## BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT

### ENV-2024-AKL-000053

### **AUCKLAND REGISTRY**

# I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA KI TAMAKI MAKAURAU

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act 1991 (Act)

And

**In the Matter** of an appeal under section 174 of the Act

**Between** General Distributors Limited

**Appellant** 

And

**Auckland Transport** 

Respondent

Notice of Intention on behalf of Van Den Brink 652 Limited to be a Party to Proceedings under s 274 of the Act

Dated 23 April 2024

Jeremy Brabant

Barrister

Level 4, Vulcan Building Chambers

PO Box 1502, Shortland St

**Auckland City** 

021 494 506

Email: jeremy@brabant.co.nz

**To:** The Registrar

**Environment Court** 

Auckland

1. Van Den Brink 652 Limited (**VDB**) gives notice that it wishes to be a party to the following appeal:

General Distributors Limited v Auckland Transport (ENV-2024-AKL-000053)

The appeal by **GENERAL DISTRIBUTORS LIMITED** (**GDL**) under s 174 of the Act against parts of the decision by Auckland Transport (**AT**) to confirm Notice of Requirement 2 – Auckland Airport to Botany Rapid Transit Project – Rongomai Park to Puhinui Station (**NoR 2**).

#### 2. VDB:

- a. Made a submission on NoR 2; and
- b. Has an interest in the proceeding that is greater than the interest the general public has as:
  - VDB owns 5 Te Irirangi Drive Manukau and an associated entity owns 652 Great South Road Manukau. VDB leases part of its site to GDL which operates Countdown Manukau.
  - ii. VDB has appealed against the same or similar NoR 2 conditions the subject of this appeal and therefore has a direct interest in the relief sought.
  - iii. As an appellant and affected landowner, VDB will be directly affected by amendments to the NoR 2 conditions arising from the GDL appeal.
  - iv. The appeals engage with the same substantive matters and are likely to be case managed together.

- 3. VDB is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 4. VDB is interested in the entirety of the appeal to the extent that the relief sought could:
  - a. Impact the VDB land either directly or as a consequence of any amendment to the designation boundary; and
  - b. Result in amendments to conditions which:
    - i. VDB has appealed directly; and
    - ii. Affect all landowners subject to NoR 2.
- 5. VDB generally supports the relief sought to the extent that GDL's relief is the same or similar to VDB as:
  - a. It will promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
  - b. Will achieve the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;
  - c. Is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA;
  - d. Satisfies the requirements of s 171 of the RMA;
  - e. Gives effect to the relevant high order planning documents; and
  - f. Appropriately avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects on the environment.

6. VDB agrees to particip	ate in mediation or other alternative dispute
resolution of the appeal.	
Signature:	Van Den Brink 652 Limited by its authorised
	agent:
	BIA
	Jeremy Brabant
	Jeremy Brabant
Date:	Jeremy Brabant  23 April 2023
	23 April 2023
Date: Address for service:	23 April 2023  Jeremy Brabant / Shannon Darroch
	23 April 2023
	23 April 2023  Jeremy Brabant / Shannon Darroch
	23 April 2023  Jeremy Brabant / Shannon Darroch PO Box 1502, Shortland St

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland.

shannon@brabant.co.nz