

Feedback Summary

Number	Name	Address	Summary of Issues Raised	General Opposition	Safety / Security	Amenity/ Noise	Traffic	Support
1	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports the increase in the number of children from 20 to 30, the maximum allowable age increase from 17yrs to 20 yrs and agrees that the facility should allow placements of young people for care and protection purposes. • Does not agree that the facility should accommodate young people for “youth justice reasons”. • Increased security risk. • The changes may decrease the value of properties in the area. • Should additional security be implemented eg. CCTV, fencing etc., this may impact upon the area’s visual identity, portraying a similar character to a corrections facility. • Lack of notifying community of changes as only a 500m radius was notified. • The information provided in the letter is lacking detail and is ambiguous. • Tamariki and rangatahi for youth justice reasons should be housed at the Auckland south corrections 	✓	✓	✓		

			<p>facility (which has increased security) to minimise risk to residents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about the potential for Whakatakapokai to become exclusively for youth justice residents. 					
2			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New, additional security will make Weymouth's entry ugly and uninviting. There are enough [correction] facilities already existing within the area. Weymouth has a drug and alcohol rehabilitation centre too. It feels like a dumping ground for community misfits. Suggests extending the youth facility in Wiri instead of Whakatakapokai. People's safety will be at risk if people escape. Changes would cause a decrease in property value Consultation has not included all Weymouth residents and not made public enough. 	✓	✓	✓		
3			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Property values will decrease. The length of the consultation is not long enough. Concerns regarding the increase in children age as they older they are, the more violent they may be. 	✓	✓			

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncertainty in the future where capacity may be increased over 30. 					
4	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of communication and detailing of how Youth Justice residents are to be rehabilitated back into communities. • Community already deals with a high level of crime including theft, vehicle breaking vandalism etc. • Worried about the adequacy of security of the facility in light of the proposed changes. • Young families are fearful for their safety and their properties regarding the proposed changes. • The community feels like their neighbourhood is a dumping zone with already three prisons in the area. • Very concerned with the increase in capacity from 20 to 30 due to recent news of young persons being linked to crimes. • Decrease in property value as a result of the facility alterations. • A number of crime has recently happened in the area. • Advises that in the last year, in the area there have been two home invasions. 	✓	✓			
5	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant resides in the newly established Waimahia Community 	✓	✓			

			<p>and is situated on the fence line of the Whakatakopokai complex and the Waimahia Community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is deeply concerned that the proposal would not be safe for the community or his family. • Have seen youth coming and going from the Whakatakopokai complex into the Waimahia Community via a broken back fence next to their home. • Concerned about the security of the Whakatakopokai complex • Concerned about the construction of a new building to house the 10 additional residents. 					
06			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The neighbourhood is not suitable for this operation. Leave it as it was. 	✓				
7			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no proposal for increased security or protection towards the community. • Does not want to live next to a prison. • The current facility has numerous security issues that have never been addressed. 	✓	✓			
8			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There will be more criminals in the area rather than a secure facility. • There are already too many [justice] facilities (two prisons) in the area. • No proper consultation has been made. 	✓	✓			

9	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opposed to the proposed change in principal • Regularly experience a lot of noise from staff who finish shifts around 11pm. • The crime in the area is already high. With more youth justice placements, this is likely to increase. 	✓	✓	✓		
10	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decrease in the value of houses. • Increase in crime and activities that could affect the community. • Concerned about safety of children and families • Advises that a daycare is currently being built in the area. Thus the proposed changes cause alarm for families in the area about their safety. 	✓	✓			
11	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly object to the proposal at Whakatakapokai. • No direct contact has been made to nearby residents in Weymouth for comment or consideration. • Short notice of the proposal is unacceptable. • The recent Waimahia development (400 dwellings) house mainly young couples with children whose safety may be compromised. • There already two prisons and a youth justice facility very close to the Weymouth residential area. 	✓	✓			

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The changes pose an unacceptable risk to families in the surrounding properties. • A number of residents have experienced breakouts, problems and difficulties with members of the youth justice. • The existing youth justice facility should be used. • Advised that Whakatakapokai is currently closed. 					
12			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The consultation letter provides very little detail about the alterations to the Whakatakapokai designation. As such, there is very little input to be given. 	Neutral				Neutral
13			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns regarding older children escaping the facility. • A lack of targeted programmes that meet the specific needs of the individuals at the facility. • Concerned regarding the adequacy of education and staff (nurses) to aid children. 	✓	✓			
14			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncomfortable with the proposed increase in age. Makes them feel more at risk. 	✓	✓			
15			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly oppose this as it will impact on children of families residing in the community. 	✓	✓		✓	

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed changes were never discussed during the purchasing of the house. • There is already enough congestion in the inlet due to the number of families. • Could attract friends and family of troubled kids to the area and a subsequent potential increase in theft. 					
16	██████████	██████████	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal will make it unsafe for not only the family but especially for the children. • The area they live in which was considered safe will become unsafe due to the proposal. 	✓	✓			
17	██████████	██████████	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposal will affect the general safety of the community, especially the young families. Ms ██████████ herself feels it will negatively impact upon her safety. • The increase in number of residents and young offenders would make it unsafe for Ms ██████████'s daughter to roam around the community. 	✓	✓			