

Date: Tuesday, 26 April 2022

# Annual Budget 2022-2023 Franklin Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1 (30 - 9502)

Sub#	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
30		Franklin	1
33		Franklin	1
34		Franklin	1
41		Franklin	1
73		Franklin	1
77		Franklin	1
188		Franklin	1
256		Franklin	1
264		Franklin	1
276		Franklin	1
293		Franklin	1
297		Franklin	1
324		Franklin	1
330		Franklin	1
338		Franklin	1
340		Franklin	1
355		Franklin	1
361		Franklin	1
384		Franklin	1
426		Franklin	1
442		Franklin	1
464		Franklin	1
486		Franklin	1
522		Franklin	1
531		Franklin	1
551		Franklin	1
555		Franklin	1
571		Franklin	1
626		Franklin	1
629		Franklin	1
637		Franklin	1
660		Franklin	1
663		Franklin	1
688		Franklin	1
752		Franklin	1
756		Franklin	1
762		Franklin	1
770		Franklin	1
773		Franklin	1
783		Franklin	1
790		Franklin	1
809		Franklin	1
836		Franklin	1
842		Franklin	1
852		Franklin	1
887		Franklin	1
888		Franklin	1
920		Franklin	1
924		Franklin	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
934		Franklin	1
937		Franklin	1
944		Franklin	1
948		Franklin	1
1026		Franklin	1
1061		Franklin	1
1091		Franklin	1
1134		Franklin	1
1163		Franklin	1
1204		Franklin	1
1210		Franklin	1
1219		Franklin	1
1261		Franklin	1
1267		Franklin	1
1268		Franklin	1
1272		Franklin	1
1295		Franklin	1
1315		Franklin	1
1338		Franklin	1
1342		Franklin	1
1412		Franklin	1
1422		Franklin	1
1438		Franklin	1
1461		Franklin	1
1576		Franklin	1
1613		Franklin	1
1643		Franklin	1
1650		Franklin	1
1676		Franklin	1
		Franklin	
1693 1698		Franklin	1 1
1719		Franklin	1
1719		Franklin	1
1733		Franklin	1
1765		Franklin	1
1765		Franklin	1
1772		Franklin	1
1832		Franklin	1
1843		Franklin	1
2054		Franklin	1
2174		Franklin	1
2174		Franklin	1
2232		Franklin	1
2232		Franklin	1
2236		Franklin	1
			1
2294		Franklin	+
2300		Franklin	1
2333		Franklin	1
2341		Franklin	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
2369		Franklin	1
2370		Franklin	1
2511		Franklin	1
2528		Franklin	1
2555		Franklin	1
2646		Franklin	1
2658		Franklin	1
2675		Franklin	1
2686		Franklin	1
2696		Franklin	1
2700		Franklin	1
2738		Franklin	1
2783		Franklin	1
2838		Franklin	1
2853		Franklin	1
2866		Franklin	1
2876		Franklin	1
2880		Franklin	1
2896		Franklin	1
2917		Franklin	1
2986		Franklin	1
2997		Franklin	1
3055		Franklin	1
3062		Franklin	1
3089		Franklin	1
3203		Franklin	1
3278	Hight Art & Design	Franklin	1
3323	<u> </u>	Franklin	1
3329		Franklin	1
3352		Franklin	1
3400		Franklin	1
3435		Franklin	1
3455		Franklin	1
3481		Franklin	1
3551		Franklin	1
3568		Franklin	1
3662		Franklin	1
3725	Waiuku Business & Development Association	Franklin	1
3733	Te Ara Hīkoi	Franklin	1
3740		Franklin	1
3744		Franklin	1
3750		Franklin	1
3754		Franklin	1
3804		Franklin	1
3806		Franklin	1
3834		Franklin	1
3887		Franklin	1
3920		Franklin	1
		•	

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
3993		Franklin	1
4017		Franklin	1
4025		Franklin	1
4038		Franklin	1
4107		Franklin	1
4113		Franklin	1
4320		Franklin	1
4363		Franklin	1
4417		Franklin	1
4463		Franklin	1
4527		Franklin	1
4651		Franklin	1
4772		Franklin	1
4824		Franklin	1
4828		Franklin	1
4833		Franklin	1
4925		Franklin	1
4929		Franklin	1
4935		Franklin	1
4997		Franklin	1
4999		Franklin	1
5007		Franklin	1
5022		Franklin	1
5024		Franklin	1
5025		Franklin	1
5026		Franklin	1
5027		Franklin	1
5028		Franklin	1
5029		Franklin	1
5030		Franklin	1
5046		Franklin	1
5068		Franklin	1
5106		Franklin	1
5147		Franklin	1
5175		Franklin	1
5180		Franklin	1
5181		Franklin	1
5182		Franklin	1
5183		Franklin	1
5184		Franklin	1
5185		Franklin	1
5188		Franklin	1
5189		Franklin	1
5190		Franklin	1
5191		Franklin	1
5195		Franklin	1
5196		Franklin	1
5197		Franklin	1
5198		Franklin	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
5199		Franklin	1
5205		Franklin	1
5212		Franklin	1
5217		Franklin	1
5218		Franklin	1
5223		Franklin	1
5224		Franklin	1
5225		Franklin	1
5227		Franklin	1
5232		Franklin	1
5233		Franklin	1
5234		Franklin	1
5235		Franklin	1
5237		Franklin	1
5238		Franklin	1
5239		Franklin	1
5247	CBT construction	Franklin	1
5257	CBT construction	Franklin	1
5258		Franklin	1
5275		Franklin	1
5294		Franklin	1
5370		Franklin	1
5374		Franklin	1
5391		Franklin	1
5477		Franklin	1
5575		Franklin	1
5598		Franklin	1
5619		Franklin	1
5665		Franklin	1
5666		Franklin	1
5686		Franklin	1
5719		Franklin	1
5723		Franklin	1
5827		Franklin	1
5861		Franklin	1
5920		Franklin	1
5952		Franklin	1
5952		Franklin	1
5960		Franklin	1
5975		Franklin	1
5979		Franklin	1
5980		Franklin	1
5983		Franklin	1
5985		Franklin	1
5987		Franklin	1
5993		Franklin	1
5993		Franklin	1
		Franklin	1
6065			+
6070		Franklin	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
6140		Franklin	1
6157		Franklin	1
6342		Franklin	1
6404		Franklin	1
6421		Franklin	1
6440		Franklin	1
6446		Franklin	1
6449		Franklin	1
6451		Franklin	1
6472		Franklin	1
6556		Franklin	1
6573		Franklin	1
6647		Franklin	1
6769		Franklin	-
			1
6798		Franklin	1
6988		Franklin	1
7004		Franklin	1
7009	Clevedon Football Club	Franklin	1
7012		Franklin	1
7020		Franklin	1
7108		Franklin	1
7253		Franklin	1
7254		Franklin	1
7255		Franklin	1
7269		Franklin	1
7683		Franklin	1
7685		Franklin	1
7686		Franklin	1
7687		Franklin	1
7688		Franklin	1
7694		Franklin	1
7696		Franklin	1
7697		Franklin	1
7773		Franklin	1
7826		Franklin	1
7840		Franklin	1
7943		Franklin	1
7943		Franklin	1
7986		Franklin	1
7986	Clevedon Community and Business Association	Franklin	1
8000	Cievedon Community and business Association	Franklin	1
8181	Andreana Dagostian Club	Franklin	1
8210	Ardmore Recreation Club	Franklin	1
8668		Franklin	1
8671		Franklin	1
8672		Franklin	1
8689		Franklin	1
8690		Franklin	1
8692		Franklin	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
8693		Franklin	1
8695		Franklin	1
8900		Franklin	1
8990		Franklin	1
8992		Franklin	1
8993		Franklin	1
9023		Franklin	1
9024		Franklin	1
9026		Franklin	1
9027		Franklin	1
9231		Franklin	1
9271		Franklin	1
9338		Franklin	1
9345		Franklin	1
9346		Franklin	1
9358		Franklin	1
9359		Franklin	1
9361		Franklin	1
9363		Franklin	1
9438		Franklin	1
9502		Franklin	1







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: You haven't actually provided information on what services fall into these categories.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):			
Your local board: Franklin			

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** \$58 a year is a small amount to pay for future-proofing our city, but I do think more effort is needed to reduce waste and unnecessary spending.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Cost reductions are the key. Rate increases will be easier to sell to Aucklanders if we can see genuine cost-savings taking place. I still see pointless expediture going on. For example, is it really necessary to steam-clean every bollard in Beachlands? Is is really necessary to spray the grass verge with weedkiller when the weeds will be back within a month...surely residents can be trusted to look after their own verge. What is the point of sending the 739 bus around Beachlands every hour of the day with not single passenger on board. Surely buses can be concentrated in peak times only.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Refer to my answer to previous question.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Why so long? Should have happened in the immediate aftermath of amalgamation.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Priorities 1 and 4 are just meaningless waffle. Priorities 2,3 and 5 should be the key work of the Local Board...provided it has the necessary budget.

Yes, closure of some of the city's older and under-utilised halls should be a priority, so long as the proceeds of any sales are used locally.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Some major funding projects should be decided at Council level, not by Local Boards which tend to be too parochial. For example, In Franklin there are major sports facilities at both ends of the ward. The facility that gets the most funding is always the one that has the most local members on the Board.

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):			
Your local board: Franklin			

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Not a believer, this is all bs and you are only listening from the paid scientist. The science is not settled so stop wasting our money on vanity projects.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Need more cuts to spending.

# Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: As above

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Need our rates to reduce to support this.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The council is another fat pig that needs to go on a diet. Too much waste and vanity projects - I'm sick of you spending money you don't have then holding us ransom later

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Please ensure cycling and walking aren't near roads to minimize air pollution to pedestrians and cyclists.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Howick Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Should take dogs off beaches and make sure they are leashed at all times as some people who walk are afraid of dogs. Should reduce speed limits Should reduce speed limits on approach to Mangemangeroa Bridge. Should put barriers in middle of streets to stop head on collisions.

### 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Should take dogs off beaches and make sure they are leashed at all times on Publix parks as some people who walk are afraid of dogs. Should reduce speed limits Should reduce speed limits on approach to Mangemangeroa Bridge. Should put barriers in middle of streets to stop head on collisions. Should advertise fact that a 50 speed sign means the limit not the speed. Change signs to indicate normal speed range eg 40-50 instead of 50 speed limit sign. This would slow traffic and lessen road rage.

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: If we don't reduce emissions ASAP, we won't have a city in future. Simple as that.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** If money is needed, how about implementing a targeted extremely high tax rate on empty buildings? Stop absentee owners from banking buildings and doing nothing with them. This would raise money and also combat our housing issue.

# Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** You have placed actions related to climate change in the "Should do" category. They can't be delayed, as we are already close to the window closing during which we can act. Climate actions should be in the "Must do" category as none of them can be delayed by even a year.

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** Rubbish collection should be charged for. All recycling and food scraps collection should be free at point of use (paid for by rates). There should be a steep fines system for people who contaminate their recycling. This would motivate people to reduce their rubbish, increase their recycling and also reduce their waste output generally.

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I object to the part of the plan which states: "Continue to advocate for improvements to and development of roads of significance". We should not be building or widening any more roads, but instead should be funding only road reallocation to active transport, cycling and e-mobility devices. Building roads for cars only causes induced demand for new cars, which is detrimental to people's health, safety and long term future. Building new roads therefore cannot be part of any responsible council's planning from now on.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like to see some form of planning unit set up for developing public active trails across the Franklin area. It would be good to have board coordination and action in this area, as the current trails system is small and not networked. Planning and implementing a trails network would be highly beneficial to the Franklin community.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Mental health and infrastructure is so much more important. Look at Maslow's hierarchy of needs. This is a 'self-actualisation' stage. A luxury that is completely out of touch with what is happening today. Focus on physiological needs first - record number of people using food banks. Sharp increase in homelessness. The cost of everyday goods and services.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Remove the rates increase. It will kill people who are already stretched to the limit. Agree with reduction in some services. Cut salaries for most of the council staff to be in line with reality. Reduce red tape.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Needs to be clearer cut. What services are you stopping?

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** There was a reason it was moved away from rates-funded in the first place. If it is 1 small rubbish collection bin collected once a week, the cost will be disproportionate to what we pay currently. So do not agree if that is the case.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Give Government officials a pay cut

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

### Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I cannot voice my objection to strongly enough. NO, NO, NO, NO, Stop increased provision for walking and cycling. Auckland is too spread out for public transport, you should be concentrating on road improvement and completing projects already underway. Stop! There is NO climate emergency.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** People should pay for the waste they create. If you create only a little, then you pay only a little. If you create a large amount of waste, then you pay more. Surely this is sensible as it incentivizes people to cut down on both waste and cost, therefore reducing landfill, which in turns helps the planet.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not the city's issue-this is ridiculous & outrageous

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Slash your costs start with Auckland Transport & Ak Unlimited.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As above cut costs

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am totally dissatisfied with the council Leo for Mayor

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Absolutely essential that we tackle this issue, and that we understand it will cost each of us personally.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Again, managing the budget is what councils must do. BUT I would increase revenues to reduce the shortfall. I would not cut spending.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It makes sense and is pragmatic.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Again, it is pragmatically practical and necessary.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Managing climate change.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Feels like a double edged sword

Of course we want all these fabulous changes but the question suggests we would all pay more - on top of our rates for them - not cool!

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Making savings where you can and not increasing the planned rates increase seems logical

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Details are hard to read through in this format when many thought this was just a "survey" need to address the way you ask for feedback - be more specific

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Suppor between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Part of your climate improvement opportunities involves improved ferry services - and still Pine Harbour is no closer to getting a weekend service.

With the number of residents and more and more homes being built and no light on this situation- please address

# Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We already pay enough in taxes I think you should find another way to fund this

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Everyone is suffering so we have to make cut costing changing

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Yes the top issues need to be concentrated on first

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Yes we all just pay the tags it needs to be the same throught Auckland

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: If its an improvement it should be done

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cut spending in other areas if you need more funds.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Cut frivolous spending e.g. salaries.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reduce spending.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is needed

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Why should people pay for what they might not want/use. Auckland council always tries to treat us all the same but different parts of Auckland actually have different needs.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** This is a question for Ardmore and Clevedon residents, not anyone else.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Us locals should have more say on the urbanisation of our towns and the taking away of our good growing soil. The urbanisation is changing our whole village and we are not being updated with facilities to cope either. There is also inconsistent road markings in new subdivisions and we are being treated the same as inner CBD when we clearly have different needs and community in Franklin.

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** it's good initiative but the rates and the cost of living is already very high in Auckland, how do you suggest people get enough money for food if the rates are so high?

For rentals this additional cost will be passed on to the people who rent. They are already the most vulnerable in our society. Add to this soaring inflation which we currently have.

Instead why don't Council optimize its processes? I don't meat fire people who do actual work or reduce their salaries. There is already shortage of professionals and Council pays them peanuts

Here is an idea: our council CEO get's paid more that the COE of Melbourne Council by a fair amount. And our CEO doesn't control Transport or Water system so the pay isn't justified

Auckland council have more managers who get paid over \$100k that Brisbane Council. These two cities of similar sized and Brisbane has way better infrastructure, transport and amenities. So Auckland council can reduce salaries to it's executives to be on par with other similar cities in the world. We can also unite all the CCO's into one council, this will reduce overheads on top management and their salaries. Auckland council can also reduce the number of managers who do not provide anything tangible and instead hire more people who do actual work and pay them fairly.

Auckland Council will save money and will become more efficient.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Just be careful with sale of assets. It should be done in a smart way so we don't end up paying more in future or losing revenue from this assets in the long run.

Just be mindful when you make a statement about your issues due to COVID. Everyone is going through COVID and everyone is facing inflation. So you are not unique in this situation

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: There isn't a whole lot of details about this

Not many workers or tourists come to CBD so can reduce spend on this part for now

If Auckland improves the quality of Public transport than you can reduce other expenses on roads

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: would be good to get compost bins

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Public transport is important to me

Without it the city is not livable and right now Auckland Public Transport is in shambles

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Need to reduce our carbon emissions

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** We need to keep moving forward. Interest rates are still low and spending now on necessary changes required should not be delayed. History tells us that Auckland could have made necessary changes years ago and now we are playing catch up

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Reduce the amount of orange plastic bags in landfill

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** All good. Developers need to be comply with their consents. Eg. landscaping new developments, necessary parking,

New developments lack family friendly parks, closer business shops and public transport

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** In Pukekohe and other areas we have very large buses with very few people (often none, always less than 10) going round and round creating emissions. This is a massive waste of money and a massive creator of pollution. Now you want to add other unused services at a cost of \$600 Million. This is crazy

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I am retired and have no means to increase my income. Any rate increase is a reduction in my living standard. We eventually will be forced to sell our house because of the council rate increases usually higher than inflation.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Useless Bus routes should be stopped. Any activity which is not essential should be stopped. Panaku should have budget slashed so they dont waste money on colored roads and other non essential activity. AT should have their budget slashed to stop them putting road signs, speed bump, islands, white lines on roads and other non essential activities i.e lowering speed randomly

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: If not rated there will be a increase in illegal dumping

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Pukekohe is not part of Central Auckland but we are expected to pay for the expensive central projects that we will never use. The only thing we see are narrow dangerous roads in new subdivisions and little other action except trains and buses which no trusts or uses.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council needs to reduce massive debt, reduce staff numbers which was a promise of the "supercity" formation, reduce staff wages (e.g watercare and other CCO's), and thus reduce rates. Auckland does not have to be the best city in the world because we cant afford it.

I fill in this form with the thought, like the speed increase feedback, that this feedback will be totally ignored

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The Council is overstaffed and spend excessively on unnecessary projects. For example the commuter train between Hamilton and Auckland.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Needless to say anymore about how our money is being spent! Clearly a large portion of spending is for a group of people to sit around and discuss prioritising operating spending instead of taking decisive action.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Your priorities are a lot of fluff and no actual actions. We want to know who, how, and when.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Yes. The Council is overstaffed and inefficient, and you don't listen to your rate payers. Projects in Franklin seem to be decided on by far away offices by people who have never visited our area. Your priorities are a lot of fluff and no actual actions. We want to know who, how, and when.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: A fair way to raise the money

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Needs must even though hard decisiond

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Support but need to look at the way bus services are used. Need some more smaller fuel efficient vehicles

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** A user paysystenm encourages people to do sly Tipping or other means of disposal.. either putting next to councilor work rubbish bins

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Yes this supports my views

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I do believe that minutes from local board meetings should be published locally. It is a cop out for local boards to say if you want to know you should come along. Meetings may not be convenient times for many people.

## Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates have increased, inflation has gone up. Everything is more expensive

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: need to provide decent public transport to all area in Auckland first.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: how will this be funded?

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

provide bus link to Pine Harbour ferry

ferry at weekends

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Pay enough already. Don't believe this will make any impact on climate change at all and will only add financial pressure to ratepayers

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Capital spending is not a priority. Always room for more efficiency and cost cutting of council expenditure

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** PAYT is better in terms of making people think about minimising waste. You have had years to explore alternatives to the tags - I suggested a number of years ago that you simply barcode the bins, and each resident pays by direct debit to ensure rubbish collection. All you need is a scanner to read the bar code and tell whether it's been paid for.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: focus on reducing salaries of executives at council

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: focus on reducing the amount of executives and their exuberant remuneration

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have beautiful tree lined streets in Pukekohe and wish it to continue

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Transport and waste are necessary for every household

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: My waste is what I choose to waste. I recycle as much as possible and compost all food waste.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Other

Tell us why: Not my area and not frequented by me.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Prioritising major works is sensible in this Covid affected economy

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** climate deterioation was caused by carbon emissions, all associated industry should pay not local government.

Use the additional money generated from the petrol tax

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: not enough information on what services will be affected

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Great idea to standardise waste collection across auckland. surely cost savings

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** because since the declaration of the climate emergency Pukekohe has had large diesel buses driving around empty ALL day. why is there no small electric vehicles to do this job. therefore i believe climate emergency was a virtual signal

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: there are plenty of projects that could be postponed until money is made rather than borrowing or taxing.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: because the council is based on over paying talentless civil servants and cant make desicions.

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: user pays would keep rubbish down.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: waffle

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

auckland council is a bloated organisation that thinks that spending on the frivilous is ok. supporting a light rail that services a tiny proportion of the population while disrupting significant routes is typical.

## Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** There has always been significant under investment in lower socioeconomic areas in terms of urban tree cover and parks green space, especially in the south Auckland area. This needs to be addressed and managed if we are to adequately adapt in a warming world.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think there needs to be better master planning and consultation provided as part of greenspace creation in the new subdivisions throughout Franklin. With a step change in motion where residential lots are decreasing in size in our district, more emphasis needs to be put into implementing similar strategies to that which are used in central Auckland in terms of ensuring we allocate enough areas for greenspace development for a growing population instead of 'kicking the can down the road'. This has been a failing of small Councils in the past and something we should learn from an ensure we do not repeat these mistakes over and over again.

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We have owned our house 20 years and lived here permanently at Kawakawa Bay 16 years, on the beachfront.

Three years ago our house survived a flood on our front lawn & entire road throughout the BAY, it was over 2ft deep when the tide came over the low soil bank.

WE NEED A SEA WALL LIKE MISSION BAY

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Unfortunately we are all going to have to accept that extra costs are inevitable with the long term affects of Covid.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Money needs to be redirected from areas that are NOT a priority.

Peoples lives are priceless, buildings, cycle tracks, walk ways over the Harbour Bridge and parks etc not so much.

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: As a community most of us TRY to to do the right thing.

But sadly since the removal of Inorganic Collections many of our Rural roads, parks and beaches have become a dumping ground.

Placing orange illegal dumping stickers on such bags etc is a waste of that person's wages.

Maybe offer residents a service annualy where they do a booking for a discounted waste (tip) drop instead of the individual Inorganic pick ups that must cost huge amounts.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: Unfortunately many small halls and public grass areas are being taken over by housing.

These spaces are hugely important for the growth and well being of young ppeople.

Sadly many of our children will not be lucky enough to experience running about in their back yard as most houses only have a few feet either side of the actual home they are growing up in.

Clevedon Village has experienced HUGE disruption as a result of below standard works on the main road projects for nearly 3 years..

Local business and residents shouldn't have to pay for mistakes and possible short cuts taken by actual Contactors etc.

Maybe a Construction Bond should be held for the complete resortaration of roads etc back to the original state.

If the area still has pot holes, dangerous sealing and raised man holes the contractor MUST fix it before getting the bond refunded.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Our community has struggled with illegal campers on Council Land for over 15 years or more.

We REALLY need someone to take responsibility to fix the problem. Often we just get an email response after our complaint.

That appears to be Box Ticking.

After those, nothing is done.

Covid can't be blamed as this has been an age old issue.

BUT NOTHING IS FIXED

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I see the buses travelling everywhere with nobody on them. That causes more climate damage. We need to support the government and people to produce vehicles that are more climate friendly. Perhaps were can manufacture a climate friendly kiwi car. Generally people will always want to use private cars for work, shopping, visiting friends and family. Only people who live near bus and train stations and work close to major bus or train routes will use public transport. We have no underground subway and never will so we need to change in a way that the public will accept.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** The council needs to support projects that save money. The council also needs to stop listening to small pressure groups demanding changes to suit a few. Leave the government to deal with social issues and just ensure your council services such as rubbish collection and water services are as effective as possible.

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Playgrounds, parks, building inspections, rubbish collections and water services are all good. Social and cultural projects are a waste of money as you will always make some people happy and most likely more people resentful. Just do what everybody needs which is supporting essential services. You are a council that supports better living not a social welfare department.

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I use both in that I hire a waste bin privately. I like the idea of a general waste bin and a recycle waste bin and charged in your rates as it give us the best chance to recycle waste and will hopefully stop people from throwing rubbish away on the roadside.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: They look good to me. We do need to get rid of pot holes and improve the roads.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Just ease back on the cultural and social issues as that is the governments job. The dealings I have had with council employees have shown me that your staff are great and very professional.

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Additional costs on top of high inflation are too much to bear right now

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Higher levels of efficiency needed and more assets sales are required to cushion impact of Covid on ratepayers.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** The service prioritization information provided in inadequate, there is not enough information on what exactly you intended to prioritize. The Auckland plan is not a useful prioritization tool as there are too many focus ares and objectives and no ranking of priority between them. As we are heading for a very austere budget a prioritization based on Maslows hierarchy of needs would be my preference: 1) services that relate to physiological needs first (water, housing, sewage, waste mgt, transport) 2)Safety needs second (road safety, flooding, etc) 3)Belonging & love third-(community facilities etc.) and so on.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: User pays incentivizes waste minimization

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Because of poor budget management, a lot of funds go into paying consultants, research etc and very little gets done in the field. So until we have this area sorted we should not take money from ratepayers. In short there has been poor outcomes in many projects like this taken by Council. Let us work with National Govt projects.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** We must seriously look at all spend control within budget. How did we blow the budget when in Covid time should be reduced expense? Ratepayers still paid same amount as usual and others paid their dues, are your debt collection working with all concerned. Have been aware that some citizens do not pay their debts and we quickly wipe their debts off, while us good ones pay at all times.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Again we need to ensure we get worth for the dollar spent. We seem to have too many consultants/wasted research/pamphlets etc that take a lot of funds. Tidy up in these areas.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** There were and are many good reasons why we went for PAYT. Why waste money again on paperwork, changes and re changes and spend dollars here. Stick with a good system. Unnecessary changes cost money. You called for waste reduction and PAYT supports that as user pays.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

#### Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Some are good initiatives and most of these should be there anyway- these are soft lovey-dovey initiatives. Community led events only usually capture few, same people again and again and majority silent ones miss out. But if you build the infrastructure, parks, play areas etc then all can use them. Build and improve solid outcomes not soft ones which chew money and disappear without tangible outcomes. Eg .roads are bad in the areas and roadsides are overgrown. Rubbish lying around. Tidy up first.

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Watch closely o spending on nontangible stuff like events, meetings, social things etc- these chew up funds for those that manipulate and jump up and down. Spend on real upgrades and infrastructure. Name changes will not help lift the standards.

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** In one question you are asking for more money, in the next you are saying you are short of money. In times like this you need to focus the finances (both council and individual) on the absolute must haves. Unfortunately climate action doesn't fall into this category.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is WELL over due!

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Its a waste of money NZ's impact is too small on the wider issue. This is a political spotlight grabbing attempt.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Your increases are too much looking at the current inflation levels - household cannot service. This is not sustainable. Cut back on your wastage.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: More money grabbing on your part

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: Clevedon is in Papakura area and too far from Franklin core areas where those halls are currently at.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Franklin - Need to include water treatment, it is embarrassing that we pay for watercare the same as Central Auckland and our water supply is of our lower quality adding damage to property and health issues

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I want water treatment of the Franklin area to be improved. The harsh water is ruining appliances, creating skin irritations and not safe to drink from the tap.

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The issues Aucklanders face is not around climate change and having electric busses or cycling lanes or more trees. Our fundamental issues are around insufficient roading and poorly managed roading projects, unsustainable fuel prices and the unsustainable cost of living. This may be great for Central Aucklanders but does ABSOLOUTELY NOTHING for people in the more rural areas of Auckland. the average Auckland resident doesn't care too much about these climate projects, if you listen to your people you will see there are bigger and more pressing issues that need to be dealt with first.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I do NOT support you increasing the rates further again. I am an average salary earning Auckland homeowner, the price of my property in Pukekohe has risen significantly in the past 5 years, I am paying \$150 more in rates per quarter but I have not seen 1 initiative that directly benefits ME or my family. All I am seeing is increased costs and nothing in return that benefits the wider community. My area has no decent children's playgrounds that include shade, fencing or a single new playground or park thats been built for us. PEOPLE ARE DOING IT REALLY BLOODY TOUGH IN AUCKLAND, GIVE THEM A BREAK!

Selling your assets is also never a good idea, those assets previously sold could be generating further income in coming years.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** I do support this but you need to prioritize spending for projects that will genuinely provide some relief or financial change to Aucklanders.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Great initiative.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** I'm genuinely shocked at how little money you have allocated to this region. When I compare for example the Upper Harbour Local Board or Henderson-Massey spending vs the Franklin Spending, there is barely anything of significance on the Henderson-Massey budget. Franklin: Continue to advocate for improvements to and development of roads of significance and for transport options that recognize the challenges unique to our rural and isolated communities and primary production industries. -This is absolutely CRUCIAL to literally every single person that lives in the Franklin area, we shouldnt have to advocate, we should be getting what we need, period.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Road improvements

Children's Parks and Playgrounds with adequate cover and fencing.

Less focus on climate change and more focus on helping Aucklanders daily lives

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** how to confirm that the sale of non-strategic assets won't repeat the sad story of selling KiwiRail which was purchased again for more!

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** "Climate action" is nothing but an etheric argument to defend the council's priority number 1, what is increasing the rates. The additional income will be spent on everything that increases the number of people working for the council.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** the only solution is implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction, not only in SOME services but in ALL. The council has an administrative waterhead because it can use is monopolistic tax position at will, whatever the shortfall may be. Any private company working with such underperforming overoccupation would be broke in less than half a year.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Services? What services?

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** This is preposterous. At the moment I pay for waste service in my rates PLUS a fee for each bag. If, according to question 4B, people can opt out, it is sure that we will be inundated with waste thrown along every road, especially in the rural areas.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Etheric proposals from a few people with only idealistic, but minimal effect for the great majority of the population, but money-sinkholes for tens of millions of tax dollars. Clevedon? Are the inhabitants there in need of more exclusivity boosting? What is wrong with building showgrounds and cricket grounds in Otahuhu or Manurewa?

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

There absolutely should not be any action or decision, that negatively affects the democratic rights of 85% of the population in favour of a small minority that based on their race claims rights and payment of duties by others for those rights. New Zealand has become and still is a first world country because of this majority.

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This needs to be of benefit to the majority

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't support selling off green spaces, once they are gone there is no going back

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Should reduce the risk of fly tipping

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Public transport options from Clevedon into the wider transport network need to be looked into especially if there is a retirement village and other new sub divisions happening, otherwise everyone will need a car

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The council appears to be working around tweaking the status quo rather than making changes to the way Auckland functions as a city. There is a lack of initiatives to promote localised working rather than the continued promotion of funneling people into the city centre. There should certainly be consideration given to a city centre congestion charge in the first instance as this will target a large proportion of the commuting that causes a lot of our issues. There is also a lack of focus on encouraging micro-action from ratepayers - such as a higher focus on small scale power generation, rooftop water harvesting, the allowance of unregistered, restricted capacity EV's in urban areas - that can make positive changes.

The focus and spend on cycling is also disproportionate to the benefits it can give with the proposed infrastructure - Auckland winters are not conducive to cycling the large distances often required over such a sprawling city and until the council is prepared to make steps towards cycleways that address this, money can be much better spent.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** The operation and management of Auckland Coucil has been fundamentally flawed for some time now, resulting in spiraling debt levels and a lack of accountability. There needs to be a longer-term strategy to significantly reduce debt levels that isn't changed on the whim and competence of the councilors you get dealt every three years.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** This is far too vague and open to the (mis)interpretation of the council. A 'key priority' for one person could be very low down the list of priorities for another.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** There are clearly advantages and disadvantages for both systems - the PAYT system should be more effective at dissuading people to throw things out unnecessarily, but a standardised 'three bin' service is likely to be more easily administered without the need to have a tag sale network and the bin wagons only needing a single operator with a lower H&S risk from lifting the bags. I would certainly like to see a bigger-picture discussion on waste and consider options for increased recycling or the use of a waste-to-energy plant for the region.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** The priorities are so vague that it's impossible to know if you support them or not. As I'm not ever likely to benefit from Admore Hall/Bell Field then it's not really one for me to answer.

It does feel like the Franklin Board is to all intents and purposes the Pukekohe Board and the rest of the area is there to make up the numbers with the occasional token gesture. The Pohutukawa Coast is still lumped with an expensive ferry, poor roading, being a waterside community with no facilities to teach kids to swim, no walking/cycling links to outside the area, poor facilities for the disabled and under-resourced schooling.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Supporting a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Being prudent.

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I believe that reduced capital spending should be considered - priotritise. Operational maintenance and management including renewals is vital to moving forward.

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Sounds rational

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Clevedon showgrounds need in vestment to support impending growth.

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

### Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Support if it is real.

Real cycle routes designed by cyclists, not partial on/off systems.

Promoting small energy efficient vehicles using all fuels until NZ electricity is 100% renewable. Utes and SUVs over 5m dont have a place in the city.

Put more thought into this instead of blanket one liners!

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending

- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The City should have managed it's expenditure over the last two years as the rest of us have. Salaries are bloated and incompetence is rife. Stop outsourcing everything and take responsibility for making the city you live in better.

You have plenty of money, learn how to make it practically go further. STOP TAKING

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Manage your money better.

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Paying for each bag allows you to see the minimization and be rewarded for it.

Having a bin means you need to plan (and pay) for the worst case and see no benefit from weekly reductions.

Bins encourage waste maximization.

Focus on clean, accurate and effective recycling which is the future.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Clean up the Auckland harbours and streams so they are fit for resident use. Don't ignore cropping as it has filled the harbour with silt and mangroves over the last 70 years. The rest of the country has been cleaning up their act for decades but Auckland is a long way behind. The Auckland council owns kilometers of the Manukau harbour foreshore but neglects it and grows more noxious weeds than any other land owner.

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Satellite imagery suggests the eruption of Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai could cause long-lasting environmental damage. Compared to that what Auckland can do is a drop in the bucket. Get real and stop being driven by thick as bricks Greenies! We can't afford their wishful thinking.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Keep the assests and stop extra spending giving those who have suffered from the last two years some relief. You can't keep pusing up rates to satisfy elitist groups!

### Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop extra spending till we catch our breaths ... please!

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's essentail and it works

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: it is good to use public transport to reduce pollution

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We can reduce the unnecessary expences. Auckland council will give better services to people

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

# Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I don't want to buy bags every week when I pay my rates on time like a responsible citizen.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

# Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I do not want to fund a inefficient system where there is no accountability. If you want to improve the transport choice of 1 million Aucklanders then take the time to find out where there is an issue, i.e., identify the problem and qualify it - project management 101!

You introduce a bus services in areas where there is no demand and there will never be the capacity. Added to this since the introduction of this service the damage to the road network has been significant.

I was part of the community engagement process for the rail to the airport and it was very evident that everything was driven by self interest and emotion and no fundamental basic principles.

Learn from other cities of the same size and topographical challenges as Auckland has.

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** COVID is a red herring which is another excuse for hiding incompetency. Remember when everyone voted for a lower increase in rates and the Auckland Council ignored the people and did what they wanted.

So how can you convince anyone about your sincerity?

As for waste minimisation - have a very close look at the productivity on you current systems and see how you can save. What is wrong with a carbon neutral incineration system or a work class recycling processes - are these too big a challenge for the Auckland Council?

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Spending money on work that does not deliver real meaningful change or where there is no commitment or accountability is simple bad business practice.

If you are wanting to mitigate risks suggest that the council focuses on using engineering controls rather then wasting time and money an administrative controls.

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: You are clipping the ticket at both ends. Our house hold has been recycling for 40 years.

All grass clipping, food waste, etc are composited and recycled back into the garden. So you will charge me for a service that I don't use?

Before the yellow recycling pins we would take all my glass, tins, paper etc to be recycling - then coucil introduced the yellow bin and yet again again you a making money out of me for a free service we provided to council.

As for the red general waste bin. On average our 120l red bin is about 1/3 full so does that mean you are going to change us for half what I'm paying for now?

I am very certain that I will be paying the same amount for the 80I bin as I am currently for the 120I bin - is this yet another price gouging exercise by the council?

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: Infrastructure and essential services

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No not support any spend/plans that promotes a two tier system

### Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We have to do everything possible to address climate change.

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Money could be spent better elsewhere

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I do not support a rates increase. Everyone is suffering due to covid

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Saves money and doesn't increase costs to rate payere

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We only put rubbish out once a month.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Keep rates down and spending to necessary only. Climate change can wait until there is money to burn

### Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Firstly climate change is a factor which is not able to be influenced by man it is determined by the sun. Secondly, it is not an issue for local government

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Sell the airport. Council should not be an investor. Also dispose of port.

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Stop wasting money on things like Maori initiatives, climate, cycling paths and other non-core activities and get back to provision of basic services

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: All a waste of money. Do none of it and lower rates

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Stop wasting my money on non-core services; maintain the roads, provide water and waste services and trim your costs to suit

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Our household has no active income other than superannuation

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** The waste we generate in my household is very little, only one bag per fortnight. We will end up subsidising others with more waste, unless we are charge as and when the bin is emptied.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is urgent!

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Makes sense.

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I live alone so create less waste.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The plant goes through cycles of heating and cooling. NZ has a minimal impact on the overall change in temperatures. The money would be better used to reduce wastage (Food, materials etc). In the 1990's we were told the earths temp would rise by 1.5 deg by 2015 and water levels would rise significantly - it didn't. Europe was 2 deg wamer than it is now in the Roman times, the earth has cooled since then, but is now on a heating cycle. Greenland is covered in ice - funny name for a place covered in ice....unless it was not covered in ice when it was populated by humans!

Public transport is a waste of time for anyone not living in the city centre as are cycleways. The roads outside the main urbanisations need much more work to keep them safe (if the target of zero deaths is to be believed as being serious) and this is more important than putting on more empty buses. There is more inequity in paying for public transport infrastructure when you have no opportunity to use it (ie there are no bus routes or train lines any where near where you live) than there is for those of a lower demographic group. They are more likely to live within easy walking distance of public transport routes but choose not to use them, as opposed to those who have no opportunity to engage with public transport. You are proposing to right this inequity. How - by providing public transport to those you discriminate against, i.e. those who have no option but to use a private vehicle?

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Rate rises must be kept to a minimum and at previously agreed levels (emphasis on previously agreed).

There are too many topics included in this section to cover by selecting which item above.

Cost reductions in the form of efficiency must be implemented. Productivity is wowefully low and needs to be improved significantly to ensure that Kiwis are getting value for money from the services they pay for.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Decisions that could reduce, stop or change services need to be addressed, especially when they relate to idealistic spending on projects that can't be accuratley measured and are incorrectly tracked (eg Climate change)

#### Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We manage our waste products very successfully and have reduced our use of refuse collection to monthly use for both recycling and waste. A PAYT system suits us and if we paid the same as others this would not encourage us to be as frugal as we are with our waste items. Having a rates funded system disadvantages those of us who have chosen to manage our waste and discriminates against us as we have reduces our costs incurred when buying bags / tags, where a rates system would unfairly increase our costs.

There is no option to comment on 4B - so will comment here - there should be an opt out opportunity for residential and lifestyle properties with 1 unit (or household) on site. If not you are discriminating against those who only have one residence on their property.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Clevedon is an affluent area and is growing in it's own right, Hunua needs more investment and expansion of the community facilities before Clevedon does.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Its important

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Something needs to be done – Your proposals are vague as are your costings. Reducing the carbon footprint of the city should affect everyone not just the easy targets. A joined-up approach to public transport to get cars off the road would have a massive impact but again your income from petrol and other taxes and parking would reduce. Which one is more important?

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Your excuses for having this pressure should have been thought of 2 years ago. Borrowing money even a year ago would have cost nothing. Plan further ahead. There is no mention in the entire document about revenue from consents and permits. Yet any services to control what is a free for all in the building sector are the first ones to be scrapped so that revenue keeps flowing unabated regardless of the damage and inconvenience it has on other rate payers. Who cares about those people as long as we get the consent and permit money? Make and support rules for everyone not just the ones that you pay you more – We are all ratepayers.

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** As mentioned before you only prioritise sections that have a revenue content over other rate payers or to please minority communities and sections. A normal ratepayer doesn't fall into these groups so is ignored.

## 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Sounds like a reasonable idea. You also need to look forward at processing of rubbish to make it more viable to the community. Landfill is not the complete answer look at other processes that could turn rubbish into income.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Vague

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

As previously mention the average rate payer is not considered in most of these proposals. Other income revenue channels are prioritised above ratepayers and" geographically vulnerable and isolated communities" and builders are the benefactors. I might get a pothole filled in somewhere along a car journey or even a road sign pointing in the right direction but value for money I don't see it happening.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** You say: "we know Aucklanders want to see an increased and sped-up response to the climate emergency"

I dont know anyone who wants this and I dont believe there is an emergency

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Change the Pukekohe / Franklin Bus service. The large buses are hardly used. Use smaller busses and or cancel some times or routes. It may be be cheaper to have free taxis on demand

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Different sizes is a good idea. Need to watch for people throwing rubbish on the roadside though (to try and save money)

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Too much emphasis on climate change. Thee is very little you can do to stop / chance mother nature. It will happen anyway. Dont waste our money.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Tackle the problem of the vast No of cars congregating in the area doing burnouts each weekend

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We cannot remedy climate change, unless the major co2 producers also come on target at the same time .this is not going to happen, so our efforts are all in vain, and will further damage our collective economy financials.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** firstly, all non essential govt wasted spending has to stop, to name just one, stop funding beneficiaries with more than two children, I.E; stop encouraging the multi children beneficiaries to produce even more children.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

## Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** keep the PAYT system it is much fairer...we reduce waste to a minimum and proactively recycle, rates are already out of control, and need desperately to be reduced, again too much monetary wastage

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

aim at reducing over all rates, stop using inflation for an excuse for raising rates annually, there is too much unorganized monetary waste e.g...roading maintainance and repairs, most of the repairs are executed to a very poor standard, made to fit an pre mandated budget... and have to re visited on a regular basis, Fulton Hogan for e,g, are milking the govt contracts, very little quality control, they mostly have to redo their work every two years, and it goes on and on.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** If people want to buy a new car, it is their choice as to what to buy not be told what to buy. Not everyone uses public transport as it is not convenient to all people. I prefer to use my car. I support recycling

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Mayors and councillors should get smaller salaries as their expensive salaries are greedy and unnecessary for the work that doesn't happen!! Do only essential maintenance and work around Auckland, e.g. improving drainage infracture, footpaths, etc. We do not need more cycleways around Auckland as there are too many now and totally unnecessary. They also take up car parking around certain areas, e.g. around Tamaki Drive where businesses need carparking for their businesses.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

**Tell us why:** At present we use a private company for a 80L rubbish bin for a family of 4 adults for a weekly collection. We pay them directly for this use. The council collection bins would have to be the same or less charge for us to want to use this service offered by the council. We do composting so don't have much in food waste.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** We have a reserve in front of our property in Pukekohe that Auckland Council wishes to sell to put a house on. Myself and neighbours have protested against this as there is a large subdivision being built nearby (about 5 minutes away). We still need green spaces around Pukekohe. I emailed our two local councillors about a year ago about this and they were useless - no reply from Bill Cashmore and an outdated email reply from Andy Baker about his summer holidays the year before! Total waste of time contacting them as they didn't seem interested as to what was happening in their area. Won't bother contacting them again in a hurry!!!

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Auckland already pays enough in rates to support many of the things around auckland that need doing. With these trying times sticking with the higher rates increase will be even toufher for people to stay in their homes

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** PAYT system benefits so many more people that are concious with their rubbish and do not have to empty each week. I think it would be unfair to have these people feel punished for doing the right thing environmentally

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Waiuku otaua road in Waiuku needs a walkway for children to be able to walk to school. People to use for exercise. People travelling to work by bike

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We need a sports facility for Waiuku, ie inside pool for our community. We are like the poor cousin who has been forgotten. Waiuku otaua road to cemetry rd in waiuku, needs footpath its a 50 km/phr zone. Our kids need a footpath to keep them safe, people walking and travelling to work by bike

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I support this but suggest that currently full size buses are not required in Pukekohe, small buses or vans would use less fuel, also, more pedestrian crossings needed in Pukekohe. Cycling is not a great option for Pukekohe, or for old, or unwell people, or families with small children, and not for rural people, or in bad weather. or people who can't ride a bike.

## Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Government does not contribute enough funding and has burdened Council with too much of the costs of growth. They should not take GST from Council.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** I think the Franklin Board is woefully underfunded, I would like more community engagement before local assets are sold, with the sale proceeds reinvested in the local area.

on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I'd like provision for recycling of soft plastics with the kerbside collection.

I'd like the Regional Fuel Tax put on hold until the unspent \$200+ million tax collected so far has been used up. The cancellation by Government of key South Auckland roading projects, in particular Mill Road is appalling. Their excuse of cost blow outs due to increased cost to buy up properties, (why didn't they buy them years ago- after all they must have been aware that land values were increasing right?????) Plus they jerked around the owners of those designated properties didn't they??So the congestion will only get worse, but oh it's only SOUTH Auckland.

I'd like more pedestrian crossings in Pukekohe.

I'd like roading and infrastructure in place BEFORE housing developments,

I'd like no more BLACK houses built, this contributes to global warming- light colours only please.

I'd like no more car dependent towns formed, like Paerata Rise, where there are no shops or jobs except one cafe and a school, people have to drive to do anything. And there is hardy any provision for parking cars. Just up the road, by the Karaka school, another car dependent housing development has grown. Why put houses up where there is nothing there, so that people must drive to another town for everything? Towns should be formed around business and industry and be reasonably self sufficient so that people don't have to drive everywhere for work and goods and services.

I'd like all parks and reserves protected for the future, NOT sold off or re-zoned for housing. Section sizes are really small now, there's a real lack of off street and on street parking, people need open spaces so they don't go mad! Streets in new subdivisions are far too narrow with not enough provision for parking. I wonder how fire trucks navigate them.

It's easy to see there is a lack of local services now, after a storm, broken tree branches on road frontages lay around for months waiting to be picked up by Council workers. Franklin does not enjoy the level of Council services we used to have, but looking at the Franklin budget its easy to see why.

I believe Watercare is excellent, Pukekohe water is now drinkable. Three Waters will not benefit Auckland at all, it will be disasterous. We should keep resisting this change.

The Council needs to be louder in criticism of Government and demand more financial support from Government. Council should make the public more aware of underfunding from Government, in the context that budget cuts impacts on all of our daily lives through worse congestion and less local services, and rates increases. How many people know that the Auckland Council pays more money to Government than Government pays Council? This is completely wrong.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I think more needs to be done to increase the frequency of bus and ferry services - these should be prioritise to get cars of the road, which you can't do unless you have a high frequency service. Currently no bus from where I am to the city and the pine harbour ferry doesn't go on weekends. This is more important than cycle ways and trees.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Agree with selling some assets that are non-strategic. I think the council pays far too much for roading and infrastructure. We need a more competitive infrastructure industry. also cannot reduce service on roading, maintenance or security.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** We have a significant issue in Auckland with security and transport and water quality. These things should be prioritised. More policing for Auckland especially inner city. Clean the beaches - current standard is a disgrace. Improve access to public transport - frequency and quality of transport is the issue.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** In general I support this but lifestyle blocks should be able to opt out of the food waste option and have zero cost if they do - we have zero food waste as our pigs eat all the scraps!

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Massive problem with the quality of the Whitford Maraetai road - needs to be resolved.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I live out in beachlands walking and cycling is not an option to get to work or shopping

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** As a trained Environmental Scientist I do not see this as a crisis or even something of pressing concern. It detracts from, and diverts funding from, real environmental concerns such as water quality, marine ecosystem health, and the effects of a high population density city on our environment.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Ratepayers should not be punished for Council mismanagement. For infrastructure funding I want to see part of GST to be given to local and regional councils and for central government to reduce it's budget. I want to see local and regional councils join together to pressure Wellington to relinquish GST funds.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Council focus should be on getting rid of segregation policies, balancing the budget, core responsibilities (three waters, waste, parks and recreation, libraries), cutting non core activities (everything else).

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** A rates funded system penalizes those who do not generate waste and subsidies those who do. That is exactly the opposite of the principles of sustainability and will remove the key incentive for people to reduce their personal waste generation.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I don't trust the board to act in the best interests of the community. I do not believe that the stated priorities mean what it appears on face value to say. In other words I believe the implementation of the priorities will be counter to the stated priorities.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

There needs to be a radical culling of non core council activities. A radical culling of the budget. A refocus on core activities which will be funded by GST from central government which will have to be forced to cut their budget in real terms. There needs to be a radical refocusing of the councils culture towards integrity, accountability, and moral behaviour. Currently the public are rapidly loosing their faith in all social institutions. There is only a short period of time before the social contract is completely broken.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We are literally fucked and this is one of the least things we can do to move toward climate action on a large scale

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: No Bus or ferry services in Waiau Pa

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Support spending cuts, get back to basics.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Improve Manukau Harbour facility's - Clarke's beach wharf ferry link to city/Airport.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because climate change is not good, and it is too hot.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** build more houses so they can be cheaper plz, my mums been working night shifts as a nurse for like 6 years and she looks exhausted :(

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: reduce housing prices, please

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

housing prices plzz, we've been renting for so long, and I just want a dog and my mum to not be so tired everyday

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The above changes are unlikely to affect me because I am semi-rural, but I care about reducing our emissions.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: There's nothing in there about developing more facilities for young people's recreation

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The public transport system is good if you work/live near a station or busy hub but if you're slightly out of the way it's not useful. For example look how one would get from paneika lane otahuhu to 375 east tamaki road

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Public transport in Otahuhu. Princes street east in particular

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We are in rural Franklin (Awhitu) so none of this benefits us at all. We already have our own water, sewerage and have done numerous plantings to help with tree cover shade and run off for nutrients into the harbour. Quite frankly money spent on anything to do with cycling just pisses me off when our roads are in such poor shape.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** We don't want to see any rate increases out our way given we are not geting any money spent in our community.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I suspect it is going to affect our community more than the city or suburban Auckland as we have already lost services during covid and likely no money will get greenlighted to fix the issus in our community.

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We are already paying both now. One on our rates and paying for bags.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We would just like to see our roads fixed up the Awhitu Peninsula. They used to be repaired annually under Franklin Council but since Auckland incorporated us against our will, we have only had the bare miniumum of repairs and the roads are dangerous and in bad shape causing lots of accidents which the local community again has to support and fund with our fire and ambulance volunteers taking time off work to respond to call outs. The road surface is in terrible condition and we need passing lanes to stop dangerous overtaking of slow/farm vehicles.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: If you are paying each time more thought may be on recycling. If a bin just put it in it.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

It is long overdue to cancel most of the buses travelling to the Pukekohe Railway Station. This service has now been in operation for over 5 years but probably 95% of buses arriving and leaving have not a single passenger. Very costly to run and just adds to our climate problem.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I make a conscious effort to reduce waste going to landfill, and often will only put a small rubbish bin out for collection every 2-3 weeks. I hope to reduce this even further as time goes on. I believe a rates-funded system would be inequitable for households such as mine, who will end up paying for much more waste than they generate. Further, with a rates-funded system there is no incentive for people already creating large amounts of rubbish to try and reduce this and lessen the impact on our environment. I feel it likely that the funds from a rates-funded system would just disappear into the overall Council purse and not be utilised specifically for encouraging waste reduction and developing eco-friendly waste disposal going forwards.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to do everything we can, regardless of if it is uncomfortable to financially do so.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We shouldn't need to pay for it.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Not all rates payers are users of the rubbish. Nor should we be expected to all pay the same. Pay as you go is good because that incentivises people to compost, recycle etc.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Yes, sell ardmore hall & bell field. Reinvest the money in Clevedon Sports Club which is now the home of many sporting groups (cricket, football, bowls, fishing among others) in Clevedon.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Keep rates the same

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Everyone should have a Wheelbin for rubbish, and recycling.

Should all be the same.

The wheel bins, give the household with special needs and older people ease to get the rubbish out.

Orange bags, are outdated and pointless.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Community assets should be kept for future generations. The council should be able to use current income from rates to invest in these projects.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like the council to consider, the idea of free public transport in Pukekohe. Just the buses.

Let's get people on the buses and getting used to the idea of public transport. This should have a 2/3 year offer. Then a reassessment of how the public use the service.

Let's be the 1st people to be bold and offer something new and different.

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Imperative

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services. Reduce the Council personnel juggernaut itself.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Slim done the bloated Council. Make Franklin a city of its own again.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Pay for what you use and dispose of. STOP the tax fraud.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Leave well enough alone. Auckland council needs to manage their finances better instead of increasing taxes and inventing novelty ideas. Take a leaf out of Dove Myer Robinson book and plan long term.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Local board decision-making over local community services Should be a priority, not Auckland Councils wasteful spending.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I totally disagree with this proposal, it isn't the job of council without public consultation to deal with climate change and introducing a new tax, Auckland may be the only council to introduce this so what would this achieve other than introduce a new slush fund that achieves nothing.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Waikato rates are twice what Auckland rates are, trying to keep rates below a nominal threshold is counter productive as sooner than later someone has to pay for the infrastructure, there are to much politics around spending remove the constant pledges and doing something real.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Your objectives are counter to what rate payers need, remove layers of management not important services that rate payers need and deserve

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Just another tax, I would prefer to pay for my own waste

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Im sick of council selling our community owned properties that we have either had purchased or gifted over time

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Adding "targeted" additional rating overcomplicates the reasoning for the rate take. Over a number of years there has been a targeted rate take to address a particular problem ie.

Auckland petrol tax, with no perceived improvement in either the environment or reduction in private vehicle usage directly attributable to the "targeted" rate addition.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Points 3, and 5 in the above proposal are expected functions of budget management for the Council. Regular information posted to ratepayers indicating action in both these areas could provide a degree of confidence in the effective control and auditing of these departmental staff.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** THAT'S THE FUNCTION OF MANAGEMENT IN ANY RESPONSIBLE ORGANISATION. NOT TO ASK HOW THEY CHOOSE BUT TO SELECT THE MOST APPROPRIATE CONTROLS THAT ARE AVAILABLE, IMPLEMENT THEM, AND JUSTIFY THE WISDOM OF THE DECISIONS MADE BY THE RESULTS ACHIEVED. NOT ALL DECISIONS MADE FOR ALL THE RIGHT REASONS RESULT IN POSITIVE OUTCOMES. NOT ALL UNWISE PROPOSALS RESULT IN NEGATIVE OUTCOMES.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Why was this method of waste management not proposed at the original amalgamation of councils???

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Auckland has its role to play in the climate change dilemma and any measures to reduce emissions must be taken very seriously. Would a congestion tax be offered as an alternative or levied alongside this "special rate charge"?

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Really just wanted to comment on the proposed plan for Ardmore Hall and surrounding land. If the Council determine that renovating the hall post-fire is of no real value then consideration should be given to gifting said land to the Armore School Board of Trustees. This would benefit that community greatly by moving student pickup area from the Papakura Clevedon road to a location off-road.

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I'm a 27 year old advisor with the Ministry for Primary Industries from Tāmaki Makaurau.

We are in a climate emergency, and I want urgent action now. This is a first step for Council walking the talk on climate action. We have a long way to go to reduce emissions in line with Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri, Auckland's Climate Plan.

I WANT to walk, cycle, and bus more for the benefit of the planet and my health. However, lack of infrastructure, and safety concerns, prevent this. I strongly support the increased options for active transport, which will help my ideals align with tangible, safe action.

This targeted rate is only the beginning, and I want the Council to be more ambitious than ever this year in its climate decision making and funding. Use of the fund needs to be front-loaded so that the most gains can be seen before 2030. I want Council to push Auckland Transport to optimise cycleway roll out so that the dedicated funding goes further (literally).

Nga mihi.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Using the Government's Better Off support package funding - Support.

Changing the timing of some capital spending - Support.

Implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services - No not support.

Keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023 - Support, but this could be increased.

Continue work on the sale or long-term lease of non-strategic assets as required - Do not support. Council should keep a hold of its assets for future generations to use.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I support this proposal.

I would also like to see a council funded (through rates) and operated green waste (food scraps, garden waste, etc.) system which could be used to a) create compost or b) used as a fuel source (methane gas energy) added to the waste management system.

This would prevent unnecessary waste going to landfill and help towards Auckland Council's ambition to reduce global warming.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** These priorities are fine and appropriate.

I appreciate this will be an "all of council" priority but I would prefer to see at the top of this list exactly how Franklin local board is prioritising and addressing the immediate and long term effects of climate change.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** The Ardmore community revolves around the school.

The land for it and the Anglican Church was given to the Ardmore Community by the locals. The hall was built and remodeled by Ardmore locals of the time.

We think it is imperative it is rebuilt or vested in the school as a growing roll needs more land.

Clevedon is an entity on its own and should have no claim to this land in Ardmore with the revenue it would be entitled to from its local development.

We believe the growing Ardmore community needs these facilities retained for them to future proof it.

Allan and Adrienne Bell

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Every Hall that is council owned should have public toilets that are open 24/7. These could be built as annex to main structure.

Particularly in rural area's because they are often few and far between.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We're terrified of what inaction will mean for our children. A small price to pay to demonstrate the importance of this issue.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We're tired of rubbish being dumped in our rural community. A standard rate gives access to all.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Ardmore Hall has been under-utilised for years. If there was an option of selling it to the MoE so that it could be used by the school AND the local community, it would be excellent to see those funds reinvested in Clevedon, where growth will outstrip the proposed level of investment.

The Clevedon Showgrounds and Clevedon Hall are amazing resources, and with some additional investment have the potential to service our community even better. We strongly support the sale of the Ardmore Hall and Bell Field.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** spend less on the not relevant stuff and stop taxing us so much. pass the cost onto those that use these services, not the ratepayers who generally do not benefit from them. The climate change reduction is a political driven artefact.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: sell off non core assets. do not increase rates.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: yes! this is core council stuff - stick to this!!!!

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** This is NOT a council issue - it is central government core buiness NOT council. Focus on core business not social enginerring, stop taking the cost and pressure off them: Explore improved and innovative service provision that addresses the inequities experienced in our geographically vulnerable and isolated communities.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Auckland has low tree density compared to other cities internationally, this should change

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: there should be more opinions sought & detailed information about service reductions & capital spending considerations

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: there is no real data provided that allows an informed opinion

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** In urban areas a rate funded system that allows variations is reasonable, but there is not much flexibility over time for households. It may not be realistic to assume that everyone has a constant amount of waste. In rural areas a PAYT system is more realistic as there is likely more variability. Our rural household uses recycling & composting to maximum advantage so we put out 1 bag only every 4-6 weeks unless there are farm non-recyclables. So a rate-funded system would not work at all. More urban households may be able to make such changes too.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Other

**Tell us why:** As I am not an Ardmore local my opinion is less relevant, but the sale seems reasonable. Some of the funds should probably go to Ardmore school as its facilities would be used more.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

There is far too much development of prime Franklin land happening. Even my connections from all over NZ believe we need to stop building houses on our most productive land for food safety & affordability. But piece by piece the lots are being sold for houses! NOWHERE IN THE PLAN IS THE AGRICULTURAL VALUE OF FRANKLIN MENTIONED! Rural businesses need employees too, but they are being squeezed out by housing developments.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** its fake news....the earth always goes in climate change cycles...... money better spent on mental/emotional health

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: don't believe my feedback will change how you choose to prioritise spending

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: easier for personal budgeting purposes and saves use of plastic bags

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

leave your egos at home when you make council decisions..... aim for treating all equally.....no discrimination.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** As a young mother I care about the safety of my child. The traffic situation in Pukekohe is very concerning. I would also like to see better cycle networks, better pedestrian walkways and please, take carparks (on high streets) out of local centres like Pukekohe. Make it a pedestrian and cycle friendly zone, it would change so much in terms of the quality and experience of the place. Also it needs to be less attractive for cars to go into the city. It takes about 30 minutes on the highway and 2 hours by public transport. It should be the other way around.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

More cycleways, better walkways for pedestrians. Also think about vulnerable groups, mothers with prams, elderly in their vehicles, people in wheelchairs. Some pedestrians walkways are in bad conditions. It is hard to cross the streets, we need more pedestrian crossings. Make Pukekohe high street a place that also has these people in mind. Sometimes it is hard to even cross the street with a pram. There are some other streets in the neighbourhood you cant use the walkways because there are barriers for prams and wheelchairs. It would be nice to live in a people-centered town that also thinks about its vulnerable groups. Pukekohe could do so much better than this. Thank you.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Our last chance to make any changes that can have a noticeable effect for our future. Please definitely follow through on this plan.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** You also need to prioritise supporting NZ businesses rather than going for the cheapest option eg the parks and reserves management disaster.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** If using PAYT system often people will discard and dump rubbish inappropriately as it's cheaper to them personally.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Investment is required in Clevedon Showgrounds to make it a more useable multi purpose venue (lighting, etc)

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** This must be a priority for Auckland Council. Would also be good to see financial support of innovative R&D programmes which address climate change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I generally support the proposed measures, but don't want to see efficiency savings made in areas such as weed/pest control and road maintenance - if neglected, these things will only cost us more in the long term. I also saw a comment made by Phil Goff about cutting advertising costs in newspapers - I would like to point out that free community newspapers are the only way many people (who do not enjoy the privilege of online connectivity due to affordability or lack of technological capability) receive such news. To remove those most vulnerable people from Council communication is unhelpful at best, discriminatory at worst. Sure, drop the NZ Herald advertising, but please continue to share Council info via free independent community newspapers who provide such a valuable service in connecting and informing their local communities.

#### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Any loss of service will impact or disadvantage someone in the community, so it would be preferable to see Council investigating ways to change or outsource service delivery rather than cut it completely.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** A rates funded system encourages people to dispose of their waste without care for the environmental consequences. At the same time, it probably reduces illegal rubbish dumping to some degree, and removes the complexity involved in PAYT. Whichever proposal is adopted, I would like to see food waste continuing to be converted to biofuel.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** With the anticipated future growth of Clevedon, the main thoroughfare through the village is going to become even more congested and hazardous for pedestrians. A by-road which loops around the back of the main business district would help alleviate this issue, and could be achieved in some part by redevelopment/relocation of the Clevedon Hall which could be funded by the sale of little-used Ardmore Hall. Part of Bell Field could be gifted to Ardmore School to enable provision of a safer drop off/pick up point than the current main road, while the remainder of Bell Field could be sold with proceeds invested in Clevedon infrastructure to assist with meeting the demands of population growth.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Increased provision of low-emission ferry service would help reduce traffic congestion/road maintenance from Beachlands Maraetai to urban areas. Increased investment into road surface for quarry routes between Papakura/Alfriston/Ormiston and Brookby/Clevedon is sorely needed - asphalt surfaces such as that used on Twilight/Kimptons Road in Brookby would appear to be a much more cost effective long term solution.

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To fight and manage climate change will require financial resources, this is one way of spreading that load.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** We need to keep and maintain existing facilities and green spaces, these facilities will be required as the ward and Auckland City grows

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I do not agree with reducing Library services

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: This should be standard business practice, not something special!

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: This should be standard business practice, not something special!

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Again, This should be standard business practice, not something special!

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Sell off the assets that do not generate enough income to cover their overheads including maintenance and deliver a reasonable profit.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Not enough consideration for the ever-growing community of Franklin, too much of the funding in for Central Auckland.

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** As a lifelong Ardmore resident, with children at Ardmore School, I would be very sad to see Bell Field sold for commercial or residential development. It provides a great community asset adjacent to the school. It is used for Ag Day - a central part of Ardmore's culture and character as a country school, which could easily be lost in the face of increasing urbanisation in the area.

The field also provides a safe alternative accessway to the school given the many perils families face coping with the dangers of the main road.

In fact, the ability to use the hall car park as a safe turning space for cars has been absolutely crucial over the last two years - with dozens (perhaps even hundreds) of parents using it every morning and afternoon. If the hall were to be sold, it would be necessary for whoever intends to develop it to provide a robust traffic management plan to ensure the continued safety of our school children.

I'm not sure if Ardmore School or the Ministry of Education are in a position to purchase and/or utilise the property (which would be wonderful) but, if not, we would urge the local board to take great care in identifying a buyer. It is so important to us that the character of our lovely 'country' school is not compromised and that everything possible is done to improve the ever-present road safety issues our school faces.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Extra expense during a time of inflation. Need to be fiscally austere.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Not extensive enough. Capping rates, rather than the rate of increase is needed.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Your priorities are masked as austerity. Climate action should not be a priority during time of fiscal constraint.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Council services are less economic and promote waste.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: Focus on fiscal austerity and management of critical services where no private provider available.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Rates.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Because all of this doesn't help me in Franklin. I'm over paying for things that I have no benefit from. All we get is stupid trials of one way roads in our main street which is another waste of money. Stop spending money on dumb things.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Stop allocating money to trials which nobody wants. Seek the locals feedback on ideas (not Local Board feedback but actual people) before you trial something. Be more customer centric as an organisation.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Would be great for everyone to be on the same system. Bin tags and rubbish bags are hard to use which is why I use a private collector to collect my rubbish. Another dumb decision from Council, glad to see you're trying to sort this out finally. And get some bins in Franklin - it's been 11 years since the supercity and we're still on bags!!! sort yourselves out.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** All of these priorities could amount to nothing. All of the objectives are really high level with no substance for me - I don't know what any of this actually could result in as you've actually advised 'nothing' specifically. What would be great is if you would upgrade Jubilee Pools so that it's available all year around for everybody to use as a start. Be more specific so that we can hold you accountable for how you're working for us as ratepayers.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The city has to play its part in coping with change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We have to invest in the future as past generations did for us.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We all do this, especially with Covid and the Ukraine challenges. So must the Council.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: There has to be efficiencies by having one system.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No thanks

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: NO TO THE SALE OF ARDMORE HALL NO TO THE SALE OF BELL FIELD I DO NOT SUPPORT THE SALE OF ARDMORE HALL AND BELL FIELD Ardmore Hall has and will always be for the use of the Ardmore community. The hall was built by the EARLY LOCALS around the late 1800's (1898 is a date I have from my Grandmothers writings) with the land being gifted by Mr John Henry Hamlin. It was built for the Ardmore School to utilise as well. THIS WAS THE FOCAL POINT OF ARDMORE with many activities being held here -- weddings, 21sts, engagements ,dances, funerals, Ardmore indoor bowls, meetings, many school breakups/concerts etc, gymnastics, table tennis and numerous other purposes. Many of which I was lucky enough to attend. To take the hall away is like cutting the heart out of the community

You take away years and years of history and heritage. 124 years . At what stage does a building go on the Heritage list?

American soldiers used the hall back in the war.

My Grandmother looked after the hall for many years. I myself sat on the committee for a time, so to sell the hall is very personal to me.

After the fire, the repairs have been painfully slow and appear to have come to a stop, causing users to find alternative facilities. ( Maybe part of the plan.)

I'm sure once fixed the people will return. Nobody wants to travel to other areas if you have a facility in your own area.

Somewhere there must be the insurance money from the fire, which surely, legally this is supposed to go back into repairing the hall.

Clevedon and Papakura are growing and in the near future will meet in the middle, where's that? ARDMORE.

The War Memorial Cenotaph is also at the hall. This has been shifted before, but is where is should be now beside the Hall. If the unfortunate decision to sell the hall goes thru this should be moved to St James Church in Ardmore.

To sell the hall and give the money to another community would be the biggest insult to the generations that have built and looked after it for many years, so it would be there for future generations to use.

Clevedon have certainly had their fair share of funds.

#### **BELL FIELD**

#### I DO NOT SUPPORT THE SALE OF BELL FIELD

I see Bell Field to be a great asset to Ardmore. With upcoming growth we are going to need green areas and as this goes hand in hand with the Hall and School it would be a crime to sell it.

If a bit of drainage work were to be done, I'm sure this park would be utilized a lot more.

Many parents park down Burnside road and by the Ardmore Hall to pick up and drop off their children before and after school so they can come across Bell Field as it is a lot safer than to park outside the school on the Papakura Clevedon Rd

Rather than sell Bell Field either keep it at the hall or give it to the school. Ardmore is going to need it.

If in the sad event that the Hall and Field are sold, I would want to see the money stay in Ardmore and used at the Church (Maybe build a memorial Wall) and School. NOT go to another community.

Glennis Hagan.

4th generation to early Ardmore families. I spent a large part of my life in the house opposite the Hall being my Grandparents home (from 1935) and then my Parents owned it. I was also on the Hall committee for a time. Having attended many many functions in the hall including my own wedding. For many years my Great Grandparents, Great Uncle, an Aunty and an Uncle also owned properties on Burnside Rd.

That is why I have an interest in what happens to the Hall, Field and cenotaph. Ardmore will always be a special place to me.

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I feel very strongly against the new rates based rubbish rate. I live in the Franklin area and put out bagged rubbish once every 4 weeks as I freeze bones and meat scraps and compost my vege peelings. Therefore I have very little rubbish to throw out - this is a help to the environment that the Council is trying to encourage. I think that if Council are really serious about waste reduction, they will NOT introduce the rates funded refuse collection. This will not encourage people to reduce their waste - rather it will encourage the opposite.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** This is a poorly composed question. Ask if we want swimmable beaches, cleaner rivers and tributaries and a cleaner environment then the answer would be absolutely. The ongoing and misguided emission reduction is a deal for concern. Our leaders should be well read enough to be able to align actions to environmental outcomes and discard the emissions reduction crusade. Cycle ways and walkways are important but spending millions on an E ferry is not what my rates should be directed to. Leaders - please read Ian Wishart and Ian Plimmer, a real eye opener.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Links to Q1, misplaced spending on "nice to haves" instead of core Council activities. Spend on essentials, get rid of waste (efficiency savings) remove unnecessary staff in places like the Climate change area. Focus on the core!

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Again - the "climate change" top line is a misguided "priority". Move this objective from Council narrative, you are wasting time and my money.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Please don't make the food scraps bins compulsory. Otherwise ok.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

#### Howick Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Target spending to core activities. Pull AT back into line, they are on a misguided utopian crusade which doesn't deliver bang for buck. Count the number of cyclists on any cycle lane at any time (I am a cyclist). Dollar for dollar better options exist. Please stop the light rail through Mt Roskil. This will be the biggest white elephant the city has ever embarked on. And please stop the stupidity on climate change and clean our beaches of faeces Cheers

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The scientific evidence is clear - we need pay a smaller amount now than pay ten-fold later for the consequences of inaction. This is a small step in the right direction.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Action on climate is urgent. Funding needs to be directed to that transition.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I do not know the detail.

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Totally support move to local boards having more decision-making over local community services. Of course this means the governing body needs to provide enough funding.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The proposal's one-size-fits-all policy is inappropriate: rural and urban needs are not the same.

The policy ignores the organic composting which occurs in many rural properties which significantly reduces the waste collection burden to Council.

By disincentivising composting, the policy significantly increases the landfill burden.

With no incentive to reduce waste generation the landfill burden is likely to increase.

It is inequitable that low waste generators subsidise high waste generators.

One solution would be to have an opt-in or opt-out option.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

# What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We all need to work together to protect and save our planet even if it means an increase in our rates, it is important for future generations as well as the environment

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** It was unforeseen and unfortunately Covid has had a huge impact on the financial economics nation wide. You need to do what you can to make your budget work for the better good of all Aucklanders

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** It is unfortunate Covid has had such an impact. You need to move things around and prioritise to relieve the pressure for the well being of all Aucklanders, social, economic, environmental.

# Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I agree with equity and all paying a region wide rates funded refuse collection, particularly if it is going to save a general operating cost of \$70 per household. the introduction of the green bin is a big plus as it helps to reduce waste going to landfill.

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I think the Franklin local board has carefully considered and chosen to care for the local community and environment which is not an easy task with the population growth

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Wring tim to increase costs to families

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Hight Art & Design

Your local board: Franklin

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** At a time when households are coming under increasing pressure due to inflationary pressures and the cost of housing an increase in rates at this time will surely see another rent rise. For those of us owning our own homes, especially those of us who have recently brought, interest rates are already starting to bite, a rate increase at the same time will contribute to the housing crisis. With many more families falling on hard times they we be focussed on putting food on the table, getting to work and keeping a roof over their head. Should a rate increase therefore be postponed until the 2024/2025 FY.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I have no personal knowledge of the situation with this hall as I do not live in the area, I am based in Pukekohe and have only recently moved here. If the sale goes ahead then reinvestment back into the community is essential.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We support COMET Auckland's request for increased funding, and for their funding to be inflation-adjusted from here on, in order to help more tamariki and rangatahi in Tāmaki Makaurau to reach their potential through learning.

We have supported the team at COMET Auckland with their communication strategies since 2014. In this long period of regular service we are well aware of the awesome programmes COMET has incubated and seeded both in the Auckland community. With a focus on supporting programmes to take root within the community they support, COMET empowers and grows opportunities for communities to help themselves, created enduring value and sustained support within that community. Increasing COMET's funding will do more that keep people in their jobs, it will fundamentally support Auckland Council objectives and the overall health and wellbeing of the Auckland community at this difficult time.

# Important privacy information



# SHARING VOICES OF THOSE LESS HEARD



"My nana always tells me: "speak Samoan. Don't speak English when you come into the house to remember where you come from." Albert, Wesley Primary School

With no inflation adjustment since 2014, our funding from Auckland Council is today worth \$81,000 less than when set. We are going backwards at a time when we have never been needed more - so we would value your help in lending your voice to our submission!



All tamariki and rangatahi in Tāmaki Makaurau have the right to mana motuhake, self-determination, through education. That means they need to receive education that reflects their cultural heritage and honours Te Tiriti o Waitangi.

At COMET Auckland, we are invited to walk in partnership with communities. We listen, advocate and create initiatives towards a more equitable education system. We know we need to do more, but we can't do that on our current resources.

With no inflation adjustment since 2014, our funding from Auckland Council is today worth \$81,000 less than when set. We are going backwards at a time when we have never been needed more - so we would value your help in lending your voice to our submission!

As a Council-funded body, COMET Auckland is requesting a funding increase of \$300k from Auckland Council to make up for inflation loss over the last few years and to support employment and project costs for two new roles (a second Education Maori role and a new Education Pasifika role) – roles that stakeholders like you have been asking for in every stakeholder consultation we've done for years.

These roles will strengthen our ability to collaborate more with you and other partners towards greater equity in our education system as we partner to create and trial innovations and to advocate to government for better provision for Māori and Pasifika learners.

Your submission will support Tāmaki Makaurau communities to reach more tamariki and rangatahi, helping them reach their full educational potential.

Let's ensure they can keep leading their own development and build on their strengths! Establishing these two new roles will help make this happen.



"It's about learning the language of our kids.
Learning te reo, learning
Tongan, Samoan. Whatever helps to connect with them to bridge that gap."

# Lou Reddy

Wesley Primary
Tumuaki/Principal

A <u>budget increase of \$300,000</u> will help us continue to listen to learners, community, educators, employers and other experts to identify key gaps and opportunities for equity; and then bring people together to shape new ways of working to address those gaps. It will also help us strengthen our connections and partnerships with Maori and Pasifika communities so we can more effectively contribute towards their goals and aspirations. But, we can't do more of this work in Tāmaki Makaurau without extra funding.

# The \$300,000 will establish two full-time roles as part of the core team at COMET Auckland:

- A new Kaitūhono Māori role, to work alongside existing Kaihautū Māori
- A new Manager Education Pasifika role to lead more focused work with Pasifika communities

These positions align with the Auckland Council goals and vision and with our strategic plan, which has a strong Te Tiriti focus on equity and mana motuhake. Most importantly, the roles will work in partnership with under-served communities.

They will work to drive systems change towards equity for Māori and Pasifika learners in Tāmaki Makaurau by:

- Connecting with Māori and Pasifika organisations, learners and communities to hear their aspirations and issues and to explore possible partnerships or other ways for COMET to contribute towards their aspirations
- Working with these partner organisations to identify, shape, scope, trial and evaluate new approaches and projects that contribute towards Māori and Pasifika aspirations in Tāmaki Makaurau and affirm their aspirations for self-determination
- Guiding and influencing COMET's wider advocacy and initiatives. We want to ensure our work is as effective as possible for Māori and Pasifika learners

# You can help by making a submission to Auckland Council supporting our funding request by:

- Using the online feedback form at <u>akhaveyoursay.nz/budget</u> or emailing the team at <u>akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz</u>
- Adding the following words into your submission:

We support COMET Auckland's request for increased funding, and for their funding to be inflation-adjusted from here on, in order to help more tamariki and rangatahi in Tāmaki Makaurau to reach their potential through learning.

The deadline for submissions is 5pm 28 March. The feedback that Council receives will help inform the Governing Body's decisions on Council's budget for 2022/23.

If you would like to discuss the submission further, please contact me on: susan.warren@cometauckland.org.nz or call me on: 021 757 048.







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

# Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Keep the property as a public amenity. Ardmore School can make good use of Bellfield. It is already an important resource for school activities such as Agriculture Day. Given the location of the school and access to it from the Burnsides Road there could be major issues for pick-up and drop-off at the school if the property is developed for purposes other than recreation.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Consider that acting on priorities like climate action, transport, the environment is a must do.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Personally we are working hard on reducing the volume of waste we produce as a household, expecting that we will directly benefit from reduced disposal costs. A rates embedded fee removes this incentive and reward.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

# What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I fully support promoting more cycle and walk ways which, used more widely, will the health and wellbeing of Aucklander's having a follow on affect of reducing the impact on hospitals.

I am also in support at providing trees where needed, plus more. Without trees we will only see an increase in the issues surrounding climate change. All residential streets should be planted out with trees and with varieties that help absorb carbon emissions and improve the air.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Covid has affected everyone and by keeping the inflation of general rates low, hopefully that will be affordable to residents. Ultimately inflation ends up being at the cost of the average family filtered down from Councils and Government. There should be more cost cutting measures put in place so that the average family is not always hit with additional rates on what is probably very tight budgets already with inflation of everything that families have to pay.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Making any cost reductions where possible should be a priority, but as to how and what and which ones, I believe the Council should ensure that reductions are targeted in the right areas that benefit the ratepayers but doesn't affect things like relied on public transport.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** As a Franklin resident that generally only puts out one 40L rubbish bag a month, I am fully in support as PAYT and would definitely not want to have rubbish collection charges added to our rates.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: The fact that this sale benefits the Clevedon Village etc is a good idea.

However, I would have to wonder what the plans are with the Bell Field area.

More and more housing is going up at the expense of what we need most, natural park like areas. If it is going to be filled with houses without consideration to the local infrastructure, eg demands on water, power, roads and sewerage as well as reducing any natural aspects of the area, eg trees and planting, then I would have a problem with that.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Climate Change and the affect it is having on everyone, not only in Auckland but the whole of NZ and beyond. This needs to be a priority point when considering any changes to the environment in which we all reside in. Cutting down half a forest to put up more houses, will only increase the problem of climate change. Take one tree away and it needs to replaced by planting another one somewhere locally..... one for one!

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I'd really like to see more cycleways in Franklin. Including from Tuakau to Buckland and from Buckland to Pukekohe. With the cycleway from Buckland to Pukekohe a shared cycle/walkway.

Also, more 'beautification' of South Auckland suburbs, to help lift morale in these areas. Maybe in conjunction with power companies, to have more underground lines and more trees planted (such as along Great South Road, Manurewa).

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** This is just an additional tax when most of the supposed initiatives should just be good normal business a lot of the issues shold be being addressed by the Regional fuel tax fund which has been underspent

It is also a double dip as Climate change issues should be a central Government fund issue Improved public transport is not a reality for rural areas like mine as there is no public transport

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** This fund should pay for illegal rubbish dumpimh as a PAYT system (like the excessive transfer station fees) would encourage more illegal dumping

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Global we will be reliant on fossil fuels for a long time still, we should look at burning off some waste products.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** This is just another way to generate income due to council been overloaded with to many manger platforms in the 6 figure range, cut down your staff and get more efficient and staff who can make a difference.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Be for transparent of what services, once again not clear on your intentions which services

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Better control of waste and will eliminate theft of bin bags and bags left on the streets. In total support of collection system with bins

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: Who is really going to benefit from the proceeds, another promise of nothing.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The roads are in need of repairs due to heavey trucks around Beachlands, Maraetai and Clevedon, make the quarries pay for all the damage to the roads and the water trucks.

Council is quick to collect rates in the area but no expansion to roading and schools.

AT need to take responsibility of their roads and keep the residents safe from harm.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I am a father of a 2 year old. It's important that more is done to combat climate change and to make alternates such as public transport, walking and cycling safer and more viable.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To protect my daughter's future

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I think it is important for the government to take action because climate change is a major issue that particularly impacts young people such as myself and the future of this country/ the world.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I don't want the government to run out of money for important things such as infrastructure and social programs.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** I think social programs (E.G. free healthcare, housing for homeless, unemployment benefit, etc) and I think people should always have access to them.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It is better for the environment and may encourage people to create less waste

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: Unsure, don't know much about Ardmore Hall

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

More funding into mental health care in New Zealand to make it more accessible for all.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Waiuku Business & Development Association

Your local board: Franklin

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We are supportive of the proposed climate change targeted rate. Although we have limited public transport maybe our services could be increased through this targeted rate in future. With increasing fuel costs we are starting to see a trend of people utilising public transport more often. We are in favour of increased tree canopy not only for climate change but beautification and placemaking in our town centres. We are also keen to see more walking and cycling trails tapping into our tourism opportunities.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** We support the levers Council are recommending and great to see you are asset recycling where the opportunities allow. We do however believe that Council's consistent mindset of only using preferred suppliers is costing ridiculously more than by using local suppliers. By using local suppliers you are supporting local economic development and locals hold local suppliers to account so prices aren't over inflated and the workmanship is judged by the community so is done to a high level. Some examples of costs Councils preferred suppliers charge for jobs are exorbitant. ie. Spending \$600k on a playground with very little in it when a school can build fantastic playgrounds with all H&S specifications and bells and whistles, approved by MOE for less than half the cost.???? It is widely known that anyone that quotes for Council adds more onto the cost sadly as they know Council will always pay. It seems madness when Council is assessing any avenue to reduce spending and budget pressures but refuses to look at this area of spending. Also workmanship accountability is poor. Some of the repairs on footpaths, roads and other council assets can be poorly done.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Agree. Makes sense to always prioritise however we hope this doesn't create an even stronger lens over city centres where population is greater and forget about the outer lying areas.

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** We believe this is the best system for the majority but it is a shame you don't have 4 bin size options. We have a lot of elderly people, avid recyclers and small businesses out this way that wouldn't fill half a 80l bin. There needs to be incentives for people to throw less or be mindful of what they throw.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Other

**Tell us why:** i think this needs to be something that is considered, discussed and decided by the community. The population of this area is growing and its important that the potential benefits of this facility are considered before its disposal.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The WB&DA are very happy that the APTR will not be charged until next year. We are on the outskirts of the Auckland boarder and a number of our accommodation providers have had to resort to taking in emergency housing guests as they are not seeing the tourists, and visitor numbers were at an all time low.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Te Ara Hīkoi

Your local board: Franklin

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We are in a climate crisis. Reducing Auckland's emission of climate-damaging gases is therefore the highest priority action currently before the council. Raising funds to enable this reduction to be as swift and deep as possible is therefore desirable. We urge that part of the revenue from this tax goes towards the planting of native trees in South Auckland, as this area of the city has one of the lowest percentages of tree canopy cover in Auckland. Remedying this using native trees would also boost faunal biodiversity in the South Auckland area. We also request that some of the revenue is directed towards local elimination of herbivorous pests from forests in the Auckland region (possums, goats, deer and pigs), as it has been proven that non-browsed native forests sequester far more carbon than heavily browsed forests.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** One of the budget levers that is proposed is a reduction in operational spending. This includes the statement that Auckland Council considers work on climate action, transport, the environment and community development to be non-essential (i.e. things the Council should do, not things they must do). The Council proposes that "We will do these to the extent that we can afford to." This is not acceptable, as work on climate action and the environment cannot be delayed or deferred until the financial climate improves. It is critically important that climate action is implemented as rapidly and drastically as possible, in order to have the slightest chance of hitting the 1.5 degrees of warming goal that science mandates. Similarly, deferred environmental work cannot be given a do-over later, as once the gene pool of a small relict population has been destroyed, it can never be recovered.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We oppose the provided criteria, as they do not treat climate and biodiversity action as top priority spending services. greater action for these absolutely must be undertaken, and they cannot be considered as lower priority.

## Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Our interest is in seeing as little waste as possible go into the environment. A pay-as-you-throw scheme will perversely give an incentive to people to dispose of their rubbish in a way that costs them nothing, such as by illegal dumping. A free-at-point-of-use scheme will remove any motivation for illegal dumping, and hence will minimise waste in the environment.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Supporting the development of more and bigger roads during a climate crisis is not rational, is actively destructive of our collective future, and should not be happening anywhere in New Zealand. We therefore strongly oppose this as a priority.

We support the priority of "restore and protect local streams, rivers, harbours and forests." However, we note that the language is unclear - For example, does 'restore and protect forests' mean ongoing support of pest control? We request that this priority be made more specific on what support means, and that it includes a goal of moving towards PF2050. We approve of the FLB's support for community predator control, and argue that they should receive more support from the NETR rate that is targeted specifically for this. The land area of Franklin is 23% of Auckland, so there is plenty of argument for the FLB to receive healthy funding out of this budget for biodiversity work in this area.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We would like to propose the establishment of a local council role devoted to planning for safe Active Transport across Franklin to connect between the 34 communities there. Such a role currently does not exist, and is sorely needed. The planning role would also focus on connecting these routes with public transport hubs, north to the rest of Auckland and south to the Waikato.

We note that more than a doubling in population is planned in Franklin by 2050, and evidence across the rest of Auckland shows that there is a very high cost in implementing retrospective planning. We also note that technology and product development changes have suddenly extended journey distances for active transport via E-bikes for both commuters and recreational users, and that in 2020 E-bike imports were in excess of 50,000, and are forecast to surpass in numbers the annual purchase of cars in NZ in the future. Planning for this new and rapidly increasing form of transport is therefore imperative.

This new planning role should also provide a process whereby local groups could engage with the Local Board to request new trails, and these requests would be processed and actioned by the council according to an agreed priority list. This role would be a much-needed extension of the Local Board's decision-making abilities for our community.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The PAYT is best for minimising waste.

Rates funding will increase charges for low usage.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: Local halls should be retained.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Transport is a huge issue and should be lobbied for strongly

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** it's a complete crock and nothing to do with Council which should focus on core services such as supplying waste collection, roading and parks etc.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** not that I believe you'll achieve anything - Council's believe they have a right to take tax payers' money without redress

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** should be user pays - we put out one bag of rubbish every two weeks... our neighbours put out half a dozen EVERY week - why should we have to pay the same as them? we already pay for our pool to be inspected - they have an above ground pool with NO FENCE whatsoever - and pay nothing clearly, because it's never been inspected - they don't even have a gate to their property and the pool is in the front yard. rates funded also does not allow you to put out more bags some weeks that others, if you have a need to get rid of more rubbish one week than normal.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: council should focus on core needs of the community and not 'nice to haves'

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

get rid of all the pc crap and treat ALL people equally, not giving special treatment to one part of the community because they choose to be an individual and then expect special treatment because of it.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** This is a community hall, used by the public, & most importantly the fields are used by the school. It is a disgrace that this lovely old building hasn't been repaired by the council, & has sat in this sad state for a year. As a resident on Burnside Rd, I feel it should be repaired & left as a community hall, The council needs to drain the fields also.

Phil Goff should be ashamed of himself wanting to sell this considering he is a local.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I have a car because I don't have another option. There are no safe cycle lanes where I live and public transport is too expensive and not frequent or fast enough. I want to ditch my car but I need to have more options.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Property has no value except for its access to infrastructure. Real Estate has been subsisied by public service for far too long.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Higher property rates with targeting for an exponential increase, for any property ownership beyond that for the 1st personal home.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: This is a nothing question.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The majority of the waste management is from the roads and such, public assets need public support.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: no neolib public/private partnership graft please

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The most efficient thing for waste management and the enivorment is to landfill more and waste less on green-washing activities (e.g. 99% of plastic recycling). Different sized bins appears to be a smokescreen as the majority of costs (carbon and dollar wise) is running the garbage trucks.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I am a registered nurse working in south Auckland. I see a lot of the flow on effects of poor climate change management done by our governments in the frequent presentations of our patients for medical issues that either could be managed in a GP or it is clear that they are presenting late in their illness or injury. Things that could be avoided if we had stronger equitable policy. The climate disproportionately affects the poor and brown people. I would love to see a climate action target rate not only for the environmental health the of NZ and the wider world, but when we decide to take climate change seriously and plan for it we also support our most vulnerable communities.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Having moved from Europe where the public transportation is cheap, efficient and sustainable, Auckland would absolutely benefit from these changes - green environments = happy people

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### **Howick Local Board**

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

### Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** good urban planning for a liveable city has good public transport and green area and safe walking and biking options.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: They all seem to be achievable initiatives .

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Define non strategic assets . Land set aside for green corridors and social and recreational activities should never be sold . They belong to the citizens of the district. They should be protected by law from sale by the council.

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I looked at the link regarding this question and saw nothing I could comment upon.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's a fair system.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

**Tell us why:** Ardmore Hall was used by a diverse group of social and recreational activities. There are no other equivalent facilities in the area that is affordable and or central to Ardmore Takanini, Clevedon areas. It is geographically central to these areas.

## Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: They are good priorities. Policing is another area they could do with more support.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Do not give permits for residential properties being built unless they have onsite parking. This situation is already causing havoc on the sidewalks and bad will between neighbours.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I feel our rates are good value compared with other places in the world that I've lived, so I fully support increases.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: This should be happening regardless of any budget pressure.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Simpler the better!

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** I'd like to see a greater emphasis on strategies and tactics to improve the level of, and access to, public transport.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Definitely do NOT support this. Council should only supply core services and ratepayers should pay for them only.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Fiscal prudence is required in all Council spending. There are many areas where Council expenditure could be pruned so that it only covers essential core services.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: See Question 2 answer.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Our household only puts out a 60 litre prepaid waste bag every fortnight. That is less than half the annual amount that the proposal is based on. Under the proposal we wold be paying twice as much for a service that we would be using less than 50% of.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: See answer to Question 2.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Presently, we only use the service every fortnight. One prepaid 60 litre bag per fortnight. All our food waste goes into our compost bin. No need for food waste service at all. Food bins would have to be washed out regularly, wasting water. There appears to be no thought about hygiene in the proposal. Smelly food scraps in a bin for the week? Flies and maggots aplenty.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: Council should keep expenditure to basic core services.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** What is th point? If you want to increase rates, be honest, and increase rates, but hiding it in a targeted rate seems less than honest and transparent.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: PAYT seems to require additional staffing to collect the tags - it is therefore inefficient and wasteful.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: This would make facilities too far away from Ardmore for many people to ever be able to use.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Isn't it obvious that spending needs to be prioritised. What did you do before, just willy nilly waste rate payers money. But the link to explain what question 3 is made no sense I don't know if I support it or not.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I do not believe any of the reasons given to justify rates funded refuse collection.

There is no incentive to reduce the amount of rubbish you produce/create with the bins. Yes you can select three sizes but once you are locked into a size you are paying for a full bin whether it is or not. Why would you make an extra effort to not fill it to the top.

How can it be more cost effective than PAYT, it says it all in the name. Each household pays for their own rubbish as they create it. The new system will invariably mean low waste creators will be subsidising everyone else. Which is not equitable at all.

The estimated savings and costings given assume that every week each household puts out their bin. Our household would put an 80ltr bin out once a fortnight (a 40ltr bit would be a more appropriate size). So with the proposed changes we would be more than doubling the amount we pay for our waste collection.

Selling the idea as cheaper than current rates completely misses the point of what you are apparently creating the changes for. To divert waste to landfill. It feels like dishonest deception, where the rate payer 'pays less' but the council actually gets more. Because more people are paying for waste that they are not creating.

A climate friendly solution would be to increase the cost of the PAYT system, financially incentivising the reduction in waste. and creating by laws forbidding the making and selling of certain products in Auckland and replacing them with recyclable or compostable alternatives.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** It is important - particularly for young people to grow up with accessible public transport as a normal and safe option that is affordable.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** People have been doing it tough financially for last 2 years - spending cannot increase without causing severe distress. But please don't sell assets - this is a short term gain and I do not support that. I do support the other 4 options

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Some tough calls re priorities have to be made - not everyone will like these but you cannot please everyone

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** At the moment it is extremely inefficient in Pukehohe - I have no idea how many collection services there are but it seems like a large number. I also doubt recycling is effectively managed

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Although reading is an issue - safety needs to come first - not just reduced road speeds but some corner road barriers are needed to save lives

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

More support for planting and food forests etc. we are loosing to much fertile land to residential developments but need the capacity to produce food for ourselves, community and country. Consider stopping sale of rich horticulture land in Pukehohe - too much has been lost already

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: Increased focus on reliable, cheap, low carbon transport rather than just roads

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We need to drastically drop our carbon emissions NOW. Please work fast

### Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is no longer something that is in the distance. We are dealing with it now. '1 in 100 year floods' are a yearly occurrence now. Most Auckland land owners have enjoyed a capital gain in excessive of 50%. Alot of this is based off an extractive capitalist economy. This targeted rate is a pittance compared to those major gains

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Please reduce the need for so much open grasslands. There are so many parks in Auckland that could have plantings put in to reduce the ongoing operational costs of mowing grass. We still need places to kick a ball etc; but we really don't need as much space that we maintain. The fetish for big expansive areas of grass needs to stop, it is costly in terms of cost, emissions and it destroys biodiversity.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We need to make people conscious of what waste they are generating and make changes to this. I would suggest making rubbish bags more expensive.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Absolutely nothing in here to support the upgrading of our town centres to encourage more pedestrian friendly environments. Pukekohe and Papakura are a disgrace. People will just drive to the malls if they cant get a better pedestrian experience in these town centres. We need to encourage people to park in off street carparks so we can repurpose our main streets to be more welcoming to foot traffic. It is people who buy things. Buy allowing people to play, they will stay and also pay. The Kaos parklets are absolutely awesome. We need more of these. Block off King Street for weekend festivals

We also desperately need to repurpose on street carparking to cycle lanes. This will allow far more people to visit our town centers and will assist with making Pukekohe a safer place for our kids to get to school. Think our much time and congestion is wasted with Mum and Dad doing drop offs everyday because it is unsafe to cycle.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

In Pukekohe we desperately need to plant more trees in our parks. We need space to play sports but a lot of areas of local parks are just keeping local mowing contractors in business. We can afford to sacrifice a lot more grass fields for nature and trees

### Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** People rather have a choice when it comes to these things. Forcing the issue would only create a backlash, like with the vaccines.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** it sounds like the council is drawing revenue from the wrong sorts of things, a hangover from the old government-should-be-like-a-business days.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Priorities have changed a lot in recent years and the budget should reflect the new era.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: wheelie bins clog up the footpaths for vulnerable road users

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: The priority around road development is misplaced

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I'd like more transparency on how roads are funded and designed. People seem to have no say in the matter, and instead the roads are controlled by unelected, under-performing bureaucrats.

One recent episode being the Royal Oak roundabout where a cyclist was killed. Safety improvements were in the pipe, and people asked for safe cycling infrastructure, but were denied. AT is dangerous and should be held accountable for its inaction.

However, I no longer live in Maungakiekie-Tamaki. I only recently moved to Pukekohe where cycling as transport is not very common. I wonder if Franklin board has any opinion on the matter of walking and cycling around Pukekohe.

### Important privacy information

#### NEW ZEALAND

# Fatal crash: Cyclist dies after crash in Royal Oak, Auckland

5 Mar, 2022 05:41 PM



A van collided with a cyclist on Manukau Rd in Royal Oak in Auckland this afternoon. Photo / Hayden Woodward

NZ Herald









A cyclist has tragically died after a crash involving a van in the Auckland suburb of Royal Oak.

The crash was reported to police at around 11:25am.

The cyclist died at the scene.

Manukau Rd was initially closed, with cordons being put in place, and motorists being advised to avoid the area.

The road has since been reopened.

Earlier, a witness at the scene said there is a large vehicle in the middle of Manukau Rd completely covered by tarpaulins.

Police had initially blocked the exit from the carpark outside a Pak'n'Save supermarket on Manukau Rd.





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Because you need to do the basics before you can change the world. Stop chasing idealogical dreams and just deliver clean water and decent roads.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Everyone else has had to make do - the rest of the city can't raise its prices just because their revenue dropped. You need to stop silly spending like this and tighten your belt to make ends meet.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Not sure you've given this a whole lot of thought. How about we stop spending money on artwork and whales tails and just deliver the basics

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** So will the recyclable rate come down then?. You conveniently don't talk about that. If food scraps are charged for in the recycling rate now, the recycling rate should drop by a corresponding amount.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?! support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: 2030 is close and we need climate action now! This is one step to our climate goals

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Stop your lies. There is no "climate emergency". This is just the politicisation of everything to promote your agenda against the people. Disgusting. There shouldn't be a single cent spent on this political rubbish.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Auckland Council needs to cut costs - you should start by making huge cuts in the numbers of senior & middle management who are earning huge salaries. The council is totally inefficient, cutting back on politically correct projects would face a fortune - do not spend any money on anything regarding the fake "climate emergency" or any other politically correct agendas imported from American woke society.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The council needs to radically cut back on salaries for middle & senior managers and stop spending any money on politically correct projects.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** The council should be collecting rubbish - that is one of the main things the council should do and this should come out of your budget not ours. There should just be one bin - stop pretending that you recycle anything, we know that you just export it to toxic dumps on southeast Asia anyway. Stop the pretence and just use one bin.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: Nobody trusts you and with good reason, your corruption is out of control these days unfortunately.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The council should concentrate on collecting rubbish, enforcing noise control and stopping huge trucks parking on residential streets.

However we all know you will continue to steal money, increase corruption through dodgy contracts, waste all the money on politically correct woke projects that accomplish nothing and pay massively high salaries to senior & middle management who only make the city worse.

The best thing would be if the council and AT were abolished and we had a system of local councils for each suburb.

Unfortunately you and AT never listen.

### Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Only reduce services in high socio economic areas and the cbd. Focus on the regional spread starting from the outside in

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Priorities are too vague to form an opinion. I was extremely disappointed in the reversal of the Panuku town centre trial in Pukekohe. Our town has no identity anymore and needs to reposition itself. Pukekohe has similiar spending habits to Newmarket but there are so few places that are of the same standard that people still have to travel to the city to get the experiences they want.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The earth is burning and this could help a little bit

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's annoying to get the exact bags needed for our area

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

# Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: All sounds good

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** I am strongly opposed to the sale of Ardmore Hall and Bell Field. I am a local resident and I have seen daily how the fields and hall (prior to the fire damage) are used for a wide variety of family and community events. The hall is a building of historic importance to the village of Ardmore and should be preserved (as was the intention before the fire) and the history celebrated rather than sold to fund initiatives in Clevedon. The field is a popular meeting place for social sports groups and families, with the rapid rise of property developments it is really important to protect local green spaces for future use.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

### Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to get a good system in place to allow focused and useful data measurements which can be adjusted to suit results.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Needs to be a plan but obviously big picture initiatives but no details as to what these reductions in services will be.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** If there is no User Pays charge understanding of our individual usage and recycling awareness is lost on a basic level

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Strongly NO. Ardmore Hall has historical significance and is a key focus area for the community. As we grow we need to keep its identity as we attract new families to the area and encourage use. Clevedon and Papakura already have strong infrastructure and identity and we need to keep ours and build it. There should also be information boards placed beside the hall outlining its history (100 years) during the war and next to the monument commemorating the fallen.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** There is a dominant focus to reduce transportation emissions through public transport and supporting active transport.

It directly targets inequities through improving services in communities currently under-served.

The fund will be used to improve and make public transport more accessible and climate friendly through increasing services and frequency, and purchasing low-emission buses and ferries.

People who commute by public transport have less cardiovascular disease (high blood pressure, heart attacks, strokes), less diabetes, and overall lower mortality rates.

Funding to improve access to active transportation is needed in Auckland. This climate action package will be used to improve 35km of footpaths, including lighting to make walking safer and completing cycling links across the region.

Commuters who walk or cycle are healthier and live longer. Active transport is proven to reduce all-cause mortality and reduce morbidity from non-communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, respiratory diseases, diabetes, and mental health.

Planting of urban Ngahere (forests) will improve carbon sequestration and also promote human health and wellbeing. For example, through psychological benefits, and cooling the city by providing shade and transpiring water.

Planting will also be of cultural importance with kai gardens and rongoa (medicinal plants), further supporting food sovereignty and community autonomy.

Trees purify the air by removing particulate matter pollution reducing the risk of asthma, heart attacks, and stroke.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We have lunitics bombing countries. Causing contamination. Volcanos.and other eruptions. So how can you control climate change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Maybe if so much money wasn't wasted.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

**Tell us why:** As I stated. If so much money wasn't wasted.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Might work

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** stopping wasteful spending would help, staff numbers have skyrocketed, make things happen - not necessarily cut services but manage them better

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Concentrate on essential services, we do not need to know by advertising how good you are, if you are good we will see it every day. Cut staff, there are so many to go through everything takes so much time, does this add value or just unnecessary complications?

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It must be easier on your management to have one system for all.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** What do local residents think of losing Ardmore Hall and Bell Fields (there are not enough cricket fields now). Clevedon Hall investment yes, as long as you do what suits the community - now often you do not and it affects the users. I think though this is more upper management decisions that the local board do not support either. Support the completion of Karaka Sports Park - vital with the increased usage and participation in the area.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Tūpuna Maunga Authority - these people need to go, no sense at all.

### Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Sounds like a good cause

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Howick Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** There are so many things Auckland can be doing to help the climate. Also the public transport is terrible so I think anything helping that is an awesome idea.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** If we are able to lessen the tax on people than it would help. Especially over covid as prices have increased.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I think cost reduction is a great idea but the proposal is a bit unclear about what will happen

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I support as there are major waste issues. Anything we can do to help is very much worth it.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** I think the priorities are good and they are right. However I don't think we should sell the hall as it is special for the town and people there.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think we should be prioritising the waste projects. Also the Auckland transport and climate projects. Climate change is important and is something that will affect us so if we can do stuff to help then we should.

### Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: im happy people are thinking more of the environment,

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: depend where there taking the money from, some situations are worse than others

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: i dont really know

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: im all in for saving the environment

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: depending on who it affects the most

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** i think this is a key issue that should be addressed to better our climate. getting less cars on the road is a great goal

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** it depends on where they are taking and how much they are taking from other budgets. i could have a more clear answer with more information

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: i think this would be a better option for the economy

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Other

Tell us why: it depends on whom it will effect more and how much positivity it will bring.

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The survey was really wordy. Hard to understand as a younger person.

### Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support it because we need to protect the environment for future generations

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't understand the terms keeping 3.5% seems good but reducing services seems bad

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Many rural areas need support they can't just cut off parts of the funding

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The bins are good

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't specifically live or use this area so I don't mind

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Confusing wording

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I'm currently studying at St Peter's College in Epsom in year 13, and I believe it is pivotal that Auckland takes action now on climate change, especially when it comes to our emissions in transport. Investing in transport like this proposal suggests is a perfect way to begin to tackle Auckland's impact on Climate change and to become leaders in the world in healthy and sustainable transport. Extending public transport range and improving the public transport system that already exists will disincentivise extensive car use, saving Aucklanders the cost of fuel prices and taking cars off the road, not only reducing our emissions but thinning congestion as well.

It is well studied that many people are willing to take public transport or commute sustainably (Cycling, Walking, etc) when the transport options are accessible and convenient, and so increasing options for such transport will even further reduce unnecessary road use, especially for short trips within the heart of Auckland.

If we increase Tree Canopy in Auckland around where it's needed most, not only do we help recapture some of the emissions we produce, but we heighten the aesthetic value of our city, encouraging Aucklanders to take part in and enjoy their city and explore it. And why stop at where it is needed? If we increase canopy in areas of high foot traffic it will immediately heighten the mental health and walkability of Auckland. I can say from experience that I love walking through the bottom of Queen St in the CBD, where smaller trees have been placed and where the road has been given back to pedestrians.

We don't need to attack cars to lower our emissions, we just need to make public and sustainable transport more accessible and convenient, and naturally they will be used more. Give reasons for Aucklanders to enjoy travelling

through our city, and absolutely convert public transport options into lower emissions forms so that even if they have to take transport which has a carbon footprint, they are doing so in forms which are efficient and doing the best they can for the environment.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: do not sell assests...

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: increase recycling. User pays for rubbish.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is a major threat to our way of life. Spending money earlier is better than having to spend much more money later.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As future generations need to have clean atmosphere

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We need more money

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I support

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We need rubbish goen

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Very good

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I dont how to feel about this issue

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Make everything cheap

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: we need more Gujarati immigrants

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

the community and not to develop alot in franklin as theirs too much development and not much benefits.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The earth needs our help

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't want to have to pay

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Not to sure

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: wont make much difference unless everyone including major businesses join in

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: doesn't bother me

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: at least the decisions aren't just made willy nilly

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: idc

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change hasnt been properly aknowledged and needs to be properly handled

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: It dosent affect me

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because it's good for environment

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

It would be better to use existing funds already given as many of the funds are being used to for things that aren't as important

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We are not educated on what current taxes are put towards. Perhaps it would be better to use the money you already receive?

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** This is a complicated topic. If the council wants youth input there should be more visual and statistically supported explanations to ensure a better response. We are not worrying about these plans, we are worrying about school so in a sense this is unfair.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I understand this will effect me in the future but what even is operating spending? Please consider how you are collecting this information.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Again I do not understand this, let alone have time to go through this information in my own time. From what I can grasp, some people may not respond efficiently to this and we may have a larger problem as a result.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think it is amazing that you are asking for the youth of NZs' input but most people are not educated in these areas and have no idea what you are asking/talking about. If you would like effective evidence for your surveys I suggest that better criteria should be set up to support these surveys.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local hoard: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I think this is good to increase efforts to reduce emissions and combat climate change. I feel like this will make a difference as long as the effort is put into the right areas.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I feel like this is a good option to make sure everything stays stable.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I think this is a good idea as long as you stick to the "Things that we won't do" section.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I feel like this would be a lot easier and create a lot less hassle than the PAYT system.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: I think this is a good idea as long as it wont create major downsides for people.

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I feel like one of the most important things is to keep the economy in good shape whilst making sure to pay a lot of attention to climate change and waste management.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Because I don't know

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Because

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Idk

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's good

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: since it's most likely to increase gas prices and taxes although it's good for the environment

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: iit'll help climate change

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: I am very confused

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we need to look after the environment for generations to come

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: they are all good points

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** i think its a good idea but execution would be hard and you would have to take funding out of the right organizations and make sure to prioritize the right things

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** some people create a lot less waste than others yet would still have to pay the same amount and that's not fair

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

**Tell us why:** peoples schedules may differ, so this solution may not be fully beneficial to everyone, but improving the local transport quality will invite people to use this service. <33

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: it would enforce people to recycle

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: You straight

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Put this into sports community, for example build more public basketball hoops in pukekohe especially

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce fuel prices please

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Reduce taxes

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Please add more public bball hoops, imma baller

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reduce fuel prices

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: This will make some needed changes in some services.

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This will make people want to produce less waste so they can pay less for bin size

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I do not have any problems with the following issues

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** It would Make use of the underused trasport system and improve auckland trasport, however most people prefer to use thier own car.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Sorry dont know about this ( dont have an opinion)

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** So far the pukekohe trasport has been pretty rubbish, busses driveing around with no one on the busses wich is a waist of fule and money

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** wiht payt at least you dont have to pay when you do not have rubbish to throw out that week, with rates you have to pay for rubbish collection reguardless if your bin is full or not

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: IT would be giving back to the community there, however it will not effect me personally

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

please lower our taxes

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I believe this is happening for a good cause.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): CBT construction

Your local board: Franklin

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The proposal adds a rate that most families can afford and therefore with everyone contributing

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Finding ways to relieve financial pressure due to Covid will support families and businesses

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Only spending money set aside for essential services can be a way to reduce costs, but what some people perceive as essential might be different to what others think. So finding a way to accommodate both parties might be a challenge

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** The PAYT system already provides a system based on the amount you throw away. Therefore it makes you think twice about the amount of waste created

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I'd love for more relevant events for young people to be introduced introduced to the community, giving youth more opportunities to get creative in music, art etc. I personally am big on public transport. I'd love to see more nature walks in franklin. Priorities are pretty good though

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think this is a good idea.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The costs for these projects should come out of the council's current available funds and "nice to have" and vanity projects should not go ahead till we we can afford such things without making rate payers pay extra. A lot of money is spent on consultants rather than actual delivery.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Your proposal is good because it shows Council is thinking of working within the budget limitations and not spending on projects if it cannot afford to at this time.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** Some of your priorities should be re-considered. The focus should only be on projects to protect communities and provide essential services such as waste collection, good roads and public transport. Continuing to provide services for libraries and leisure and sports centres is also important but things that are used by only a few people should move towards user pays or be removed as they are not good value for the money spent.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** This will improve the environment and the quality of the city. Inorganic collections should be increased and more collection centres should be set up for inorganic waste, to reduce the dumping which has increased exponentially and completely ruined the quality of life for residents/rate payers.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Support the increased decision making by Local Board and greater control of budgets - they know best what their communities value.

Tupuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan should be made public and care should be taken to see that most of the cost is on maintaining and looking after the Maunga and not on endless consultations.

Dog registrations costs should be reduced significantly - most dog owners dont use any of the services provided by Animal Management, and those that do, should be made to cover these costs to a greater extent.

Council should encourage more rain water collection and use and incentivise rate payers to install them

Council should provide more assistance for pest control on farm life-style properties as these are breeding ground / nurseries for pests such as rats, possums, hedgehogs, feral cats.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need a clean green environment in which families can live and grow. We need efficient and effective public transport. Anything that can be done to achieve theses goals needs to be prioritized.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Money must be spent and therefore raised. If you live in Auckland you must contributing to it's budget and by limiting rates increases does not help

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Auckland Council should look closely at reducing funds to services that central government should be providing.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I believe that rates funded rubbish will be the most effective.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Other

**Tell us why:** I think the Ardmore Hall property be merged with the Ardmore school.

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is the single most important issue facing us all and must be taken seriously and tackled effectively now.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Move sale of "non strategic assets to first place. Council should not be holding shares in Auckland Airport. You are elected to manage the City, not to play the Market

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** User pays. Rate payers should not be the source of all funding. This will be an incentive to waste minimisation

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The planet is running out of time. Any improvement in our emissions is vital. And these changes will make Auckland a much more beautiful city to live in.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: If this is the most efficient way to do it, then it is a good option.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Keep investing in good outdoor walkways/cycle paths/regional parks

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is not the role of Council.

Look after your core elements first, roads, paths, rubbish, water

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Do not touch 3 waters trade off.

Any one with business sense could reduce cost at Council

When you sell something it is gone. Can't sell it again so this is short sighted action for benefit now but passing on fiscal issue to future rate payers.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Your own words describe what is wrong with council

"Our prioritisation would be guided by the Auckland Plan 2050 and the legislated role for the council to promote the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of our communities now and for the future."

Get the basics complete before spending rates on the nice to haves.

You could first start saving money by getting rid of all the people you employ to write reports that contain this Politically Correct nonsense.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: No issue with rubbish being charged what it costs.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Other

Tell us why: This is an issue for Clevedon not wider Franklin to comment on.

Submissions on this should only be taken from people in Clevedon area.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

If you look at budgets in Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 you see a whole lot of spending that while well meaning is not necessary. They are parks & reserves.

Items like "Network-wide programme to develop unique infrastructure that bring ManaWhenua stories and values to life on the Maunga" should not take precedence over infrastructure. Example being, subdivisions built but having no water supply while at same time we have a housing shortage.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Most of it is bullshit

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Thieves

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: It's a con to tax us more

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Needs another option

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Ask the locals

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council has to stop screwing its rate payers

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

### Waitākere Ranges Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I am a grandfather to 11 grandchildren. And I am a relatively wealthy ratepayer. I would gladly pay more to help ensure a stable climate for my mokopuna.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** A 3.5% annual increase is way below current inflation, so it means the city is going backwards. The rates need to increase more than that.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Seems reasonable to me.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: But it needs to be a national system. See if you can persuade central govt to pick up the tab!

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why: Good.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** In 2019, Auckland Council declared a climate emergency. The Auckland Climate Action Targeted Rate must be passed to show that this declaration is more than just an empty gesture/words. We are living in a climate emergency. Paying a little now means we'll hopefully pay less in the future. This is a good first step to tackle climate change.

Reducing transport emissions will go a long way. We cannot delay this package so it should not be pushed off to 2024.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is not a matter of whether we want it or not, it is simply an ethical requirement.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Sale of assets removes public oversight. This is not a good thing and other options need to be found. Otherwise it is necessary.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: People need to be rewarded for generating less rubbish. A flat rate does not do this.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: We need to empower better transport options for pedestrians and cyclists.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** NZ is not doing enough, the little we are doing is not fast enough. COVID was the perfect time to hit the reset button, but we are going back to our old familiar ways. Please be a leader on this. Climate change is telling us we have done enough damage, and we need to reduce our impact NOW.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I would rather people have the incentive to reduce their rubbish in the first place. I do, and I object to paying through rates for other people to keep adding to landfill. Make it as expensive as possible to throw rubbish away, and increase fines for dumping so it is actually a dis-incentive to do so.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Anthropogenic climate change is the number one existential threat to our civilisation and possibly to our species, not to mention countless other organisms on this planet. We need to attack this problem on multiple fronts at all levels of social organisation. This targeted rate is a trivial amount of money to invest in the future well-being of the planet.

## Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is needed NOW.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: There is a financial incentive to keep household waste minimized in the current system.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is absolutely essential.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Progressive taxation and borrowing is preferable to cuts in investment.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** The principles are so vague and open to interpretation that it's hard to see what it would mean in practice. In principle, putting first things first is appropriate as is ensuring efficiencies but this may just be a turn of phrase to justify austerity which is unacceptable.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Make public transport free to all. Prioritise mode shift to walking and cycling.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Great idea. I wish we had started this years ago.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Increase spending, improve Auckland's infrastructure and invest in our future. \$85 million is a drop in the bucket. Financial prudence is a thing of the past, we need to be able spend and borrow when it's necessary. We've been underspending for decades - let's go!

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Reduce funding for what? Stop spending on what? Who will decide what should stay and what should go? Not enough information for me to support sorry. I worry that our old, rich, white, ignorant communities who have plenty of time to complain will be better off once again and that concerns me. I truly hope we don't reduce investment in communities who have historically been underinvested in for decades.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Makes sense.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Something needs to be done one way or another

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Cause it will help the environment

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: 🐴

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It is great

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It is good

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: 👍

#### Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## Henderson-Massey Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### **Howick Local Board**

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

### Kaipātiki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Manurewa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I support all priorities

## Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

**Environmental initiatives (other)** 

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

#### Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Puketāpapa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### **Upper Harbour Local Board**

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## Waitākere Ranges Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## Tell us why:

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### **Howick Local Board**

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

#### Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a real issue and needs to be addressed.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm not sure.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Not sure

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Whatever helps with climate changes

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Seems mostly well intended to help.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think it's good

## Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This helps reduce the amount of cars on the road

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It's a good idea

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: good

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: it's a better system

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why: will help environment in the long term

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As someone who uses public transport

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Sounds good

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't get it

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Sounds good

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Doesn't really affect me and don't really get it but sounds good

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: Sounds like good ideas

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** As the population and industrial centre of the nation, it is vital that the Council generates substantial targeted funding to promote long-term gobal warming reduction initiatives, especially in the fields of transport, urban infrastructure and emissions reduction. This targeted rate is vital to taking serious climate-saving initiatives alongside government climate-saving programmes.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Savings may be unavoidable, but all categories should be geared to equitable outcomes. This means that the more affluent ratepayers (I just about qualify as one) should bear a proportionally higher burden in any cost-saving initiative and that services and assets important to less affluent communities be protected.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** In general, the framework makes good sense. There must, however, be space to adjust resource allocations to sustain overarching priorities, in particular action on climate change, improving public transport, and expanding public housing for rent (not sale). As an example, Council-provided public housing in Wellington made a critical difference to my own family's wellbeing and that Council's 30-year strategic agreement with the Helen Clark government was a critical factor.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Definitely more equitable and climate-friendly.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** The planning spend is underdone. Small-town and rural Franklin is heading into widespread residential expansion - there is a 700-house (c 3000-person?) development proposed on the outskirts of our 10,000+ town of Waiuku that will grossly overload our already fragile infrastructure and services. All private gain (for the developers) at large public expense. The housing expansion is welcome, but must be integrated into well-configured urban planning to avoid chaotic ribbon sprawl across the district, with absent or poor community infrastructure and services. This, as well as protecting premium soils is this rich horticultural area, should be a strategic focus for the southern fringe of Auckland.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

See above. We are way behind the trajectory of fringe urban development, which requires more central and strategic and less local and vested interest manamegement.

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: because I think young people should have acsess to this.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: budgeting is becoming harder and harder for young people.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: because climate change is bad

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: prizes are skyrocketing and they need to be reduced

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I think this is a good idea because lots of people have budget pressures and stress

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: because it is an easier way to deal with all the rubbish rather than having two systems

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why: I think the priorities are right and they are all useful and will help the franklin community

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Other

**Tell us why:** I support investing in ways to reduce emissions. However, my concern is that the proposed actions will not be executed equitably across Auckland. I live in Franklin and at times feel that we don't get to enjoy the same services as those who live closer to the city do because we live on the outskirts of Auckland. Hence, I may land up funding some of these climate actions that aren't implemented in my community which I don't think is fair.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** The proposed "levers" to deal with the budgeting pressures appear to be achievable and logical. I like the fact that the agreed rates increase of 3.5% will be kept as this helps with our household budgeting at a time when the cost of living has significantly increased. Delaying some capital expenditure makes sense given the shortfall expected due to Covid.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** I agree that you have to prioritise how money will be spent on services in the current economic climate. You also need to ensure that the services that are being paid for are in alignment with the goals in the unitary plan.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Our family of four only puts out a bag of rubbish every two to three weeks. This is because we recycle our soft plastics and have a compost bin in our garden. If we were to move to a rates-funded system we would land up paying a lot more for rubbish collection.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** The Franklin Local Board do a great job of working for our community. I'm confident that the things they have proposed and prioritised for our local community is appropriate and will be actioned in good time.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I think that if it is paid for/funded their would be alot less dumped waste on the side of some roads but I also believe that not alot of people do that and the majority of people would use the PAYT system

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

#### Manurewa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support most priorities

#### Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

#### Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Reduces incentive to fly dump.

Stops tenants having to meet hidden costs on top of rent.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Leaving the choice to purchase bags to residents increases the chances of illegal waste dumping. Removing the choice and adding to the rates makes it more likely/highly likely that illegal dumping will reduce.

NZ has a terrible litter problem and I believe the rates-based charging will help a great deal.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** I think the sale is a great idea and the Ardmore School could definitely do with a parking facilities taking the danger out of crossing the road for parents and students which is what most currently do. The hall is currently not utilised due to the fire damage but I can't ever recall in 20 years of living in Clevedon ever knowing what happened there and have never been in there and never heard of anybody who mentions having used it. I think the sale would mean something which a lot of people utilise, such as the proposed reinvestment at the showgrounds or public facilities in Clevedon Village would be of far greater value. I do know that the public toilets in Clevedon are complained about on a regular basis (as to how old and disgusting they are) - we, as shop owners, are often asked if the public can use our toilet because the public ones are so horrible. That would be money well spent!

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Should be done by reprioritising existing rates

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We need to live within our budgets

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: We need to prioritise asset renewal over operations

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: If rubbish removal is discretionary then illegal dumping will occur which is not good for the city

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Ardmore hall should be saved. Investment for Clevedon should come from reducing operations spending eg doggie in parks, baby stroller walks and other nonsense spending

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We need to re-proportion capital and operations spending so we have more money for capital expenditure on walk and cycleways

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: i think that conserving the earth is an amazing idea and is a good chose to fund.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: i think that the idea to put it in our climate is better

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't like this

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: no our focus is that we should focus on our climate

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why: i think it is good

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

i think our plant and the future is a a focus we need to assure on it safety so out future generations thrive

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We all have learn to live within our budget and the council should learn to also

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** We all have to live within our budget Money is in sort supply for everyone and the council should put things on hold till we can afford it

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Live within our budget

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Everyone should pay the same for rubbish

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We all have to live within our budget

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Counties Manukau Health (CM Health) supports the reduction of carbon emissions for two important reasons; positive climate action is imperative and mitigation measures offer co-benefits to the health of the population. CM Health is situated within Auckland and Auckland is the largest greenhouse gas emitter in New Zealand. Over 40 per cent of Auckland's total emissions come from transportation and these emissions have increased by 86 per cent between 1990 to 20181. Reducing emissions will have important health co-benefits by reducing air pollution from transport and increasing the opportunity for the community to engage in physical activity as part of their daily routine. This will see a reduction in all-cause mortality and morbidity, thus reducing the cost burden of healthcare and quality of life.

Encouraging active transport and the use of public transport reduces many avoidable health issues related to cardiovascular disease2,3 such as high blood pressure, heart attacks, strokes and weight control reduces the risk of diabetes, these all help to lower mortality rates. This in turn will provide a much needed reduction of pressure on our services, as will the reduction in air pollution.

The South Auckland community has less active transport facilities available to them compared to other areas in Auckland 4. This climate action targeted rate will contribute to removing some of these inequities by increasing the provision for walking and cycling.

Increasing services and frequency, and purchasing low-emission buses and ferries is beneficial to all and demonstrates a commitment to Auckland being carbon zero by 2050.

If this climate action package is also used to improve lighting this will contribute towards making walking and cycling safer and completing cycling links across the region will enhance complete journeys being taken in these modalities. Safety going to and from work either by foot or on a cycle is the one big factor that stops a large organization such as CM Health really promoting and encouraging our local staff to access their work in an active manner. This is the case all year round but particularly in the winter months with less daylight hours. It would be a wonderful situation to have pleasant, safe, well lit and well used cycle ways near all of our facilities so we could encourage the staff that are able to use an active mode of transport. We are a large organization with approximately 8,500 employees, imagine if only 20% took up a new mode of travel? That would be 1700 cars off the road daily just from our organization, patients and visitors could raise that number some more.

The planting of trees is to be encouraged at all times, in all places, in urban areas they create a sense of peace, reduce noise, create a pleasant environment, improve carbon sequestration and also promote human health and wellbeing 5,6. Climate change is causing longer, hotter, drier summers so planting in an urban environment provides much needed shade, reduces the effect of "heat islands" that cities create and plantings also transpire water.

Planting needs to be done with care and thought so that root system's are not trampled over or covered with tar sealing and that specimens are chosen that do not require large amounts of watering or maintenance. Trees and plants that provide a food source for birds would be ideal to encourage nature back into our urban areas. The planting should be of cultural importance with kai gardens and medicinal plants (rongoa), supporting food sovereignty and community autonomy7,8.

Air pollution compounds respiratory health problems such as asthma, chronic obstructive disease and emphysema. The climate action targeted rate will not only reduce car emissions but this will be supported by the planting of trees that also purify the air by removing particulate matter pollution.

CM Health believes that if the target rate is approved and is well planned and implemented it will be a turning point for Auckland to reduce its climate emissions and improve the daily lives of many by making the city's transport more accessible, safer and healthier. As part of the public sector; the Carbon Neutral Government Programme (CNGP) is requiring CM Health to be carbon neutral from 20259 this will be made easier if the city we serve is also making progress towards reducing it's carbon emissions. As large public serving organizations both DHB's and city council's should be role modelling the behavior we wish to see in the general public.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** This opinion is one from the writers perspective & not necessarily the view of the organization I work within. they have supplied their own submission. The dominant focus of the proposed Auckland Budget 2022/23 should remain targeting climate change through carbon-action targeted rates. It would be disappointing to see the climate targeted rates being used anywhere else except for mitigation and adaptation measures.

These rates are expected to raise \$574 million over 10 years and it could be tempting for the council to use some of the new found money on other projects. This must not happen and a transparent accounting system must be made public so that climate action targeted rates are used solely for their purpose. The other actions mentioned above seem appropriate. The aim should always be that the climate action rates and the accompanying government subsidies will be spent on a climate action mitigation measures to meet Auckland Council's goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 50% by 2030( from 2016 levels) and achieve net zero emissions by 2050.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Not appropriate for CM Health to respond

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Changes are required to the way waste is managed in Aotearoa; New Zealand (NZ). To effect positive change and provide equity amongst New Zealanders and New Zealand businesses, continuity and consistency in waste and recycling across Aotearoa is required. This proposal for the Auckland region acknowledges this need and is a step in the right direction.

Ensuring scalability and increased accountability for measuring and reporting waste streams by waste management suppliers is foundational. We must acknowledge the significant impact micro-plastics have already created in our natural environment and that the implications of these, including to human health, are still unclear. NZ needs to become increasingly transparent and clear in what they are collecting and the pathways various waste streams take and the impact it has on the final waste destination. The proposed standardisation of the collection process should also lead on to an accurate measuring and reporting system.

Education of the public is a significant part of the solution to waste disposal. A focus on the connection between waste creation, waste management, human health, wellbeing, and cultural wellness is an important topic to highlight. In some cases illustrating waste management from this perspective may be received and understood better by different sectors of the community.

The bullet points below outline some specific points to improve barriers to waste collection.

- A barrier for some people is being able to visually see the recycling symbol and number. Perhaps it could it be regulated as to how big symbols should be and that it needs to be prominent.
- There is a wide held belief that recycling just gets thrown into landfill in any case so people just don't bother. Education about where it goes and what it is made into would be helpful.
- · Honesty & transparency about rates of contamination may help and what is considered contamination
- Many people do not understand the serious consequences of organic matter going into landfill.

CM Health as a health provider creates a lot of waste and the pandemic has added to this waste volume in an unavoidable manner. ESR's report10in 2021 stated: "As Aotearoa New Zealand's population both expands and lives longer there is likely to be an increase in the generation of health care waste. Major changes to move to a circular economy of health care waste will require clear incentives to reduce waste but also information that supports changes to the systems eg, data, infrastructure, knowledge as well as the resources (people, finance, will) to do so".

We would recommend that investment needs to be made in manufacturing companies to support product stewardship and circular economy initiatives. The future of waste management is to reuse rather than dispose. Particularly in the

Auckland region where we have the benefit of large industrial sectors and the transport of materials from the manufacturer to the user and back to the manufacturer is a relatively small distance.

Consideration also needs to be given to supporting local suppliers and businesses working to build create more sustainable products and to innovations that find solutions with difficult waste streams.

Health organisations are responsible for representing and supporting population health and the the connection between a healthy planet and healthy people is clear but not widely communicated.

The approach must be the same across all territorial authorities across Aotearoa, A national approach to waste is required to assist population behaviour change, regardless of where a person lives and the regional climate target rates is a great way to start this movement.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Answer to 4b

This opinion is one from the writers perspective & not necessarily the view of the organization I work within. they have supplied their own submission. The option to "opt out" is a sensible approach for some circumstances such as industrial properties that may have their own waste contractor or residential properties that have their own composting or vermiculture and do not want an organic bin. Concerns lie with property owners opting out of all bin provision to reduce their financial outgoings but then utilize fly tipping as their chosen method of discarding waste. What measures can be taken to avoid "opting out" of all bin provision? Fly tipping causes unsightly damage to the environment and adds to soil and water contamination depending on location. All of this ultimately affects the health of human, animal and water life.

Answer to question 5: This submission is on behalf of CM Health; the catchment area of our organisation covers multiple local board areas.

# Important privacy information

### References

- 1. <a href="https://at.govt.nz/media/1977266/tra">https://at.govt.nz/media/1977266/tra</a> at activemodes publicrele ase-1.pdf
- Raifman, M., Lambert K. F., Levy, J. I., & Kinney. P. L. (2021). Mortality Implications Of Increased Active Mobility for a Proposed Regional Transportation Emission Cap-and-Invest Program. Journal of Urban Health, 98(3), 315-327. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-020-00510-1">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11524-020-00510-1</a>
- Celis-Morales. C. A., Lyall. D. M., & Welsh. P. (2017). Association Between Active Commuting and Incident Cardiovascular Disease, Cancer, and Mortality: Prospective Cohort Study. British Medical Journal, 357. https://doiorg.ezproxy.massey.ac.nz/10.1136/bmj.j1456
- 4. <a href="https://at.govt.nz/media/1985890/active-modes-quarterly-snapshot-janurary-march-2021.pdf">https://at.govt.nz/media/1985890/active-modes-quarterly-snapshot-janurary-march-2021.pdf</a>
- 5. Carrus, G., Scopelliti, M., Lafortezza, R., Colangelo, G., Ferrini, F., Salbitano, F., ... & Sanesi, G. (2015). Go greener, feel better? The positive effects of biodiversity on the well-being of individuals visiting urban and peri-urban green areas. Landscape and urban planning, 134, 221-228
- 6. Hartig, T. (2004). Restorative environments. In C. Spielberger (Ed.), Encyclopaedia of applied psychology (Vol. 3) (pp. 273–278). San Diego: Elsevier/Academic Press
- 7. Huang, B., Comeau, D. J., Conklin, J. A., & O'Gorman, P. E. (2020). Assessing the Current State of Food Insecurity in New Zealand. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.wpi.edu/iqp-all/5613
- 8. Riolo, F. (2019). The social and environmental value of public urban food forests: The case study of the Picasso Food Forest in Parma, Italy. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 45, 126225.
- 9. https://environment.govt.nz/what-government-is-doing/key-initiatives/carbon-neutral-government-programme/

- 10. P. 35 https://www.esr.cri.nz/assets/HEALTH-CONTENT/20210716-DHB-Waste-Report-FINAL-1.pdf
- 11. Zero Waste Network Waste Strategy and Legislation Submission





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is our most important action

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

#### Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Home composting is more energy efficient. PAYT encourages less waste.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** We feel that would be an insult to the people who lived and are living in the Ardmore area. Our family has a long family history with the Ardmore Hall going back 5 generations to my great grandfather who helped build the hall. It is a sad reflection on this council that they are unable to manage the upkeep. Our history is important and with Ardmore growing there is a need for this community facility. The Ardmore school should be the first to benefit if the hall and field was sold.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is an existential threat, we must do everything we can to alleviate its effects to ensure we can leave a hospitable environment for ourselves and future generations.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Climate action is so important it should be covered under the general rate.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: The proposal is so vague it is impossible to comment

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I am concerned going to a rates funded system will mean more rubbish not less. The other side of the coin is hopefully less tipping. I hope any extra funds received through the rates funded system, because of those of us who only put out rubbish once every 4 to 6 weeks, is used to quickly clean up litter and fly tipping which are both huge problems through rural Franklin.

I

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** I was part of a group which regularly used Ardmore all. It appeared non ot the groups using the hall were Ardmore locals, Unless there are plans for substantial development in the vicinity of the school and hall, it should be sold. his is subject to finding a new home for the war memorial. Maybe at Ardmore Airport.

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** The Clevedon Showgrounds - better drainage, lights to facilitate evening use of the grounds, half basketball court/pump track, improvements and additions to cricket pitches.

Other places in Clevedon that could be improved with extra funding: better facilities at clevedon scenic reserve/camp Sladdin, refurbish and reopen the small loop track going up camp Sladdin to allow an alternative to those that cannot manage the full climb. Link the creek path around the taitaia steam at 52 Notth Road to the clevedon scenic reserve.

Refurbish the clevedon hall and the area surrounding it including the Children's playground.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Clevedon Football Club

Your local board: Franklin

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** We would like to see money spent on improvements to the showgrounds, and particularly the installation of lights to enable late afternoon and evening use during the winter months.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Investment in the Clevedon Showgrounds and Camp Sladdin would be most welcome, particularly in the short loop walk up the right hand side of Camp Sladdin that has been closed off in recent years. Continuous paved access from the new developments along Monument Road south of the Showgrounds through to the Clevedon Village would create better access for pedestrians.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates are so expensive and in the current economic climate any addition costs would be hard to manage.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** The Clevedon Showgrounds would be hugely benefited by these funds- better drainage, lights to facilitate evening use of the grounds for football, half basketball court/pump track, improvements and additions to cricket pitches.

Other places in Clevedon that could be improved with extra funding: better facilities at clevedon scenic reserve/camp Sladdin, refurbish and reopen the small loop track going up camp Sladdin to allow an alternative to those that cannot manage the full climb. Link the creek path around the taitaia steam at 52 Notth Road to the clevedon scenic reserve.

Refurbish the clevedon hall and the area surrounding it including the Children's playground.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Auckland Council has mismanaged rates fund in years and the re allocation of current funds and prioritizing should be the direction instead of asking the rates payers for more

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: move to low emission, sell more electric vehicles & electric vessels

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: i support low emission and tree planting

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: heat can kill us

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: for less pollution

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Support climate change activities for the future of our children

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not at this difficult times where families are struggling. We have a few families under our wing

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To save the environment for our future

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: For our future, we need to save the environment

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Support activities for the future of our children we need to save the environment

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Main priority is my family's wellbeing.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: already cost of living too high

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: life is expensive these days

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: dont want to pay more money

minimise deforestation.

minimise use of fossil fuel

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I will never again vote for a targeted rate unless it is very specifically targeted. The Councillors and staff have brought this on their own heads by the way they have used the Water Quality Targetted rate. By my reading of Council documents, at least 85% of the funds collected by this rate in Franklin have gone to the Central Interceptor and associated systems – which did not deliver a single cent of benefit to Franklin? Climate change may be important, and Auckland Council policies to address it should be encouraged – and that includes not issuing consents to the Tupuna Maunga Authority, nor funding to them, for removal of trees, or for decisions by Local Boards to allow mass tree removal from parks and reserves. Given these recent actions by Council, I think it is cynically talking through both sides of its mouth when it says it wants to spend climate targeted rate on tree planting. The information supplied to me in this consultation does not meet the standard required for me to believe it is specifically targeted – it allows Council and staff to spend money on anything that they can greenwash. As an example, the supposed 35km of trails etc could be anywhere in the region; if you want my support for such a targetted rate, you would define exactly what in each Local Board area. Just as with the Water Quality Targetted Rate, it looks like Franklin gets minimal return of the funds collected. under this proposed Targetted Rate.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** The economic position is confounding the predictions of all, and there is nothing to say that Auckland Council staff are more accurate than Treasury or the bank economists.

I do have an objection to the sale of assets. I have seen several assets apparently disposed of in Pukekohe which were built up with Franklin region funding over many years which has brought no discernible benefit to Franklin. I think the Councillors need to set a much higher bar on approval for this, and it is one area where the Local Boards could be given much more decision-making power.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** This just looks like a calculated complicated scheme to increase the fees charged for waste collection. Our house composts all its own food wastes already - we do not need to be paying the Council for such a service.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

**Tell us why:** The statement from Franklin Local Board is so anodyne that they could do anything they liked and find a pocket within their statement to back it. So I simply cannot commend or condemn it, and regard it as a very unfortunate placebo for consultation on local issues.

Panuku supposedly has a plan for revitalization of Pukekohe. There is no hint of engagement by the Local Board with this plan, which as far as I can see has so far sold off assets formerly owned by Franklin District Council and simply taken the money to Albert St. The Local Board's statement makes no reference to the fiasco of Panuku's attempts to change the traffic flow in King St in Pukekohe, and how the Local Board will manage such issues in future – perhaps because it actually is powerless in the face of the mis-named Council Controlled Organisations to do anything they wish. Franklin Local Board claimed that they were powerless to prevent the trial by Panuku, and several Local Board members argued strongly for it – then cynically claimed that they had been responsible for the return to the status quo when public opinion started pouring in. Nor is there any reference to the apparent dumping by Panuku of the plan to upgrade Roulston Park in Pukekohe, which the Local Board approved in 2019. Why did the Local Board waste ratepayers money on the plan if Panuku was just going to do its own thing? If the Local Board is as toothless in setting local requirements as these examples have been, then there may be little point in having one, it seems to me.

Further, the statement makes no reference to preparedness to fight for retention of the elite soils of the Franklin area, which Auckland Council seems to have no interest in, nor to the importance to Franklin of the Manukau Harbour, which seems to be regarded by the Auckland Council and by staff as a second-class harbor, starved of central funding from the Council in ways that the Hauraki Gulf Forum and the Kaipara Moana Restoration are not. I know that the Manukau Harbour Forum relies on discretionary funding from Local Boards, which the Council does its best to restrict the availability of Local Boards to have, and commend the Local Boards that have been doing so. I would be strongly in favour of the plan to enhance the ability of Local Boards, as raised in the consultation, provided they were prepared to stand up to Auckland Council and fight for local needs. There is no evidence presented in this statement that Franklin Local Board are - the statements could apply as much to Rodney (and possibly Waitakere) as to Franklin without changing a word.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I strongly support enhanced Local Board powers in decision-making. The creation of the Auckland Council has effectively removed the word "local" from any local government system in Franklin, in my view. Too many policies/decisions are being imposed by people - Councillors, and especially staff - who have never lived in, or had any interest in, Franklin in their lives.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Action on Climate Change not just talk is needed urgently.

I would love to be able to not use my car so much, but at present it is impossible, as the closest public transport is 15 minutes drive from me. It is too dangerous to walk or cycle on the road to the village, so the car is always used.

Better planning would have helped maintain local green spaces instead of concentrating developer contributions into large parks which have to be driven to. More street trees is a start to remedy this so streets are more pleasant to walk along.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Simpler and more equitable even though I put refuse out about every 3 months.. in some places I think a fortnightly service would work as people, hopefully, reduce their landfill rubbish.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please keep Regiomal Parks separate from City Parks.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Sale of the hall and field would remove any concept of an Ardmore place. There are limited playing fields in the district and having a hall for indoor activities is likewise limited

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Other

**Tell us why:** The Climate Change Action Target Rate should be specifically targeted to the areas that will benefit from any such investment that Auckland Council make. It is unfair to levy such a targeted rate on rural communities and expect them to have to contribute to what clearly relates on the whole to specific urban areas within Auckland.

Considering that we are currently in the Covid-19 Pandemic, where many people are working from home and actively avoiding closed in public spaces (such as public transport) it is questionable whether this is the right time to be putting a huge investment into such services when clearly it has been stated that these are currently and also may not be well supported in the future.

I support the urban forest concept, but once again "urban" is the key word here. It is hard to understand in light of the Government's recent allowance around intensification of the urban environment around housing, just how far this proposal of an urban forest will go to help counteract even this, as established vegetation within current allotments within Auckland are being removed to accommodate the Governments directive.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Although it is clear that Covid-19 has had a huge impact on the revenues of the vast majority of New Zealanders, and the Auckland area in particular has been one of the hardest hit due to continued lockdowns and restriction, the reduction of key services should not be compromised when that effects the health and wellbeing of the Auckland community. Focus should be on Auckland Council reducing and weeding out the many unnecessary costs within the countless administrative teams and projects that do not actually provide value to what the Auckland community would deem as an essential key service. Now is not the time for Auckland Council to be spending money on anything other than what is required to provide ALL Aucklanders the appropriate level of service as defined by the Resource Management Act 1991. Revenue being gathered from "general rates" should be contributed by ALL property owners within the Auckland area (including Maori land). We are all members of the Auckland community, and as such we all benefit from essential services that are provided for from the revenue sourced from appropriate rates that are levied on those members that are privileged enough to own property.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Services should not be being reduce, stop or change. General rates should be levied on all property owners to ensure the maximum collection of this source of revenue is achieved. Otherwise the answer is the same as stated in Question 2 above. Rate

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I support a rates-funded system with no ability to opt-out unless Auckland Council does not currently provide a service of collection. To allow any property to opt-out of the collection services that should be provided by Auckland Council (in particular for non-residential properties) only promotes for rubbish being disposed of in an

unacceptable way, such as farm dumps. An opt-out system for others clearly only further encourages road side dumping, which is unacceptable and disgusting.

Surely the less rubbish chucked out on the roadside equates to reduced costs for Auckland Council with regard to the requirement for a timely clean-up.

The misguided thinking that not all members of the Auckland community produce rubbish that needs to be appropriately disposed of is clearly in denial.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Other

**Tell us why:** Ardmore Hall and War Memorial, although not heritage-listed have both been identified within Auckland Council's "Cultural Heritage Inventory (CHI)" and both retain heritage features. This land was donated for public use in 1899 and the Ardmore area residents unselfishly provided the labour and materials to build this "Public Hall" for public use. While the hall sits unrepaired it not only allows it to be susceptible to being further degraded, along with zero opportunity for revenue sources to be earned from its use. As it is insured the repair of the hall is covered.

The consideration of sale of 177 Burnside Road, Ardmore as an asset that does perhaps not fit an "asset that is future fit" relates to an public asset that Auckland Council/Franklin Local Board has had entrusted to them. This site includes a building and war memorial that retain heritage features. Any decision made by the local Franklin Board/Auckland Council absolutely should include and account for how this heritage and the property assets that have been entrusted in government from the very beginning as "public" assets will be able to protected in the future.

As a asset owned by Auckland Council, both the hall and memorial are afforded a level of protection, which simply under the current circumstances is not the case should this site be purchased by a private entity.

Over time Ardmore has not experience the same growth or village development as other places around it have, such as Clevedon Village or Papakura. However, the Ardmore area is not unique in the fact that the hub of many rural areas merely consists of a local school and local community hall, with perhaps a nearby church as what represents the core of their community, e.g Alfriston. Life and how much of our current communities now live has altered and moved away from the local community gatherings for dances etc when such halls were such an important part of a community. But this does not mean that sites such as these (hall and memorial) do not deserve to continue their place within the community to which they were once built. This especially is the case when such sites contain heritage features and should be heritage listed based on their age, historical, cultural and spiritual contribution to New Zealand heritage.

As Bell Field is vacant land, perhaps it lends itself toward consideration for sale. Such a sale may well provide an opportunity for an appropriate development adjacent to the Ardmore Hall and War Memorial site. It may help promote the presence of the hall and memorial site. However, such development ultimately could detract from the current open space zoning as a reserve.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Consideration, fairness and transparency for all is key.

## Important privacy information

Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we need to save the planet

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

It is unfortunate that rubbish in streets and parks and parking areas is not being cleaned up.

I also believe stopping the general coll3ction of inorganic was a mistake. Dumping is the result which is far worse.

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Clevedon Community and Business Association

Your local board: Franklin

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Significant growth is planned for Clevedon in the coming years and the Clevedon Community & Business Association support the opportunity to optimise and improve local facilities to accommodate growth.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Clevedon Community and Business Association support the following:

- Incorporation of the Clevedon Trials into Franklin Trails Plan
- Funding for roads for regional significance i.e. roads to and from quarry's
- Funding for on going pest management

### Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Significant growth is planned for Clevedon in the coming years and I support the opportunity to optimise and improve local facilities to accommodate growth

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Having lived in the area for 50yrs, I would like to see the hall retained for the Ardmore communities use. The hall was built by the Men in the district in 1899 and has been renovated by the several community members numerous times. It has been extensively used for local Cricket, indoor bowls, cyclist and several community events before the fire. Surely the building must have been insured so therefore should be fixed and if reasonably priced more clubs and the community would use it. The council should consider gifting to Ardmore School. This would solve the transport congestion problems which the school faces during pick up and drop off. This would provide a much safer option to the children.

Thank you for your consideration.

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Ardmore Recreation Club

Your local board: Franklin

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** The Ardmore Recreation Club has been established for over 100 yrs and supports and provides grants for local sporting clubs. Our main objectives are to promote and support sporting activities within the local community.

Over the last 100 yrs the club has provided several grants to local sporting clubs such as Ardmore indoor bowling club, Clevedon Cricket Club, Ardmore cycling, Ardmore Rugby club and Ardmore School

On behalf of the Ardmore Recreation Club, I am writing to the council in regards to the sale of the Ardmore Hall and Bell field.

The club strongly recommends that the facilities remain for the use of either school or sporting activities. One of the main reasons the facilities have been under utilised in recent times is that they have not been maintained and have become unsafe to use.

Has the council considered working with the community, clubs and Ardmore school to fund and upgrade the current facilities, rather than sell it out right.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

### Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

**Tell us why:** I would like to submit that a definitive budget be put in place to finish kerbing and channeling in Beachlands. I am aware that with budget constraints it would not be completed in a year but I do think that a time line could be given that shows this will be completed sometime over the next few years.

I have lived or had a close association with Beachlands now virtually a suburb of Auckland city for nearly 47 years and in that time the kerbing and channeling has still not been completed.

One road in particular Third View Avenue which since the opening up of the road to Spinnaker Bay has much more traffic yet has no kerbing or channeling from Bell Road to Shelly Bay Road

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

**Tell us why:** Having read about the possibility of sale of the Ardmore Hall in the PC Times I tried to have my say on the Council 'have your say page' but gave up so thought I might as well pitch directly to you

I have lived on Alfriston- Ardmore Rd about 2k from the Ardmore Hall for about 45 years and in that time I cant recall ever going into that building. It may be that I am anti social but I think my absence is more a reflection of its minimal use and the lack of amenity and community around it

I believe it should be sold and ideally the proceeds be invested in a redevelopment of the Clevedon Hall. No doubt there will be some statutory and bureaucratic hurdles to overcome but practically the aims and uses of those 2 facilities is identical and servicing pretty much the same community. It would seem logical the Ardmore school should get some of the land to extend their playing fields but I presume there would also be some surplus land to sell

The proposed route for the bypass/alternative access around the Clevedon main street through the Conmara property has never been finalised with, I might add, no help from AT who don't believe it necessary. I believe it is going to become more obvious that a bypass connection to the main Roundabout is essential and I believe that can be achieved in traffic engineering terms by the demolishing of the supper room on the north wall of the Hall. Obviously that needs to be done in conjunction with KHL the owner of Conmara and the time to deal with that is now whilst they are still finalising development design

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

#### Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** . I just wanted to say that my family ( and neighbours) strongly object to the potential sale of Ardmore Hall and want to see it back functioning for the Ardmore Community as soon as possible. There is very little physically to identify wit Ardmore and this in conjunction with the War Memorial gives us a physical presence we can revolve around. Surrounding areas such as Clevedon and Papakura have this already. I hope the council are not unwittingly thinking of removing the Ardmore identity from the Auckland region. Thanks for your help and I hope we as an area get a chance to discuss this further

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** Was built by local community and is part of Ardmore's history. Can not believe this is even being considered.

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

#### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** This was built by the community, not the council, so should remain part of the Ardmore Community. Absolutely against this idea.

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: Ardmore community needs a local hall to meet and field for outdoor activities. Clevedon is too far away.

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** We would like to see the facility to be given to the Ardmore School. When our children were young the hall was used often by the school. The grounds for the school's cricket and sports. This way Clevedon and Ardmore families can enjoy the venue.

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: If let Auckland Council keeps selling one day Aucklanders have to pay more to get spaces back

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



Page 1 of 955





# Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I think measures should be more, but these are appropriate. Supporting train networks should also be considered.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm reluctant that any services should be reduced, but budgets need to be managed.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: No sale. we need sports fields, not to lose them.

If sold can Local Board guarantee \$\$ would stay in Clevedon.

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't agree with paying more taxes. Better off making business carry costs of climate action.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The rubbish bags are expensive and small. The recycle bin is too small for our whanau.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Auckland Transport needs to be improved. Walking and cycling provisions encourage others to use.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't know much about budget so I cannot say.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is needed and an estimate of \$58 a year to pay is not a lot.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Being able to understand and manage budgets could make decisions easier.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

## Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

**Tell us why:** I disagree with the proposal to sell Bell Field and Ardmore Hall. Ardmore Hall and Bell Field are Ardmore's playing field and community hall. Ardmore is growing and the population is increasing. By taking away the hall you are taking away part of the community. Ardmore is not a part of Clevedon, with Clevedon being a further drive for families from Ardmore. Ardmore Airport, Ardmore Hall and Bell Field are Ardmore Landmarks. They have history with the community and are very special to the people of Ardmore.

As a resident of Ardmore as well as being a teacher from the school I think that Ardmore Hall and Bell Field are important parts of the community and if they were maintained properly and promoted they would be better utilised by the Ardmore Community. By selling these assets and spending the money on Clevedon facilities you are belittling the Ardmore Community and insinuating that the Clevedon community is more important than the Ardmore Community. This act would be taking away part of our identity.

As a resident I believe that If Bellfield was maintained properly, more members of the public would use it over winter. Bell Field is a great place to take children to ride bikes, have picnics, fly kites and play sports. It is also a place for social groups to meet and get together, e.g. cyclists before a ride.

As a teacher and Team Leader of the senior school at Ardmore I believe that you are doing a disservice to the students and whanau of Ardmore School. The hall was built to support the school. The school has much history with the hall and Bell Field and the memorial. Bell Field is used regularly by the school for Senior sports, cross country practise and classroom activities. Each afternoon the senior students are picked up from the Ardmore Hall car park as a way to lessen the traffic of Clevedon-Papakura Road and to keep students in their team bubbles.

Annually inter school TBall tournaments are held on the field. If Bell Field is sold this event will not be able to happen anymore.

Annually the Junior School cross Country is held on the field, we have some of the fun Agday events on Bell Field, we have whole school tabloids days. If Bell Field is sold we will not be able to hold these events.

As a teacher of Year 7 and 8 students I completed a mini inquiry with my class last week into the history behind Ardmore Hall and Bell Field and the subsequent proposal to sell it. The inquiry was unbiased, I did not give my views or opinions. Out of the 27 students who completed the inquiry only two thought it should be sold.

These are some quotes from the reasons the students thought it should not be sold.

"Firstly, Ardmore Hall and Bell Field are so important to the community. Families, friends, clubs and groups go there to spend time with each other! For example Families could go there for picnics, friends can go there to hangout and grow closer. Clubs can go to Bell Field to practise sports and groups can go there to practise things too. Some different groups are choirs, art groups, musical theatre and more. If the council sells it, where will all of them go? "

"Secondly, selling it may be for a better cause but what about the history inside of Ardmore Hall and Bell field? Even though it caught on fire in early 2021 it still has a lot of history behind it! The Ardmore Hall was up when the second world war happened, The Ardmore Hall has a memorial which was added on 25th of april 1975. Ardmore Hall has been used for many years by the Ardmore community"

"If Ardmore Hall and Bell Field gets sold, none of the community will have access to go on it or use it!

That means family and friends won't be able to spend time there or hang out together. The place would just be empty!

What will the government even do with the empty space? Build houses, Leave it empty?

Before you make your decision, think about the community and the families!"

"We want Bell Field and Ardmore Hall to be with us as a part of the heart of Ardmore School. It will be a sad and hard experience if Bell Field and Ardmore Hall gets sold. Even if the Council gets the best offer we would hate to sell. So we hope you understand that we don't want our sacred treasures to be sold."

"And finally, the money that is coming from our property is going to Clevedon, which is already doing well financially. So if we made the decision to sell it would not benefit us in any way possible, instead, we would have no place to practice sports, no gathering, extra classes or meetings, and the money would go somewhere that we can't access normally on a daily basis."

The other presentations had similar views.

As the future of Ardmore and the younger generation of Ardmore I believe their views should be listened to.

Please do not sell Ardmore Hall and Bell Field, they will both be great losses to Ardmore.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

#### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Franklin	

### Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information