 10-year flood depths (for ISC)
- EB3R Design case 100-year flood depth (for ISC)
- EB3R Depth difference 10-year (for ISC)
- EB3R Depth difference 100-year (for ISC)


The analysis and interpretation of the results will be included in the SEA and SMP documents for each consent package and design reports. The maps will be updated as each consent package is completed and during the detailed design progresses.

Appendix 1. EB2 flood assessment maps












Legend


- Design 10 Year
Flood Extent
- Design 100 Year
Flood Extent












Appendix 2. WRR flood assessment maps




Depth Point	Existing Depth (m)	Design Depth (m)	Difference (m)
0	0.18	0.22	0.04
1	0.02	0.05	0.04
2	0.08	0.12	0.04
3	0.10	0.13	0.04
4	0.00	0.03	0.03
5	0.04	0.04	0.00
6	0.08	0.10	0.02
7	0.04	0.06	0.02
8	0.16	0.23	0.07
9	0.00	0.04	0.04

Legend

- 10yr Depth Point
- ▭ Acquired Properties
- ▭ Increased Flooding in Property Parcel
- ▭ Building Outlines

Design - Existing (m)

- < -1
- 1 - -0.5
- -0.5 - -0.1
- -0.1 - -0.02
- -0.02 - 0.02
- 0.02 - 0.03
- 0.03 - 0.1
- 0.1 - 0.2
- 0.2 - 0.3
- > 0.3



Water Level Point	Design Water Level (m RL)
0	8.88
1	8.88
2	8.88
3	8.88
4	8.88
5	9.07
6	9.17
7	7.83
8	7.17
9	6.76
10	8.10


Property Point	Ground Level (m RL)
A	9.35
B	9.19
C	9.18
D	8.11
E	8.09

Legend

- 10yr Water Level Point
- Property Ground Levels
- Acquired Properties
- Increased Flooding in Property Parcel
- Building Outlines
- 10yr Flood Extent


Sourced from the LINZ Data Service and licensed for re-use under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 New Zealand Licence


Appendix 3. EB3R flood assessment maps



Legend

- Existing 10 Year Flood Extent
- Existing 100 Year Flood Extent







Legend

- Design 10 Year
Flood Extent**

- Design 100 Year
Flood Extent**