

Fulton Hogan Land Development Mana Whenua Consultation Summary Timeline

Session	Date	Mana Whenua Groups Represented	Overview
1	7/3/18	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki	Presentation to discuss structure plan design elements and an overview of work to date. Discussions around key elements such as links to PT and motorway.
2	3/4/19	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki Ngaati Whanaunga Waikato Tainui	Introductions to Fulton Hogan specific issues including stream reclamation/ecology for the plan change. Site visit to plan change area.
-	10/4/19	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki	Cultural Values Assessments received from each of the interested parties
3	15/4/19	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki Ngaati Whanaunga	Hui to examine masterplan and provide an oversight of the plan change process. Update on the structure plan. Developing a cultural framework to work towards.
4	30/4/19	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki Ngaati Whanaunga	Visit to Kiwi Property site and Oyster Capital Sites. Drive around the edge of the Fulton Hogan site. Visit to Te Maketu Paa to visit reserve, cemetery and waterfall.
5	25/5/19	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki Ngaati Whanaunga	Hui to discuss final memo. Minutes included in consultation agreement.
-	17/7/19	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki Waikato Tainui Ngaati Whanaunga	Final drafts of specialist reports sent to interested parties to review.
6	18/11/19	Ngāti Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Te Ākitai Waiohū Ngaitai ki Tamaki Ngaati Whanaunga	Pre-lodgement hui to discuss consultation memo and final decisions on the Drury East plan changes

MEETING MINUTES

Project: Drury Structure Plan

Date: 7/3/18

Time: 2pm

Location: Papakura Library

Attendees:

Karl Flavell	Ngāti Te Ata Waiohū	KF
Edith Tuhi Mata	Ngāti Te Ata Waiohū	ET
Lucie Rutherford	Ngāti Tamaoho	LR
Nigel Denny Senior	Te Ākitai	ND
Nigel Denny Junior	Te Ākitai	NDJ
Jonathon Billington	Ngāitai ki Tamaki	JB
Elizabeth Davidson	Kiwi Property	ED
Greg Dewe	Fulton Hogan	GD
Nick Roberts	B&A	NR
Nick Mitchell	B&A	NM

Item	Detail	Action	Date
1	NM went through action points from previous meeting. Overarching statement is remaining point.	-	-
2	NR would like formal agreements for each representative. NM to email details.	LR, ND, JB	17/3/18
3	NR opened the meeting with welcome and brief recap of the unique position of having the land owners agreeing one plan. Explained council was presented the DDG option and further work was to be done.	-	-
4	NR gave presentation 'Structure Plan Design Elements and Overview' (attached).	-	-
5	KF believes that Clough & Associates have already done a historic heritage report analyzing the area around the rural urban boundary – KF to send though	KF	17/3/18
6	LR questioned the proximity of the town centre to the waterway. NR explained the large riparian margin (at least 100m) and the fact that the Kiwi land was selected for the topography. Also, best practice stormwater management will also be used.	-	-
7	Mana Whenua reps have had a meeting with Cathy Chin from AT about SH22. KF to send through her contact details and any information.	KF	17/3/18
8	Collective email from DDG and Mana Whenua reps to council to express collective desire to have a Hui together.	NR	17/3/18

MEETING MINUTES



Urban & Environmental

	NR to send email on behalf of the group, try and use scheduled April 4 th Hui for this purpose.		
9	KF to send through cultural landscapes document that was prepared under the Papakura District Council	KF	17/3/18
10	Cultural landscapes, viewshafts and geological landscapes to be included in the overarching framework and principles document.	NM	17/3/18
11	LR would like to see sustainable energy principles included and potentially the use of sustainable energy generation such as solar. ED stated that Kiwi now include solar on many of their assets.	NM	17/3/18
12	Hard copy of presentation to be posted to KF	NM	17/3/18



Mana Whenua Hui #1

Minutes (Draft)

What: Fulton Hogan Land Development – Drury East
Why: Plan Change
Where: Uenuku Meeting Room, 209 Great South Rd, Papakura
When: 03 April 2019, 9am – 12.30pm

ATTENDEES	
Mana Whenua	Iwi Affiliation
Gavin Anderson Gabrielle Kirkwood Karl Flavell Edith Tuhimata Hero Potini Lorraine Dixon Jeff Lee	Ngaati Whanaunga Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki Ngati Te Ata Ngati Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Waikato Tainui Te Akitai Waiohū
Project Team	Entity
Greg Dewe Teresa Minogue Lucy Tukua Pranil Wadan Gary Bramley Nick Mitchell	Fulton Hogan Mott McDonald NativebyNature Woods Ecology Barkers and Associates
Apologies:	
Lucie Rutherford Nigel Denny Kowhai Olsen Ellen Cameron	Ngati Tamaoho Te Akitai Waiohū Te Ahiwaru Clough and Associates (Archaeologist)
OPENING KARAKIA / MIHIMIHI: RESPONSE:	Hero Potini Lucy Tukua

Minutes		Action
1.	General overview Lucy highlighted the process which was undertaken to engage with Mana Whenua (MW), i.e. through the Council RMA list of those MW associated to a specific area where they themselves had indicated through a council mapping process where their cultural interests lie. Lucy advised the Ngāti Maru were to confirm via email that they do not intend to engage as was verbally advised to her. Kowhai Olsen, Te Ahiwaru advises that they will not engage however will still require receipt of copies of minutes.	

2.	Whakawhanaungatanga / introductions All attendees introduced themselves and spoke directly about a place that strongly resonates with them for a particular reason along with their role in the project and name.	
3.	Morning tea	
Pg1 GD	Drury South: Stevies - Classic Homes Lots of earthworks currently Large water main etc. Plan change: own half of area- FHLD road boundaries <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kiwi 51HA (town centre and residential) Oyster 18HA (Fletchers) FH 1100ha (possibly more with 98% residential) 	
	Who has the lowest lying area of these developers?	MW specific responses in blue
GD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collective issue, requires holistic approach e.g. Millwater where HNZ on fringes, have an interest Fulton Hogan Ltd, density unsure as yet to confirm provisions for Stormwater requirements etc. 	
KF	Relationship at governance level openness? Yes, here for long-haul <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Commercial Social etc opportunities Mana Whenua to discuss how etc. 	1.1 Governance Proposal to FHLD
Pg2	Issues: Auckland Transport <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Still to see proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redoubt Rd, Drury Hills Rd, Quarry etc? 	
KF	Master plan will evolve? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Iwi recommendations initially signalled in initial structure plan Involvement in master plan development necessary CVA's and summaries/ input to structure plan will come High level engagement necessary (support governance korero above)	1.2 Masterplan design input moving fwd
HP	Mana Whenua reflected/layers of whakapapa/narrative	
GA	Raising profile reflects identity and culture e.g. Auckland Council. Raising consciousness where we live- Kanohi kitea/Mai rā ano. Historical visibility and presence	
HP	How can "Kaitiakitanga" be practised by ALL?	

<p>Pg3 KF</p>	<p>(Holistic evolution/ Regeneration) Comfort, Embraced, Confidence</p> <p>Technical reports to reflect Mana Whenua aspirations ref. CVA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workshop best options/ results • Ngati Te Ata expectations • Overt expression • Technical reports have mana • Urgency to ensure cultural landscape is articulated well <p>Elevating CVA reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to explore options together • Approach all issues together to influence master plan • Outcomes to be reflected (where appropriate and practicable) in the specialists reports and the Section 32 <p>Commitment to workshop together / package up Maybe timed different to the others</p>	
<p>Pg4</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # Late June lodge Plan Change • Propose structure plan slide. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Across roads: Main Arterials ▪ Existing stream network. Photos relate to maps ▪ Two main streams (not named on Topopo maps) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Both tributaries of Hingaia stream ▪ Naming opportunities – MW ▪ Scattered ponds on farms (1, 7, 2) ▪ No shading of streams/ponds independent (some) of streams ▪ Ephemeral, intermittent ▪ Mai rā ano- was wet land ▪ Restore streams potential - habitat etc. • Te Maketu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 8 species native fish ▪ Koura • Very degraded, no pests species, stagnant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Aquatic weeds - Pockets of parrot weed which is a pest species • Springs present? Not sure. Didn't see. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Want no adverse impacts on these natural important features if present ▪ Noted pockets of water pooled as there was no outlet for them ▪ Noted site visit was done over the summer period – low rainfall. Water not flowing. Would like to go back over the wet season • Copper skink (native) and rainbow (intro- Aust) noted • Waihoehoe and Drury Hill <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Native bush stand/ remnant bush ▪ Habitat potential • No bats but Drury Hills are a known habitat • No native fish within the study area 	<p>Workshop request for MP</p>

<p>PW</p> <p>Pg5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10km – Manu search: Kukupu, Tui, North Island Kākā (Visitors) 7/2,600 records. Birds as expected in the area • Potential/ Value- create ecological corridors <p>Te Aranga Design Principles to apply to the overall urban design</p> <p>Working with other developers</p> <p>No to “minimum” standards</p> <p>SW management approaches:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unitary Plan - SWALE Minimum requirements: but higher standards being applied • All goes to Hingaia • Currently under-size culverts • Massive floodplains north of Waihoehoe Rd owned by FHLD - opted to leave. Drains into Slippery Creek which is problematic and is outside of PC area • Land purchased will remain as area is 12ha <p>Substantial opportunity as extensive wetland Otuwairoa? In scope?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stream corridors - assets, recreation areas etc. Ongoing discussion with various parts of Council <p>Provision of green space/ climate impact mitigation etc?</p> <p>Functioning waterway-wide riparian minimum 20m (10 each side) fluctuates widely Won't be esplanade reserves</p> <p>Not keen investing these areas to Council given lack of ability to maintain etc Auranga- community empowered, a great example of what can be done with public reserve spaces</p> <p>Flood monitoring being done now - report yet to come</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kiwi doing theirs currently to marry up • # can do workshop prior • Designed to encapsulate 100 year flood events and ability for public use <p>Tākaro Hupara - playground</p> <p>Te Mana O Te Wai - restoration to natural state- give effect to natural processes</p> <p>Need to show SW linkages and streams to Kiwi Development</p> <p>11.00am Site Visit</p> <p>Full attendance</p> <p>Four stop off points to discuss specific areas / concerns etc</p>	<p>1.3 Workshop specific to water issues</p> <p>1.4 Plans better showing linkages</p>
----------------------	--	---

Pg6	<p>12.00pm Next Steps</p> <p><u>Workshop date:</u> 15th April 2019 at 10am - Uenuku</p> <p>Priorities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master Plan • SW and ecology <p><u>Consortium Iwi hui:</u> 30th April 2019 (time tbc)</p> <p><u>Expert reports commissioned:</u> (to be provided to MW)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storm Water- SMP • Ecology • Geotech (Aquifer recharge, ground water level, perch etc.) • Archaeology • Transport • Urban design • contamination • Civil/ infrastructure- Earthworks <p>ACTION:</p> <p>1. Establish Governance relations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible three way (Developers) with all Iwi, i.e. MOU to be drafted up by Lucy/Nick (?) – Lucy is only contracted to FHLD • Overarching governance structure - between the appropriate entities. It would be good to get some steer from Iwi as to whom they think specifically is needed to form part of this structure. Unsure if they want it to apply to just FH or across the 3 developers; • Purpose of the group to be discussed further • Some high level principles – no surprises, long term relationship • Reserve vesting? 	1.5 Compile reports for issue
	<p>12.30pm Lunch Karakia whakamutunga</p>	



NATIVEBYNATURE

Mana Whenua Hui #2
Minutes (Draft)

What:	Fulton Hogan Land Development – Drury East
Why:	Plan Change
Where:	Uenuku Meeting Room, 209 Great South Rd, Papakura
When:	15 April 2019, 10am - 2.30pm

Who attended:	
Mana Whenua Gavin Anderson Gabrielle Kirkwood Karl Flavell (late) Lucie Rutherford Hero Potini (late) Jeff Lee	Organisation Ngaati Whanaunga Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki Ngati Te Ata Ngāti Tamaoho Ngāti Tamaoho Te Akitai Waiohua
Project Team Greg Dewe Teresa Minogue Lucy Tukua Pranil Wadan Paul Edmond Gary Bramley Nick Mitchell	Organisation Fulton Hogan Mott McDonald NativebyNature Woods (Stormwater) Woods (Urban Design) Ecology Barkers and Associates
Apologies:	
Edith Tuhimata Nigel Denny Lorraine Dixon	Ngāti Te Ata Te Aakitai Waiohua Waikato Tainui

Minutes		Action
1.	Karakia Timata - Jeff Lee Whakawhanaungatanga - introductions	
2.	Minutes from Hui #1 - Matters arising Lucie notes typo and should be 20m each side for riparian planting/margins	Update minutes
3.	Purpose of the hui: As requested by Mana Whenua to get a good insight into the Masterplan process to ensure that they have the opportunity to	Structure Plan link to be sent (shows process timing)

<p>provide input and influence moving through the process rather than being reactive.</p> <p>General Discussion: JEFF reflected general acceptance. Site visit done, appreciates the general character of what is being proposed, the nature of the waterways, intermittent etc., the native bush stand, and wants more intimate knowledge of waterways in particular</p> <p>LUCIE supports the retention of native trees, particularly older species. Will you retain and preserve natural landscape or are you just going to flatten the whole area?</p> <p>GREG</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Governance relationship discussion yet to happen with FH as boss is away. Greg notes this has been discussed with the other developers and will look to discuss further at the MW meeting on the 30th as is attending. • Some consistencies across three developments include T+T and Woods working on water issues. • Draft Structure Plan documents out for consultation back end of plan is water stuff. <p>MW disappointed as are offering only 1 SW treatment device. Auranga has 2 devices.</p> <p>Auckland Council structure Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reflects zoning proposed • Kiwi Town Centre - high density ; less density • Prefer location A • Train - Paerata (yet to build), Drury (Waihoehoe Rd), Drury west • Drury East be brought forward to decade 1 as is currently decade 2 as detailed by council as they didn't see infrastructure being ready (Paerata is stage 1). Will be staging within staging <p>JEFF - cumulative effects of what is being proposed is huge concern. Fulton Hogan using biodiversity off setting. Don't support loss of water courses.</p> <p>LUCIE - offsetting. If you have a stream 20m riparian planting each side is not offset mitigation. You are expected to do it. Ended up in court with Auranga and won. Filling in piping and remediating islands owned by DoC is not like for like.</p> <p>GREG</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council fixated on like for like (or better) Wainui is a good example. Don't agree with their offsetting rules • Have an idea to establish a fund with criteria for enhancement of projects. Council path like for like. • Yet DOC, QEII may be options— we are open to anything of 	<p><u>NOTE:</u> Draft Land-use Plan was done 2018</p> <p>Retain and preserve</p> <p>Lucy to draft MOU for Greg following Mana Whenua review</p> <p>Jeff to take back to his boss if it's a joint MOU or individual ones</p> <p>Submissions closing 30/04</p> <p>#Need more appreciation, want to see these locations/visit post rain event</p> <p>Copy of ruling to be sourced</p>
--	--

<p>benefit; restoration etc</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provide opportunity to have offline discussions around what proposed loss is to achieve mitigation • Fulton Hogan is on higher ground compared to the others • Cossey Road has no Pipe <p>Jeff becoming more familiar with Fulton Hogan aspirations before we lodge responses</p> <p>Nick doing everything in unison with structure plan process Fulton Hogan plan change will establish rules - will apply to them as well</p> <p>Developing a cultural framework for engagement:</p> <p>Lucy presented the Whakarito Framework as a tool to uplift and apply a Te Ao Māori worldview and one that resonates with Mana Whenua values of Pono, Aroha and Tika that calls forward a place sourced cultural narrative, pūrākau. Hononga therefore supports collaboration and open dialogue but is also strong on uplifting team cohesion and relationships. With these elements firmly embedded, it then sets us up to do the work in a more meaningful way which is described as mahitahi. The essence of this framework is the ability to uphold the vitality and energy across all aspects to ensure mauri ora for place and people in that order. It is a regenerative framework that puts the environment first and its ability to uphold life itself with mana and integrity.</p> <p>Hero supports the Whakarito Framework</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Looking for a brand • Auranga didn't give mana to the land, they already had the name before they came to engage. It has no heart. • It gives us confidence and comfort in the decision making when we are guided by values in a framework like this. • Leverage and legacy for our future generations. <p>Jeff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I like that ingoa/naming is based on the whenua • Let's work through Whakarito to come up with a name <p>Gavin As Mana Whenua, we are each able to see ourselves in this Framework. It will support and assist us to arrive at a solution, a regenerative mindset to speak and act. We can also refer to the AC Reo strategy as a guide</p> <p>Gary Framework is kei te pai. We are bringing western science and will never have knowledge like those lived here.</p> <p>Paul this is new to me. I do like the framework and how it may work with the Te Aranga Design Principles. Leads on from mauri tu and</p>	
--	--

<p>hauora. Picking up on manmade elements, i.e.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Central collector • Project wide approach and treatments at larger scale • Lots of inter-related items • Generalist rather than specialist and weaving through these interventions • 6-8 neighbourhoods • Lots of stories • Cultural landscapes • Energy <p>GARY (provides ecological overview)</p> <p>Herpetofauna Lizard management Plan usually done</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Copper skink - only native • Rainbow (Aussie, on our pest list) • Stevie's did translocations of 40 to Tiritiri Matangi • LCDB (land cover database) for which a desktop study gives indication for survey sites. We want a good plan around this (Greg: Futon Hogan have a plan for AMETI including Wainui) <p>Fish Map</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No identification on this site specifically • Ecological value potential, e.g removing blocked/ perched culverts to improve quality of freshwater streams <p>Birds</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 26k records which are not helpful to map • Kaka and Ruru present with seagulls/pied stilt and the usual Magpies and Miners • @ 4ha, the remnant bush is small. Not big enough to sustain anything on its own, however Puriri- connections • Requires species diversity to provide kai <p>Pekapeka</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Closest is Red hill Drury Hills and Hunua • Longtail bats tend to fly along corridors • Extends beyond plan and developments but bat surveys need to be done • Podocarps are their favourite trees • Rotorua, Hamilton, Auckland have urban populations <p>LUCIE notes that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitats need to be enhanced • Fauna Protection • Create corridors • Not supportive of any native mature vegetation removal. The proposed indicative Mill Road shown on the structure plan would 	<p>Inner 4ha area to include trees in maize area</p>
--	--

	<p>possibly take out the native vegetation stand near Drury Hills Rd</p> <p>Hero Mauri In framework requires us as kaitiaki to care for these taonga</p> <p>PRANIL (SW discussion)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection of streams etc • Devices • Water sensitive design, treatment train approach • Water Quality • Hybrid of the two (maps) • NPS Water policy • Water resilience - need to be exemplary • Permeable pavement only good if there are no contaminants <p>JEFF – Drury east stream plan. We need a focused discussion / hui rationale behind any decisions. Would like another site walkover to see waterflows in streams etc. Understand winter approaching and need to coordinate then.</p> <p>Council streams plan – covers all wet bits permanent and intermittent (viewed over summer)</p> <p>LUCIE – MW want more clarity on how to best influence the plan change to be able to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hold developer accountable • Creating a new rule outside of the Unitary Plan process • Get more depth on conversations <p>KARL notes the need to have a specific workshop to enable the writing of a strategic submission to the plan change</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site visit to be arranged to get on site for the 15th May only if it has rained to see the streams – all noted it been a dry summer (Teresa to arrange with Greg). There a quite specific instructions to follow to be on site which I will detail later – it includes wearing hi-vis. This will take place after the hui on 15thMay with a focus on stormwater and ecology 	<p>Cut to fill ratio – can only give indicative as its subject to change based on stormwater modelling and geotech investigations (Pranil/Greg)</p> <p>Request Drury East – Stream Plan as is necessary</p> <p>MW workshop on 15th May for this</p>
	3.00pm Karakia whakamutunga - Hero	
	<p>Key Notes (Teresa)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FHLD plan change is largely consistent with the Council Structure Plan but have concerns with Mill Road Option A – Option B follows Drury Hills Road so suits FHLD better • FHLDH are looking as to how to integrate the proposed parks into the design so that a better urban design is achieved – it follows one of the main streams FH are looking to maintain and plant out to provide a green corridor. • Stream naming – something that resonates with everyone. Possible naming of the plan change / project • Greg explained the like for like replanting issue he has and the purchasing of another farm to provide for offset mitigation. 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lucille also has an issue with the like for like approach that AC want – need to provide for a better outcome. Mentioned Auranga recent court case which MW won on this issue. • Greg - Discussion of the setting up of a fund for restoration purposes • Mana Whenua do have concerns around stream loss /Piping – some streams are underground so a concern around recharging • Two treatment approach for stormwater – tool box approach matrix and the proposed bio-retention system was well received. Would address concerns around contractors dumping stuff into the conventional system - to be discussed at the next meeting. • Gary noted that the ecological values in the area are pretty low/degraded but opportunities exist for good ecological outcomes where it is practicable add will provide a good outcome. • Native bush stand too small to support any habitats - note Mill Road options will probably take them out • Paul noted the urban design principles – possible opportunities to exist (eg: storyboards in parks) • Noted the issues around AT and their surfacing requirements 	
--	---	--

Minutes



Urban & Environmental

Project: Drury Developers

Date: 30/4/19

Time: 10am – 2pm

Location: Papakura Library

Attendees:	Edith Tuhi Mata	Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua	ET
	Hero Potini	Ngāti Tamaoho	HP
	Lucie Rutherford	Ngāti Tamaoho	LR
	Jeff Lee	Te Ākitai	JL
	Gabriel Kirkwood	Ngaitai ki Tamaki	GK
	Elizabeth Davidson	Kiwi Property	ED
	Bob Earl	Occulus	BE
	Andrew McCarthy	Oyster Capital	AM
	Greg Dewe	Fulton Hogan	GD
	Nick Roberts	B&A	NR
	Nick Mitchell	B&A	NM

Item	Detail
1	Introductions
2	NR presented three individual masterplans and how they could be combined into the joint masterplan.
3	NR presented developers views on the council draft structure plan, including the best location for a train station, park'n ride and bus interchange. Also referred to the best staging for Drury. LR requested presentation material in order to incorporate into submissions where appropriate.
Site Visit	
4	Kiwi Site Visit <ul style="list-style-type: none">Group walked from the watercare pump station on Flanagan Road up to the old homestead at 120 Flanagan Road for an overview of the site
5	Oyster Site Visit <ul style="list-style-type: none">Visit to 116 Waihoehoe Road and walked into the field behind existing engineering sheds.
6	Fulton Hogan Site Visit <ul style="list-style-type: none">Visit to the corner of Drury Hills Road and Waihoehoe Road to inspect the stand of native Puriri Trees
7	Te Maketu Pa – visit reserve, Urupa (cemetery) and waterfall

Minutes

Flanagan Road:



Te Maketu Paa Site



MEETING MINUTES



Urban & Environmental

Project: Drury East Pre-lodgement Hui

Date: 18/11/19

Time: 10am-12pm

Location: Papakura Library

Attendees:	Edith Tuhi Mata	Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua	ET
	Lucie Rutherford	Ngāti Tamaoho	LR
	Zach Rutherford	Ngāti Tamaoho	ZR
	Jeff Lee	Te Ākitai	JL
	Gabriel Kirkwood	Ngaitai ki Tamaki	GK
	Gavin Anderson	Ngaati Whanaunga	GA
	Andrew McCarthy	Oyster Capital	AM
	David Schwartfeger	Kiwi Property	DS
	Rod Cunningham	Kiwi Property	RC
	Emma McDonald	Pragmatix	EM
	Nick Roberts	B&A	NR
	Rebecca Sanders	B&A	RS
	Nick Mitchell	B&A	NM

Item	Detail
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Opening karakia/mihimihi: GA, response: ET- Group introductions
2	<p>NR recap of the joint plan changes over the last 2 years. Explained the integrated movement network which incorporates the green and blue space. Walking and cycling networks centre around a true town centre with a main street. Linking back to the main roads, motorways, and train station. Mill Road also shown on maps.</p> <p>Structure Plan is now complete and plan change lodgements have been prepared. Detailed design of the site has not been undertaken, will be confirmed at resource consent stage.</p>
3	<p>LR clarification on riparian margins and planting within first 10m. NR confirmed that first 10m of riparian margins will be planted in all cases – with cycleways and paths likely to follow bigger streams.</p>
4	<p>Kiwi Property</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- NR reviewed location of the town centre- Fronted that some reclamation of streams will now be required based on further investigation of streams and road network – most likely headwaters of Stream A- LR referred to national policy statement on freshwater management- GK mentioned that conceptual plans can be altered. NR confirmed no finalized or detailed design work has taken place yet and efforts would be made to reduce reclamation.- JL doesn't support reclamation or modification of water courses. Will have adverse cultural effects and does not support in principle. Agreed by everyone.

MEETING MINUTES



Urban & Environmental

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - JL – how does reclamation relate to the Plan Change. DS – submissions can be made as to the protection of the stream. Reality is that plan change is needed for zoning and then RC needs to be applied for to alter the stream. - LR would like Kiwi to come back and work through design options prior to resource consent. DS open to this idea. - NR – Remnant stream D cannot be retained. Could be reflected in the design. - DS to check on ability for Iwi to assist with naming roads – based on sale and purchase
5	Oyster <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NR – no intention to reclaim or alter streams - 4 artificial ponds will be removed and naturalised
6	Fulton Hogan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NR tabled the offer from FHLD to create a mitigation fund instead of doing reclamation of a like for like stream (like what happened in Auranga). Fund can be used by agreement of Iwi for projects in the local area. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o JL thought Opaheke and Te Maketu Paa off the top of his head. o GK - Eco enhancement fund would have to be over and above what would be required for what would be required for a like for like stream. - Two proposed stormwater treatment trains – agreed for both parties to petition council in order to get agreed treatment train with appropriate maintenance.
7	General Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - JL – FHLD – Taonga – would like it to be amended to (include Oyster and Kiwi). Expansion of the cultural monitoring meaning. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Kiatiaki to assist with the relocation of the taonga. Cultural monitoring expands to toona and mokomoko. Into animals as well and beyond earthworks. - Discussion of archaeologists and site investigations that have happened so far. Iwi would like to work with any archaeologists on any further work that is proposed and especially to investigate any further cultural sites of cultural significance - LR – where does protecting a cultural landscape site sit in relation to protecting an archaeological site. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o NR – debate in courts. Could potential be reflected on the site. o JL – each client recognises there is potential adverse effects. Commitment to avoid, remedy and mitigate. If it is culturally sensitive there will be a commitment to have discussions to avoid, remedy and mitigate. - GK – conversation needs to be ongoing to arch. If there were more mapping done as to cultural landscapes. How can there be accountability if there are no reports etc <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o NR – no specific expert. Need MW to map and work together to create an ongoing conversation. Still don't know what that actually means just yet. - ET – wants to workshop on the cultural landscapes and continue to be included. - DS – if there is more inspections by archaeologists then can let Iwi know so that they can come along for the site visit and have input as to who the expert is
8	Recap <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cultural monitoring has to be inclusive of relocation of Taonga (living species) and treasure (define Taonga)

MEETING MINUTES



Urban & Environmental

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Mitigation fund – include in stream loss section on memo<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Combined fund that would be for• <u>Mana enhancement agreement</u> – prefer binding obligation and agreement<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ ET believes it may be a better type of agreement – can take place after PC lodgement• LR – can we put a commitment to put in something that will encourage council to adopt the treatment train approach to stormwater management and commit to ongoing and regular maintenance.<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Try and stipulate – augier principle• Kiwi support the principle of applying consent conditions on an augier basis.• JL wants it noted that there is potentially agreement to work on raingarden or consultation in the future with lwi involvement• Agreement to get memos signed with lwi supporting the Plan Change in principle
--	--