REPORT

Tonkin+Taylor

13-15 Trig Road, Whenuapai

Site Feasibility Assessment - Civil Infrastructure

Prepared for Ministry of Education Prepared by Tonkin & Taylor Ltd Date June 2021 Job Number 1016524.v0





Exceptional thinking together www.tonkintaylor.co.nz

Document Control

Title: 13-15 Trig Road, Whenuapai						
Date	Version	Description	Prepared by:	Reviewed by:	Authorised by:	
May 2021	0	Draft for client review	N.Morrice A. Frew	M. Mills	G.Nicholson	
June 2021	1	Final Report	N.Morrice A. Frew	M. Mills	G.Nicholson	

Distribution:

Ministry of Education Tonkin & Taylor Ltd (FILE) 1 PDF copy 1 PDF copy

Table of contents

1	Introduction		1	
2	Site d	lescriptio	n and layout	2
3	Proposed development		3	
4	Flood hazard		3	
5	Storm	nwater		5
	5.1	Existing s	stormwater system	5
	5.2	Proposed	d stormwater management	5
		5.2.1	Onsite Retention	6
		5.2.2	Onsite Detention	7
		5.2.3	Treatment	8
		5.2.4	Summary	8
	5.3	Protectio	on of existing wetland	8
6	Wast	ewater		9
	6.1	Existing r	reticulation	9
		6.1.1	Private drainage	9
		6.1.2	Public drainage	9
	6.2	Interim o	lisposal option	9
		6.2.1	Design Assumptions	10
		6.2.2	On-site disposal flows	10
		6.2.3	Primary treatment (settlement tanks)	10
		6.2.4	Secondary treatment	11
		6.2.5	Disposal field size	11
		6.2.6	Disposal field location	12
		6.2.7	Reserve area	13
	6.3	Summar	ý	13
7	Potak	ole water	and fire supply	14
8	Utiliti	ies		15
	8.1	Commur	nications	15
	8.2	Electricit	у	15
	8.3	Gas		15
9	Sumn	nary		15
10	Appli	cability		16
Арре	ndix A	:	Development Plan	
Appe	ndix B	:	Stormwater Management Plan	
Appe	ndix C	:	Onsite wastewater management	

- Appendix D : BeforeUDig Information
- Appendix E : Auckland Council GIS Plans

Executive summary

The Ministry of Education (MoE) is seeking to designate a site at 15 Trig Rd, Whenuapai for education purposes. Tonkin & Taylor Ltd (T+T) have been engaged to provide a civil infrastructure assessment to support the designation application.

This report discusses the available connections to existing public services and potential solutions to stormwater management, wastewater disposal and water supply.

There is no public wastewater or stormwater reticulation near the site. The option to provide an onsite wastewater disposal system has been reviewed and so are options for stormwater management.

An indicative bulk and location feasibility plan has been prepared and a suitable area for stormwater management and onsite wastewater disposal can be accommodated within the bulk and location feasibility plan.

1 Introduction

Tonkin & Taylor Ltd (T+T) have been engaged by the Ministry of Education (MoE) to provide a civil infrastructure assessment of a site at 13-15 Trig Rd, Whenuapai. We have been advised by MoE that the site is to be developed for educational purposes in two phases:

- Phase 1 Overflow school to serve a shortfall in the school network capacity in Whenuapai North, Redhills and Hobsonville.
- Phase 2 Primary School and Early Childhood Education (ECE) to serve the long-term needs of the local adjacent school catchment as the adjacent area is developed and demand increases (Years 0-8).

The proposed school site ('the site') is approximately 4.05 hectares (ha) and is located off State Highway 18 on Trig Rd, Whenuapai, Auckland. The site is currently zoned Residential – Large Lot zone.

The site also lies within the proposed plan change PC5: Whenuapai Plan Change (PC5) where a zone change is proposed to Residential – Mixed Housing Urban (refer to Figure 1-1 below). MoE is seeking to designate the site for education purposes.



Figure 1-1 Proposed Plan Change 5 Zoning

A bulk and location plan has been developed by Jasmax with input from Abley Ltd, Morphum Environmental Ltd and T+T. To support the designation process, a civil infrastructure feasibility assessment has been prepared to inform the suitability of the site to be developed for a primary school.

2 Site description and layout

The site is located at 13-15 Trig Rd, Whenuapai, Auckland and is legally described as LOT 5 DP 66045. The proposed school site is located on the eastern side of Trig Road and has an overall site area of approximately 4.05 ha (refer to Figure 2-1 below).



Figure 2-1: Site layout with contours, Source Auckland Council Geomaps 15/04/2021

The site and adjacent properties are predominantly large lots between 4-5 ha with single dwellings.

No topographical survey has been undertaken to date and contours shown on Geomaps have been used as the basis of assessment. The site has a high point of RL 54.0 m in the western corner adjacent to Trig Road and another high point of RL 47 m part way along the northern boundary. The site generally falls from west to east, with a low point of RL 41 m in the eastern corner of the site. The western side of the site is reasonably steep (up to approximately 16% slope). The western corner of the site is utilised as pasture for grazing.

A wetland has been identified part way along the southern boundary of the site (refer to the ecological impact assessment prepared by Morphum Environmental Ltd¹) which extends into 9 Trig Road to the south, which is at the headwater of the Trig Stream discharging northeast.

¹ Morphum Environmental Ltd. Ecological Impact Assessment 13-15 Trig Road Rev 2 dated 30 May, 2021.

3 Proposed development

We understand that the MoE is seeking to designate the site for education purposes. Phase 1 of the proposed development will include an overflow primary school with a temporary bulk and location feasibility plan roll of 600. For Phase 2, the bulk and location feasibility plan roll for the primary school is intended to expand to 1000 students and include an early childhood centre (ECE) facility with capacity for 50 children.

A bulk and location feasibility plan has been prepared by Jasmax (refer to Appendix A).

4 Flood hazard

This section of the report discusses the proposed flood hazard management approach for the site.

Plan Change 5 proposes different flood management practices than provided by the AUP. Whenuapai 3 Precinct: Stormwater Management Plan was prepared by 4Sight Consulting Ltd on behalf of Auckland Council Healthy Waters in September 2017 (SMP) to support the plan change.

The plan change has yet to be approved but the SMP has been used as the basis of this assessment.

The site is at the top of the Trig Stream catchment which is part of the Waiarohia Stream subcatchment. A rapid flood hazard assessment (RFHA) model can be viewed on the Auckland Council GIS. The RFHA was prepared by Auckland Council Healthy Waters to inform the plan change process and shows no flood plains within the site. An overland flow path is shown to originate within the site and this coincides with the location of the wetland identified in the ecological assessment prepared by Morphum Environmental Ltd.



Figure 4-1 Annotated extract from Auckland Council GIS catchment and hydrology layers

The SMP identifies that flood hazard is not a key constraint in the catchment provided flood plains and overland flow paths are managed.

The stormwater management requirements for flooding are replicated in Table 4.1 below.

 Table 4.1:
 Stormwater management requirements

Principle/ Approach	Minimum Requirements	Desirable
New flood risk is not created; and existing flood risk is not increased and where possible, is reduced.	Development shall not create, or exacerbate existing, flooding of any habitable floor the 1 % AEP (incorporating climate change) MPD flood plains. New buildings shall be located outside the 1% AEP (incorporating climate change) MPD flood plain; and any overland flow path. Overland flow paths shall be retained/provided to convey the 1% AEP (incorporating climate change) event from the contributing catchment (MPD) without creating flood risk. All overland flow paths shall be mapped and provided to Council on GIS.	Infrastructure and overland flow paths are designed to reduce existing habitable floor flooding where possible. Riparian margins provided and protected to safely convey flood flows.
	Overland flow paths on private property shall be protected from development.	

The SMP for the plan change was based on the *Whenuapai Stormwater Management Plan (SMP) Update – Final* prepared by AECOM New Zealand Ltd for Healthy Waters in July 2017. This report included a rapid flood hazard assessment (RFHA) completed by AECOM in June 2016 which is the same available for viewing through Auckland Council GIS.

The RFHA was completed based on a catchment wide impervious area of 80%. The proposed zoning for the site in the plan change is for Mixed Housing Urban which allows 60% impervious area. A Special Purpose – School Zone Designation would be required to develop a school which limits the maximum impervious area to 70% of the site area (H29.6.5).

The bulk and location feasibility plan indicates the school development could have between 25%-45% impervious area. Therefore, the development is within the SMP modelled parameters for flooding and it is expected that no additional flood mitigation measures will be required.

The proposed school buildings are to be located outside the identified flood area and existing flow paths including the existing wetland are to be maintained.

A pre-application meeting was held with Auckland Council on 28th April. Council's catchment management planner provided advice via email that a pass-through methodology is appropriate for the site.

We note resource consents will not be applied for at this time however, the Auckland Council catchment planner noted Plan Change 5 is currently being amended and the latest floodplain information will need to be reviewed when resource consents are sought for the site. A site-specific assessment will be undertaken when an application for resource consent is made.

5 Stormwater

5.1 Existing stormwater system

The Auckland Council GIS system shows no existing public infrastructure within the site. There are no private drainage records available in the property file.

A site walkover was completed on 23rd February and downpipes were observed on the existing dwelling however no obvious discharged point was observed. It has been assumed that the pipes discharge to ground or daylight without a headwall structure away from the dwelling.

5.2 Proposed stormwater management

There are no new public stormwater assets required to support the development. The existing private pipes associated with the existing dwelling and livestock water troughs will be removed.

A low impact design approach will be undertaken with a focus on at-source treatment and management across the site in line with the requirements of the SMP (refer to Stormwater management requirements Table 11 of Appendix B).

The bulk and location feasibility plan has been used as the basis of the assessment.

We note a school zone designation allows up to 70% impervious area and this has also been included to provide an understanding of a potential maximum probable development scenario (MPD). The following impervious area distribution has been assumed based on similar school sites for the 70% MPD scenario:

- Roading and car parking 25%
- Buildings (roof area) 30%
- Hard landscaping (possible all-weather playing surface) 45%

As part of the Plan Change 5 application it is proposed that the Stormwater Management Area – Flow 1 controls are applied to the catchment to maintain and enhance the existing stream hydrology.

Stormwater management area control	Hydrology mitigation requirements
Stormwater management area – Flow 1	(a) provide retention (volume reduction) of at least 5mm runoff depth for the impervious area for which hydrology mitigation is required; and (b) provide detention (temporary storage) and a drain down period of 24 hours for the difference between the predevelopment and post- development runoff volumes from the 95th percentile, 24-hour rainfall event minus the 5 mm retention volume or any greater retention volume that is achieved, over the impervious area for which hydrology mitigation is required.

Table 5.1: Extract from AUP Table E10.6.3.1.1 Hydrology mitigation requirements

Various options to achieve these controls have been assessed to ensure sufficient space has been allowed for within the bulk and location plan to accommodate stormwater management.

5.2.1 Onsite Retention

Onsite retention of the first 5 mm of runoff will be provided for the runoff from all impervious surfaces.

It is noted that the SMP expresses a preference for infiltration devices in the upper catchment areas as a means to maintain stream base flows and encourage aquifer recharge. Rainwater harvesting has been reviewed as an option however the water would need to be used for irrigation to provide any infiltration.

The retention volumes are summarised in Table 5.2 below.

Table 5.2: Retention volume by source

Development	Indicative bulk and location feasibility plan (stage 1)			MPD
Source	Buildings	PUDO/Carpark	Total	70% impervious site
Area (m²)	3,405	4,880	8,285	28,350
Volume (m ³)	17	24.4	41.4	70 (rounded)

Retention options reviewed are listed below and shown in Figure 5-1. We note there are other options such as tree pits or proprietary products such as GRAF Ecoblocks which could also be considered however, we have limited our assessment to four simple options:

- 1 Permeable paving in carparking bays (sized for carpark, PUDO and access roads).
- 2 Gravel storage trenches adjacent to paved areas.
- 3 Raingardens adjacent to paved areas and a separate device for roof runoff.
- 4 Rainwater harvesting storage tanks (for roof runoff).



Figure 5-1 Retention device options

A summary of device sizes for different sources across the site can be found in Appendix C.

5.2.2 Onsite Detention

Detention and release of the 95th percentile storm event over 24hrs will be provided for the runoff from all impervious surfaces.

A single end of pipe device is not proposed for the site. Instead, a series of devices as close to the source as possible is proposed.

The detention volumes are summarised in Table 5.3 below.

Table 5.3: Detention volume by sour

Development	Indicative bulk and	MPD		
Source	Buildings	PUDO/Carpark	Total	70% impervious site
Area (m²)	3,405	4,880	8,285	28,350
Volume (m ³)	119.1	115.5	234.6	865 (rounded)

Detention options reviewed are listed below and shown in Figure 5-2. We note there are other options such as proprietary products such as GRAF Ecoblocks which could also be considered however, we have limited our assessment to four simple options:

- 1 Permeable paving in carparking bays (sized for carpark, PUDO and access roads).
- 2 Oversized underground pipes.
- 3 Raingardens adjacent to paved areas and a separate device for roof runoff.
- 4 Rainwater harvesting storage tanks (for roof runoff).



Figure 5-2 Detention device options

A summary of device sizes for different sources across the site can be found in Appendix C.

5.2.3 Treatment

There will be carparking and pick up drop off (PUDO) area required within the site to support the school activities. The carpark is likely to service more than 30 vehicles. Provisions for "high contaminant generation carparks²" have been included in this assessment.

Staff carparking, PUDO areas and associated access requirements are expected to be between 1,000 m²-5,000 m² and stormwater treatment devices will be required.

A number of devices have been assessed to ensure adequate area is set aside in the bulk and location feasibility plan to accommodate stormwater management. The largest footprint for treatment is for swales (allowing for some battering/earth working). A 12 m strip has been set aside for swales.

In a preapplication meeting held on 28th April 2021 at Auckland Council Offices, the council stormwater specialist requested a discussion on the potential contaminants be included in technical reports supporting the designation.

Auckland Regional Council Technical Publication 10 – Stormwater Management Devices: Design Guidelines Manual, (Auckland Regional Council, 1992 and 2003) provides guidance on the expected contaminants from various land use activities. Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Zinc and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) are identified as the contaminants commonly associated with asphalt paved surfaces. Infiltration, filtration and biofiltration practices are considered the most appropriate for this land use type.

Auckland Council GD01 is an update of TP10 and supersedes that document. The guideline is a technical design guide which provides stormwater options and design advice based on current good practice specific to the requirements of the Auckland Unitary Plan. Treatment devices will be sized and in accordance with GD01.

5.2.4 Summary

Devices for retention, detention and treatment have been investigated to determine if the site could accommodate the impervious development associated with a school. It is noted that the designer may choose individual devices or a single device for each impervious area type (i.e., raingarden) to manage stormwater.

A 12 m strip adjacent to the carpark and PUDO has been provided in the bulk and location feasibility plan. This area can accommodate swales and/or raingardens to treat stormwater runoff. Devices servicing the buildings or hard landscaping areas are likely to be smaller and can be included into the landscaping design and have therefore not been specifically denoted on the bulk and location feasibility plan.

Device options are summarised in Appendix C.

5.3 Protection of existing wetland

It is proposed that all new stormwater piped outlets are constructed a minimum of 20 m from the wetland with flow then travelling overland to the wetland to allow riparian and enhancement planting.

Discussion of the protection and enhancement of the existing wetland is contained in ecological assessment prepared by Morphum Environmental Ltd.

² Auckland Unitary Plan Chapter E9

6 Wastewater

6.1 Existing reticulation

6.1.1 Private drainage

The existing residential dwelling is currently serviced by a private on-site disposal system. Based on the information available in the property file, we understand there is an underground precast concrete septic tank (2000 litre) which discharges to an effluent field.

The location of the disposal field and septic tank is not shown in the property file. Location and removal of the disposal field will be required prior to earth working the site.

6.1.2 Public drainage

Auckland Council Geomaps identifies the nearest public reticulation is a 355 mm diameter polyethylene (PE) public wastewater rising main line along Spedding Road. This rising main runs east towards Trig Road and discharges into the Brigham Creek Road pump station approx. 2.5 km from the site.

Currently there is limited public infrastructure servicing the Whenuapai area. Watercare is in the process of constructing a new wastewater trunk called the Northern Interceptor. This trunk line is intended to increase the public wastewater capacity of the Whenuapai catchment area. The first stage of the Northern Interceptor will divert flow north east to the Rosedale Wastewater Treatment Plant and is planned to be completed in 2021. Future stages of the northern interceptor (2025 to 2035) are expected to provide additional trunk capacity to service the ultimate future development of Whenuapai.

There is currently no public wastewater infrastructure along Trig Road in the vicinity of the site. Therefore, no public wastewater connection is available to the site. Alternative disposal options will need to be implemented to accommodate Phase 1 of the school development. These are discussed in the following sections.

Phase 2 of the school development will not occur until a public connection is available to the site and the on-site wastewater disposal system will be removed. Phase 2 has not be considered further in this section of the report.

6.2 Interim disposal option

As stated in Section 6.1.2 above, there is currently no public reticulation within the vicinity of the site and therefore it is unlikely that public wastewater infrastructure will be in place prior to the school opening. It is proposed that onsite wastewater disposal is incorporated into the development as an interim solution until a public connection is available for the future roll of 1000 students and provision for an early childhood centre.

Concept level calculations have been undertaken to understand if a bulk and location feasibility plan for the school can accommodate an onsite disposal field system for Phase 1 of the proposed school development. These calculations have been based on Auckland Council Guideline Document: On-site Wastewater Management GD06 (GD06). GD06 provides technical guidance for on-site wastewater systems for households and institutions in the Auckland region and replaces Auckland Regional Council Technical Publication TP58, On-site Wastewater Systems: Design and Management Manual. It is intended for smaller occupancies and flow (up to 15 people or 3 m³/day) but sections of the document are applicable for treatment of domestic wastewater for institutions such as schools.

6.2.1 Design Assumptions

The following design parameters have been used for the concept design:

- Average unit wastewater generation 15-30 l/person/day (low and high usage);
- Infiltration Category 5 as per GD06 (assuming low permeability soils);
- Design loading rate and design irrigation rates 3.0 mm/day (assuming PCDI system);

Table 6.1: Concept number of students

Stage	No. Primary students	No. ECE children
2022 Initial Roll- Primary	600	-

School roll information provided by the Ministry of Education

Table 6.2: Concept staff numbers

Stage	No. Staff
2022 Initial Roll- Primary	33

Staff numbers based on MoE guidance: https://www.education.govt.nz/school/funding-andfinancials/resourcing/school-staffing/entitlement-staffing/#Curr

Concept calculations are presented in Appendix C.

6.2.2 On-site disposal flows

The design unit wastewater generation has been based on the domestic wastewater flow allowances per capita outlined in GD06 - Table 24.

A daily use per person has then been calculated at 15 litres/person/day for low water usage and 30 litres/person/day for high water usage.

We note that the following assumptions have been made in using these values for assessment purposes:

- Assumes that all potable water is converted to wastewater;
- No allowance has been made for cafeterias (with on-site catering); and
- No allowance has been made for gyms with shower facilities.

Design volumes utilised and the possible volume ranges are shown in Table 6.3 below:

Table 6.3: Unit wastewater generation

Phase	School Roll		Effluent volume (m³/day)
	Students	Staff	Low and high-water use (based on GD06 Table 24 flow allowances)
Phase 1	600	33	9.5-19.0

6.2.3 Primary treatment (settlement tanks)

Primary treatment is the separation of suspended material from wastewater by settlement.

The minimum requirement (GD06) is three to five days of average daily volume. This can be reduced if the secondary treatment can be shown to meet the required discharge standards. This should be

reviewed in future resource consent application design phases.

The minimum tank volumes based on the low and high daily design flows are shown in Table 6.4 below. The number of storage tanks shown in Table 6.4 is based on three days of average daily volume.

Phase	Effluent storage volume (m ³)		Approximate number of storage tanks (assume 6 m ³ per tank)	
	Low usage	High usage	Low usage	High usage
Phase 1	28.5	57	5	10

Table 6.4:	Primary storage volume requirements -	- low and high-water usage
------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------

6.2.4 Secondary treatment

The AUP requires secondary treatment for the onsite disposal to be considered a permitted activity and the quality of the discharge is a consideration for restricted discretionary status. Although GD06 gives reference to a range of land application systems, the requirements in the AUP lead to pressure compensating drip lines as the preferred system which requires a secondary level of treatment.

Secondary treatment will likely be required and investigation on the type of treatment should be included in any future resource consent application.

6.2.5 Disposal field size

As mentioned in Section 6.2.4 above, the AUP leans towards a PCDI as the preferred system. Assuming a PCDI land application system is adopted, the required disposal field area is likely to be between 3,200 m² and 6,300 m². Refer to Table 6.5 below.

Disposal field areas have been calculated based on low and high daily use per person (15 litres/person/day and 30 litres/person/day respectively). We note the high daily use is particularly conservative, however we have adopted this "worst case scenario" to understand space constraints and demonstrate feasibility of a concept on-site disposal field for Phase 1 of the proposed development.

PCDI systems distribute evenly and higher application rates can be accommodated however, 3 mm/day³ has been adopted for this assessment as no geotechnical testing has been undertaken. It is recommended that geotechnical investigations are undertaken prior to any future resource consent application to confirm the infiltration rates of the existing soils.

Table 6.5:	Summary of dis	oosal field area –	low and high usage
------------	----------------	--------------------	--------------------

Stage	School roll		Land application area (m ² rounded) required for discharge of full volume –	Land application area (m2 rounded) required for discharge of full volume –	
	Students	Staff	low usage	high usage	
Phase 1	600	33	3,200	6,300	

³ 3mm/day based on GD06 – Table 42 assuming PCDI system and soil category 5.

For infrequent but higher loading events such as school fairs and sports days, it is likely that potaloos or similar are used to manage the increased demand and avoid overloading of the on-site wastewater disposal system.

6.2.6 Disposal field location

GD06 notes secondary treated effluent should not be disposed within the 20-year flood plain and that pressure compensating dripper irrigation (PCDI) should not be disposed of at a rate greater than 3 mm/day. Accordingly, the disposal field should be located outside the flood plain extents and set back a minimum of 20 m from streams and waterbodies and 1.5 m minimum from the property boundary. The main minimum setbacks are provided in GD06 and summarised in Table 6.6 below.

Table 6.6:	Minimum setback distance from land application area to site feature for different
	effluent treatment levels

Site feature	Soil category	Primary effluent treatment level	Advanced secondary effluent treatment level
Buildings		3 m	1.5 m – 3 m
Property boundaries		1.5 m	1.5 m
Surface waters (including wetlands and overland flow paths etc.)	54	20 m	15 m
Groundwater		1.2 m	0.6 m
Floodplain (located outside of X% AEP) ⁵		1% AEP	5% AEP

The site was reviewed and a constraints plan prepared. The site constraints are shown in Figure 6-1 below.



Figure 6-1: Site constraints relating to on-site wastewater disposal field location

⁴ Conservatively assumed Soil Category 5, it is recommended this is confirmed during preliminary design.

⁵ Land application areas must be outside of the 1 in 20 year (5% AEP) coastal inundation areas (or equivalent).

Areas that have been previously earth worked (shown in orange in Figure 6-1 below) are considered unsuitable for wastewater disposal. This is because the soil has been densely compacted, making it difficult to adequately infiltrate treated effluent into the soil.

Based on Auckland Council Geomaps, the site gradient is largely 3% - 8%. On the south-western side, the gradient reaches up to 15% (shown in purple) and so this area has been identified as not preferred.

While there is no evidence of an incised overland flow path on the site, a portion of the site is likely to flow towards the wetland through a low section of the site. A 20 m setback has also been conservatively applied to this area as well as the wetland.

The location options for the disposal field were balanced with other architectural, traffic planning and landscaping constraints in the master planning of the school layout. Other considerations include site contouring (limiting earthworks and allowing for simple foundations), allowing for future expansion and separation between the school and potential early childhood education building. The optimal site configuration balancing these requirements led to the grey areas shown on the bulk and location feasibility plan (refer to Appendix A) as the preferred location for the wastewater disposal field and reserve areas.

Due to the site constraints and very conservative daily use per person adopted values, it is noted the entire high usage disposal field area may require splitting into two smaller disposal field areas totalling 6,300 m².

Prior to the resource consent application, it is recommended that ground investigations are undertaken to confirm infiltration and flow rates from an equivalent school are evaluated to reduce conservatism built into this assessment.

6.2.7 Reserve area

GD06 requires land to be set aside for potential use as a disposal field as a contingency. We understand that reserve areas up to 100% of the design disposal area can be required for resource consent applications. Assuming low flow usage, 100% of the design disposal area can be achieved. If high flow usage is required, there is limited space for a 100% sized reserve area, however 60% sized reserve area may be possible if required.

GD06 provides guidelines for reducing the reserve area by up to 50%, depending on the level of treatment, slope of the original field, water saving fixtures and other considerations. Auckland Council should be consulted during the resource consent process to confirm reserve requirements once further investigations are complete.

6.3 Summary

Secondary treatment of wastewater effluent is likely to be required prior to discharge to a disposal field. A disposal field will likely be accommodated within the site to support the Phase 1 school development.

The following further work is recommended to be undertaken to support the resource consent application once the designation has been approved. We envisage this work to include the following:

- Infiltration testing of the site soils.
- Boreholes to confirm ground water table level.
- Refine expected flow rates by obtaining water usage from a similar school;
- Consider water saving practices;
- Consider grey water separation;

- Determine options for secondary treatment; and
- Discuss timing of future infrastructure upgrades with Watercare and future connection opportunities.

7 Potable water and fire supply

Auckland Council Geomaps shows two public watermains near the site:

- A 225 mm diameter concrete watermain running along the eastern side of Trig Road.
- A 150 mm diameter asbestos cement watermain running along the western side of Trig Road.
- A 15 mm diameter PE water lateral line enters the site, approximately 20 m west of the southeastern site boundary.
- Three hydrants within 100 m of the site.

The locations of the pipelines are shown in Figure 7-1.



Figure 7-1 Public water supply lines (AC GIS)

MoE has advised that Phase 1 of the school development will comprise of single storey modular buildings. Once the public wastewater reticulation has been constructed and a connection is available to the site, Phase 2 of the development is intended to be constructed.

We understand that the modular buildings will be kept on-site and a new multi-storey building will be constructed to accommodate the increased master roll (1000 students).

Flow and pressure testing has not been completed on the existing network and will need to be undertaken at a future stage of the development. A fire engineer will need to provide advice on whether a sprinkler system will be required. However, we note pumps and tank storage may be required to achieve the required operating pressures.

The high use aquifer management area overlay, as per the AUP planning maps, is highlighted across the entire site. Refer to Appendix A**Error! Reference source not found.** As there is a connection to the public reticulation there is no intention to take or use groundwater for the development.

8 Utilities

Before-U-dig records have been obtained for existing network utilities in Trig Road. Refer to Appendix D for further information.

8.1 Communications

The Vodafone BeforeUDig plans show a fibre cable has been installed along the western side of Trig Road. It is unknown if a connection has been made to the site.

There is an underground communications line running alongside the southern property boundary, east of Trig Road. This network is owned and operated by Vector.

Based on site observations, three telegraph poles were identified along the Trig Road property boundary. It is likely these poles will be made redundant and removed during construction of Phase 1 of the development.

8.2 Electricity

Overhead power supply runs along the western side of Trig Road. Based on the Vector plans, there is a distribution substation opposite the site within the Ryan's Road reserve area.

8.3 Gas

The BeforeUdig inquiry received from Vector advised that there are currently no gas pipelines within the vicinity of the site.

If gas is required for the development, Vector should be contacted once the demand for the development is known to confirm the design and possibility of a new connection.

9 Summary

The findings of the infrastructure services report are summarised as follows:

- The Ministery of Education is seeking to designate the site for education purposes. It envisages that the school development will roll out in two phases, where phase 2 will be developed when public infrastructure within the area has been constructed and connections to the site are available.
- There is currently no public stormwater infrastructure servicing the site. Stormwater Management Area – Flow 1 controls are proposed to be applied to the catchment to maintain and enhance the existing stream hydrology.
- Detention of higher storm events is not proposed. This is in accordance with a draft stormwater management plan for a plan change in progress for the area.
- There is no public wastewater reticulation to the site and on-site wastewater disposal will be required for Phase 1 school development.
- Onsite wastewater disposal has been investigated for Phase 1 of the development. A treatment field to support the primary school will likely be accommodated.
- Phase 2 of the development will not be constructed until a public connection to the reticulated wastewater network is available.
- A public water supply connection is available to the site. Flow and pressure testing has not been completed on the existing network.
- There is no gas connection available to the site.
- There are power and telecommunication utility services in the vicinity of the site. The services are expected to be able to service the proposed development.

10 Applicability

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client Ministry of Education, with respect to the particular brief given to us and it may not be relied upon in other contexts or for any other purpose, or by any person other than our client, without our prior written agreement.

We understand and agree that our client will submit this report as part of an application for a designation for educational purposes and that Auckland Council as the consenting authority will use this report for the purpose of assessing that application.

We understand and agree that this report will be used by Auckland Council in undertaking its regulatory functions in connection with the school development.

Tonkin & Taylor Ltd

Report prepared by:

..... Ashleigh Frew

Civil Engineer

Nicola Mannice

.....

Nicola Morrice Senior Civil Engineer

Authorised for Tonkin & Taylor Ltd by:

La Muchloon

Glen Nicholson Project Director

NMOR

\\ttgroup.local\files\aklprojects\1016524\issueddocuments\2021-06-04 civil feasibility report\20210609-1016524-civ-rpt-01 civil feasibility for 13-15 trig road, whenuapai.docx

16

Phase 1 & 2 Siting Study 1.4







Modular Buildings Conceptual PUDO including footpaths Right turn bay/flush median for entry and exit Stormwater management Area



Adjacent Road Traffic Flow: Vehicle-in Traffic Flow: Vehicle-out **Existing Pedestrian Pathways**

...

JASMAX

Prepared for Ministry of Education

Trig Road - Whenuapai B&L Siting Study

20 May 2021

Scale 1-2000 at A3

Phase 2 end state (final roll 1000).

Proposed Pedestrian Pathways (Indicative Only) Proposed Pedestrian Crossing (Raised)

Appendix B: Stormwater Management Plan

-

Stormwater contaminants from legacy document Auckland Council Technical Publication 10

Table 4-6 Industrial activity and commonly found contaminants				
Activity	Contaminant			
Wood preserving activities	Arsenic, Copper, TSS, Oil and Grease			
Industrial inorganic chemicals	Aluminium, Iron, Nitrate + Nitrite			
Plastics, synthetic resins	Zinc			
Soaps, detergents, cosmetics, perfumes	Nitrate + Nitrite, Zinc			
Agricultural chemicals	Nitrate + Nitrite, Lead, Iron, Zinc, Phosphorus			
Asphalt paving and roofing materials	TSS, Zinc, TPH			
Concrete products	TSS, Iron, pH			
Steel works	Aluminium, Zinc			
Iron and steel foundaries	Aluminium, TSS, Copper, Iron, Zinc			
Landfills	Iron, TSS, Aluminium, Cadmium, COD,			
	Copper, Cyanide, Lead, Magnesium, Nitrate + Nitrite			
Automobile dismantler yards	TSS, Aluminium, Iron, Lead, Oil and Grease, Zinc,			
and a second second solution (2010) (2010)	Cadmium			
Scrap recycling	Copper, Aluminium, Iron, Lead, Zinc, TSS, COD,			
	Cadmium, Arsenic, Magnesium, Selenium			
Fabricated metal products except coating	Iron, Aluminium, Zinc, Nitrate + Nitrite			
fabricated metal coating and engraving	Zinc, Nitrate + Nitrite			

Table 4-9 Potential contaminant removal effectiveness of stormwater management practices								
Suspended PracticeSuspended SolidsOxygen DemandTotal LeadTotal ZincTotal PhosphorusTotal NitrogenBacteria								
API separators	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Extended detention dry pond	+	>	+	>	>	-	0	
Wet pond	+	>	+	>	>	-	0	
Constructed wetland	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	
Infiltration practices	+	+	+	+	+	>	+	
Revegetation	+	+	+	+	>	>	2	
Sand filter	+	-	+	+	>	-	>	
Biofiltration (swale, filter strip, rain garden)	+	-	+	>	-	-	0	
High potential for rLow potential for re	emoval > emoval o	Moderate p Insufficient	otential for knowledge	removal	1	1		

• Stormwater management requirements

Table 11 Extract from Table 3: Stormwater Management Requirements for Subdivision and development form WP3 SMP

Component	Principle/Approach	Minimum Requirements	Desirable
Design Approach	All subdivisions and development must apply an integrated stormwater management/water sensitive design approach through all phases of development, from planning through to construction	WSD is implemented to the extent practicable across the development	
Streams/natural wetlands	Intermittent and permanent streams and natural wetlands are retained, enhanced, and protected from the adverse effects of development and stormwater runoff	The location of all intermittent and permanent streams and wetlands within a subdivision or development are to be mapped. All intermittent and permanent streams are to be retained Riparian planting is provided for all intermittent and permanent watercourses All outfalls into streams shall be protected against erosion and designed/constructed in accordance with the SWCoP	Existing barriers to fish migration are removed or mitigated in permanent watercourses Erosion protection incorporates green infrastructure where feasible Setback outfalls from edge of streams where appropriate and practicable
Hydrology	Changes to natural hydrology are minimised in areas where developments discharge to permanent or intermittent streams Aquifer recharge and stream baseflows are retained	A SMAF control is applied to the W3P area	Stormwater retention is achieved by infiltration where it is feasible to do so
Water quality	Water quality impacts on streams and the coastal environment are minimised and water quality is enhanced through development	 All new impervious areas over 1,000m2 shall be treated by a treatment device designed in accordance with TP10/GD012 Runoff from: Commercial/industrial waste storage/handling or loading/unloading areas Communal waste storage areas in apartments and multi-unit developments shall be treated by gross pollutant traps designed in accordance with GD01 unless otherwise treated by a stormwater device 	The generation and discharge of contaminants is reduced at source as far as practicable. Low contaminant building products are utilised Water quality treatment shall be achieved on site unless there is a communal device, acceptable to Council

Building roof runoff management Retention device size 95th Percentile detention device size Living 95th %ile Retention Gravel underdrain Permeable Rainwater Permeable Rainwater Pipe detention roof detention Raingarder Raingarden Roof Treatmen Volume Paving harvesting Paving harvesting storage (area storage Location volume Area volume (5mm available) (35mm runoff) rainfall depth) Length Depth Width Area Depth Area Tank size Area Depth Area Tank size No. and ø Length (m) (mm) (m²) (mm) (m²) (m³) (m³) (m³) (m) (m³) (mm) (L) (m³) (m³) (mm) (m²) (L) (m) Building 1 305 1.5 30 0.5 300 3500 305 15000 1 No. 675 30 11 --345 1.7 12 30 0.5 300 3500 345 15000 1 No. 750 30 Building 2 ----3500 340 15000 30 Building 3 340 1.7 12 30 0.5 300 ----2 No. 750 --Building 4 345 1.7 12 30 0.5 300 345 15000 3 No. 750 30 ---3500 ---Building 5 350 1.8 12 30 0.6 300 ---3500 350 ---15000 4 No. 750 30 Building 6 345 1.7 12 30 0.5 300 3500 345 15000 5 No. 750 30 ---Building 7 340 1.7 12 30 0.5 300 ---3500 340 ---15000 6 No. 750 30 330 1.7 12 30 0.5 300 3500 330 15000 7 No. 750 30 Buildina 8 ----Building 9 305 1.5 11 30 0.5 300 ---3500 305 ---15000 1 No. 675 30 Buildings 120 600 154 3005 4 No 30000 2 No. 750 3005 15.0 105 0.6 25000 154 120 Combined 2.0 3500 400 Pavillion 400 2.0 20 1.0 300 15000 2 No. 675 20 Paved surface runoff management Retention device size 95th Percentile detention device size Treatment Living 95^t Retention Gravel underdrain Permeable Permeable Pipe detention Rainwater roof Rainwater Percentile Raingarder Raingarden Raingarden Swale Volume Treatment Location Area storage Paving harvesting (area Paving harvesting storage detention (5mm volume available) volume runoff) ength Depth Width Area Depth Area Tank size Area Depth Area Tank size No. and ø Length Area Length Top width Grade (35mm (m²) (m³) (m³) (m³) (mm) (m³) (mm) (m²) (L) (m³) (m³) (mm) (m²) (L) (mm) (m) (m²) (m) (m) % (m) (m) PUDO 16.5 600 79 168.00 695 554 168 80 3.48 4 3300 116 0.009 80 0.98 695 3 No. 825 70 116.00 -Staff 4 55 37 1580 7.9 0.004 65 0.58 600 720 81.20 --720 256 81 -2 No.750 65 30 86.00 3.12 Carpark Maximum probable development of the site (70% impervious area) **Retention device size** 95th Percentile detention device size Treatment 95th Living Retentior Gravel underdrain Permeable Rainwater Permeable Rainwater Pipe detention Percentile roof Treatment Raingarden Raingarden Raingarden Swale Volume Location Area storage Paving harvesting Paving harvesting storage detention (area volume (5mm available volume runoff) Length Depth Width Area Depth Area Tank size Area Depth Area Tank size No. and ø Length Area Length Top width Grade (35mm (m²) (m³) (m³) (m³) (m) (m) (mm) (m³) (mm) (m²) (L) (m³) (m³) (mm) (m²) (L) (mm) (m) (m²) (m) (m) % 67.300 356 356 3 No.825 142 150.0 4 HCGA 7088 17.0 216 150 0.54 600 720 79 --720 1000 . 150 4.1 389 0.74 144 640 720 200 255 Other 12758 31.0 121.200 200 602 720 --1801 640 4 No.825 185.0 4.7 4

Stormwater management options summary

1016524

Concept WW Calculations

On site wastewater disposal site considerations

3.0 Land Application Method

1.0 Geotechnical Constraints

Ground water level:

Conservatively assumed

Has not been assessed it is recommended this is confirmed during preliminary design

Soil Category:

Category 4-6

Table 16: GD06 soil category description

Soil category	Soil texture [Note 1]	Soil structure [Note 2]	Typical clay content	Indicative permeability (K _{sat}) (m/d) [Note 3]
	Sandy clay loam fine sandy	High/moderate structure	20 - 30%	0.5 - 1.5
4	clay, clay loam, silty clay loam	Weakly structured	20 - 30%	0.12 - 0.5
		Massive	25 - 35%	0.06 - 0.12
	Sandy clay, light clay, silty clay	Strongly structured	35 - 45%	0.12 - 0.5
5		Moderately structured	35 - 40%	0.06 - 0.12
		Weakly structured or massive	40 - 50%	< 0.06
	Clays (including swelling and grey) and hard pan	Strongly structured	40 - 55%	0.06 - 0.5
6		Moderately structured	>50%	< 0.06
		Weakly structured or massive	>50%	< 0.06

Suitable disposal for category 5 Shallow irrigation systems Pressure compensating drip irrigaton (surface and subsurface) Needs secondary treatment Low pressure pipe subsurface irrigation Low pressure effluent distribution subsurface irrigation Low pressure effluent distibution surface trickle irrigation *Note shading denotes applicable use in soil type 5. However special design precautions are required in these soil conditions Concentional land application system **Conventional trenches** Shallow trenches

2.0 Land gradient

Table 18: Slope gradient limitations for various land application systems

Land application system	Slope gradient limitations*	Notes
Surface irrigation (spray, drip and low-pressure effluent distribution irrigation)	<5.7° (10%)	 Due to risks of effluent run-off during wet weather. Assumes little disturbance occurs during construction. This is limited by natural infiltration rate and even distribution.
Subsurface drip irrigation (i.e. pressure compensating drip irrigation)	<16.7° (30%)	 All irrigation lines should be installed along the land contours. If this is not possible, and if the lines have non-leak emitters, then lines may run through contour lines in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. A copy of the specifications should be included with the system
Subsurface low-pressure effluent distribution or low-pressure pipe	<8.5° (15%)	 design for approval. Shallow and narrow trenches for low pressure effluent distribution or low-pressure pipe systems must be constructed along the contour.
Evapotranspiration beds	<5.7° (10%)	 High soil disturbance and erosion issues may arise during construction on steeper slopes.
Trenches and beds, including discharge control trenches and beds	<8.5° (15%)	 Construction becomes difficult and costly when slopes are high. High soil disturbance and erosion issues may arise during construction on steeper slopes.
Mounds	<8.5° (15%)	 High soil disturbance and erosion issues may arise during construction on steeper slopes.

All slope gradients on the proposed site appear to be less than 30%, with the majority of the site less than 10%



Tonkin+Taylor

PCDI

LPP
LPED
LPED

4.0 Set backs

Table 20: Minimum setback distance from edge of land application area to edge of site feature for different effluent treatment levels

Site feature	Soil category	Primary treated (septic tank + effluent filter)	Secondary treated (e.g. AWTS)	Advanced secondary (e.g. Packed bed reactor)	Tertiary (disinfection) [Note 6]	Advanced tertiary (nutrient reduction and disinfection) [Note 7]	Notes
3: Surface waters [Note 3] including streams (to top of streambank), downslope stormwater drains or downslope drainage channels, wetlands, estuaries, coastal marine area at high tide mark, dams or lakes and overland flow paths	Soil category 1 Soil category 2 Soil category 3-5 Soil category 6	[Note 5] 20 m 20 m [Note 5]	15 m 15 m 15 m 20 m	12.5 m 12.5 m 15 m 15 m	10-12.5 m 10-12.5 m 10-15 m 10-15 m	10 m 10 m 10 m [Note 9]	 Setbacks should be measured from the top edge of surface water. For steep sloping land (where only pressure compensating drip irrigation can used), additional minimum setbacks for surface waters may be needed: Add 1 m for every degree over 10° (17.6%) with no land steeper than 1 (33%) New subdivisions should have minimum setbacks of 15 m (with additional set based on gradient) [Note 4]. For coastal marine areas setback distances should be a minimum of 15 m about mean high water spring.
4a: High risk underground pipework (trenched, downslope of land application area, etc.)		10 m	5 m	3 m	2 m	1.5 m	Pipes in trenches in gravel, sand or scoria can act as a conduit for wastewater may require additional setback distances as applicable for surface water above
6: Groundwater	Soil category 1 Soil category 2 Soil category 3-5 Soil category 6	[Note 5] 1.5 m 1.2 m [Note 5]	1.5 m 1.2 m 0.9 m 0.6 m	1.2 m 0.9 m 0.6 m 0.6 m	1.0 m 0.6 m 0.6 m 0.6 m	0.9 m 0.6 m 0.6 m 0.6 m	Measured vertical distance from base of land application system (e.g. pipes or trench) to seasonal high-water table. Groundwater setbacks for subdivisions should be greater than 1 m
7: Floodplain (located outside of % AEP floodplain) [Note 8]		1%	5%	5%	5%	5%	AEP is Annual Exceedance Probability and is equivalent to: 1% AEP (one in 1 year), 5% (one in 20 year).

۱	٤	٦		
,	ς	7		

18°

back

ove

5.0 Maximum Occupancy

School roll information provided by the Ministry of Education

We understand the site is intended to be developed for educational purposes in two phases: Phase 1 – Overflow school to serve a shortfall in the school network capacity in Whenuapai North, Redhills and Hobsonville.

Phase 2 - School (Yrs 0-8) and Early Childhood Education (ECE) to serve the long term needs of the local adjacent school catchment as the adjacent area is live zoned and developed for urban purposes.

Based on discussions with the Ministry of Education (MOE), we understand Phase 1 of the development will include a primary school (Years 0 to 8) with a temporary master plan roll of 600 and is intended to be constructed during 2022. As there is currently no public stormwater and wastewater infrastructure in the vicinity of the site, on-site wastewater and stromwater will be required to service Phase 1. Once the public reticulation in the vicinity of the school has been confirmed and constructed, the MoE intends to construct Phase 2 of the development, expanding the master plan roll to 1000 students + ECE facility with capacity for 50 children.

The on-site wastewater calculations below are based solely on Phase 1 of the development (600 student

Phase	No. Primary Students		
1	600		

Assumed staff numbers (calculated based on the information provided on MoE website and tables below)

CHER

Whenuapai Campus	Staff (estimated)
	Phase 1
Primary	33

The following table shows the ratios we use to calculate curriculum staffing for all schools.

TEACHER TO S	STUDENT RATIOS BY YEAR LEVELS	AND ROLL TYPE
YEAR OF SCHOOLING	MÃORI IMMERSION TEACHER TO STUDENT RATIO	NON-MÃORI IMMERSION TEA TO STUDENT RATIO
Year one	1:15	1:15
Year 2-3	1:18	1:23
Year 4-8	1:18	1:29

Year 9-10

Year 11

Year 12

above

Year 13 or

1:20

1:20

1:18

1:17

1:23.5

1:23

1:18

1:17

ROLL WEIGHTING BY YEAR LEVEL				
YEAR LEVEL	ROLL WEIGHTING			
1-3	4.0			
4-8	3.5			
Technology education (7-8)	0.5			
9-10	7.0			
π	9.0			
12	15.0			
13+	16.0			

https://www.education.govt.nz/school/funding-and-financials/resourcing/school-staffing/entitlement-staffing/#Curr

Estimated total population of Phase 1 (students + staff)



A Design Devementers

Category Source		Typical wastewater f	Typical wastewater flow allowance per capita			
	L/person/day					
		On-site roof water tank supply [Note 1]	Reticulated community or bore water supply			
Schools (pupils plus stat	f) [Note 10]	15 – 30	15 – 30			

10) Figures from the lower end of the range should be supported by actual water usage records. Additional allowances also need to be made in the design flows for schools that also have cafeterias (with on-site catering) and/or gyms with shower facilities.

Phase	1		
Low use	9,495 L/day		
High use	18,990 L/day		

AUP 5.6.2.1 - Permitted activity

Ratio of site area to discharge volume must be greater than **1.5m²** per litre per day.

Proposed development site area		40,469 m²
Phase	1 4.3 m²/l/day	
High use	$2.1 \text{ m}^2/\text{L/day}$	

Calculations indicate the AUP requirement is met.

Tonkin+Taylor

1016524 Concept WW Calculations



Figure 6: Conventional septic tank

Note:

Grease traps will reduce the storage required as the storage capacity should be two to three times the discharge from the kitchen. This has not been included in the concept calculation

Minimum combined total retention capacity (prior to secondary treatment) of at least 3-5days of average flow volume as per GD06 Section D1.4.2

Phase 1	m ³ /day
Daily flow (low value)	9.5
3 day volume	28.5
5 day volume	47.5
Daily flow (high value)	19.0
3 day volume	57.0
5 day volume	95.0
	-

	Phase 1 scenario			
	Hynds concrete tank 7.6 m ³	3		
Based on	Number of tanks (3 day design flow	r) 4		
	Number of tanks (5 day design flow	r) 7		
	Promax plastic tank 6 m ³	3		
	Number of tanks (3 day design flow	r) 5		
	Number of tanks (5 day design flow	/) 8		
	Hynds concrete tank 7.6 m ⁴	3		
Pacod on	Number of tanks (3 day design flow	/) 8		
daily flow	Number of tanks (5 day design flow)			
high value	Promax plastic tank 6 m ³	3		
	Number of tanks (3 day design flow	r) 10		
	Number of tanks (5 day design flow	r) 16		

TABLE 1 Septic Tanks North Island

Product Code	Description	Dim A (mm)	Dim B (mm)	Dim C (mm)	Dim D (mm)	Dim E (mm)	Mass (kg)	Load case
7600 HAMILTO	N & AUCKLAND						9.	
SEP7600T	Septic tank conc 7600L 1 chamber - no lid	2145	3240	1510	1330	1280	4260	1&2
SEP7600TFB	Septic tank conc 7600L 1 chamber, flange base - no lid	2425	3540	1510	1330	1280	5215	1&2
SEP7600L150	Septic tank lid conc 7600L 150mm thick (lid only)	2145	3240	160		-	2580	1&2



Created by: AFRE 12/02/2021 Checked: JAAH 25/02/2021

8.0 Design loading/irrigation rates

Table 42: Soil categories and recommended maximum design loading rate (DLR) or design irrigation rate (DIR) for treated wastewater land application

Soil	Soil texture	Soil structure	Indicative		Recomm	nended maximi	um design load	ling rate (DLF	R) or Design irrigatio	n rate (DIR) – mm/day		
category			permeability	Trench	es [Note 7]	Beds ([Note 8]	ETS beds	Sub-surface and	LPED	Mounds	Bottomless	
[Note 9]			risar (niv di	Primary treated effluent	Secondary treated effluent	Primary treated effluent	Secondary treated effluent	and trenches	surface irrigation (e.g. PCDI)			sand filter	
	Sandy clay- loam, clay-	High/moderate structured	0.5 – 1.5	10	30			12	3.5	3	Not advised	Notes:	ame should be
4	loam and	Weakly structured	0.12 - 0.5	6	20	Not advised	Not advised	8	[Note 3]	3	Note 2	for all soil of 4) For Categoria	categories.
	loam	Massive	0.06 - 0.12	4	10			5		3		If further gr For Catego	roundwater pro
		Strongly structured	0.12 - 0.5	5 [Note 2]	12 [Note 2]			8		2.5 [Note 5]		depth of to For Catego topsoil.	psoil (minimur ory 1, 2 and 6
5	Sandy clay, non-swelling	Moderately structured	0.06 - 0.12		10 [Note 2]	Not advised	Not advised	5	3 [Note 3]	2.5 [Note 5]		PCDI	
	silty clay	Weakly structured or massive	< 0.06	Not advised	8 [Notes 2 & 6]			5 [Note 6]	[Note o]	2.5 [Note 5]		(Assumi Note: Al	ing cate UP E5.6
	Swelling	Strongly structured	0.06 - 0.5									(c) the la dripp but n	er irriga o greate
6	clay, grey clay,	Moderately structured	< .06	Not advised	Not advised	Not advised	Not advised	Not advised	2 [Note 4]	Not advised			
	hardpan	Weakly structured or massive	< .06	D		oodir			Annelles	dia a	Decol looding		

9.0 Field Sizing Note field size has been calculated based on the expected waste water generated. Refer to calculation 6.0. AUP indicates PCDI is the preferred treated wastewater land application method. Based on this, only the PCDI method has been considered in the following calculations.

Areal Loading

Based on Phase 1 of the development

PCDI - Design land application area (m ²)	
Low flow	3,165 m²
High flow	6,330 m²

Areal loading typically applied for shallow irrigation systems.

Tonkin+Taylor

t a depth of 100-150 mm into good quality in-situ or imported topsoil of depth 250 mm

d PCDI design irrigation rate (DIR) is 5 mm/d, into 250 mm good quality topsoil. required, the DIR may be reduced to 4 mm/d or 3 mm/d, as a risk reduction measure. drainage characteristics), the drip irrigation system should be installed within an adequate of *in-situ* or imported topsoil) to slow the soakage and assist with nutrient reduction. irrigation systems should be installed at a depth of 100-150 mm, within good quality

3 mm/day

5 soil) requirements

ystem must comprise pressure compensating ith an area loading rate dependant on soil category, n 5mm/day; and

Auckland Council



DISCLAIMER:

This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LINZ (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

Figure 1 - Wastewater site constraints plan

S Da 2





Scale @ A3 = 1:2,500

Date Printed: 24/02/2021









	40				Den and the second seco	
38 0km	0.03km	0.06km			0.09km	
		In dia second				
Vector Communications Vector Communications Limited. All rights reserved. The contents of this document may not be reproduced either in whole or in part by any means whatsoever without the prior written consent of Vector Communications Limited.	SULAINNER: I his information is supplied on the terms in the attached letter, and is provided ence purposes only and must not be relied upon. Due to continuous expansion, these is are only valid for 28 days from the date the map was printed. Please contact Vector nications for updated copies after that date. These drawings are not to scale and may not istomer or obsolete lines. Actual dimensions and locations on site may differ from those d in these drawings. These drawings are only produced in colour and Vector Communications for any difficulty in interpreting photocopies. Vector Communications disclaims all applicable es for any difficulty in interpreting photocopies. Vector Communications disclaims all applicable re expenses suffered by you in using these drawings. If you hit an electricity cable or d line please call us immediately on 0508 VECTOR (0508 832 867). If you hit any gas pipelin Service first on 111. If you hit a gas distribution pipe in the Auckland area call us on 0800 1. If you hit a gas pipeline in the rest of the North Island call FIRST GAS on 0800 0393. t a gas transmission pipeline call FIRST GAS on 0800 734 567. If you hit an communications lareas) call us immediately on 0800 828 63. Remain well clear of the area.	is Gas - Auck Bis Gas - Auck 8000 7 pipelin North e call 0800 8 trans GAS 0 Communication Ca	age to equipment please remain d immediately phone: 3 VECTOR (0508 832 867) Jand area call us on '64 764. If you hit a gas he in the rest of the Island call FIRST GAS on 300 393. If you hit a gas mission pipeline call FIRST yn 0800 734 567 able - 0800 826 436	Title: Company Name: Customer Contact: Output: Page 3 Printed by: swadmin	Scale: 1:400 Date printed: 19/01/2021	Strand & Structure [Gis] C Pole.Location Active Concrete Pole.Location Inactive Concrete





0.12km		0.15km
Legend	Pole.Location Inactive Power Underground Route.Route Active	W

For	40 Oproved to the second secon	The Roman on to tak	e place us	ing these
vector	22kV, 33kV, 110kV SUB TRANSMISSION CABLES-SPECIAL CONDITIONS APPLY: Vector Limited provides a free standover service that requires 2 working days notice. Hand digging is required when excavating within 1 metre of the cable. Replacement trench backfill material must be the same as that removed and it must be replaced to the same level of compaction. Refer to attached covering letter for additional information. DISCLAIMER: Whilst care has been taken in the preparation of this plan. Vector Limited and its subsidiaries do not accept any liability for its accuracy and	Title: Request Title: Company Name:		A3 ELECTRICI
	completeness and do not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, in relation to the same. These drawings are not to scale and may not show	11	D	



42-44 For	design purposes only, no excavation	on to take	place usi	ng these
A vector	22kV, 33kV, 110kV SUB TRANSMISSION CABLES-SPECIAL CONDITIONS APPLY: Vector Limited provides a free standover service that requires 2 working days notice. Hand digging is required when excavating within 1 metre of the cable. Replacement trench backfill material must be the same as that removed and it must be replaced to the same level of compaction. Refer to attached covering	Title:		A3 ELECTRICI
Vector	DISCLAIMER: Whilst care has been taken in the preparation of this plan. Vector Limited and its subsidiaries do not accent any liability for its accuracy and	Company Name:		_
	completeness and do not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, in relation to the same. These drawings are not to scale and may not show customer connections or obsolete lines. Works may have occurred in the vicinity which may not be represented in this plan at the date of issue. The information extended is this notes in experied for reference nutrance the vicinity which may not be represented in this plan at the date of issue. The information extended is this notes in experied for reference nutrance extended for the same.	Usage:	Request ID: 9038714	
© Vector Limited. This plan is the property of	foregoing, where plans are more than 28 days old they should not be used; a new plan should be requested from Vector.	Request for:	Scale: 1:400	
Vector Limited. "Vector" and the "V device" are registered trade marks of Vector	Vector reminds you of your responsibilities under the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015, whereby you must establish the location of underground services before commencing excavation.	Customer Contact:	Printed by: swadmin	
Limited. All rights reserved. The contents of this document may not be reproduced either in whole or in part by any means wholeses	If you hit an electricity cable or overhead line please call us immediately on 0508 VECTOR (0508 832 867). If you hit any gas pipeline call the Fire Service first on 111. If you hit a gas distribution pipe in the Auckland area call us on 0800 764 764. If you hit a gas bipeline in the rest of the North Island call	Phone:	Date printed: 19. January 2021	
in whole or in part by any means whatsoever without the prior written consent of Vector Limited.	FIRST GAS on 0800 803 93. If you hit a gas transmission pipeline call FIRST GAS on 0800 734 567. If you hit a communications cable (all areas) call us immediately on 0800 826 436 (select option 1).	Client Reference:	Date printed. 13. Janually 2021	_
Linitgu.			Page: 5 of 18	1







THIS PHOTOGRAPH IS FROM THE ORIGINAL ZEISS NEGATIVE FLOWN 27/11/91 **CROWN COPYRIGHT** FOR REORDERING QUOTE -SN 9151 E/9 b



CARLAW WHENUAPAI NORTHCOTE FIBRE UPPER HARBOUR DRIVE ROUTE











This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LIN2 (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

15 Trig Road - Overland flowpaths

Contemporation of the second s





This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LINZ (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

15 Trig Road - Hydrant Plan

0 10 20 30 Meters Scale @ A4 = 1:2,500 Date Printed: 22/01/2021





This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LINZ (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

15 Trig Road - Water supply

Meters Scale @ A4 = 1:1,000 Date Printed: 22/01/2021

6.5

13 19.5





This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LIN2 (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

15 Trig Road - Wastewater

Cale @ A4 = 1:2,500 Date Printed: 22/01/2021





This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LINZ (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

15 Trig Road - Stormwater

Cale @ A4 = 1:2,500 Date Printed: 22/01/2021



Wastewater Manhole GIS ID Label (Local) Non Watercare Pipe

Wastewater Manhole GIS ID Label (Local)

Wastewater Manhole (Local)

Wastewater Manhole (Local)

Wastewater Pipe GIS ID Label (Local)

Wastewater Pipe GIS ID Label (Local)

Wastewater Pipe (Local)

- Operational
- - - Operational Not Vested
 - Abandoned / Not Operational

Wastewater Other Structure (Local)

Wastewater Other Structure (Local)

Wastewater Pump Station (Local)

Wastewater Pump Station (Local)

Wastewater Manhole (Transmission)

• Wastewater Manhole (Transmission)

Wastewater Pipe (Transmission)

- Operational
- Not Operational
- --- Proposed

DISCLAI MER:

Other Watercare Linear Assets

- Other Watercare Linear Assets

This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action.

Copyright Auckland Council, Land Parcel Boundary information

from LINZ (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this

map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use

of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

Other Watercare Structures and Areas

Other Watercare Structures and Areas

	Address
Rail	Stations (8,000)
Â	Rail Stations (8,000)
Rail	way (2,500)
Rail	Rail Stations (8,000) Rail Stations (8,000)

Address

┿ Railway (2,500)

Auckland Council Boundary

Non Watercare Pipe

Non Watercare Structure

Non Watercare Structure

Auckland Council Boundary

Roads (2,500)

ROADCODE, STATUS

Motorway

Motorway Under Construction

Secondary Arterial Road

- Secondary Arterial Road Under Construction
 - Primary Arterial Road
- Primary Arterial Road Under Construction

Legend

- Collector Road
- Collector Road Under Construction
 - Local Road

Local Road Under Construction

Parcels

Parcels



Date Printed:

22/01/2021

	•••	•	•••			•	• •		•			0	•	•	•	•								_				•		~			•	•			•					•	•					$\overline{\}$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•					•	•	•	•	•			•	•	,		-	
•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•		235	•	•	•	•	•					/		•	•	•				•	•				•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•					3	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•			•	•
	•••	•	••		••		• •		20	0,,		•	•	•	•	•									/			•	•	•				•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•							1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	Ĩ	л N	•	
•	•••	•	•••		• •		•	19 P	0		•	•	•	•	•	•												•	•	•				•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•						•	•		Ì	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	
•	• •	•	<u>·</u>			•	1		•		•	•	•	•	•	•												•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	/				•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	~		•	
•	/	•	•••			•	• •		•		•	•	•	•	•	•									, . 			•	1	9•			•	•	•		•	•	•			•							•	•	•	•	•	•		ļ	•	•	•		•		17		•	•	•	•	•	,			•) (•	
•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	\	• •		•		•	•	•	•	>	•						•	•	•				•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•			/	6	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•				•	•	•		•	•
	•••	•	•••		•••	• `						•	/	× •	• •/	/						•		•) (•	•	•			•	•	•		•	/				•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•				•	-	/	•	•		•	•	•	•) (•	
•	• •	•	• •	•	• •				•			•	•	6	•	•						•	•	•	•			•	•	•			•	•	>			•	•	r	_			-	-				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		~	•			, ,		•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	
•	•••	•	••			•	•					/	•	•	•	•												•	•	•					2		•	•	•	L	_	2	Ite	ý		\sim			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		/			2				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	
•	•••	•	• /		•••	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•						•	•					•	•					•			•	•	•			•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	/	/	•	•		•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•		• •	•	
•	• •	•	••	•	• •	•	•	•	•			•		•	•	•						•	•		•		/	>	•	/			•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•					•	•	•	•	/		•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•
	/	•	•••		•••	•	• •		•				•	•		•								•				/	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•	15		•	•	•					•	•	/	/	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•) ()	•	•	•		•	
	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	•		•	•	À	•	•							•		5				•	•	•				•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•
	•••	•	••		••	•	•		•			<u>/</u>	•			•					/		/					•	•	•				•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•			/		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•\	\$	•	•			•	•	•		•	
•	•••	•	•••	•	• •	•	•	•	•	/	•	•	•	•	•		Ö	p					•		•			•	•	•				•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•		•	2	•			•	•	•		•	
•	• •	•	••	•	• •	•	•	2			•	•	•	•	•	•		ୖୄୖୄୡୄ	2	X								•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•			•	2						•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	
•	•••	•	•••	•	•••	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•									, . 			•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•			,			•	•	•	•	•				•) (•	
•	• •	•	• •	•	/	•	• •	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•							•	•	•			•	•	•			•	•	•		•		•			•	•	•					9	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•			•	>	\		•	•
	•••	•	•••		•••	•	• •					•	•	•	•	•								•) () (•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• /	2	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•		5 (5 (•	
•	• •	•⁄		•	• •	•	• •	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•							•					•	•	•			/		•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	>	/	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	/	•	•	•	
•	/	•	•••	•	••	•	•		•			•	•	•						0						N		•	•	-	/			•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•					•	•	•	•	•	2		•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•				•	•		•	
/	•••	•	•••	•	•••	•	•	•	•		•	•	, ,	~	•	•						•	•					Ż	~	•			•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•					•	•	•	<u>,</u>		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•		• •	•	
•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•		2	6	•	•	•	•								1	R			•					•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•						~	6	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•	•
	•••	•	•••		•••	•	• •							•		•								2	SUE			•	•					•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•						•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•						•	•	1			•	•	•			
-									~			-									· ·		· · ·		Sec. 8			•				2																																					/•) (

This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LIN2 (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

Natural Resources Unitary Plan Maps 0 10 20 30 Meters Scale @ A4 = 1:2,500 Date Printed: 13/05/2021



Auckland Council



DISCLAIMER:

This map/plan is illustrative only and all information should be independently verified on site before taking any action. Copyright Auckland Council. Land Parcel Boundary information from LIN2 (Crown Copyright Reserved). Whilst due care has been taken, Auckland Council gives no warranty as to the accuracy and plan completeness of any information on this map/plan and accepts no liability for any error, omission or use of the information. Height datum: Auckland 1946.

Zones and Rural Urban Boundary Unitary Plan Maps
 0
 10
 20
 30

 Meters
 Meters

 Scale @ A4
 =
 1:2,500

 Date Printed:
 13/05/2021



Map

Auckland Unitary Plan Operative in part 15th November 2016 - LEGEND





Precincts

· – – Rural Urban Boundary

----- Indicative Coastline [i]

	Ove	rlays	
Natural	Resources	Natural	Heritage
	Terrestrial [rp/dp]		Notable Trees Overlay
kxx3	Marine 1 [rcp] Significant Ecological Areas Overlay	000	Outstanding Natural Features Overlay [rcp/dp]
kxx:	Marine 2 [rcp]		Outstanding Natural Landscapes Overlay [rcp/dp]
www	Water Supply Management Areas Overlay [rp]		Outstanding Natural Character Overlay [rcp/dp]
	Natural Stream Management Areas Overlay [rp]		High Natural Character Overlay [rcp/dp]
	High-Use Stream Management Areas Overlay [rp]		Viewshafts Regionally Significant Volcanic
	Natural Lake Management Areas Overlay Urban (Natural Lake and Urban Lake)	v v	Height Sensitive Areas Velexitie Viewshafts & Height Sensitive Areas Areas Overlay [rcp/dp]
	High-Use Aguifer Management Areas Overlay [rp]	F====4	Regionally Significant Volcanic Viewshalls Overlay Contours [i]
poo	Quality-Sensitive Aquifer Management Areas Overlay [rp]		Locally Significant Volcanic Viewshafts Overlay [rcp/op]
	Wetland Management Areas Overlay [rp]	KXX	
Infrastr	ucture		Ridgeline Protection Overlay
	Airport Approach Surface Overlay		Naturai
	Aircraft Noise Overlay		Local Public Views Overlay [rcp/dp]
	City Centre Port Noise Overlay [rcp / dp]		Extent of Overlay Waitakere Ranges Hertage Subdivision Schedule Area Overlay
	Quarry Buffer Area Overlay		
	National Grid Subdivision Corridor	Historic •	Heritage & Special Character Historic Heritage Overlay Place [rcp/dp]
	National Grid Substation Corridor National Grid		Historic Heritage Overlay Extent of Place [rcp/dp]
	National Grid Yard Compromised Corridor Overlay		Special Character Areas Overlay Residential and Business
	National Grid Yard Uncompromised	H	Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlav [rcn/dn]
Mana V	Vhenua		Auckland War Memorial Museum Viewshaft Overlay Contours [ii]
	Sites & Places of Significance to Mana Whenua Overlay [rcp/dp]		Stockada Hill Viewshaft Overlay 2m beight area
Built En	vironment	••••	
	Identified Growth Corridor Overlay		Stockade Hill Viewsnaft [I]
	Cont	rols	
++	Key Retail Frontage	11	Business Park Zone Office Control
•	General Commercial Frontage Control	\mathbf{X}	Hazardous Facilities Emergency Management
• •	Adjacent to Level Crossings		Infrastructure Area Control
* * *	General Vehicle Access Restiction Control		Macroinvertebrate Community Index
8-8-	Motorway Interchange Control	* * *	Flow 1 [rp] Ctormwater Management
\square	Centre Fringe Office Control	+++	Flow 2 [rp] Area Control
	Height Variation Control		Subdivision Variation Control
00	Parking Variation Control		Surf Breaks [rcp]
	Level Crossings With Sightlines Control		Cable Protection Areas Control [rcp]
	Arterial Roads		Coastal Inundation 1 per cent AEP Plus 1m Control
	Desigi	nation	S
123	Designations	200	Airspace Restriction Designations

	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	н н	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	
				1	1	1	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	E H	+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
				-	-		
				1	1.1		
-	+ +	н н	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
				11	1.1		
	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	н н	+ +	+	+	+	
						1	
-	- +		- +	+	+	+	
	+ +	н н	+ +	+	+	+	
				1			
-	e +		+	+	+	Ŧ	
	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	
				+	+	+	
					-		
	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	H 4	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	- 4	+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	e a	+ +	+	+	+	
				1		1	
	+ +	H 4	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
						1.1	
					- T		
	+ +	H H	+ +	+	+	+	
				+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	÷			1	۷.	4	
-	· •	- 1	+	+	+	Ŧ	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
				1			
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +	н н	+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
				1			
	e +	1	+	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	÷ -			+	+	+	
					1	1	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +	F 4	+ +	+	+	+	
-	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	Ļ.,					1	
	. +	1	+	+	+	т	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
WWW.CONKINCAVIOR.CO.NZ	+ +	н н	+ +	+	+	+	
*							
	- +	- 1	- +	+	+	+	
	+ +		+ +	+	+	+	
	÷	р		т	1	+	
	- т			- T.		- C	4

+ +

+ +