## BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT

### ENV-2024-AKL-000061

### **AUCKLAND REGISTRY**

# I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA KI TAMAKI MAKAURAU

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act 1991 (Act)

And

**In the Matter** of an appeal under section 174 of the Act

Between Mitre 10 Holdings Limited

**Appellant** 

And Auckland Transport

Respondent

Notice of Intention on behalf of Chalmers Property Limited to be a Party to Proceedings under s 274 of the Act

Dated 23 April 2024

Jeremy Brabant

Barrister

Level 4, Vulcan Building Chambers

PO Box 1502, Shortland St

**Auckland City** 

021 494 506

Email: jeremy@brabant.co.n

**To:** The Registrar

**Environment Court** 

Auckland

1. Chalmers Properties Limited (**CPL**) gives notice that it wishes to be a party to the following appeal:

Mitre 10 Holdings Limited v Auckland Transport (ENV-2024-AKL-000061)

The appeal by MITRE 10 HOLDINGS LIMITED (Mitre 10) under s 174 of the Act against parts of the decision by Auckland Transport (AT) to confirm Notice of Requirement 2 – Auckland Airport to Botany Rapid Transit Project – Rongomai Park to Puhinui Station (NoR 2).

### 2. CPL:

- a. Made a submission on NoR 2; and
- b. Has an interest in the proceeding that is greater than the interest the general public has as:
  - CPL has appealed against the same or similar NoR 2 conditions the subject of this appeal and therefore has a direct interest in the relief sought.
  - ii. As an appellant and affected landowner, CPL will be directly affected by amendments to the NoR 2 conditions arising from the Mitre 10 appeal.
  - iii. The appeals engage with the same substantive matters and are likely to be case managed together.
- 3. CPL is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.

- 4. CPL is interested in the entirety of the appeal to the extent that the relief sought could:
  - a. Impact the CPL land either directly or as a consequence of any amendment to the designation boundary; and
  - b. Result in amendments to conditions which:
    - i. CPL has appealed directly; and
    - ii. Affect all landowners subject to NoR 2.
- 5. CPL generally supports the relief sought to the extent that Mitre 10's relief is the same or similar to CPL as:
  - a. It will promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
  - Will achieve the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;
  - c. Is the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA;
  - d. Satisfies the requirements of s 171 of the RMA;
  - e. Gives effect to the relevant high order planning documents; and
  - f. Appropriately avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects on the environment.

6. CPL agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the appeal. Signature: Chalmers Property Limited by its authorised agent: Jeremy Brabant 23 April 2023 Date: Address for service: Jeremy Brabant / Shannon Darroch PO Box 1502, Shortland St **Auckland** Mobile: 021 494 506 / 021 077 8497 **Email:** jeremy@brabant.co.nz shannon@brabant.co.nz

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland.