Auckland Council
Te Kaunihera o Tāmaki Makaurau

Date: **Thursday, 5 May 2022**

Annual Budget 2022-2023

Ōrākei Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1
(26 - 9585)

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
26		Ōrākei	1
28		Ōrākei	1
120		Ōrākei	1
126		Ōrākei	1
150		Ōrākei	1
159		Ōrākei	1
171		Ōrākei	1
173		Ōrākei	1
174		Ōrākei	1
193		Ōrākei	1
197		Ōrākei	1
261		Ōrākei	1
280		Ōrākei	1
287		Ōrākei	1
290		Ōrākei	1
321		Ōrākei	1
328		Ōrākei	1
343		Ōrākei	1
345		Ōrākei	1
351		Ōrākei	1
380		Ōrākei	1
386		Ōrākei	1
389		Ōrākei	1
390		Ōrākei	1
430		Ōrākei	1
469		Ōrākei	1
477		Ōrākei	1
478		Ōrākei	1
490		Ōrākei	1
512		Ōrākei	1
514		Ōrākei	1
529		Ōrākei	1
582		Ōrākei	1
599		Ōrākei	1
600		Ōrākei	1
601		Ōrākei	1
620		Ōrākei	1
623		Ōrākei	1
627		Ōrākei	1
640		Ōrākei	1
668		Ōrākei	1
674		Ōrākei	1
675		Ōrākei	1
690		Ōrākei	1
693		Ōrākei	1
719		Ōrākei	1
733		Ōrākei	1
744		Ōrākei	1
751		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
763		Ōrākei	1
764		Ōrākei	1
766		Ōrākei	1
767		Ōrākei	1
777		Ōrākei	1
803		Ōrākei	1
806		Ōrākei	1
838		Ōrākei	1
849		Ōrākei	1
856		Ōrākei	1
865		Ōrākei	1
883		Ōrākei	1
900		Ōrākei	1
902		Ōrākei	1
906		Ōrākei	1
965		Ōrākei	1
966		Ōrākei	1
990		Ōrākei	1
999		Ōrākei	1
1029		Ōrākei	1
1055		Ōrākei	1
1075		Ōrākei	1
1076		Ōrākei	1
1123		Ōrākei	1
1126		Ōrākei	1
1135		Ōrākei	1
1146		Ōrākei	1
1160		Ōrākei	1
1256		Ōrākei	1
1299		Ōrākei	1
1300		Ōrākei	1
1323		Ōrākei	1
1328		Ōrākei	1
1345		Ōrākei	1
1356		Ōrākei	1
1399		Ōrākei	1
1406		Ōrākei	1
1408		Ōrākei	1
1439		Ōrākei	1
1454		Ōrākei	1
1506		Ōrākei	1
1536		Ōrākei	1
1540		Ōrākei	1
1542		Ōrākei	1
1563		Ōrākei	1
1566		Ōrākei	1
1591		Ōrākei	1
1610		Ōrākei	1
1637		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
1638		Ōrākei	1
1646		Ōrākei	1
1656		Ōrākei	1
1665		Ōrākei	1
1666		Ōrākei	1
1668		Ōrākei	1
1670		Ōrākei	1
1689		Ōrākei	1
1713		Ōrākei	1
1718		Ōrākei	1
1729		Ōrākei	1
1749		Ōrākei	1
1752		Ōrākei	1
1756		Ōrākei	1
1760		Ōrākei	1
1764		Ōrākei	1
1773		Ōrākei	1
1776		Ōrākei	1
1784		Ōrākei	1
1797		Ōrākei	1
1831		Ōrākei	1
1835		Ōrākei	1
1838		Ōrākei	1
1870		Ōrākei	1
1880		Ōrākei	1
1883		Ōrākei	1
1907		Ōrākei	1
1909		Ōrākei	1
1917		Ōrākei	1
1957		Ōrākei	1
1998		Ōrākei	1
2018		Ōrākei	1
2026		Ōrākei	1
2046		Ōrākei	1
2080		Ōrākei	1
2085		Ōrākei	1
2104		Ōrākei	1
2107		Ōrākei	1
2123		Ōrākei	1
2135		Ōrākei	1
2136		Ōrākei	1
2139		Ōrākei	1
2161		Ōrākei	1
2167		Ōrākei	1
2168		Ōrākei	1
2202		Ōrākei	1
2204		Ōrākei	1
2214		Ōrākei	1
2221		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
2235		Ōrākei	1
2242		Ōrākei	1
2256		Ōrākei	1
2259		Ōrākei	1
2292		Ōrākei	1
2297		Ōrākei	1
2318		Ōrākei	1
2339		Ōrākei	1
2344		Ōrākei	1
2347		Ōrākei	1
2365		Ōrākei	1
2367		Ōrākei	1
2378		Ōrākei	1
2381		Ōrākei	1
2395		Ōrākei	1
2401		Ōrākei	1
2403		Ōrākei	1
2417		Ōrākei	1
2424		Ōrākei	1
2440		Ōrākei	1
2441		Ōrākei	1
2442		Ōrākei	1
2446		Ōrākei	1
2452		Ōrākei	1
2512		Ōrākei	1
2517		Ōrākei	1
2525		Ōrākei	1
2535		Ōrākei	1
2544		Ōrākei	1
2545		Ōrākei	1
2549		Ōrākei	1
2574		Ōrākei	1
2584		Ōrākei	1
2599		Ōrākei	1
2612		Ōrākei	1
2619		Ōrākei	1
2635		Ōrākei	1
2636		Ōrākei	1
2639		Ōrākei	1
2644		Ōrākei	1
2660		Ōrākei	1
2663		Ōrākei	1
2674		Ōrākei	1
2677		Ōrākei	1
2681		Ōrākei	1
2697		Ōrākei	1
2713		Ōrākei	1
2720		Ōrākei	1
2752		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
2761		Ōrākei	1
2763		Ōrākei	1
2782		Ōrākei	1
2808		Ōrākei	1
2848		Ōrākei	1
2852		Ōrākei	1
2901		Ōrākei	1
2915		Ōrākei	1
2933		Ōrākei	1
2943		Ōrākei	1
2956		Ōrākei	1
2981		Ōrākei	1
3045		Ōrākei	1
3046		Ōrākei	1
3050		Ōrākei	1
3070		Ōrākei	1
3080		Ōrākei	1
3083		Ōrākei	1
3085		Ōrākei	1
3091		Ōrākei	1
3108		Ōrākei	1
3110		Ōrākei	1
3115		Ōrākei	1
3117		Ōrākei	1
3125		Ōrākei	1
3149		Ōrākei	1
3154		Ōrākei	1
3155		Ōrākei	1
3156		Ōrākei	1
3164		Ōrākei	1
3170		Ōrākei	1
3171		Ōrākei	1
3172		Ōrākei	1
3173		Ōrākei	1
3174		Ōrākei	1
3175		Ōrākei	1
3177		Ōrākei	1
3195		Ōrākei	1
3199		Ōrākei	1
3212		Ōrākei	1
3257		Ōrākei	1
3263		Ōrākei	1
3264		Ōrākei	1
3289		Ōrākei	1
3318		Ōrākei	1
3354		Ōrākei	1
3368		Ōrākei	1
3373		Ōrākei	1
3390		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
3453		Ōrākei	1
3545		Ōrākei	1
3614		Ōrākei	1
3679		Ōrākei	1
3716		Ōrākei	1
3720		Ōrākei	1
3722		Ōrākei	1
3739		Ōrākei	1
3748		Ōrākei	1
3773		Ōrākei	1
3799		Ōrākei	1
3842		Ōrākei	1
3859		Ōrākei	1
3891		Ōrākei	1
3914		Ōrākei	1
3916		Ōrākei	1
3951		Ōrākei	1
3968		Ōrākei	1
3979		Ōrākei	1
3991		Ōrākei	1
4004		Ōrākei	1
4023		Ōrākei	1
4084		Ōrākei	1
4105		Ōrākei	1
4111		Ōrākei	1
4162		Ōrākei	1
4231		Ōrākei	1
4234		Ōrākei	1
4242		Ōrākei	1
4278		Ōrākei	1
4300		Ōrākei	1
4307		Ōrākei	1
4310		Ōrākei	1
4319		Ōrākei	1
4326		Ōrākei	1
4351		Ōrākei	1
4357		Ōrākei	1
4373		Ōrākei	1
4418		Ōrākei	1
4443		Ōrākei	1
4447		Ōrākei	1
4451		Ōrākei	1
4459		Ōrākei	1
4462		Ōrākei	1
4472		Ōrākei	1
4487		Ōrākei	1
4503		Ōrākei	1
4512		Ōrākei	1
4533		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
4550		Ōrākei	1
4552		Ōrākei	1
4555		Ōrākei	1
4556		Ōrākei	1
4557		Ōrākei	1
4559		Ōrākei	1
4598		Ōrākei	1
4609		Ōrākei	1
4693		Ōrākei	1
4694		Ōrākei	1
4718		Ōrākei	1
4725		Ōrākei	1
4749		Ōrākei	1
4760		Ōrākei	1
4785		Ōrākei	1
4791		Ōrākei	1
4797		Ōrākei	1
4834		Ōrākei	1
4838		Ōrākei	1
4841		Ōrākei	1
4849		Ōrākei	1
4853		Ōrākei	1
4877		Ōrākei	1
4894		Ōrākei	1
4907		Ōrākei	1
4911		Ōrākei	1
4965		Ōrākei	1
4968		Ōrākei	1
4984		Ōrākei	1
5019		Ōrākei	1
5065		Ōrākei	1
5069		Ōrākei	1
5105		Ōrākei	1
5128		Ōrākei	1
5166		Ōrākei	1
5173		Ōrākei	1
5174		Ōrākei	1
5209		Ōrākei	1
5219		Ōrākei	1
5220		Ōrākei	1
5240		Ōrākei	1
5244		Ōrākei	1
5288		Ōrākei	1
5293		Ōrākei	1
5296		Ōrākei	1
5299		Ōrākei	1
5306		Ōrākei	1
5324		Ōrākei	1
5329		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
5387		Ōrākei	1
5403		Ōrākei	1
5405		Ōrākei	1
5423		Ōrākei	1
5428		Ōrākei	1
5435		Ōrākei	1
5488		Ōrākei	1
5499		Ōrākei	1
5518		Ōrākei	1
5529		Ōrākei	1
5534		Ōrākei	1
5557		Ōrākei	1
5585		Ōrākei	1
5596		Ōrākei	1
5608		Ōrākei	1
5616		Ōrākei	1
5635		Ōrākei	1
5673		Ōrākei	1
5706		Ōrākei	1
5730		Ōrākei	1
5783		Ōrākei	1
5785		Ōrākei	1
5800		Ōrākei	1
5822		Ōrākei	1
5860		Ōrākei	1
5869		Ōrākei	1
5891		Ōrākei	1
5907		Ōrākei	1
5925		Ōrākei	1
5970		Ōrākei	1
5972		Ōrākei	1
5991		Ōrākei	1
5999		Ōrākei	1
6007		Ōrākei	1
6044		Ōrākei	1
6046		Ōrākei	1
6059		Ōrākei	1
6071		Ōrākei	1
6076		Ōrākei	1
6084		Ōrākei	1
6110	Eastern Bays Songbird Project	Ōrākei	1
6113		Ōrākei	1
6143		Ōrākei	1
6154		Ōrākei	1
6169		Ōrākei	1
6176		Ōrākei	1
6215		Ōrākei	1
6250		Ōrākei	1
6255		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
6264		Ōrākei	1
6265		Ōrākei	1
6278		Ōrākei	1
6306		Ōrākei	1
6309		Ōrākei	1
6327		Ōrākei	1
6350		Ōrākei	1
6386		Ōrākei	1
6392		Ōrākei	1
6420		Ōrākei	1
6454		Ōrākei	1
6468		Ōrākei	1
6488		Ōrākei	1
6508		Ōrākei	1
6513		Ōrākei	1
6532		Ōrākei	1
6534		Ōrākei	1
6555		Ōrākei	1
6600		Ōrākei	1
6607		Ōrākei	1
6614		Ōrākei	1
6615		Ōrākei	1
6636		Ōrākei	1
6643		Ōrākei	1
6651		Ōrākei	1
6718		Ōrākei	1
6719		Ōrākei	1
6720		Ōrākei	1
6766		Ōrākei	1
6848		Ōrākei	1
6867		Ōrākei	1
6887		Ōrākei	1
6888		Ōrākei	1
6898		Ōrākei	1
6900		Ōrākei	1
6901		Ōrākei	1
6925		Ōrākei	1
6926		Ōrākei	1
7014		Ōrākei	1
7049		Ōrākei	1
7052		Ōrākei	1
7053		Ōrākei	1
7063		Ōrākei	1
7075		Ōrākei	1
7719		Ōrākei	1
7843		Ōrākei	1
7844		Ōrākei	1
7852		Ōrākei	1
7864		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
7874		Ōrākei	1
7884		Ōrākei	1
7917		Ōrākei	1
7929		Ōrākei	1
7952		Ōrākei	1
7958		Ōrākei	1
7961		Ōrākei	1
7980		Ōrākei	1
7981		Ōrākei	1
7983		Ōrākei	1
8004		Ōrākei	1
8007		Ōrākei	1
8018		Ōrākei	1
8064		Ōrākei	1
8066		Ōrākei	1
8096		Ōrākei	1
8113		Ōrākei	1
8124		Ōrākei	1
8166		Ōrākei	1
8167		Ōrākei	1
8182		Ōrākei	1
8190	CLM Community Sport	Ōrākei	1
8193		Ōrākei	1
8199		Ōrākei	1
8207		Ōrākei	1
8211		Ōrākei	1
8225		Ōrākei	1
8229		Ōrākei	1
8230		Ōrākei	1
8632		Ōrākei	1
8635		Ōrākei	1
8651		Ōrākei	1
8697	Ellerslie Business Association	Ōrākei	1
8734	Remuera Business Association	Ōrākei	1
8795		Ōrākei	1
8811		Ōrākei	1
8826		Ōrākei	1
8837		Ōrākei	1
8949		Ōrākei	1
8950		Ōrākei	1
8969		Ōrākei	1
9045		Ōrākei	1
9060		Ōrākei	1
9076		Ōrākei	1
9124		Ōrākei	1
9134		Ōrākei	1
9137		Ōrākei	1
9138		Ōrākei	1
9139		Ōrākei	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
9140	Epson Chinese Association	Ōrākei	1
9186		Ōrākei	1
9190		Ōrākei	1
9192		Ōrākei	1
9238		Ōrākei	1
9248		Ōrākei	1
9297		Ōrākei	1
9325		Ōrākei	1
9341		Ōrākei	1
9343		Ōrākei	1
9351		Ōrākei	1
9352		Ōrākei	1
9372		Ōrākei	1
9377		Ōrākei	1
9381		Ōrākei	1
9393		Ōrākei	1
9398		Ōrākei	1
9400		Ōrākei	1
9405		Ōrākei	1
9407		Ōrākei	1
9468		Ōrākei	1
9492		Ōrākei	1
9496		Ōrākei	1
9497		Ōrākei	1
9501		Ōrākei	1
9511		Ōrākei	1
9517		Ōrākei	1
9560		Ōrākei	1
9581	Artla Design NZ Limited	Ōrākei	1
9584		Ōrākei	1
9585		Ōrākei	1

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

120

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Focus should be creating efficiencies rather than grand schemes, improving traffic flows. Use savings from other areas and cancelling light rail to fund any extra works. AT have already added a 10c fuel tax which is being used to fund many safety projects rather than traffic improvement projects.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce wastage on projects such as light rail an cycle bridges over harbour to fund any projects. Find savings rather than increasing taxes.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We can't afford rates as it is and you want to introduce more???

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Seems like a pragmatic approach to control your spending when there is a budget shortfall. That's what we all have to do.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Good to see you thinking about it.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT would make sense for all Aucklanders as it would make us responsible for the waste we generate instead of having the attitude that we have a bin to fill so can put more in.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

150

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Waste of Money, crazy nonsense

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: A budget is managing income not just spending and trying to work out how to pay for it with extra taxes.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Here's my advice, stop wasting money on stupid bike lanes. Total waste of money reducing city to gridlock - nonsense

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This will be another trick to screw more tax out of the bleeding ratepayer.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Good ideas

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Do not agree to 3 waters. Do not agree to unelected persons controlling Hauraki Gulf.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is a central govt issue. Stop charging us rates.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Do not sell out water infrastructure to the Govt!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support the council only doing the things requited by law. These are not key priorities - climate action, transport, the environment and community development.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Stop wasting money on pet projects and focus on better roads for cars.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

more roads for more cars and less buses and cyclists.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates need to drop

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Don't sell valuable assets like the downtown car park .

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Drop council wages .

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Get expert advice

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: The question gives no options for preferred choices .

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Yes .Drop the rates and run the council in a business like way .

Head count would be a good start .

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

173

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The C.O.L. has increased to such an extent that the average family is already struggling to keep their heads above water and this will only add to the burden.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is a national matter NOT a local government matter

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I cannot decide because it depends on the details

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: This all sounds good but, again, it depends on the quality of the decision making. Whe I see "legal obligations to Maori" amounst the criteria I fear we are in woke territory.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: A PAYT system encourages people to get rid of their rubbish my "other" means

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

193

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Auckland Council's role should be centered around core services and that's what our rates should be funding. Also the under usage of many new cycle lanes and the ugly rehash of Queen Street is case in point for the consideration of practical decision making over ideologically driven ones.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Auckland Council's role should be centered around core services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Core services should always be the Council's priority.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I was just informed when I phoned the 'rates' helpdesk that Auckland Council is actually proposing a pay as you go system to replace the rates based one? Re charges, an owner of 2 SUIPs (in the former ACC) with each inhabited part sharing 1 refuse and 1 recycling bin, currently pays one waste management rate, consisting of a base service and a standard refuse charge. Why should they be required to pay a second waste management targetted rate for 'Council's regional waste initiatives'? (I do understand the current owner exemption.) Similarly, I also do not agree with a minimum base charge to every rateable SUIP from 2023/2024. It should remain as it us, as user pays, without adding additional charges to non users for a 'new' initiative.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

3 Waters and Maori ward representation should have been consulted upon with the public or put to a public referendum.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: having bins that suit your family size would be amazing. but to help force change a single bin size is better it makes users think more about the waste they produce. My family as an example would use the larger bin but the current bin makes us consider our waste more ensuring we are recycling or reducing.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I have seen what introduction of cycling has done. You won't force people out of cars just by making it harder for them. You need to incentivise it

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It seems fair, reasonable and achievable.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Seems fair and reasonable

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Targets only property owners

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Too litrle operational detail to comment. All broad brush stuff

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Need to incentivise rubbishreduction

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Tjey alignwith mywiahes

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

290

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Firstly it does not appear to have a coherent cost-benefit analysis, either financial or in terms of climate impact. For example, although investing in new electric vehicles sounds impressive, it needs to be counterbalanced against things like the waste involved in scrapping existing vehicles which have a useful life expectancy remaining, the cost of providing electricity to charge the vehicles, and the expected impact on travel - in particular in these days of COVID-19 there are many people who avoid public transport (a) because of the increased infection risk and (b) because of the lack of adequate security and things like mask enforcement. At the end of the day, our climate crisis is not a result of fossil fuels being used - we've been using them for millennia - but the result of having too many people using them. The Council would have a greater impact on carbon emissions if it increased rates disproportionately for houses designed for large families. Instead we will at best have some slight reduction in carbon inbalance which will be negated by further population increases (reduce the average carbon footprint by 10% and you only need a similar population increase - which at present rates wouldn't take long - to be back where you started).

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Basically it's far too vague. Given the hardships that people are encountering as a result of the economic impact of COVID, the Council should be aiming to avid non-urgent expenditure. Unless something *must* be done because of safety or other justifiable reasons for urgency, the Council should be operating mostly in a caretaker mode. The first question asked in any project should be "What would happen if this wasn't done until next year?". If no pressing case in terms of safety can be made, it should be postponed. Moreover, cases which *are* made in the name of safety should have clear evaluations included - for example, the proposed speed limit introductions should be introduced first on a random subset of those proposed, and the impact, if any, compared with those still waiting (it is important, too, to include surrounding areas - it is far from unusual for motorists to accelerate *beyond* the speed limit on leaving a zone with a highly restrictive one). Every effort should be made to minimise spending until the people who employ the Council - the ratepayers - have had chance to recover from our present problems.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Again, I'm not convinced that the Coucil has the expertise or rigour to analyse the cost-effectiveness appropriately. A simple example; we're told that nearly 37 of our over 1.5Million citizens died on the roads in 2020. How much is this going to be reduced by under the proposed measures? It would be naive to think that *all* of these would be eliminated by the measures proposed. Indeed, of those deaths, only 19 involved speeding, and there is no evidence in the proposal that expensive redesign of roads (speed bumps etc) would actually reduce even half of those - or even any, given that a motorist slowed down by one restricted road may then speed even faster on the rest of the journey to make up lost time. If there *is* a reduction following implementation of the measures, how will we determine causality given that death rates are falling anyway? Given, too, that deaths have been known to occur as a result of young people speeding in stolen cars, it would be naive to think that these will be affected (they're hardly likely to steal a car and head straight to an area with speed bumps). The closer one looks, the less likely it appears that these potentially expensive measures will have much impact. They won't stop drinking and driving, another significant factor, and they won't stop illegal late night driving by those on restricted licences. They may however result in impoverished individuals having to give up their homes because the most recent rates increase is the last straw for a family whose income has been dramatically reduced by COVID pressures. This needs to go back to the drawing board to see whether the money could be better spent on things like increased policing of illegal driving (including more speed cameras), flexible speed limits (at present mostly only seen around schools), more CCTV on the streets to deter theft and educational programmes. All these could be done at a lower cost than the hard-to-reverse road alterations - and the remainder of the budget could go to rates relief for those in difficulty.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Most are non-urgent and can wait until our economy has recovered. Local Board expenditure increases should be capped at slightly below the rate of inflation.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The Council, despite its cosmetic activities such as inviting feedback, mostly comes across as high-handed and paternalistic. For example, how many ratepayers recently complained that our City didn't have enough painted sculptures of whale tails? By contrast, how many ratepayers contributed to the cost of having them? At present, the Council's efforts should emphasise economy with all non-essential proposals dropped or postponed.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any

interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It's a big issue and we need to do more especially for active modes and public transport (above and beyond what is proposed)

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Cut backs are necessary but difficult. Council could borrow more now to see us through and keep funding capital projects that provide jobs.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I've read the link and can't see anything concrete to comment on other than you will prioritise things based on whether or not you have to do them. Why would you need feedback on this?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is long overdue. It still surprises me that people on the North Shore have to buy tags. I thought this would have been one of the first things to standardise in the super city. Can't wait for the food scraps bin to come to my area.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Better connections to the new cycleways just makes sense. Ease of access will mean more users. Also support all efforts to improve water quality and Urban forests sound great.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I know it's not in Auckland Councils control but we urgently need better cycle and walking access across the harbour bridge NZTA/WK are dragging their heels and playing cynical games by offering over the top solutions when all we want is one lane set aside for active modes. Cars are not the future. Especially if want to halve our growing emissions by 2030.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not a core focus of council and you can't afford it and not can residents

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Trim non core service funding. Get back to your knitting

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Works well in auckland city

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to do something. There is no way we're gonna meet the goal by 2030 but we need to try.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: You are delaying critical work

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: People generate more trash when its automatically removed without any consideration for the cost of disposal.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Bike lanes please

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

351

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: People need their cars. Walking and cycling isn't ideal in our climate and is inefficient on time.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

380

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Already pay too much in rates

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: What about limiting pay rises for all paid by Auckland Council to the average national wage increase, not more at the expense of ratepayers who have no say in this.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

386

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Nothing can get done to support climate change without funding

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: I agree with reducing emissions but I can not, do not and will not agree with all the proposed actions

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: The council is bloated with too many over paid staff. The council needs to look at itself long and hard (that does not mean spend millions on consultants either) and make cuts. Big cuts, because the majority of the council is a waste of space.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Service. To reduce or stop would require the council to start supplying service. The council wouldn't know 'service' if it tripped over it.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: the council has to supply something. the council does nothing else for me, the least they can do is empty my bins

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?Other

Tell us why: So many local boards. Why? What do they actually achieve? Again a waste of money. The council wants to cut costs. Well cut the local boards. Stop wasting money on cycle ways.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

About time the council, it's staff, the contractors and all other hanger-ons were held accountable. The city is a disgrace, road conditions are appalling, vast areas are being turned into slums and where is the council...sitting on your arse, collecting our money and getting fat. So many local boards. Why? What do they actually achieve? Again a waste of money. The council wants to cut costs. Well cut the local boards. Stop wasting money on cycle ways.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Hugely supported and much needed.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Increase rates by 5% and ensure no cuts to walking, cycling and PT services. If any transport services need to be cut it should be in highway maintenance and resurfacing costs. AT resurface roads far too often causing disruption and unnecessary spending.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: It is not clear what opex will be saved. Do not cut public transport services or spending on walking and cycling.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I currently throw away organic waste because I have no way of disposing of it sustainably. Please include weekly organic waste collections in all areas.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I believe the local board could do a lot more to combat climate change. Removal of on street parking and investment in more segregated cycle lanes is desperately needed.

Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please, please, please follow through on the climate targeted rate. This is absolutely essential and our grand children will thank us if we do every bit we can to reduce our carbon emissions. We don’t want to be the generation that had the chance to make a difference but didn’t act. Keep up the courageous leadership

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

430

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: it should be funded out of the existing budget. Increasing tree cover is a good idea and should be a required feature of all subdivisions and development. New housing subdivisions around Auckland are generally barren built environments with the odd token playground or reserve but not where it is really needed which is near houses, part of the immediate house environment.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: cutting programmes is easy. What about Council staff actually putting in the hard yards and committing to actually delivering savings . That is what happens in business when survival requires tough decisions and commitment like your survival depends on it. I don't see that happening in Council. Too many HR workshops serving muffins too overpaid/under-employed staff.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: it is very wishy washy which makes me wonder why we are even being consulted when this is not a plan.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: If serious about waste, we need better recycling system than the present system of glass, plastic, paper etc all in the same bin and very little (if any?) getting actually recycled. Like water, you only begin to get people to think about waste once you start charging .

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

the Tamaki Drive upgrade across Hobson Bay has been a debacle. One of the most important reasons for it was to raise the low points of the road to prevent high tides washing across the road and also to future proof it against rising sea levels (as per Council's "climate emergency" . It is staggering that after all the expense to ratepayers, this did not happen. Why?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

469

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT may be more expensive to run but if you want to reduce waste you need to make those who create it pay for it. Surely RFID tags on bins and weight / size measurement at the truck are possible in 2022.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Aucklanders cannot handle paying anymore in rates after years of COVID and now inflation

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates increase is just too high

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We have to change priorities- we can't keep raising rates

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's what happens at the moment and it's easier and fair

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: The council needs to stop funding COVID impacted businesses/ that is central government's job

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Do not sell assets that belong to us all. Be more efficient in provision of core services. Cut salaries.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This council already hemorrhages cash wasting it on schemes. Spending additional cash to get ratepayers to support another one of your pie in the sky green initiatives is ludicrous . PS: Everyone will be in self driving electric cars within the decade and it will happen regardless of what Auckland council do. Save my money and just fix the pot holes like a good council.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Hey, how about you geniuses stop spending money - that's what you do when revenue stops....

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reducing the amount of money squandered by councils.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

512

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Council has an agenda to

put cycleways everywhere for a limited number of predominantly recreational riders.

Eastern busway is a wasteful spend so far providing a fancy bridge for a occasional empty bus whilst cars face head on traffic every day in old one lane vs two lane lights. What evidence is there that people will use the bus when they have to drive to get on the route in the first place.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Council supposedly saved “2 billion since amalgamation” in how many years? Now aiming to “save 15 billion”? A total fabrication! Ultimately the sale of “non strategic assets” and “reduction in services” is saying they will charge ratepayers more and provide less.

Auckland’s assets need to be carefully considered and consulted on before being written off and sold to parties with vested interest.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Auckland council is a bloated beurocracy of middle and upper management drawing large salaries at ratepayer expense.

No confidence in any council spending to be overseen or reduced as there is little accountability by those in charge.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

“We plan to allow multi-unit residential developments of ten or more units to opt-out of refuse, recycling, and food scrap services”

Intensify, overbuild and cram as many dwellings onto small sites and then say that the people have no rubbish collection. Sounds like third world country to me.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Do not support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive is going allow a few recreational bike riders and a few walkers to have a traffic free ride or walk. Most ratepayers won't use it and is likely to become disused and strewn with litter. Comes at a time when it's a nice to have rather than a need! Another vanity project.

Meadowbank community centre is another " nice to have" rather than a need.

There are already many under utilized halls around for classes etc.

make do with what you have. Times are tough!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Auckland council has embarked on a mission to allow indescriminate over building by developers out making huge profits. Numerous developments which do not comply with council's own rules have been allowed to the detriment of adjacent properties. I and many others have no confidence, nor trust in this corrupt council.

Clearly, this council is as inefficient and inept as our central government.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate control is not a core role of local government.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates should be frozen at current level and spending cut to match it. Start with all the non-core spending the Council spends - everything outside the perview of section 11a of the Local Government Act 2002.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Cut more.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: why would you charge a climate change tax at the same time as creating incentives to reduce recycling? A rates funded system means nearly half of Auckland households pay nothing to dispose of their rubbish. Crazy.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Cut priorities 3 to 8

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Freeze rates and cut spending to match. That's all I want from the Council.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

529

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: If not now, when? It is so critical we invest in climate action to ensure our generation, and future generations are given the best chance possible.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: There are efficiencies that can be made, certainly, but these need to be identified by the communities, not internal council staff, and certainly not consultants.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Completely support, but we've been talking about this for years. Can't we just get on and do it!

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I would usually support PAYT, but to be honest I think the choice of bin size is more appropriate. Would be great to get a larger recycling bin and food scraps bin available too so that larger households can recycle more! This feels like a much more equitable approach and would surely be easier to implement (i.e. no need for RFID tags etc.). I trust the Waste Solutions Department to recommend the best approach.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Again, I trust the Local Board. Love the spread of initiatives across the diverse communities of Orakei to ensure everyone is invested in. Great prioritisation Orakei Local Board!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Your record with the ARFT says it all

Running empty buses and huge buses offpeak is not smart

Look to big Logistics firms

Stop building massive transport facilities like Otahuhu train station and just focus on moving people

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Yes saving money is good, but this needs to be real and not warm fuzzy words

Live within the budget

Prioritise the spending on essential core services

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Missing the point

Council needs to focus on core services and do those well

Do the nice to have's once you have done the essentials

Look at what successful business do and run the council like a business

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Will reduce waste, make people think about recycling and is the right thing to do

However risk is some won't pay, will dump illegally, waste will stack up on properties.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: We don't have the money to do everything

Meadowbank Community Centre is not a Council core function / need

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Keeping Auckland one team, one people, all equal

Stop wasting money and time on race based separate entities and plans, and be inclusive and one team

Focus on core services and improving them, stop trying to be everything to everyone

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

599

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: None.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: None

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: None

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Many suggestions don't add value.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

600

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We cannot afford this.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: The council need to only deal with genuine council business. Rubbish Roads. Not culture and walfare.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Cutting costs. Stop wasting our rates. No donations. No money to arts or Culture. Stick to roads and rubbish.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We do not need more cycleways. Many of the cycle lanes are unused, they disrupt traffic flows, and cyclists often ignore road rules (such as red lights) and ride 2 or 3 abreast. We do not need tree canopy; it blocks the sun, drops leaves on properties, blocking gutters and storm water drains. Homes need sunlight for natural warmth.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Council needs to cut costs and that should be through a reduction in staff, particularly at Auckland Transport.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: I cannot see that services are prioritised. I can see OPEX and CAPEX $, and frankly these are unbalanced and money is being spent in the wrong areas.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is equitable.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: The Waiatarua wetland needs urgent work for signage and improvement of the walkway which is often flooded. Churchill park is also a disgrace and what happened to the livestock? Why is there no possum control at Churchill Park ?

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Auckland Transport need ratepayer accountability. It is out of control and lies about following the wishes of the people. They should publish independently audited surveys, re-introduce metered parking in the CBD, and return speed limits to 50kmh. They should return bus lanes to designated times from permanent no-go lanes.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There are bigger problems for the council to address than pay lip service to this

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: lack of information provided to residents as to how transforming public spaces will effect them

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Address roading and parking, with the removal of parking at New World there is a lack of packing in remuera

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

623

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Please stop all these "targeted rates". They are in fact just more rates. They are intended to show the main rate has not gone up much but no one is fooled. The amount of all the targeted rates should be part of the general rate. I agree have a fixed amount allocated but stop trying to fool us. NB Scrap the light rail - instead give every house a Tesla or everyone a couple of E-bikes. Would be cheaper and quicker to meet the climate target.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: In general great - but why the so called 3.5% when you are adding in all the targeted rates. Just put the general rate up more and scrap the targeted ones. Also why not reduce staff like most businesses have had to do?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Although we are a household that have small amount of rubbish so would benefit from PAYT, we believe this is a core council service that benefits all, especially the less well off.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Formally Oppose the Hauraki Gulf Plans to remove the Auckland's 28 regional parks from Council.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Let central government do the climate change stuff

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Inefficient use of money

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce spending only. Do not pass on government problems to individual people and families.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Why have more people and time spend on talking about issues rather than taking action. You are wasting money.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

668

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Do not support any additional charges on rate payers

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The reduction in spending on non-essential projects should be approved

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Prioritize core infrastructure projects only

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Simpler to manage, hopefully the cheaper option

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Fund only infra-structure projects

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Concentrate on core services only eg water, roads, rubbish,

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Stop using herbicides for park, recreational and roadsides. Stick to core functions that Council is supposed to provide such as parks, recreation, libraries, clean streets, Significantly increases the metrics, transparency, visibility and accountability of CCO's

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Stop using herbicides for park, recreational and roadsides. Focus on critical core services. Stick to core functions that Council is supposed to provide such as parks, recreation, libraries, clean streets, Significantly increases the metrics, transparency, visibility and accountability of CCO's

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Stop using herbicides for park, recreational and roadsides.

Stick to core functions that Council is supposed to provide such as parks, recreation, libraries, clean streets,

Significantly increases the metrics, transparency, visibility and accountability of CCO's

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Significantly increases the metrics, transparency, visibility and accountability of CCO's

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate change is a myth and the spend on same needs a serious full review

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: You must address seriously a major review on staff numbers and efficiences , ACC is grossly over staffed why will you not fully and properly address this

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Stop waste and challenge all expenditure with and keep focus on core services /expenditure cut out all nice to have projects (Auckland cant afford to have Nice to have projects for some years

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: What is the Local board doing to constrain spending and eliminate nice to have projects whilst ACC cannot honestly afford Nice to haves on borrowed money

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

All decisions must be only made by democratically elected persons

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

693

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Funds invested will have little to no impact on the global climate. Goff is just virtual signaling. Under the current high inflation rate household cannot afford to pay for this.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: There are better ways to fund this deficit i.e. get rid of some of the overpaid executives, delay climate funding, cancel payments for the arts (nice to have but not essential)

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Have no faith in the Council implementing this

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Ease of use

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Not of any direct benefit to me. Would prefer they pay for guards to enforce the no alcohol at Mission Bay especially Friday & Saturday night

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Phil Goff should be ashamed of himself for destroying the CBD. Businesses are struggling yet they continue to do unneeded roadworks in and around the CBD.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is up to all of us to do what we can in this area. I think the rate of $58 per year is reasonable but I would like to see tangible benefits in a yearly report to show that our targeted action is working, ie actual emission reduction rate year on year etc.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm not sure which of these activities will impact me directly.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Tags and Bags just add more rubbish to the environment. I like the idea of having three bin sizes to choose from.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like to see work to keep our city beaches clean and safe to swim in as most of us don't have swimming pools.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

733

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I think the initiatives are a waste of money. You need to be incentivizing use of public transport, through improved and more reliable services alongside the taxing or restricting of vehicle access to industrial hubs, or through incentivization of carpooling etc.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: How about we stop funding non-core services like Auckland Unlimited. Council is there to provide cores services, transportations, parks, water etc. not there to put on events for the public

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It still comes down to what you consider your key priorities which shdoul be up for debate.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT through encourages waste minimization through re-use, recycling, and better decision making.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I disagree with 'Continue to fund local events and work with BIDs and business associations to support COVID recovery'. This is not councils role.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Do not support the sale or long-term lease of non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: No clear service prioritisation definition/actual services named, too vague, Aucklanders will have no idea what actual services count as "priority" which ones aren't.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Provided there is an adjustment for number of people in a household. It seems unfair that some houses with 1 or 2 occupants have to pay the same, if not more based on suburb, when others have 10+ in a household, live in a cheaper suburb, and they have to pay less.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

763

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate change is core business for the council and should be budgeted for long term, not with a targeted rate

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: These are generic BS, council needs to focus on delivering what communities need - and not just the wealthy voting constituents

Capital spending must be maintained and assets kept in order to support long term revenue streams for the community

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Again poorly thought through BSAgain climate action needs to be essentially. Also proposal does not seek to address underlying inefficiency and waste within council operations. Need to focus on fixing institutional issues within the council bureaucracy

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Given current council budgetary pressures most of the proposed local board priorities are nonessential and are therefore unaffordable and should not progress

The only supported proposal is water quality improvement

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

764

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It is not a core component of council to deal with climate change. Its simply woke virtue signaling. Climate change is an issue for central govt.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The Council has become bloated and inefficient. It and AT constrain growth and detrimentally impact productivity. Reduce costs including a review of all non core services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Your description of service prioritisation is completely non transparent and vague. Stick to core services, stop expanding council and pandering to fringe groups (inc same Maori).

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Support a rates based system (no tags) but do not support separation of Food scraps. Simply this results in stinking roadside bins and the increase in vermin. Its frankly bats and there is no economic cost benefit analysis to justify it.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Orakei - I do not support the hindering of traffic by advocating for shared paths

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

766

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Spend money to improve

But climate change shouldn't be at Council level

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There is absolutely no way that Aucklanders can afford this right now - high inflation, higher interest rates, ongoing financial pressure from Covid and you expect us to pay even more? It is unreasonable and completely out of touch.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: On-going budget pressures must be managed within current funding constraints - there should be no further rate increases.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Continuing to prioritise operating spend is critical - I doubt I will agree with all the priorities that the Council decides on, but it needs to be done within budget with no further increases.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It makes financial sense to have a region wide funded refuse collection rather than different systems across the city. It is also way easier to manage.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: The Orakei Community Board does a great job with limited resources

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

803

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Meeting our emission reduction target will require a step change in how we travel. However, before we can drive behavioural change, we need to have in place the capacities (within PT and active infrastructure and services) and urban amenities to facilitate the significant mode shift required without creating social inequalities. This requires investment today for a better (more efficient, effective, economic and sustainable) future tomorrow.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Although I support the theory behind a PAYT system, in practice it can drive the wrong behaviour. A comprehensive extended producer responsibility system would be a great lever to help standardise packaging and other forms of traditional waste, making them more economical to process make into valuable materials.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There is already money available from the fuel tax to pay for some of this - why do we need to add more tax. We have enough cycle and walk ways as well as Trees in Auckland.. not really sure what real benefit there will be with adding more trees either.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Completely agree with reducing expenditure and selling non core assets but not sure why rates need to keep going up. Surely there are other more major cost reductions that can be made like cutting the very high level of staff numbers on very high salaries

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This would depend if it meant rates would go down as a result of this proposed change which I suspect won't be the case so we end up paying more - Also the Admin to set this all up and manage will be costly and unnecessary

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Why does it cost almost 1MII for Governance - this seems very high for no Tangible deliverables as far as I can tell.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reducing costs is the most important thing to me and stop spending on things that should not be in the Council domain. Also, stop spending on silly projects. eg. Bus shelter on Great Barrier, all the walk ways and cycle ways that are not used that much. The time now is to rein in spending to get the books back in order Post Covid. I will be voting for Leo Malloy for Mayor as I am sure he will get the job done !! There must be millions or dollars that could be saved if someone fresh came in to challenge the status quo starting with reducing staff numbers and very high salaries !

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

838

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: AC is an extremely inefficient and wasteful with its current finances with little due diligence applied to delivery of services within agreed costs.

Better accountability and control over current expenditure would mean you actually already have spare budget for other projects instead of just bleeding your captive ratepayers for more money.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: AC is an extremely inefficient and wasteful with its current finances with little due diligence applied to delivery of services within agreed costs.

Better accountability and control over current expenditure would mean you actually already have spare budget for other projects instead of just bleeding your captive ratepayers for more money.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: AC is an extremely inefficient and wasteful with its current finances with little due diligence applied to delivery of services within agreed costs.

Better accountability and control over current expenditure would mean you actually already have spare budget for other projects instead of just bleeding your captive ratepayers for more money.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: AC is an extremely inefficient and wasteful with its current finances with little due diligence applied to delivery of services within agreed costs.

Better accountability and control over current expenditure would mean you actually already have spare budget for other projects instead of just bleeding your captive ratepayers for more money.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

AC is an extremely inefficient and wasteful with its current finances with little due diligence applied to delivery of services within agreed costs.

Better accountability and control over current expenditure would mean you actually already have spare budget for other projects instead of just bleeding your captive ratepayers for more money.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

849

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: World authority on 'Climate Change' says it is the largest confidence trick inflicted in mankind - to destroy excellent economies like NZ - climate change had been happening hot and cold for millions of years and nothing to do with recent industrial mankind

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Support majority but MUST retain 100% long established and precious Recreational Facilities

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Māori already get enough support - not any with majority Māori genes - must support Everyone EQUALLY- otherwise this is 'racism' at its worst. English is the world's offical language !

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Fairer

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Good Local Board

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Do not support voting by non-elected Māori appointments to Council - totally undemocratic!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Want ability to opt out of the food scraps. Want ability to easily change bin sizes and amounts charged - as we vary in number of household members - so one size bin will not suit every week.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: How do you know that most Aucklanders put climate change as their number one priority? Any reduction in Auckland's carbon footprint will have virtually zero effect on climate change because Auckland doesn't have a significant carbon footprint when compared to other larger cities. All that will be achieved is a reduction in living standards, because the $58 per year per person that you take won't be available to spend in cafes, restaurants dairies etc.,

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Reduce the number of council employees. Stop paying them more than they could earn in the private sector. Stick to funding essential services. Stop viewing ratepayers as an inexhaustible source of revenue.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support some aspects and not others. Climate change is a crisis for the world, but Auckland can't make much difference. Even if you could magically reduce Auckland's carbon footprint to zero climate change would still be a crisis. It's the large emitters that have to change. All you will achieve is increased costs for no effect.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: If you have six people in a house and the waste service is payed for by the ratepayer there is no incentive for the occupants to reduce waste. If you want people to reduce waste you have to have them paying for the waste. If the ratepayer opts for a smaller bin, to save money, the occupants will have to find another way to dispose of excess waste, and it won't be socially responsible. This is known. Increasing the cost of disposing of waste leads to an increase in illegal waste dumping.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reduce your workforce. Live within your means. (which means you should live within OUR means)

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

883

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The additional cost is reasonable. We need to actively move to protect the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Seems like a reasonable balance of initiatives

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: The existing basis may allow some people to have a more cost effective solution depending on their circumstances

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I would also like to see activities to do with pest and rodent eradication to support the government goal for a pest free NZ

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

900

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Good objectives however the targeted rate is far too low.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: We need too borrow more to do more while interest rates are low. Also the general rate should be 5+% and then ramped up over time.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Need to spend more not less in most areas mentioned.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We live in an apartment and pay for our own waste while still paying through our rates.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: All are needed and positive.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Need to focus on taking back the community from the car. Focus on public transport (bus, ferry ,surface trans and light rail), separated cycleways, walking tracks, while closing roads reducing the number of lanes, and reducing parking on roads, in parking lots, and new developments.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

902

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Additional taxes

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop wasting rate payers money

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Stop wasting money on bus & cycle lanes

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: You must have to much time on your hands

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Why are we still spending money on buses!!! we should be putting this money into light rail! get the tracks out into the suburbs. You can't take cars away until you improve the transport system and putting more buses on isn't the answer!

You should go back to trams. Auckland is behind the times and the people who make these decisions don't use public transport!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: They need to separate sports fields from reserves! Dog walks shouldn't be allowed on spots fields; no other country does this! If we want our kids to get out and do more sport, you have to support local clubs and people who move into areas where sports pitches can't complete about them being used as sports fields! The biggest Priority should be Light rail which would connect all our outer Suburbs, and there should be a rail link to the Northshore. Instead of closing down local shop areas, they should be encouraged so locals can bike/walk to their local supermarket, bakery, greengrocer, and banks. Closing these areas down, you are getting rid of local communities; when you have communities generally, they take care of the area they live in.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

When adding cycle lanes take out some of the grass vague outside the houses. Some of the sidewalks and grass vague are so big which is a waste of space!

Don't understand why we have to pay for parking outside your own home! I think people who pay rates and own the house should get free parking! I bet all the people who make these rules have off-street parking!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

966

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Everyone has to do thier bit, so many people have a "my tiny change wont make a difference mindset" at least this would have them do somethin

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Some people simply just dont need as much rubbish collection as others, seems silly to pay a flat rate for something so varied.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

990

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: I support the idea and it should be funded. I would like a reduction in other services like infrastructure improvements and maintenance to fund (or partially fund) it.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Cost control is the hardest but most valuable feature of this budget.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support the idea but the criteria is not clear enough and open for too much debate (which again inflates cost through time spent debating and delaying decisions).

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Have a 2 tiered system. Those that won't convince of rates-funded can pay more. Many others will be motivated to reduce their waste if there is a variable cost associated (PAYT).

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: 'I do not support rates funded costs associated with the below. I would support these projects if individuals had the option of funding them or if it was private sponsorship money.

- Continue to develop and enhance our parks and sportsfields, concentrating on Colin Maiden and Churchill Parks, Tahuna Torea, Waiatarua wetland and Crossfield reserves.

- Design and deliver the new Meadowbank community centre.

- Work with our communities to transform public spaces including Mission Bay, Ellerslie War Memorial Hall and St Vincent Avenue streetscape.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Their should be more creative ways to fund projects and optionality for every rate pay to support specified projects.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate issues should be left to central government. Auckland Council should focus on its key priorities. Rates are too high already and are unsustainable. Ratepayers are sick and tired of being asked to pay more and more each year.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Rates increases are unsustainable. Council should learn to live within its current income and not simply seek further money from ratepayers when it overspends. My rates have gone from approximately $2,500.00 pa to now nearly $8,000.00 pa!!! Do I receive value for this money I have to pay to Council? Absolutely not.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Learn to live within your means. Prioritise spending and cut superfluous things such as electric buses, climate emergency nonsense, etc. Focus on Council's key responsibilities.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: My rubbish collection is included in my rates. I do not support any other method of charging which will result in me spending more that I currently do. The only way rubbish could be charged separately is if Council provided a credit against my rates for the amount spent on rubbish collection. Otherwise it is (yet again) clearly the Council seeking to increase its revenue.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: As long as all spending is within means.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

As mentioned, my rates have increased from approximately $2,500.00 pa to nearly $8,000.00 pa. This year I am expecting my rates to be over $8k pa. This is $153.84 per week that I have to pay to the Council!! The constant and unrelenting year after year increases create resentment towards Council. I have to live within my means, why can't Auckland Council? What other business can simply raid ratepayers when it spends too much or fails to adjust its spending due to pressures such as Covid?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1029

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Most buses are 90% empty and it is very wasteful of ratepayers money. Walking and cycling now very catered for but challenged in Auckland due to the wet climate. I support low emission ferry services.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The Council needs to live within its means, Ratepayersare also facing significant inflation pressures and loss of wages

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Transport spending and capital spending on roadworks, pedestrian crossings, cycleways is excessive and needs to be reduced. The central city is a complete mess due to transport and unnecessary capital vanity designs. Buses non peak are 90% empty. Hugely wasteful and unaccountable Auckland Transport needs a big budget cut

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Rubbish collection is one of the very few auckland council serves that I value, most others could be cut

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: The Orakei board is well organised, run and listens. They should takeover Auckland Transport!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Auckland Transport needs to be accountable, transparent and needs a massive budget cut

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Graffiti becomes a problem in Auckland. Please find a way to catch the culprit and make them to clean/pay for their mess.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why: Graffiti is eye sour.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Will be rubbish thrown everywhere

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1075

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Even if the whole of New Zealand achieved zero carbon emissions today this would have absolutely NO impact on global warming now or at any future time so why are you going to penalize every ratepayer in AKL with this targeted rate. Somebody in power needs to stand up to the SJW mob and stop this nonsense when there are so many other critical issues facing Auckland now. I do not see anything in your plan addressing the absolute lawlessness now rife in the CBD and other centres where so called homeless people are being housed in luxury hotels, motels, air B n B', apartments etc at tax payer expense who are creating mayhem on the streets with their alcohol and drug fuelled behaviour. This must be addressed and resolved as an absolute priority as when the tourists do come back they will only visit once and your failure to action will make this city a pariah for anyone thinking to visit. Currently our CBD is being destroyed and you put Climate Action as number one on your list - ARE YOU REALLY SERIOUS?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: This actually should be simple - any well run business will tell you that if you continually run deficits eventually you will run out of money and potentially fold. Auckland Council has x amount of revenue and y amount of costs so if you have a shortfall then YOU MUST CUT COSTS to balance the books. Not sure why you are including the Govt's better off support funding package here as a large percentage of Kiwis are 100% against Three Waters and there will be one hell of a fight if Ardern and Mahuta try to get this programme into Law. I thought also that AKL Council have come out strongly against Three Waters so am really concerned that by including this in your plan indicates you have already capitulated on this issue. MANY AUCKLANDERS WILL BE P....D OFF IF YOU HAVE GONE DOWN THIS ROAD.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Again this is only common sense and what every well run business anywhere needs to do in difficult times.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This seems more logical and should be more cost effective

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Although I do support ALL priorities realistically this is a wish list which is probably going to be unattainable in the short term recognising current budget restraints. So its all about timing and getting the work done as early as possible but which will take some prioritising.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I and many other Aucklanders I know are really concerned with this new religion called Climate Change and the time and money being spent by Councils and Government that will deliver ZERO benefit but SUBSTANTIAL additional costs to every New Zealander as CO2 mitigation is pursued. Climate Change is real and has been real for billions of years we should just call it WEATHER because that is exactly what it is. Over the last 50 years there have been many dire documented climate crisis predictions from climate scientists and experts all over the world NOT A SINGLE ONE OF WHICH HAS COME TO PASS. In fact when you review them they become laughable as based on a number of these predictions life as we know it would no longer exist in some regions of the world, ice melt would have driven sea level rises out of sight, bush fires would be raging out of control across Australia and the whole of New South Wales would be a dustbowl with Sydney having run out of water years ago. The tragedy is a number of these scientists/experts are still in positions of power having conveniently forgotten their prediction failures and they are now driving a new set of dire climate predictions to a new generation of the gullible and uninformed populace with anyone daring to challenge their narrative labelled a CLIMATE DENIER AND / OR RISK BEING DE PLATFORMED/CANCELLED. If these experts are 100% sure their predictions are correct why are they not prepared to critically debate their stance. Surely they have nothing to fear by debating these climate/weather related issues.

So can we just get back to the basics of running Auckland as effectively and efficiently as possible, stop the Woke Agenda that has dominated this City and this Country for too long although I am not holding my breath this will happen. I would love for someone to prove me wrong.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1076

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Auckland is wildly behind on climate action, particularly with regards to transport. Public transport in Auckland is currently inefficient making it ineffective.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I think if people are directly responsible for paying for what they're throwing away they'll take more action to reduce. This also awards households who are already making efforts to reduce their waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1135

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Investment in public transport and bike friendly roads are long overdue. Reducing emissions is key but also making Auckland an affordable city to live in by providing affordable transport

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I wish this included collecting compost bins too.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1146

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to do everything we can and it all needs to be done yesterday. The latest IPCC report says it all.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Renativise with planting, decarbonise, and electrify everything.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change must be the council's top priority.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1256

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: What isn't there electric buses ?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Better management of finding. With the already excessive rates there should not be a shortfall. We are getting less and less services for our rates. It's appalling

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Why do the council spend money on cancer causing weed sprays ? There are alternatives. NZ has the second highest cancer rates in the western world. Why can't my rates go to looking into this instead of the kiwi mantra 'she'll be right ' - 'this is how we've always done it '

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Why do the council spend money on cancer causing weed sprays ? There are alternatives. NZ has the second highest cancer rates in the western world. Why can't my rates go to looking into this instead of the kiwi mantra 'she'll be right ' - 'this is how we've always done it ' . Our rates are way too high and services are being cut - what's going wrong - bad management?. Council staff on high salaries ?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1299

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1300

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Bring it to under $1 per week and then I am supportive. Climate change is important however so is food on tables

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: No more entertainment and travel costs, meetings can be held remotely - Covid has taught us this. Auckland council needs to tighten their purse strings immensely.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As per my previous comment, I think spending has been out of control for too long and it has been the norm for aucklanders.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I support the general recycling and rubbish collection however I have heard about food scraps bins and I would not use these, what a messs this would cause on the streets. I do not support the food scrap collection. People should be personally responsible for appropriately disposing their food scraps

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Public transport is shocking around here. Crime rates are going up, the area is becoming less safe.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1323

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think dedicated green investment is critical to enacting climate action, and if it needs ~58 p/y from each household, I think it is a very worthy cause.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Climate action!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1328

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We must act on this vital issue.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Logical approach

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Good suggestions

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: People have to face up to the costs involved in what they throw away

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: They all need attention

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Neutral. Controlling population growth and development would be more simple a solutuon

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I do not support a rate increase above 3%. Capital investment should continue in more improvished areas of the city. I do not agree with selling assets.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: My main concern is investing more into the south of the city for infrastructure, beautification/climate (trees), jobs. This board area has been left behind for decades and needs a large catch up in spending.

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Orakei - Parks and pubic spaces are already mostly sufficient in this area, I don't think this should be priority for this area until C19 is over. South of the city parks/public areas need more work to beautify, make safe etc Event spending not justified in current environment, suggest cut back and redirect to infrastructure. Orakei - Water quality and health-related spending should be a priority.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Tree planing and beautification.Water quality, drinking and recreation.Not putting pressure on resideden ts in terms of additional costs.Auckland has become to big and pressured in terms of population, we need a population strategy and better partnership with central government on population and growth issues.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1356

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because you waste massive amounts on other projects like raised platforms on main commutor roads that increase emmisions as it stop-starts every vehicle unnecessarily.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Stop wasting millions on over the top traffic (mis)management where costs have gone so high they are affecting the viability of projects and cause more problems than they fix. Review the standards to make them sensible and reduce the 'cry wolf' sign fatigue that endangers everyone.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Monitor contractors to make sure they are are giving good value, stop wasting our rates on Te Reo translations unless its targetted at that specific community, stop installing raised platforms on our roads and use less intrusive 'bumps' that slow but not stop traffic.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: The scum wont pay for rubbish disposal and will dump it on road side. Include a minimum amount in rates as now, and charge for extra.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why: We need to keep the outgoings under control, I see Glover park mowed a lot more than it seems to need, which uses more fuel and adds to costs. Contractors need to be audited regularly to see if they are not taking advantage.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I opted for English in this feedback, yet there in still a lot of Te Reo, have another option of solely English please, as having to filter out language I do not understand creates unnecessary confusion and takes extra time, along with other issues.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This will make for greater efficiency in logistics & therefore equity & price. PAYT cherry picks the most profitable customers & locations & will leave remote rural customers paying the price. I also support the move to fortnightly rubbish collection. Our bin is normally only a quarter fill on a weekly basis.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1406

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't support increase in rates, when they are already astronomically high

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't support increase in rates, when they are already astronomically high

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1408

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: many of us cannot afford the proposed rates increases.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

you must rethink the proposed rates increases; these are now becoming a huge burden on the family budget;

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1439

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Should not be anticipating money from 3 waters. Council should not be supporting this proposal.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I do not support separate food scraps collection.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Nothing proposed in Kohi - St Heliers area

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Do not want any parks or reserve land used for housing or commercial purposes.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1454

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: At the moment many rate payers are financially stretched. Many of us are doing everything we can to reduce our contribution to global warming. Increased rates will only make our lives more difficult at this already difficult time. Yes eating less may well reduce climate change and this could be a consequence of this targeted rate increase.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The only part I am really unsure about is the sale or lease of non-strategic assets. What are these assets? Do they include facilities acquired by the council from previous boroughs such as halls which were paid for by local people and are now managed as if they were financed by the greater Auckland area and are pricing themselves out of local use?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: The statement is too non specific. We need to know exactly which services are being considered not just the criteria to be used. For example parking was considered a non- council business and was handed over to a profiteering Australian company to the detriment of Auckland .

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This would mean that we all share in the cost of our waste. Sure, some people will pay more but these will include those who deposit their waste in other peoples bins or simply tip it where ever they like. Overall it should lead to a cleaner city with all waste properly disposed of.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: What is proposed for the Ellerslie Memorial Hall?

This hall has been a functional and useful Ellerslie for many years. Its availability and there for use has decreased under the present rules.

What is proposed for Waiatarua wetland?

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Councils love to spend ratepayers money without control or judgement. We can individually deal with many of the issues ourselves

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: With the level of council spending no wonder we have rampant inflation. Massive number of overpaid employees spending on their little dream projects . Some top down controls are required with less wasted time and money . It is the long suffering ratepayers that fund the absurdities that we see in this city (which is deserted these days anyway).

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Please stop living in dream land ! Some people like the power of spending other peoples money . Provide the basics but do it well

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: The key priorities seem reasonably sensible

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

There are too many employees in Council and there is a huge wastage factor - another story sometime !

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1542

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate change - according to the most qualified professor to know - is the greatest confidence trick in the worlds history

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why: Partial support _ council easts money in SO many areas

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: See above

I have two tenants at Onehunga - one wants Council rubbish collection - the other does not - how do we deal with this please ? - no choice

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Partial support _ council easts money in SO many areas

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Partial support _ council easts money in SO many areas

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Auckland Council waste money time and time again - totally undemocratic and racist with 6 non-elected Māori councillors where Māori just 16% of the population

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1563

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1566

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Discretionary spending that must come from the existing transport budget or through savings in the current budget elsewhere. Future climate levies on ratepayers are unacceptable and unaffordable for many.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates must not increase. The Auckland council needs to show discipline and desist from gouging ratepayers for more revenue.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Essential services. Reduce administrative and non essential services.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Rubbish is an essential service and ought to come from rates. It is outrageous to consider charging more for rubbish collection over and above current rates.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: So long as it is within the current budget.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Yes. Auckland Council should consult on rates for residents who do not own their own homes. it is unfair to place the rates burden only on home owners and commercial businesses.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1591

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Already paying 10c Tax on fuel - this could be achieved through individuals making a change rather than taxing money spend

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1610

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Global and local evidence shows that the carbon footprint of the richest homeowners and businesses is far greater than their poorer neighbours. Following the 2020/21 boom in asset values, a targeted climate change rate would offer an equitable and effective approach to addressing the vast challenges highlighted by the IPCC and

NZ NCCRA.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Prioritise climate and environmental initiatives such as cycling/walking path improvements. Other things can wait until we're on a more sustainable pathway

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1637

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Council needs to cut costs and focus on core services. This targetted rate is a way to hide costs

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Costs are still too high. Council needs to cut spending.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please stop increasing rates.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1638

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Reducing our carbon emissions, by half or more this decade simply has to happen. The targeted rate barely begins to address this, but it's a start.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: If people pay for what they throw out they will create less waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please hold Auckland Transport to account in delivering the necessary carbon emissions reduction. Their track record is of failure: not enough in bus lanes, hardly any protected cycle lanes, far too much support for travel by car (reluctance to remove free on street parking to create room for cycle lanes and bus lanes, discounted city centre car parking, preservation of too many general traffic lanes, not using maintenance budgets to realign kerbs and redistribute road corridor space away from cars). Their latest plan suggests minimal reduction in carbon emissions - this is wholly inadequate compare to the 50-70% required by 2030.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1646

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1656

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Another cost to ratepayers at a time of already high inflation

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I do not want to see an increase in rates, council should stick to it's core services

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Forget climate change stick to essential services only

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Another cost to ratepayers

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Need to focus on what is essential & hold off what is not

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1665

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: No to targeted rates - it is unfair. I bought close to a transport route to do the right thing and now I will be penalised.

No to targeted rates based on property values - it is unfair. i paid more to be near a bus route and will be penalised for doing so

No to supporting private ferry services. Public transport providers should be required to use low emission modes

Yes to tree canopies if they are protected and in all communities

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Please do no sell off more assets - they will be required

Stop fiddling with things - like spending too much on Regulatory reforms.

Reduce financial waste on capital projects by not buying top shelf materials.

Reduce financial waste of walking and cycling projects - use a 1.2m path rather than build a road. Use 1.2m bridges to slow cyclists.

Ensure quality assets are being create by developers to reduce short and long term costs - to much is being wasted due to poor consent conditions

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Need to simply ensure providing 3 waters, roading and regulatory. Not providing funding for private parties and privliges for all sorts of different cultures

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: It is a nobble idea but will result in extra costs in poor areas where dogs will rip up bags, bags will be overfill and rip, people will use their money on essentials not bags. We should not have to subsidise their actions.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Do not support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: No need to further spend on transformations esp St V. Shared path should only be 1.2m especially at bridges. "shared" means sharing and slowing down when passing - I studied the path around Panmure basin and it is relatively narrow and works extremely well. No local event funding, businesses can do that

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Environment - pushing for clean waterways, stop overflows, encourage public clean up days

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1666

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We should all start cycling and have other alternatives due to increase in fuel price

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1668

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: You should not have to create a rate to provide a service that is part of the role of Auckland Transport, They should already have money in their budget to fund upgrades if they do not they are mismanaging their budgets. This has nothing to do with climate change and the ties to it are small, do not use a real problem to back a pet project.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: All of these are reasonable measures, you should have sold off assets that have low use years ago.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Climate action should be an essential especially if you plan on creating a specific targeted rate for this work. The fact that is not essential shows that is not actually important to the council but just a way to create more budget for transport.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: makes sense. Buying tags is a pain. Many people rent so this expense should fall on landlords who are hoarding property.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Continue to develop and enhance our parks and sportsfields, concentrating on Colin Maiden and Churchill Parks, Tahuna Torea, Waiatarua wetland and Crossfield reserves. - unnecessary use of budgets these sports fields and facilities are great already

Continue to improve water quality including Newmarket/Middleton stream and at Waiatarua and Waiata reserves. - perfect!

Continue to expand and deliver environmental programmes at Pourewa Valley with our community. - Perfect!

Deliver the planting and growing phase of the Ngahere (Urban Forest) Strategy. - Perfect!

Design and deliver the new Meadowbank community centre. - Why? noone will use community centres in an area of high income, they would rather pay to use better facilities then a community centre can provide. - DO NOT SUPPORT

Work with our communities to transform public spaces including Mission Bay, Ellerslie War Memorial Hall and St Vincent Avenue streetscape. - Thee space are fine! Why are you wasting money on things that are already really nice when there are other severe issues you could put this money towards

Continue to advocate for connections to the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path. - Sure

Continue to fund local events and work with BIDs and business associations to support COVID recovery. - DO NOT SUPPORT - the events are shit and never happen, there are like a few markets, these are not events

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Climate is a massive issue - including plastic waste, construction waste, emissions, pollution of our lakes and rivers. You seem to have decided to focus on an easy answer that will not make much difference... Why not actually try and tackle the hard issues and lead the way in climate change

Growth - this is unsustainable the city needs to find a way to prosper without having everyone move to the city. The growth causes pollution, traffic, high property rates and makes it hard for the people already here to thrive. Find a different way to rise up the city. There are enough workers, make the people work, provide them with the skills, I'm sure the rough sleepers could fill many factories, clean many rivers ect. stop trying to draw in more people and use the resources you have

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1670

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Impact on emissions will be very minor. Improving transport choices is core Council business and should be funded from normal rates. Pretending they are somehow different and don't raise rates is dishonest.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The current Rodney PAYT system is very efficient and encourages waste minimisation. Standardising for the sake of standardising will make Council staff jobs easier but will increase costs and increase waste. Standardisation should not be an end in itself.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

When publicicing rate rises, targeted rates must be included. The rates rise is what we have to pay and how you categorise it is irrelevant to the rise.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1689

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is life or death

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It's vital

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: if it is important, prioritise it from existing funding.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: i am under personal budget pressure too. Don't incease my rates.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: stop mintaining roads that do not needed to, i see council waste money on digging up road which is fine and cover it up again.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: the cost will only get throw on to the rent increase as to your proposal $53.80 per year.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

with 4B kerbside collection, it will become a big problem with people pay for different bin size, and then people start dumping rubbish into other's bin just to pay for a smaller bin size. please reconsider on this bad decision.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1729

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support Council taking more action on the climate

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like a separated safe bike path from Newmarket to town that connects at either end to the K rd cycleway and the carlton gore cycleway

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support the Tupuna Maunga Authority's operational plan

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1749

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: this is not a council issue. focus on core business...

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: stop spending money on non-essential items

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Remove the boat hardstand from The Landing.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is an area of work that should be funded by central government and not local government it is a national issue. The extra rating is not something I wish to be part of and would rather spend money elsewhere including the identified budget pressures

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The exception on this is to not limit the rates rise to 3.5%, with the CPI running at close to 6% to even stand still without recognising the extra costs of COVID on the organisation is pointless to try and have a lower increase.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: I object to priority on one racial group - Maori, if we are in this together then everyone bears the brunt of the costs and the impacts rather than excluding a certain segment of the population. Things Maori have some legal requirements but not anywhere near the level you are proposing.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I expect waste to be collected as part of the rates I pay

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please find a way to engage all of Auckland in these decisions not just a small minority. Even your attempt to placate Iwi only addresses the Mana Whenua, Mataawaka needs to be included in this decision process, they represent the majority of Maori in the region. Pasifika peoples also need to be considered in the work you are doing, not as an added cost but as part of the program to engage both groups. In difficult times as we are in right now it is not the time to be doing feel-good activities like educating on climate change, please focus on the essential areas of Council only. This includes recognising that decades of underspend on the Auckland Transport network cannot be fixed in a few short years.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce staff numbers and lower salaries

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Every dollar spent should be justified and according to approved plan

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Sensible

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Thats a lot of money for nebulous proposals

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't think you spend rates wisely

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: seems sensible

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I am 100% against the dome valley plans and think you should be keeping all waste treatment in Auckland in a plant that is capable of incinerating all waste and generating thermal energy as in Tokyo

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Why do we need a meadowbank community centre

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1764

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1773

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Absolute waste of money as council needs to be conserving money, not spending it.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Council needs to be reducing spending and costs to insure minimal impact on already financially overburdened ratepayers.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: These are not priorities but are a wish list. Council needs to focus on delivering core functions not wishful thinking.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1776

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I'd like more buses and better /safer infrastructure for bicycles and walking

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1797

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a crisis and the effects are apparent and will accelerate, potentially going out of control, unless we take immediate and substantial action.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Better not to add to people's hardships during these difficult times.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is the best system for everyone and the environment.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Please can we have a shared path from Orakei station to the Strand / Tamaki Drive. The OBC should be dealt with using the Public Works Act. The alternative route along Ngapipi Road is much further, not pedestrian friendly, and not safe.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1831

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to act now before its too late

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1838

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Avoid excessive local pollution - yes - but to claim that our city can have any effect on world wide 'climate change' is nothing more than virtue signalling. There are so many pressures on communities right now that any 'dream time' restrictions to achieve zero emissions will add to their difficulties to remain solvent and will result in general non-compliance.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Run the council more like a cost effectiveness business than a bureaucracy. Imagine that you have to personally pay for a failure of any part of the vital infrastructure of the city, water reticulation, sewerage, storm water, etc is going to affect your own home.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Your section on prioritizing operating spending is full of meaning-less words and phrases. I doubt that even those who wrote it, fully understand it.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I fear that those who choose an economy size bin will have too much for it on occasions and will put the overload in other people bins that are larger, who will incur the greater cost.. The accounting for such a collection may be greater than any saving.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: The local boards probably have a greater understanding of what is important to residents than the central council bureaucracy.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Too much virtue signalling and not enough focus on what a council's main functions are.

Concentrate on a council's main purpose for their existence, and stop trying to be a mini government. Social issues and vanity projects are the province of other organizations.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not needed

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Practical

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Practical

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Practical

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Some good ideas , some not

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1880

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Spending by Auckland Council is completely out of control. It is not sustainable to add up all the things that the council would like to do and set rates accordingly. Like any business or family accounts, priorities need to be made and the quality of spending needs to be monitored. neither of these approaches seem to be even considered. The ongoing rate rises have exceeded inflation for many years and money continues to be wasted. This has got to stop.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: There has been no evidence of this being done in the past. One has to ask; why not?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?Other

Tell us why: The key point here is that work carried out is the highest priority and control over expenditure is strictly enforced. I have heard local board members say how they try to get as much funding as possible for their areas. This is not consistent with prioritisation of tasks, good budgetary control and good value for money.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The value we get for rate money is very, very low. Too much money is wastefully spent. I have heard of numerous council contracts where the design for work in the contract was poorly thought out and resulted in follow on contracts to change the design. This is very wasteful. I have also heard that contractors cannot raise these issues with the council during construction because this would result in them receiving no further contract work. Attitudes of the council officials must be changed to prevent this sort of waste of rate-payers money.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Clear rate to be spent on climate related goals

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Already under this system, saves me buying bags all the time

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Why is there no choice on the food scraps collection? I have 2 worms farms and several compost bins, the household does not generate food scraps

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1909

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because it's a tiny price to pay to support a hugely important initiative

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Get those nutters out of the rose gardens.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Easier to get around without reliance on the car.

Less congested roads.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Support more efficiency approach to spending.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Keeps it simple

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: All offer worthwhile community benefits

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1957

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Please add more active transport infrastructure. We are making it safer to be on our roads with separated cycle lanes but we need more to link bike spaces.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Anything to reduce household waste i support. Our current red bins are too big.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Please also advocate for cycle infrustructure, Ngahue Drive, Lunn Ave, Abbotts way.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please keep up work in making our roads safer for pedestrians and cyclists, I support all improvements in this area.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

1998

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think it's a good start. It would be good to see action taken on food waste in Tāmaki-Makau-rau as well, considering it produces a lot of methane and it is currently difficult to compost food or safely and sustainably get rid of food waste without a home compost system. I also think that in terms of rates, demographics and ratepayers with more income on average should be charged more and vice versa to ensure equity.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I think we need to look at the people who have become richer throughout the pandemic and they should be paying more to ensure equity. It is often the people who become richer that have caused a lot of problems in the first place.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I think we should of course cover the necessities and the rest should be spend equitably over Tāmaki-Makau-rau, the council must make sure that spending isn't unfairly allocated to richer demographics despite more need in poorer demographics.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I like the idea of having a pay-as-you-throw system, as this will encourage people to save their money by reducing their waste. However, if the PAYT system is going to be cheaper and more sustainable, I think it is a good idea as having options for cheaper and smaller bins will still encourage induvidual waste reduction. I think there should also be more options to only have it collected fortnightly/monthly/otherwise less if that would be possible and also to have an option to have no bin at all. I also think it is important that lower-waste alternatives are offered to avoid disproportionately affecting lower-income families as it is still very difficult and expensive to reduce waste on a personal level.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Small price to pay in order to benefit and influence future generation

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please prioritise access link to cycle path from Gowing drive. It will enable less reliance on cars and increase safety.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because it is being levied unfairly. It should be a flat charge per property. Also it is just another rate increase on top of rate increases. I would like see more detail that indicates the money will be spent wisely. For example you propose to plant more trees. What about more safeguards for existing trees? During Covid many of the bus services are under utilised. Obviously they don't cater for people's needs so why waste money on more of them at this time? Basically I think this tax is unjustified and won't do much for climate at all.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I still see alot of waste in council spending. For example: town centre upgrades are nice to haves and could be delayed. Why plan lots of events during Covid when people aren't going out much? The main things are infrastructure, transport and the environment.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Seems like a good way of prioritising.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Support rates funded but Charge should vary according to bin size. If I don't need food scraps collection and choose a smaller bin size my waste rate should be less. We worm farm and compost already so no need for food scraps collection.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Public space transformation is a nice to have right now. I think the urban forest strategy and the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive shared path should be higher priorities as they will make a difference to transport, quality of life and climate.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Inflation is going up and lots of people are struggling because of Covid. Households are having to cut back all the time. Renters are getting slammed. Yet Auckland Council just wants to keep hiking the rates and adding new ones. Focus on the core Council stuff not nice to haves like pretty town centres and events.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2046

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2080

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Better public transport and access to safe cycle ways, especially if the networks link together comprehensively, we save costs, more than paying for the small rate rise, and is an important equity issue because it will benefit less wealthy households and individuals even more than the more wealthy. The benefits of active and public transport on health (reduced heart disease, less cancer, lower mortality rates especially amongst middle aged people) are huge. A lot of people want to use active or public transport but can't because it is either not safe, takes too long or just impractical.

Having more trees in Auckland will be awesome! It will also be a very important strategy to reduce heat stress in the city with temperatures rising from climate change. It will be great if it could include community food sources (gardens etc) as well.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The food waste collection system will be really positive move. The rates-based system sounds better for equity.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support the work on parks, wetlands, urban forest, water quality, the community centres, and the GI to Tamaki Drive shared path. I don't support funding events.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The biggest priorities are restoring the natural environment and transforming the city to become people friendly. A friend of mine just lost their son to a cycle crash (hit by a driver). This tragic event shows that separated cycle/shared path lanes are a huge priority for Auckland.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2085

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As Auckland is the most polluted city in NZ, something has to be done to reduce emissions. Not just for the health of the environment, but for the health of the people who live here especially those who suffer from respiratory problems.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The levers seem to be sound and realistic. These measure have to be taken to enable Auckland, like the rest of NZ, to recover from C 19 effects.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As anyone must do when there is a big debt to clear or there is a reduction in income, good budgeting and reducing spending must be done.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I have my waste charges as part of my rates and the bins are collected every week without having to worry about buying bags or taking recycling to a recycling place which I have had to do when I was living in Whanganui. Having a region-wide rates-funded system will be helpful to a lot more people. I'm guessing that you have done your sums over this issue and this option is more cost-effective than PAYT. Or is it that you will put up the rates cost of collection?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: It all looks good to me and makes sense.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No, keep up the good work in this difficult time. Don't stand for abuse and put downs. We are all doing the best we can with what we have according to the amount of fear or love we are experiencing.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2104

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The less wealthy people in Auckland will be negatively impacted by the rates increase at a time when most costs are rising steeply and they will be doing it tough. To some the small increase ($58 per year) will be felt.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: While most of the proposals seem fair, the hike of 3.5% is going to hit pensioners, first home buyers and the less wealthy families in the pocket. A rate increase of 3.5% is too high. A rates reduction in these times of financial hardship would be welcomed.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Without knowing exactly which services might be cut its hard to say if this is a good or bad proposal.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This will be simpler but should not increase the cost for rate payers

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: I like the Glen Innes to Tamaki shared path project. I don't know about the other projects. If Council has $10.5billion of debt, it does not sound like they are affordable projects. In the above questions and within the Key Issues I see no real signs that the Council is worried about the Council debt being way too high.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like to see something done about the failing Newmarket Broadway where the street is now flanked by dilapidated empty buildings. In many places the road surface is failing and some of the street trees are dead or missing. The reduction of Council debt does now need to be front and centre of every policy discussed by the Board, before its too late.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to be doing our bit to make a positive change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I support implementing organic collection across Auckland as soon as practical

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Footpaths - review design of driveway crossings to prioritise flat, smooth pedestrian movement. Wherever a slope is required, the flatness for pedestrians should be the priority, especially as most vehicles are getting larger.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#2107

2123

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It won't save the planet

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Need to cut spending much more

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Excellent idea!

I used tags for a while on the north shore and that was a hopeless system

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Don't sound urgent

We need to cut costs

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Basically I hear a lot of projects the council wants to spend out money on

But the planned expenditure reductions are very small given the size of your total annual budget

Please realise many residents are under financial pressure and council should cut back too so as to find something to help people financially - that is, reduce the rates as much as possible please

Thanks for listening and all the good work Council does for Aucklan

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are in a climate emergency and need to reduce the energy spent on private ICE transport.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system is complicated.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: These are essential improvements that have been delayed for too long.

Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: These are essential improvements that have been delayed for too long. Some funding should also be put aside to developing walking and cycling tracks to strengthen growing neighbourhoods, such as Huapai, Whenuapai, and Riverhead.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I think we need to rethink the way rates are set. A lot of councils around the world have rates as the keystream of revenue. We should be leveraging rates more for these key services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I want to leave a comment about staff continuity. Service prioritisation should not result in stagnant wages which cause high staff turnover. Annual salary increases need to budgeted for, as well as available funding to address the gender & ethnic pay gap in Council.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2139

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Doing nothing about climate change is not an option

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Tahuna Torea is super important

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please do not cut library services

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2161

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Designing for our children's future is critical, change impact will not happen overnight

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Cosy cutting in the past has been negligible, the council is still too top heavy

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I am having to assume the cost reduction amounts are sufficient

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I would also like to see an improvement in recycling with more bins - separate glass from paper, and include food waste collection.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: All overdue

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2167

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: This is a very important one that should be prioritised:

Continue to fund local events and work with BIDs and business associations to support COVID recovery.

I would consider the Pourewa Valley lower priority but highly support community involvement in environmental programmes.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please work with AT to establish lower speed areas or speed mitigation on key roads (i.e. Meadowbank Rd), as well as an expansion of cycle and pedestrian paths.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2168

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change issues need to be addressed now.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Covid-19 effects and inflation mean budget pressures need Council management.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Fairer and more economical for the household.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: These priorities will only become more expensive if put on hold.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The Noise Control system. At present, someone is only sent after at least 3 complaints which can mean continuing noise until the early hours of the morning. Stronger reinforcement is required. Also, sending one person only can put that person at risk.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Central govt has yet to release the first emissions reduction plan so how does this fit into the overall plan for NZ. At this stage it is not clear so should be explained and justified first. In addition, many of the activities included above should be business as usual for council backed activities ie the example "targeted actions" should be part of investment and activities in general rates as they have supposedly been previously. This "targeted rate" is just a way of masking an overall rates increase to homeowners due to poor investment decision making by council

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Again, as above its all smoke and mirrors. In the ordinary course, council should be managing its revenues and investments more effectively. Instead it increases overall staffing and wastes capital on activities that are not required or already provided by the public sector. By breaking out all these other "targeted rates" council is just masking the real rates increase and not really setting out a clear plan to manage spending appropriately.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Yes but with a caveat that the "priorities" are not clear and could still be warped to allow spending in areas that don't warrant it. Council need a complete overall on how projects and spending is justified

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The justification is a strong example of misleading and incomplete information from council to support the outcome. It doesnt take into account that competitors offer lower cost services than the "tag" council system. Doesn't take into account openly the extra cost of a food waste service (so in fact it will cost more overall) and is only done to cement council's position to waste to feed their whitford landfill..... not fooling anyone. Full information should be provided.... shame on you council.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2204

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Man-made climate change is a fallacy. The last thing Auckland needs is another rates or tax levy that will be squandered and misappropriated by an untrustworthy Council - especially when it is for a nonsense reason. You already managed to steal fuel tax and our roads are worse than ever - you are just liars and thieves.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: You need to action a zero rate increase in these hard times - find some more ways to save money - stop your waste, manage contractors and project costs more effectively, cease non-essential feel good expenditure like gay parades and music in park and all the junk non-essential service events you waste money on.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: You still have stupid climate change expenditure in there as a priority - are you stark raving mad. This is just wasteful expenditure.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We just don't need complexity and overhead like PAYT.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I do not support Ngahere Strategy or Glen Innes to Tamaki Dr shared path, or funding of local events.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think local boards are a waste of time and money - we don't need them - I would prefer to simply have an additional Councillor.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2214

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am a large user of public transport, I often find many places in the city inaccessible by public transport, this will help

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: The council should be looking to expand services and not cut back, i know it may be expensive but we need to make sure all services are maintained.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: sounds like it encourages people to reduce waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: all help improve area

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We need more accessible public transport and new developments should have to follow strict guidelines to make them more public transport/pedestrian oriented

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2221

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: ***this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.***

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have declared a climate emergency - it is obvious we are in one - action needs money - get on with it

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Increase rates - I don't like paying rates but I even more hate people complaining about lack of services. We all need to "suck it up" and get the job done

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: but please stop all the consultation and get on with actions

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: fairness and simplicity

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are so far behind mitigating against climate change. It is not an option not to do anything.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It is better to adjust our spending than borrowing more money.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We need to prioritise climate change as the top item. In that way the budget is looking after the communities and people of Auckland.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This new system is long overdue. It should have be implemented by now. Auckland is not innovative and at the forefront in waste management. Other cities overseas have had organic collection for decades.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I feel there is too much focus on the natural environment and not enough for other ways of mitigation climate change. We need to look at transport options in the local board area. There is two main focus for safe biking on Tamaki Drive and the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive path. These projects are very important and need to continue but we also need safe bike lanes especially around the schools.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2242

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2256

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I wholeheartedly support this climate action initiative. I look forward to a bus and cycling network which is more convenient and rapid than driving (as it should be), and the corresponding reduced climate impact that will have.

However, I also believe this will not be enough. Auckland has an opportunity to not just meet New Zealand's targets (which are woeful undershoots anyway), but to lead the world in emissions-reduction, and that is what we should be aiming for. This plan is all carrot and no stick, with no provisions to fundamentally change a transport infrastructure primarily designed to cater for cars. Though the activities proposed through the CATR are a great first step, we will never truly reach zero emissions in this city unless we decide to rethink our entire transport infrastructure.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I am not an expert on this topic, but it seems strange to me that the Council is taking a government support package because they're worried about inflation.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We are on the right path, but we need to accelerate to make this city truly people- and environment-friendly.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2259

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: while rates is required to finance spending, due to job losses it is hard to see how households and businesses can afford to stay in Auckland to pay the increased rates.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Households who choose a small bin may also put their excess waste into their neighbour's bigger bin. My neighbours keep putting their landfill waste into my bin.

How about 1 weekly compost bin, and fortnightly landfill & recycling bin? This is how my household has reduced our landfill waste to two small bags per fortnight.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2292

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: We are already financing by favouring cycling lanes, electric trains etc…

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2318

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I want the city to have electric buses so that we can start reducing the quantity of the dangerous PM2.5 particles produced by diesel vehicles. Low emission ferry services will also help this. I also support introducing more bus services especially to areas of the city not well catered for at present.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The most equitable solution.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I support this as long as environmental and climate change costs are deemed to be essential. If not I do not support.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The best approach.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2344

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is real and we need to do as much as we can to mitigate our impact on the environment. If anything you should have a higher targeted rate to do a lot more a lot sooner!!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It makes things easier for everybody if things are standardised

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Transport - I think we need to prioritise walking and cycling connections all over Auckland as that is the best way to get people out of cars. For example, the Remuera New World is the closest supermarket to me, but I jump in my car to get there because Remuera Rd is such a car-centric road. We need safe cycling facilities!!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Add the priority of keeping streets and public spaces free of rubbish to keep our waterways and oceans clean

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2365

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: With majority of NZ population living in Auckland, why only Auckland had to pay for this? If the central government wants it, then they will have to pay for it, not through our council rates!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I believe council needs to seriously looking at its spending rather than reaching for more money from the rate payers! The whole purpose of merging the regional councils to 1 is to improve efficiency and cut running cost, but the cost has increased rather than decreased, with a lot of services been cut down (e.g. regular inorganic rubbish collection, berm mowing, etc), why?! So we end up paying more for less services?!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: This should be part of the job anyway.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I think a standardized PAYT system will be more efficient, it can encourage people to reduce their waste. You can set up an online paperless tag/credit purchase system, people can buy credits/tag online instead of from shops, using the existing rubbish bin, so the rubbish truck driver has a list of households they go to collect, avoiding the paper tags been stolen.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Stop spending on useless projects like the City RailLink, cycle ways, etc. Cut back to Council's core services (e.g. waste collection, public area/facilities maintenance, etc), and keep the rates low.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I'm a 26 year old female from Auckland who is in full support of the CATR. Because we are in a climate emergency I want urgent action now. This is a first step for Council walking the talk on climate action. We have a long way to go to reduce emissions in line with Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri, Auckland's Climate Plan. I think Urban ngahere and food gardens aren't only great in terms of climate action but also help build community and connect with nature.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2378

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2381

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to get on and do something. No point waiting for the waves to wash us all away.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Support capital deferral, just make sure you don't create a backlog we can never recover from.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I'm keen to see some work on a transport plan with AT. With electric ferries coming (Wellington has one now) we should be looking to transform our waterfront areas to support environmentally appropriate transport services - bring back the local wharves!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2395

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2401

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2403

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2417

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I don't understand the need for the new Meadowbank community centre - I've used the current one and it seems adequate.

I'm greatly concerned that the GI to Tamaki Drive shared path is dragging on so long - there still has been no start to the final stage, which seems ridiculous...

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2424

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This should be funded from existing budgets

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Having had much experience of dealing with councils overseas. I see Auckland's bloated and too expensive. Time to lose staff and trim costs.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Why not benchmark with overseas local authorities about the internal costs of providing services. I think most people feel uncomfortable about the high salaries and iindeed need for them in the first place.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It should be much cheaper to admin

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: perhaps we don't really need all these "improvements". A more sustainable option might be to review what we already have and then decide on what we should have and either sell off excess or provide business case for funding any new initiatives.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The cost of running the council is my major issue. The news I see and my experiences suggest to me its over funded and has too many well paid staff doing not enough.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2441

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Do not support tax increases

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Do not support tax increases

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?Other

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2442

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2446

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Global warming is a huge issue for me, Aucklander's need to reduce their carbon emissions, more electric vehicles, more use of public transport, we need to plant more native trees, better planning and action from Auckland Council etc.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: This is a reasonable rates increase given the ongoing pressures brought on to Auckland Council by COVID 2 years ago.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Some decision making from Auckland Council has been poor in relation to both operating and capital spending in recent years, Auckland Transport would be top of my list. Need an agreed set of criteria, prioritisation, and robust process around decision making when spending rate payer money.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Need to standardise across Auckland and keep it simple.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Water quality is a huge issue, sewerage flowing into our waterways is totally unacceptable, Hobson Bay and Okahu Bay in particular. Need more investment on infrastructure (wastewater and stormwater) to make up for underspend over the past 100+ years.

In addition would like to see Bloodworth Park upgraded to the same standard as its neighbouring Shore Rd Reserve (drainage, sand carpeting etc) so that this ground can be utilised for community sport 12 months of the year (not just in summer) and Parnell CC can build a cricket pavilion on it. I have provided a detailed submission on this to OLB and Auckland Council in Dec 2019 (see attached).

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2512

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Definitely need electric Ferries and electric or hydrogen bus and trains

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Support in part but do not Support sale of Council assets especially parks.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Support this however, for a small proportion of the population this is unfair. No fault of their own (disability et) they create additional rubbish which they can not help but create.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Would be great to see more footpaths on waiheke.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I think this approach will result in more waste (not less). We use a worm farm and home compost thus having no need for a food waste bin. I don't believe this will be a lower carbon solution due to the extra carbon in the food waste bins, the collection process and methane generated through disposal.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2544

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: 1. Cost of living crisis.

2. new taxes and rates are not reasonable.

3. I'd rather use the money to make my OWN low carbon choices that suit MY lifestyle, like having money to put into an EV - if you tax me more on the rates, I won't be able to, your Climate rate would drive me toward being a financial climate change refugee, unable to make my own low carbon choices.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: CUT YOUR OWN INTERNAL COSTS.

Councils budgets are inflated, blown out, and full of pet and glory projects.

Abandon the light rail. Its too much money and will be too lightly used.

The council should be run as a LEAN organisation. and severely limit its own development ambition and agencies.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Council should INCENTIVISE people to generate less waste. This means PAYT.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Extend the point england shared path.

Council gave up on that extention too easily after a very few NIMBY neighbours complained. The wider community missed out because of a vocal few residents.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2549

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We pay enough rates already and also do a lot of work to reduce impact on climate. If we have a rate added we will stop doing the extra climate considerations.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: It is council's responsibility to manage budget - if you have not been able to do this, then you need to work on reducing costs to make this up. Try reducing staffing costs to assist.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Re look at the important aspects to Aucklanders.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Give larger bins and allow households to pay as they throw - this will encourage people to recycle and compost more (which we do a lot of in our house).

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: All auckland council parks / reserves that tax payers pay for should allow dogs (Tahuna Torea). Extension of planned point England path to wainui taki should be completed.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2574

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are running out of time.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2599

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: So called 'climate action' unnecessary.

The world's climate has been changing for centuries

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Only support if non essential work is done. eg 3 waters.

Cease all bus lanes, cycle ways, footpath widening, and re-kerbing.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As previously

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: You should spend far more on 3 waters, and scrap expenditure on more bus lanes, cycle ways, footpath and kerbing.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Water. The targetted rates is a start, but is only 10% of what should be spent.

Without water the city dies. We can live without extra bus lanes etc as above

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2612

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2619

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Urgent need for world to convert to sustainable fuels namely non-carbon electricity generation and storage of same as transportable green hydrogen. Also need to protect and restore native trees following uncontrolled, disgraceful carnage across Auckland of so many thousands of trees in recent years.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2635

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is sorely needed, unfortunately delays in central government leadership and current economic realities have meant that the climate action that should have started decades ago is still unfunded and not yet begun. A targeted rate is thus a necessary approach to be able to start this vital work - with all evidence suggesting that the costs of further delay are many times greater. As the councils economic situation improves (more funding input from the ports of Auckland and Auckland airport) this targeted rate should stop and climate action work should be integrated into standard annual budgets and become part of business as usual.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I would be concerned if the delay on capital spending was on projects that are specifically designed around addressing climate change - e.g. cycle lanes and public transport projects, these should not be delayed.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I would like to see real climate change leadership from Auckland City council by counting work designed to reduce our emissions as essential, and also by prioritising the work that will facilitate climate adaptation.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Pay as you throw encourages fly tipping.

Ideally there would still be incentives with bin sizes and differential pricing for households to reflect on their rubbish generation and to move to waste minimisation.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like to see delivery of additional shared path connections rather than solely advocacy. Furthermore conversion of roadside currently used for parking to cycle lanes is also essential to reduce injuries and death as well as emissions.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please make Auckland a city that is easy and safe to cycle around, by delivering extensive conversion of roadside parking to cycleways within the next 3 years.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2636

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: My reasons for support are:

- By having a dedicated rate, it shows that this is a priority and it doesn't' need to fight with other areas for necessary funding.

-Climate action will be expensive and require heavy investment which makes sense as we are trying to combat an issue that was caused by decades of activities that had negative impacts on the environment and we are trying to fix it in a much shorter time frame.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I do not support Auckland Council selling assets to non-government entities. I support leasing options. Please do not sell any more assets in order to source additional capital.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: No comment

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I believe it will be more beneficial to standardize across the region.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think even with Covid it's still important to invest in areas such as community events. I'm not sure how this can be done but I do support it.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2639

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Fully support - this money needs to be spent now and mitigation/adaptation will only get more expensive the longer we delay.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate action is not something we 'should' do - it is a must do and needs to be moved into this highest priority category. Delaying action will only mean more money needs to be spent further down the track - please give this issue the attention and funding it requires.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Our rates are too high , mine are going up 11%, there are too many people struggling, we were told we would get a 3.5% rates increase, If the Council were really concerned about climate change it would not support the chopping of trees, would not deliberately slow down traffic. We already pay 10c regional fuel tax for improved public transport, walking and cycling

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: All we ever here is pressures on the Council , what about pressures on the ratepayer. COVID, CBD dead , 7% increase in water rates, Get rid of Auckland Unlimited, no salary controls, if the 3.5% was all it was fine. Auckland Council has destroyed the CBD with its nutty and unnecessarily shcems to reduce cars

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Not enough detail , the overriding consideration is rates increases (given historical huge increases) and water rates must be under 3.5% and no more targeted taxes.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: AS long as it reduces cost I support either systems

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?Other

Tell us why: Get AT out of the area, they are destroying it with unnecessary and expensive speed humps and reduction of parking

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please sequence traffic lights- it is crazy to stop (like Quay ST) when there is no other traffic

Water rates should be consulted on the proposed doubling is unsustainable, all rates need to based on what the average person can afford, there are too many non productive staff and crazy ideas, the 30 k per hour project is a waste

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2663

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were re-invested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? No

Tell us why: The acre of land was gifted to Ardmore District by Mr John Henry Mullins in 1897, and the hall built by the pioneers of the district with pit saw timber from Quarry Road. The cenotaph was relocated there in 1976. I realise times have changed from Women's Division, table tennis, indoor bowls etc but it would be a sad indictment if the Hall were to be removed. Do you intend to remove the War Memorial also? The Hall is an icon in the District.

When it was gifted to the Manukau City in 1941 the then residents of Ardmore would be shocked to have notification of its possible sale and disposal. We had very good relations with this council and latterly, Mayor Barry Curtis was an avid supporter of our district which included the Hall and St James Church, Ardmore.

Possibly the Ardmore School would have a use for it on a regular basis to maintain it's use as part of the district. I would have liked to have had my mother's memorial service (Margaret Burnside) at the Hall on our road, but as the windows are boarded up, this will be impossible.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2674

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: i am supportive of all initiatives that support the environment however i have a mistrust in the Auckland City Council ability to do this in an meaningful and cost effective manner

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: having a strategy is great. it must be challenging to do this in the context of struggling infrastructure and the demands of saving money by also meeting needs

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: i do not object to this if consideration. is made for those less wealthy residents who will struggle to pay for this

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

improving infrastructure and services

promote environmental issues

protect those in more challenging socioeconomic circumstances

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2677

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because you currently are not spending our rates responsibly so I know this won't go towards this!!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Before stopping or reducing services tax payers already pay for and charging us separately for them, how about removing 30% of your 6 figured salaries, that would make a huge difference to your budget and change nothing in terms of ability to get things done, in fact by removing more in your leadership team, the ACC might actually be more efficient. Less bureaucracy, means more gets done at a cheaper rate!!!

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: You wont charge us less for our rates and then we will be paying for tags in addition so it's effectively a double tax so no thanks to PAYT

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2681

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2697

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Cut council staff numbers and salaries to make saving. Reduce funding of cultural causes. The staffing is way too high even if there wasn't budget pressures.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Cut the number of council employed staff and cut their salary levels (particularly at the top end. Cut back funding for cultural activities. Ensure city access for private vehicles continues in addition to public transport.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2713

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The proposal is fine for urban areas where households life full time, but for holiday destinations we prefer PAYT as we spend SUBSTANTIALLY less on rubbish now as we produce so little rubbish (maybe 20 weekends a year worth). We already pay more than our share on recycling (our recycling bin was put out 4 times last year!) - we should not be further burdened with a waste service we don't use.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Most are good. Not sure timing is right for investing in community centres (Covid fears of public gatherings)

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2720

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I strongly believe the plan should go further and faster to meet our climate goals.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2752

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we need to take action to look after the planet now :) not when it's too late!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Putting the microscope on spending can help identify costs that aren't absolutely necessary in these hard times - it's about need to haves, not nice to haves!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: This feels very vague

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Feel as though paying for what you produce is a fair result and is likely to mean less people dump their rubbish if they overfill their allotment

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2761

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Inflation already- Petrol tripled in price.

Wait until this all settles

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Simpler, qucker, easier, and low income people dont need to budget for bags

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Refer back to the former questions.

We are in a global multi-crisis period.

We cant afford all this stuff- delay it and spend the money on necessities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No rates increases this year, due to inflation and hardship

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to act locally to make our contribution to avert the global climate crisis

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Continue to advocate for the protected cycle path onwards to St Heliers along Tamaki Drive

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2808

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2848

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2852

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates enough as it is

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Don’t increase rates!!!!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: What are the options

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We should pay for how much waste we produce . My bins are always empty but I’m always paying rates

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Why is public transport so unreliable, expensive and inefficient?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2901

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Your explanation is so vague and mumbo jumbo how can somebody agree to it

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2915

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Very supportive of public transport initiatives and cycleways

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Much more expensive for elderly single person living alone, even with smallest size bins. At present my small rubbish bin and recycling bin (never full) go out monthly. I also have a compost bin so don't want to pay for and store a food scraps bins I won't use, this should be optional.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like more priority given to the problem of untrained or badly trained dogs off-leash in Waiatarua Reserve.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2933

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Council has enough funds to pay for its services and should stop there. targeted rates outside of councils services is scope creep

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: just do your core services and what's legally required. no more

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: the written explanation of whether I will be paying more for what I already get (a weekly bin empty) is too hard to decipher.

it looks like the complicated people have written this one. if you cant explain it, don't do it!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2943

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Yet another tax. The polluters not the public should pay.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Does not include a range of other costs - like salaries.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: First drop the petrol tax.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: User pays.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Again it is what is not included that matters.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The proposal to establish a Community Centre by the lake in Stonefields is impracticable and opposed by the vast majority of nearby residents. Think of the traffic congestion for one.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2956

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Upgrade the cycle path from Ngapipi Bridge to Kelly Tarltons to provide an initial end to end all age all ability safe cycle path provision

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

2981

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Do Not sell parks

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Consult local communities.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Too hard for mobility impaired and elderly with out computer access to pay as they go.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I strongly believe in local board decision making.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3045

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the most important issue humanity is dealing with. We need to have a targeted rate to ensure we are investing in reducing our emissions, especially in a growing city.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I would rather this be included in rates and more time and money invested in education around waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: All waterways should be protected and restored. All forest fragments should be protected and restored.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3046

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We urgently need to reduce our emissions. The proposals to use the climate action targeted rate do not go far enough. For example, the planned investment in cycling infrastructure is still inadequate to achieve the stated goals and that savings should be made elsewhere in the transport budget to fund more in active modes. The current plan is for "Completion of key links in the separated cycling network, including connections to schools and jobs in the North Shore, Manurewa, Onehunga, Hobsonville and New Lynn." There are plenty of gaps in our area that urgently need plugging as well. I recommend Ngahue Drive, Lunn Avenue and Abbotts Way as easy wins for prioritisation to start opening up cycling as a viable alternative. We should also be working on St Johns Road/Remuera Road as it's a major route into Newmarket and links a lot of schools. It's currently far too dangerous to cycle, and should be improved.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Generally support, except that capital investment to meet our climate goals can't be postponed without missing our targets. Keep the walking and cycling programme on track - or accelerated.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: A bit vague, the proposal was simply to apply good judgement. Needs more examples to be a useful discussion.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: PAYT encourages tipping.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: The Ōrākei Local Board Local Board priorities need more focus on improving active modes, by advocating for more than just connections to the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path.

We urgently need to reduce our emissions and reduce congestion. We can't do this without making active modes safe - particularly cycling, as this mode has the greatest potential to replace many car journeys. There are many gaps in the cycling network in Ōrākei that urgently need plugging. I propose Ngahue Drive, Lunn Avenue and Abbotts Way as easy wins for prioritisation to start opening up cycling as a viable alternative. We should also be working on St Johns Road/Remuera Road as it's a major route into Newmarket and links a lot of schools. It's currently far too dangerous to cycle, and should be improved. The Ōrākei Local Paths (Greenways) Programme Plan from 2016 seems to have been forgotten. It's time to dust it off and advocate for it all.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3050

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is "climate ambitions" not shared by all and I feel as a rate-payer, we are paying enough of our monies with ever increasing costs of roading/ rail maintenance and keeping this city running, which I may say is looking very unkept in these times, which we all understand with people having covid, but older tax-payers who have worked hard all their lives and may only have the "pension" to survive on, are doing their last years very harsely.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Changing the timing of capital spending needs to be halted and look at finishing existing projects around Auckland City and linking up bus/train and ferry timetables better to be more ecomonical and keeping the previously agreed rates increase at 3.5 per cent

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Answered above with better spending on essential services for the public arena and looking at improving existing repairs tto footpaths and main arterial routes

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Personally I do not need to be putting out "three" bins very week or fortnight, as it is I have 30 odd bins outside my place every fortnight and it takes a fortnight for them to be moved again, when we mow the berm outside, which once the Council did and also i have a worm bin, so only use two bins.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I feel the "Meadowbank Community Centre, should be left, as not an essential service, if we are looking at monies/budget. The last item on the list working with Business associations to support COVID recovery is top of the list in my book as little business doing it hard and the public need to be supporting them back on their feet, as well as the Council.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: If we don't think about climate, we won't have a positive living experience

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This is the opposite of conscious consuming when you get to put the rubbish out frequently without a second thought. The fact that recycling only goes once a fortnight is so backwards too, if recycling went weekly and rubbish fortnightly, then I could consider supporting the rates funded system. It is literally easier to put out more rubbish than changing your choices to a recyclable option as families recycling bins get too full. The harder you make rubbish to use, eg. pay as you go or even better, HALF the frequency, you would see great results in peoples conscious waste decisions. Christchurch city council does this incredibly well.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Better cycle safety would be welcomed and why plant any tree when some fruit trees could be of benefit especially with Auckland being such a great climate and with the current food problems in NZ, something free such as this would likely be welcomed

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3080

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3083

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the biggest threat to our living planet at the moment. Both public transportation and Active Network will help reduce carbon emission from vehicles. However, more outside of the box plan may be needed. For example, when planning a new development, consider making it a carless community but providing solutions such as shared car fleets for people to hire. Active Network will help people get active and reduce health issues. Urban forest would be amazing, bringing nature to the people. It'll help cooling the neighbourhoods as well and provide biodiversity in urban areas.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: The online budget tool is a great exercise to demonstrate how difficult it is to balance budget. However, there are some options are maintain status quo which seems limiting innovative ideas. Also, I understand that it provides some high level expenditures, I can't help to want to know more so that perhaps some opportunities of saving can be found.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I do prefer encouraging people to reduce waste in general. However, I hope this won't mean people just go crazy with their waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I'd like to see more bike friendly infrastructure around St Heliers and Kohimarama. It's easy to get to from St Johns on bikes but there are no secure bike parking facilities. Most people and business are still very concerned about carparking.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3085

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The topic of improving public transport has been talking for years, but I don't see the improvement. only can see the cost of transport increased.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Renewed QV price just released weeks ago, so don't believe so only 3.5% of gerenal rates increase only YOY.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3091

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Improved walkways and cycleways will benefit the health and safety of local residents and residents of the greater Auckland region. They will also benefit the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is one of the most important issues. Improving the things mentioned will also make the city nicer to travel and be in.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Looks like it is done fairly and priotisation is better then scrapping services as less used services still have people who use them.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Equity

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Support depends on what reduction in services would take place.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: "Things we should do" which are all obviously important, shouldn't be vulnerable to neglect from budget pressures. The long term effects of not prioritising these along side essential services will be worse than not giving them attention now.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3115

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Incentivizing public transport won't work if you are simultaneously improving roads for private vehicles.

Planting new trees is great, but how about protecting the existing trees that are being chopped down (often illegally).

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Having worked with Council, I know there's a lot of bloat within the organisation that could be streamlined to reduce the number of people on the payroll

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support prioritising the environment, as it's a great return on investment. Whilst I support some social and community funding, I think it's important not to create a culture where people are relying on handouts, with no power to help themselves or improve their lives.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It will incentivise people to reduce their waste. However, a potential issue maybe be additional admin costs, which would eat int the savings by the new system.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support pro-environmental policies

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3117

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

More funding to be made available to local boards to spend in their area as they see fit

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3125

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: All of these approaches above are also great for community wellbeing and saving us importing more oil

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Don't see any other options available

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Less admin costs in rates funded system

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I have faith in the board to do the right thing for our community. Very impressed with their support for our greenspaces in the area and the wonderful new shared path going through the Pourewa Valley.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3149

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Tell us why: I wrote a lot of stuff and then clicked 'more info' or something similar and all my answers to your questions just disappeared. Don't have the energy to go over all this again

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3154

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: You need to do the changes within the existing budget and not charge more rates

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Rates are high already

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3155

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There are too many contradictions in Council budgetting process to believe this funding would make a difference. Eg. there is one team looking for places to plant huge numbers of trees and another team looking to sell 83 parks and reserves to realise cash for budget shortfalls. It would be very low cost to just push back on new government changes to further intensify development which will result in the removal of established tree canopy and to bring back some tree protection that was previously removed. The AUP made changes to allow for huge intensification which will result in tree removal and higher temperatures in the suburbs.

Regards transportation I believe the amount of cycle and pedestrian ways being built far outnumbers the potential users of these services. Getting traffic moving so it is not taking double the travel time and creating double the carbon emissions is a better goal.

Regards the additional transportation I believe the net result for our ward is 1 additional bus route - not commensurate with the funds collected.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Cost saving efforts are great. Sale of assets is OK so long as it is not green space which should be invested in to achieve the climate change objectives and not sold off. Even green space that isn't used generally by people but merely provides established tree canopy is valuable and it would be a big mistake to sell or develop. The governments Better Off support package sounds great but appears it may have nasty hooks as attached to the 3 Waters proposal.

Decisions on sensible capital spending I agree with. Get back to the basics of infrastructure and avoid the nice to have projects of modernising and beautifying assets.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: The description of how you will prioritise is best described as flowery. I'm concerned about a lot of wording of key priorities being climate change, social and cultural well-being and the like. There are no words saying the basic things like sewerage systems, clean water provision, servicable roads etc. I have to assume these are basic to the environment and well-being aims.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It makes sense to stay with big plastic bins that are already all over the city with trucks available to collect them than to switch to a completely new plastic bag system. Bags are messy. It would seem a cheaper option to just enhance the existing. I agree with the varied charging based on rubbish size and the effort to promote people to downsize. I am hesitant about allowing people to opt out. eg. Landlord opts out and so tenant just dumps rubbish at side of road or builds health hazzard pile in back yard.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I support all the priorities but feel there is a bit of a bias towards the Glendowie area which is spoilt with the number of parks already available for the local population. I would like to see a little more focus on the Bays areas which are destined for greater intensification. i.e. the endangered Tagalad Reserve and Kohi Forest.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: all councils need to do something about climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why: Support prioties set out, priorities like climate action, transport, the environment and community development.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: currently some suburbs pay and some dont

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3164

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have to do something to make a difference! In the OLB, could you please make it a priority to upgrade St Johns and Remuera Roads for cycling. This is a major access route between St Johns/Meadowbank/Remuera/Newmarket/Ellerslie. Lots of workplaces, shops, schools, gyms etc. It's a death trap. Also Grand Drive and crossing Abbots Way to get to Lunn Ave shops and Ellerslie. I've almost been hit twice in the past fortnight on Remuera Road. A total jumble of parked cars, bus lanes, multiple lanes, fast-moving traffic, roads in and out...

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Fix your processes and you'll be able to make faster progress and reduce headcount. I don't want to see anyone lose their job but every time I contact council I'm bemused by how hard it is!

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Happy to support if this will minimise waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: It's time to focus more on transport (and that will help other outcomes). Please focus on making it possible to cycle safely along Remuera Road/St Johns Road and encourage people to cut their driving for short trips.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3170

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Put bollards at Vellenoweth green

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3173

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Disagree with rates increase. Wages haven't gone up by 3.5 per cent, the cost of living has risen dramatically and wages haven't kept pace

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We don't need local boards and the money they cost

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3177

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3195

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It is important that we keep to our budgets. We do need to look after our environment, but some of these steps are ideological and will not necessarily have a big impact. The city of Auckland covers a huge area, so people will continue to predominately use their cars.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The cost of living for people is extremely high, I do not support rate increases.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Would like to know more detail about what would be cut.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3199

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need wholesale infrastructural change to address the climate crisis. The notion that it can be done purely by encouraging individuals to make better choices is putting the future of our planet at risk. We need serious investment and commitment now - the targeted rate would be a positive step.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reopening forests for conservation and recreation.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There should not be a climate change levy added to rates. To do so would be to extract even more money from long suffering ratepayers, many of whose personal and business situations are only beginning to recover from the Covid pandemic lockdowns. Money spent of climate protection must come from the Council's existing budget or from within the public transport budget – which should not be increased.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates should not increase again for at least 3 years, and the Council should learn to live within its current budget. Auckland Council should call in independent auditors to look at its budget and cut non-essential spending out of the budget. The focus should be on core services not social services – the latter is the responsibility of central Government.

Increasing rates every year is a not a sustainable proposition for ratepayers and nor is it remotely fair.

Auckland Council should consider options to apply rates to people who do not own their own homes, and not just to those who do – these residents use the public services just as much if not more than the ratepayers. This would add additional revenue for the Council and spread the ratepayer burden.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Auckland Council should not spend anymore than it is now on public transport (over 30% of total rates) – and the public must continue to pay for fares on trains, buses and ferries. Public transport cannot be free as this would place an intolerable burden on already over burdened ratepayers.

In summary, I would ask that the Council behave in a fiscally prudent manner rather than plundering ratepayer funds and asking for even more money. We have no more to give.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3257

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Any improvent to carbon reduction is a step toward the longevity of humans remaining in Auckland and indeed on the globe, so this is not so much a choice as a priceless enterprise. Also any improvement to the environment is also an improvement to population health which leads to less money being spent in the health care system: less air pollution reduces respiratory disease; more cycling and outdoor activity reduces cardiac and diabetic diseases. A greeting project will also create jobs and more businesses, which also gives the younger generation hope and improved mental health. Focus on carbon reduction will continue the drive of innovation in this area. What we see as an expense now is actually opening a door to a more profitable future return. How about a drive for businesses to join the stimulation of ideas and marketing the benefits and profits from a circular economy while you are doing this. Focus on the health care system as a huge consumer of resources is also a good area to investigate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Not all assets need to be sold some can be leased and operated by external operators other companies which will finance, operate and provide shares before handing the lease back over over a pre-defined period.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It makes common sense and we should always be on a continual improvement track for this as part of the Council's general operation. Don't stop once you start.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: People act when pockets are targeted. It also rewards people who are doing a good job or recycling. However, there must be a very good system in place for waste or this will just piss people off. One key issued personally is not having handy locations for batteries and e-waste. In Europe batteries can be recycled at a bin in a supermarket. Easy. If you want to keep Auckland green, stop expecting people to drive across town to recycle waste. If it's too hard, it won't work. Same for keeping plastic out of the sea. What will Auckland do in terms of recycling? Will we don't ourselves in NZ or keep shipping rubbish to China and elsewhere.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: These will improve the health and wellbeing of the population and hopefully inspire further employment work and volunteer efforts.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Get recycling into businesses associated with shopping centre's and industrial parks.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3263

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The measures above aren't the best way to achieve the goal.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: There needs to be details about assets proposed for sale and what services are being reduced before I would support a move.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Mitigate key risks are a 'should' do.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: As long as I pay the same for the same service I get now then ok. However the proposed 24/25 rate is going up 20% - that is way over inflation (albeit inflation is high) so the proportion of the increased in the waste cost is too high, why is it going up so much??

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Focus on water quality on the beaches - Okahu/Mission/Kohi/St Heliers preventing over flows and road run off.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Need to increase safety on our streets and reduce crime - not sure what council can do to assist. Definitely bring in by laws around loud speaker on cars. Usage should be banned , maybe even go as far as banning the fitting of speakers as the only reason they would be put on is to blare music out of them.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3264

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Costs should be covered by taxes already in place or government subsidy.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Rates increase sounds reasonable due to general inflation, however the budget has been Mia-managed in the past and that needs to be corrected before selling assets.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3289

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I write to emphasise that COMET, Auckland's unique and valuable organisation must receive a seriously significant increase in the funding distributed by the Council. This request comes after watching the greatly increased contribution to education in Auckland all achieved with funding that has not increased over the past recent years.

I point out that COMET is a longstanding organisation that successfully migrated itself into the Auckland Council after many year serving the then Manukau Council. In the Auckland Council region it has continued to serve the education needs of both the formal education community and the disparate communities who relies heavily on the educational wisdom, skill and abilities to organise programmes which strengthen their work.

COMET is a unique organisation in New Zealand in that it is a not for profit organisation which spans it services and operation across the formal and informal educational oriented groups. It has proven expertise, stable management and an ability to seek to change the ways in which the needs of young people especially are met – literacy, numeracy, pathways to employment, the needs of NEETs and those who are left behind.

I strongly support COMET Auckland's request for increased funding, and for their funding to be inflation-adjusted from here on, in order to help more tamariki and rangatahi in Tāmaki Makaurau to reach their potential through learning.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3318

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: On the whole, support rates-funded system, however:

- I object to having to pay for a new service (food scraps collection) which I do not require - My family produce minimal food waste. Any we do produce is first offered to the chickens in the back garden, and anything they do not eat is home-composted, so no food scraps enter the general waste, yet we will now be charged for a system we do not require and have to store yet another bin.

- If there is a desire to add a 'compostable' collection (which I concede is a good idea for many properties), this should be a large bin allowing for all compostable items (not just food waste), akin to the 'green waste' bins currently provided by private service operators. This would have a larger impact on compostable items entering the general waste stream, as many properties have worm farms etc capable of handling the small amounts of food waste they produce, but not of catering for the volume of green waste produced by lawn mowing, hedge trimming etc. Those who elect not to pay for collections privately for this will instead be disposing of this waste in the general waste bin, and removing this from the general waste stream would have a greater effect than food scraps alone.

- Recycling collections should be moved to weekly, and rubbish to fortnightly to better support recycling efforts. As we are careful with what we buy, wash and recycle all items that are recyclable, and utilise soft-plastics recycling schemes, my 240L recycling bin is overflowing by the time the fortnightly collection rolls around, whereas my 120L general waste bin has only a couple of items in the bottom of it each week.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Connections to the GI2TD path are essential to complete this project and maximise the benefits to local residents in terms of making local journeys as well as commutes easier to make by active means (especially across the Pourewa Valley) and correspondingly reducing traffic. More effort needs to go into extending these connections with local safe cycling routes to increase the number of people able to access the spine of the path, as well as to provide safe routes to encourage our residents and particularly children to be able to travel safely to local activities (especially after school sports, cultural groups, Scouts, and friends places), rather than being driven. Not only does this have short term benefits in terms of road congestion, but life-long ones in developing habits of active commuting.

The Meadowbank Community centre has been promised for years, and is currently sitting empty, having had most activities that ran from there discontinued to allow for it's redevelopment. However, it is still sitting empty, becoming more and more run-down and not providing the community asset and amenity is is supposed to. This requires urgent attention.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3354

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I prefer PAYT as it means the cost of rubbish is directly proportional to the amount of rubbish that a household throws out (user pays)

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3368

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I do not trust Council to spend the money wisely. e.g The "Targeted Water rate" was paid to council not added to the Watercare bill so it appears that the Targeted Water Rate has gone into the general coffers of the council and been frittered away on vanity projects, not upgrading the water infrastructure. As a consequence of the lack of prioritisation of Core Council Services the government has stepped in with 3 Waters and is taking the opportunity to add Maori control over ratepayer assets.

The way we work in changing dramatically and many more people are working from home. I seldom see buses with passengers so I don't see the point in spending more on more big buses. I think small buses/vans more often is the solution.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I did not agree to an annual increase of 3.5%. Phil Goff was meant to keep it to 2.5% but then he added "Targeted Rates" on top so he can't be trusted.

I don't automatically get a % raise in my income each year so council will drive old people out of their homes and I have so many friends that have left and gone to other cities for a cheaper cost of living. Council rates, AT and vanity projects are killing the city and making it less livable.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: There are too many expensive projects - e.g. AT spending $100k a time on speed bumps is outrageous.

I watched K Rd be "upgraded" - so many people standing around watching one person working or fiddling on their phones, no wonder it took 6 months longer than it should. The end result of K Rd is that the good shops have left K Rd and there are only rubbish shops left with homeless and intimidating people sitting around.

AT are not elected officials and should be reigned in. e.g. Speed reductions around schools 24 x 7 will make Akld unliveable.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The PAYT system sounds clunky and Admin intensive.

I am OK with different charges for different sized bins.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Non essential expenditure only in these tough times

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Tupuna Maunga authority has done a useless job of maintaining the reserves. We now have bald mountains in HOwick and weed infested volcanoes. Mt Hobson is completely overrun with long grass and weeds. It is a fire hazard.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3373

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: If you read the real science, and not the science from the scientists on the government teat, you will see that CO2 does not affect climate change. Think about the sun and the orbit of the earth- something we can't do anything about. We need to adapt the changing climate, not think that we can stop it

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: However, every time I see a bus going by outside peak times, there is vitually nobody in it. No wonder you are losing money

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I read your blurb and what is actually going to be done/reduced isn't actually stipulated. Cut climate expenditure and stop running empty buses. In Turkey for example, they have small dolmuses that transport people across the region at a fraction of the cost. Maybe we should think of this system??

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Good to standardise, but if you are going to ditch the current bins, this is a bad idea. Huge waste of money, adding to pollution and changing to bags encourages dogs to rip them apart

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Not sure about the St Vincent Ave streetscape

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3390

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates are already high enough. Between mortgage repayments my already 4.5k per year Rates, $4.5-5k + for home, car, contents, health and pet insurance im already stretched thin enough.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Same as last answer. Plus we already pay tax on the furl tax its just ridiculous

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: None benefit me directly

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3453

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to continue to reduce transport emissions and encourage use of public transport

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Continue to become more efficient and reduce less necessary services to get on top of deficit

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Important to be constantly looking for ways to become more efficient and prioritize services

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Ease of use and some incentive to reduce waste BUT opt out for food waste charge for those who compost own food waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Very focused on improving the public spaces and environmental impact in the area and making Orakei board area a better place for residents

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3545

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not supported primarily because of tree canopy issue. Currently Council is incapable of nurturing and maintaining its existing plantings and trees in public spaces. Increasing tree numbers doesn't make sense.

Also low-emission ferries and buses must have doubtful business cases given the true carbon footprint associated with early stages of battery technology and high production and disposal costs.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Prioritising and managing budget pressure are normal business practices

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Makes sense to have a standard system where user pays on the basis of volume. Potential to reduce rate of landfill disposal.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Include * Cater for Mens Shed expansion at Waiatarua Reserve, * Ensure the MBBC facilities are developed for Community use, *Ensure mitigation of hazard to public from oversized exotic trees in public spaces

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3614

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The four actions will not reduce emissions, insetad of increaseing tax rate and wasting money on construction projects. Just look at the public construction efficiency in New Zealand.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: It is passive and inactive to delaying capital expenditure simply, reducing services and selling assets to bridging the funding gap. Did not find a way to increase funding. This is why our government is inefficient and getting poorer!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: same reasons as above

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3679

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: we need a more efficient management of our existing infrastructure and transport networks. Not low use strategies that do nothing to reduce road toll. recuing the speed limit means double the number of trucks to deliver the same amount ina 50km radius. The low speed rail network to the airport is an embarassment in ineffciency.

20% of the work force is not returning to the CBD ever!!!! The CRL is not going to acheive any of its objectives and the business model has changed.,

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Take a look at inhouse costs, you don't need 1over 100 PR staff to run a PR department.

funnel the money into processing building and industry compliance which will also generate revenue.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: you need to focus on Watercare they are inefficent and still allowing wastewater to overflows to go into our water due to an outdated RC which allows 30 overflows a year in the Orakei basin. In Queenstown or , Wellington or Taupo your are allowed none!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: The wastewater infrastructure in Orakei is not up to standard for future development. We still have combined system in part of the area. This is third world economics. Watercare hides behind a facade of an organic approach.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Lack of investment in the CBD to make it a proud place to be for Auckland., its become a dangerous place at night and is now common knowledge its dangerous place for residents and visitors

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3716

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Its a money grab that will be wasted

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Reduce staff at council and focus on core services

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council need to lean up staff and focus on adding more value to the community with lower costs. There needs to be performance targets that removes inefficient systems and staff at council.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3722

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3739

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The more we can reduce pollution and improve air quality the better for all of us

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Focus on the council's core business of providing services and amenities - no more unnecessary spending on festivals etc;

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: PAYT encourages fly tipping

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: The local board have chosen appropriate priorities that will benefit most of the residents in the Orakei area.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Protect more trees & ensure green spaces in Auckland (no Erebus concrete/steel construction in Parnell). Lobby the Government to ban private fireworks in Auckland (and save $1,000's on yearly clean ups and call outs).

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3748

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Urgent action is required to facilitate cycling in particular (more benefits than using public transport) - the cycling bits need connecting so we can access them safely eg st Heliers bay Rd so can get to new cycle path opening soon , long drive so can access waterfront , St Johns and Remuera rds to Newmarket

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3773

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: the earth is getting hotter and we are causing it so we had better do something about it

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: this is the best option - not raising rates would be a much worse outcome

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3799

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3842

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I would like to see a sustainable and equitable recovery from Covid-19 for my family and for our community to allow my future children to live an enjoyable life in a beautiful city.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3859

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The survival of the planet's ecosystems and biodiversity is dependent on proposals like this. We all have to play our part.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3891

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Global warming is the most important long-term issue facing this planet and I am worried about my children's future. We need to take action NOW to reduce carbon emissions as much as possible.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3914

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: A targeted rate focuses both the Councillors and the public on climate change mitigation measures that will improve our urban environment along with peoples' lives.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Given the covid situation that seems a reasonable way forward. However I do not support the sale of suburban or community park spaces.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: My support here is reluctant in as I would prefer to increase rates, however that is not feasible this year,

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: A standardised system makes sense.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like e-scooters to be banned. They are a menace on the footpaths. They tend to be ridden fast even amongst pedestrians and the riders are mainly young without much understanding that not everyone has their level of hearing, eyesight, and agility. When they are not being ridden they are often “parked” in a way that intrudes onto the walking space of the pavement. Again, there is no consideration for anyone with any kind of disability. They are a menace!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because climate change is the defining happening of the next 50 years

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: A larger increase would be a disaster for many people; but I might disagree about what assets are strategic and what are not.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: But seems generally reasonable, but again the devil is in the detail

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Standardization would be good, and rates funded is good too.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Going in the right direction. Keep all present off-lead dog parks. Get rid of any gold courses.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3951

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As a salaried parent of 2 children, I want Council to honour the Climate Emergency declared 3 years ago, and to commit funds to address this key global issue. Further decarbonising of our public transport systems is essential, and I advocate for increased support for cycling, walking, tree planting, and electric vehicles (incl. ferries); personally I have driven an electric car for over 6 years. Council commitment to spending in these areas, including greening the city, sends an important signal of priority to ratepayers and their families. I support a targeted rate to ensure that this priority commitment is maintained.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I question region-wide billing for collection of food scraps. Household use of food scraps by composting is feasible and adopted by many, including our own use of a worm farm. Having paid to establish this, I do not support future payment for non-collection of food scraps. I prefer that this planned payment has a opt-out clause where not needed.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I particularly support further improvements in restoration planting of gullies in Churchill Park - involving removal of aged pines (some get uprooted in each storm and hence are a public danger), fencing of gullies, and spraying prior to planting native trees. This will improve water quality in the Glendowie Stream and encourage greater biodiversity in this valued urban park. As representatives of the local community, the Friends of Churchill Park are keen to support the Local Board in these initiatives.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3968

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are facing a climate emergency now. Any steps we do now help our collective futures and future generations

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3979

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I strongly believe everyone needs to do a great deal more to help right the destruction we have cause our planet and this is a step in the right direction for Tamaki Makaurau.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

3991

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: it is simple, shows support, is something public I can do. I want simple actions that people can be encouraged by to engage with this issue and not be fearful of it.. People need something they can actually do given the lead from politicians is so pathetic

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We sem to be heading for a time of great pressure and expediency. We need to get in the habit of realising money must go to real priorities not world class city nonsense and extravagances. Not far down the line such standards will be very embarrassing.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: common sense

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Pay as you throw encourages reduction and user pays but we should be already paying for this optimised system thru council rates. By side stepping this, council can save money for else where and not have to make it work. Make and help people reduce their waste! I want to see a council that prioritises basic adequate level work and not funding stuff we cant afford like landmark architectural replacement of an old bridge that could have been simply maintained and even enhanced for far less. SO many projects are so ridiculously aspirational compared to basics . This aprticularly applies to transport and roading.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I think we have had our time to transform public spaces and for once those very expensive projects should be at the bottom of the list as a start to approaching sustainability issues very seriously. Transforming is great but now needs to wait patiently as those other projects have.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4004

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have to stop deferring action. Need politicians to do their job and make brave decisions for the long-term good

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Standards are already at a minimum acceptable level

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Need incentives for on-site re-use, such as for residential domestic-scale anaerobic digestors (e.g. see www.homebiogas.com) These use food and/or green waste to generate biogas which can be used for cooking. This phases in Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) which replaces the (fossil) Natural Gas being phased out. A no-brainer really.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Greater Sustainability : So less waste (more re-use, more recycling etc), less fossil fuels, more renewable energy.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Small price to pay. Significant investment for the future.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Consistent and may encourage proper use of rubbish facilities. Suspect pay as you throw results in fly tipping in isolated areas.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because there is no planet B.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4105

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is already here and a genuine response is needed. Currently there are too many vehicles etc belching out poisonous gases and that needs to be dealt with. Getting effective public transport within 500m of those living in the urban area will be a challenge but a worthwhile target, but unless buses move people efficiently to where they want to go a solution will be hard to achieve (I currently live in a fairly densely populated area but about 800m from the nearest bus route which zig-zags its way to the city up and down side streets collecting about 1 passenger every 10 minutes).

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Sleight of hand deferral of spending (such as capital) doesn't make a lot of sense. One must also question the efficiency claimed when the supercity was created: amalgamate all the local bodies to eliminate repetition of activities by bringing them into one place when in reality we seem to have ended up with a bloated bureaucracy funded by inflated salaries.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Needs to be done in consultation with the community.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I haven't experienced the PAYT system so cannot really comment sensibly on it. The current rates-funded system has serious anomalies. At the moment we put out our rubbish bin maybe once per month and even then rarely is to full, just a bit stinky. Yet neighbours seem to put out overflowing bins every week, with rubbish blowing about when it's windy. So I have to ask: how does the present system help reduce rubbish? I suggest going further and collecting every fortnight instead of weekly while retaining three sizes.

Recycling seems somewhat anomalous too. Again we avoid buying all sorts of rubbish packaging and put out the recycling bin every couple of months or so yet we have a neighbour who has two large recycling bins overflowing every fortnight while most others seem also to have overflowing bins. Maybe for a start we need to impose a cost on every bottle at source: go back to the good old days when people used to collect bottles and taken them back to reclaim the deposit instead of the current system where you just chuck your empty bottle away, sometimes landing in the recycling bin. A deposit of $1 or $2 per bottle might make a difference.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I have been trapping predators in the upper Pourewa valley for the past four years. It could be a wonderful asset but at the moment it is a serious mess. There are so many weeds that the nice natives often struggle for a place, though there are some excellent kanuka and ti. The Pourewa stream is very polluted at times and ought to be added to the other streams on the list: occasionally one observes fish in some of the side-streams but we could do a lot better.

More thought needs to go into looking after the shared pathways. Yes, an excellent idea but this summer the part up the hill from GI to St Johns Rd has been infested with exotic weeds that spread their seeds all over that part of the city: this seems pretty irresponsible. The planting along the new section from St Johns Rd to Meadowbank seems to have been badly planned, with lots of small trees planted just before summer's dry season: I wonder how many are still alive. Let's do these things properly.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4111

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: *we must reduce our carbon emissions

*I want my Grandchildren to be able to cycle in safety

*Paying for the proposals now will save us more than delaying will

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Any look at expenditure is worthwhile

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Rates based system is simplest so less bureaucracy and less chance of abuse. Sure to be the cheapest option

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Stop the pollution of Hobson Bay. Control tmangroves.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4162

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I strongly believe Auckland should have a strong sustainable environmental plan. Climate changes and how we act today about that is priority 1. The write is on the wall as they say. Let's act now. I am happy to contribute to this and the Council actions towards a more sustainable future. We need to facilitate people alternatives to cars. We need better and safes cycle ways.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT in my opinion increases the awareness of people on how they produce waste. I support that

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4231

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4234

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4278

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: A lot of Auckland Council's proposals are very costy but may not have the best result. Therefore the council should utilize the current resources instead of adding rates to the local residents.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The proposal does not match with Auckland Council's final goal.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate related projects are urgent and need priority

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Strongly support any pedestrian/cycle initiatives and water quality restoration work.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4310

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I want climate action now for the future of my children

We are living in a climate emergency

Paying a little now means we'll hopefully pay less in the future

Not everyone has equitable access to public transport

This is a good first step to tackle climate change

In 2019, Auckland Council declared a climate emergency. The Auckland Climate Action Targeted Rate must be passed to show that this declaration is more than just an empty gesture/words.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: In general I support the measures. I think Rates increases should be staggered. None for our lowest income Whanau. 3.5 for those earning between 70-100k. Exponential rate increases for 2nd,3rd,4th properties etc.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Investment in infrastructure must continue. Working in the health service where service prioritisation has gone on for too long and now we have crumbling facilities that need huge overhauls. Stopping infrastructure spending will only come back to bite us.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Significant price difference between bin sized will be needed to encourage people to reduce their waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We must make Auckland a greener more liveable city. Climate change should be your no. 1 priority. That means preparing for extreme weather events/flooding but also encouraging greener practices. More public transport infrastructure. Congestion charges for driving to certain areas at peak times when there are public transport alternatives. More bike lanes, more continuous bus lanes.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I strongly support actions to respond to climate change.

i think Auckland Council needs to do more ..... and I'm prepared to pay extra for that important work.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Urgent action is needed, and currently the necessary money isn't readily available. This is a small cost to ratepayers but will have significant benefits to the wider city

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It makes sense to have one system, and a standard fixed rate for all makes sense.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4351

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is important for our future generations and needs to be prioritised

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4357

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The amount asked for is not too large. I agree we must do as much as possible to mitigate climate changes.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: What you have stated as urgent, nice to do and not needed in the proposed budget document seems reasonable.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: What you have stated as urgent, nice to do and not needed in the proposed budget document seems reasonable. I agree that the urgent jobs need to be addressed.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Seems fairer to everyone as a whole.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: The Meadowbank Community Centre has been an ongoing issue for many years. I would be good to see something started. Our are pays a large portion of rates collected.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

One thing I would like is a traffic light control for the intersection of Norman Lesser Drive and Ngahue Street. This is a very busy intersection.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4373

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is essential that we, as a community, take action now to help reduce the negative impacts of climate change on future generations.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Better that this costs falls on all users rather than only on those paying rates

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4418

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Improving public transport will not only help reduce climate change, it will be a good step towards developing Auckland into the modern city it should be. A civilized city is not one where every one has a car; it is a city where nobody needs a car.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Sale of assets has never, and will never be beneficial long term. Would anyone willingly sell their house to someone just to rent it back from them?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: A rates funded system is easier, more climate friendly, and takes a lot of wasteful red tape out of the system.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I particularly advocate for the Ngahere Strategy. In addition I raise the rallying cry to bring back the the Tamaki Ferry from CBM to St. Heliers!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I raise the rallying cry to bring back the the Tamaki Ferry from CBM to St. Heliers!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4443

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: we need to look after our planet

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

dont cut down trees

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4447

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support more tree planting and improvements to public transport and more options for walking but I don't agree with cycle lanes just being drawn up on the road. Realistically cycling is not practical for most people in Auckland, too hilly, to spread out etc and as the climate gets hotter and hotter no-one will want to cycle to work in summer and in winter it usually rains a lot. I think a lot of money has been wasted on hardly used cycle ways. Auckland is not built like Amsterdam or Copenhagen. I am hugely supportive of the shared path from GI_TD because it will enable school children to get to school and provide walking options to the train station and around the area in general, but as for cycling-

I often walk on Stage 1 and rarely see a cyclist and when I do they are just recreational, not commuting. I have a circle of friends from all over Auckland and they all say that the cycleways are empty. Also I URGE the council to pressure central government to re-instate protection for large trees on private land. The removal of this by John Key's government is a tragedy. We MUST have urban forest. It is even more important now that developers can cram 3 houses on a site with no planning guides. Which is also a tragedy.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Obviously essential services have to take priority. Roadworks need to be much more efficiently executed for whatever reason they are needed. I observed that in Meadowbank (where I live) and Ellerslie where I worked - the same piece of road/footh path was dug up twice within a short time frame for different reasons. This so wasteful and unnecessary and creates a lot of inconvenience

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I could not understand all this. All that is important to me is that I don't have to pay an additional cost to what I am paying now because I am a super annuitant. If additional targeted rates are being introduced then I don't support it although I do support reduction of items that go to landfill.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: The environmental spend looks really low - it is lower than 'planning'. I would like to see it increased.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4451

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Crucially important for the planet and future generations, well overdue

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4462

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I don't feel we should get too caught up on climate change as a reason for doing things, however i like the initiative proposed (improving bus/ walking and cycling services and tree canopy)

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Perhaps things like climate change capital expenditure will have to wait. As a young person i do not want to have a council heavily indebted in the future when there will be a larger proportion of retired people/ a smaller relative workforce. I feel we should be going into this period close to debt free as there will be increased pressures on the workforce at that time.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: So much easier not to have to pay as you throw, but can see some complications by having variable bin sizes, i.e. people getting cheapest one and then choosing to put waste in other peoples bins.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4472

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I have grandchildren. I dread the thought of them musing "why did grandad's generation let this happen".

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Though I support the proposals, be VERY careful in selling off communallu-owned assets to the already-rich. The rich/poor divide is the source of so many of our societal problems - no point making it worse.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I have no expertise in this sphere

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Good idea - the three wastebin sizes with cost differential. I DO NOT WANT, nor should have to pay for, food scrap disposal or its requisite bin as I am already scrounging organic waste from neighbours for my large composting system and vegetable garden.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4487

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is a national issue and funding should come from Central Government not ratepayers or another targeted rate which is based on property valuation. The cumulative financial impact on property owners and affordability should be considered - we have pensioners on fixed income and other ratepayers impacted by inflation and increasing cost of living.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Define core services and stick to this. Service prioritisation should weed out the " nice" to have from the "must" have. Remove discretionary expenditure on Movies in the Park and other local entertainment. Regional events already cater to ratepayers in Auckland. Local events is a duplication of the same theme. Council should not be a lessor & providing pepper corn rent is ridiculous in the current age. This is a burden to the ratepayers.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Do not agree with Food scrap collection.

Household waste is 20% of overall waste. How is council tackling the other 80% of waste? Using the 80:20 rule, the focus is in the wrong sector!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Support COVID recovery initiatives but not the funding of local events. Most families are avoiding crowds and attendance at events will be low when COVID is rampant.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We owe it to our children, our grandchildren and their descendants to bring Auckland into the 21st century. Now.

Emracing CATR is crucial to save our environment, communities, economy and culture. That requires

courageous and unwavering leadership. The alternative is unthinkable.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Insufficient information provided

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We MUST hit our climate targets and this is an affordable and necessary step in doing so.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Our economy needs a reshuffle to prioritise climate action.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We must change services to prioritise climate action.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's more equitable and climate friendly

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Creates more and safer public spaces for people and families to get together

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4550

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Todays flooding is self explanatory!!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Why isn’t Meadowbank community centre done yet ?!!!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4552

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4555

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: There is a dominant focus to reduce transportation emissions through public transport and supporting active transport.

It directly targets inequities through improving services in communities currently under-served.

The fund will be used to improve and make public transport more accessible and climate friendly through increasing services and frequency, and purchasing low-emission buses and ferries.

People who commute by public transport have less cardiovascular disease (high blood pressure, heart attacks, strokes), less diabetes, and overall lower mortality rates.

Funding to improve access to active transportation is needed in Auckland. This climate action package will be used to improve 35km of footpaths, including lighting to make walking safer and completing cycling links across the region.

Commuters who walk or cycle are healthier and live longer. Active transport is proven to reduce all-cause mortality and reduce morbidity from non-communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, respiratory diseases, diabetes, and mental health.

Planting of urban Ngahere (forests) will improve carbon sequestration and also promote human health and wellbeing. For example, through psychological benefits, and cooling the city by providing shade and transpiring water.

Trees purify the air by removing particulate matter pollution reducing the risk of asthma, heart attacks, and stroke.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I like to keep it simple.

However there also needs to be strong incentives re reducing waste and increasing recycling/composting/green waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: We need to better care for the small areas of bush we have locally with planting, pest control etc. I was very upset to see that within Kepa bush, replanting beside the new bike track that many of the plants are not native. This a massively missed opportunity to do the right thing, and actually damaging to our local stream, native birds and remaining bush. The replanting and planting of areas should be overseen by someone who knows native planting, and it should be checked before project is signed off as complete.

I think the library building at St Heliers is a lovely old building, and believe its should be redeveloped in a way that is in keeping with its history. However it does seem to be alittle small/limited parking/ requires more space for childrens books/activities and toilets.

The Crossfield playgrounds probably do require some upgrading, refitting. As a frequent user and chairperson of the Focus Playgroup who uses the junior playground out of the Community Centre there are some safety and accessibility concerns. I love that the playground is attached to the centre for the safety of young children during the playgroup.

However my biggest concern is the road/pavement situation on Crossfield Road with multiple places with no verge between cars and pedestrians. I would propose that the fence next to the Mt taylor reserve needs to be moved back 2 meters into the paddock- (sorry cows and local iwi/historical site but a child is going to be killed) and a speed limit/humps/ or crossing.

I would like to see extensive work done on our local waterways, sewage overflow/ drains etc. The beaches need to be safe and our waste/sewage system needs to be upgraded to keep up with the infill/higher density housing.

Continuing work on our Glen Innes bike paths- lets get moving/biking to the train.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4556

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4557

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Aucklanders can't afford to pay any more towards the cost of living, tax the millionaires and billionaires (and their businesses) that contribute most to climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4559

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Auckland needs more emphasis on public transport - will reduce emissions and congestion - this proposal sounds like a good way to improve on this.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Hard to say - Could be more costly for single occupant households but will benefit larger households

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4598

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am deeply concerned with the future of our climate and am fighting for fast and meaningful action towards a carbon zero future

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Simplify collection systems

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4609

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is happening. The effects are extreme and we're already experiencing depleted resources and extreme climate events. This is hardly an extreme measure (its a very small tax). If anything, such an extreme global challenge requires radical change. A small tax is a hardly radical change, so it really is the least we can do.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4693

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Hoping to move people to cycling and walking given the safer bike lanes now installed.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Support the sale of long-term non strategic assets - but not the timing of capital spending due to everything only costing more into the future.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Carparking - too many areas in Parnell where you have to pay for carparking.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4694

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Needed to be done ten years ago

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4718

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Only if the money is put into a separate fund and used for "action" rather than consultation. There seems to be a lot of consultants making a lot of money from council at the moment,. The money generated by this fund needs to be invested directly into climate issues, and not spent on salaries, consultants, etc...

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Council also needs to cut down on the amount of money being spent on consultants and look to streamline processes to ensure council staff are efficient and not doubling up on the same work/similar work - when one person could process things through.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It makes sense.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: By including rubbish collection in rates people will be less likely to throw their rubbish in drains/ditches, etc...

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I think the Orakei Local Board are doing a great job.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am concerned that the rates paid in the Orakei ward (one of the highest rateable areas of the city) are being invested elsewhere, with very little being invested locally. Mission Bay is an asset of the entire city, and more money should be invested in keeping it that way.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4725

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are at a tipping point. Either we take action now to halt climate change, or suffering of ecosystems and communities will occur beyond anything we can currently imagine. Any amount of money is worth giving this a try. We don't want our children to look back and ask why we didn't save the earth when we had the chance.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4749

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the biggest challenge of our generation. I would like to make carbon neutral transport choices in Auckland but I find it difficult currently. For example I often ride my bike for transport but worry about my safety due to a lack of cycle ways and lanes

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4760

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action must be at the forefront of our development

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Some areas in greater Auckland have been paying for services out of standard rates, yet the services are not equitable to that of more central areas.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Fix the ti point dump

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4785

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support this as climate change-focused solutions are necessary for our future.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Would prefer long term lease of council assets rather than sales

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4791

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support positive action towards fixing/addressing climate change and believe that Auckland requires a drastically improved public transport system. Including the extension of services to more difficult to reach areas - Howick/East.

I believe also that investment should be made in trains and light rail, as unless something incredibly drastic is done and the bus system becomes a reliable and faster form of transportation (it is neither of those things), it is not a viable option for many people and they are forced to use their cars.

Considering the many 'attempts' over the years to improve the public transport system having no considerable positive effect on the services I use (the bus system in East Auckland heading to and from the city/North Shore), I don't know why this option is not more seriously fought for. It has often felt in previous transport plans/public transport overhauls that this area of Auckland has been left out and forgotten.

I would like to see great change made to public transport where it becomes the reliable system of transport I have experienced overseas (in Europe and Asia). I do not, however, think that car users should be pushed out and overcharged and vilified as they are forced to rely on the only option that means they can get to work/supermarkets/hospitals/airport in a reliable and timely fashion.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I believe that the pay as you throw system unfairly disadvantages larger families, particularly those of lower income, and large groups of people living together - primarily younger people who are forced to flat in large groups in order to afford rent in a situation with astronomical house costs.

Similarly, it encourages poor behaviour and seems less positive towards the environment.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Howick Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support investment in the community, it's just not completely clear in this brief breakdown what some of these priorities mean specifically. Seems vague.

Would like greater investment in and support of our libraries however

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I agree with all the points mentioned above. Trees are very important - they lift spirits hence leading to less depressed people, fewer suicides and hospital emissions - they help gobble up carbon and keep our world cleaner - they are visually pleasing and healthy to be around.

More frequent public transport, lower emitting ferries and hopefully fully electric buses are all good. During lockdown the air was much cleaner and clearer with no cars or planes.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I agree with using the Government's Better Off support package funding as Covid has hit us hard.

I agree with changing the timing of some capital spending - especially the titivating of streets and footpaths, erection of pedestrian crossings (not needed) eg around Kohi and St Heliers - surely poorer suburbs need attention more than these more wealthy ones.

By all means raise rates to help meet costs. Just 1 percent would be worthwhile.

A list is needed of the potentially reduced services - we must always have libraries (not necessarily open 7 days!). swimming pools and parks and reserves. These help the community stay healthy, well balanced and out of hospital.

A list is needed of the non-strategic assets to be sold in order to give fair comment.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I totally agree with standardised waste service. Add the cost to rates. It is a good move to get rid of plastic rubbish bags in our over burdened plastic world. Three bin sizes with different prices also is a good move

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Cleaner streams is important - there is a lovely walk through trees in Glen Innes (near the swimming pool) with a filthy stream ruining it's delight. Another one in Churchhill Park.

There is not much wrong with the parks and sportfields in this area - spend the money on other things.

More planting is good .

Stop transforming public spaces - these are wealthy suburbs, everything is okay as it is - there are more important, pertinent issues to spend money on surely, otherwise just keep the money for a rainy day (like when a watermain bursts).

Help support Covid recovery with business is good.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please remember when making the city more for bikers and walkers that there are many people of limited mobility who need cars and access to the city centre.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4834

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Super keen to see more funding for walking and cycle tracks. I support the Matakana Coast Trail Trust trail

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4841

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To meet climate ambitions more has to be done to create safe cycle lanes on main arterial routes. This will lower emissions and give people a safe passageway.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like to see the block in the St Heliers Village between Polygon Rd and Tamaki Drive closed to traffic and a paved area created so that there could be a safer environment for people to move between the village shops. Cafes could have seating outside and the area be suitably planted to enhance the environment. To achieve this electronic Bollards could be installed at the Polygon Rd end for deliveries (say between 5.30am - 7.30am) and at other times for those who are disabled who would need to park for a short time (say 15 mins) to pick up their shopping. This would also prevent the spate of break ins (ram raids) at these shops.

Further I would like to see more Cycleways created on main arterial routes e.g. St Johns Rd, Remuera Rd down to Newmarket, and Tamaki Dr from Ngapipi Rd to Mission Bay.

Whilst through Kohimarama Beach is a challenge due to the road being more narrow it would be excellent to have the cycleway continue through there too. This is an incredibly busy stretch of Tamaki Dr when riding on road.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4849

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is imperative that Aotearoa move away from personal vehicle transport to public transport. This can only be achieved in greatly expanding our public transport and cycle infrastructure.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4853

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am a student who lives in Tāmaki Makaurau. As a young person, it is important to me that more is done in Tāmaki Makaurau on climate action to safeguard our future. More frequent and reliable bus routes will make it easier to get around all of the city. This is crucial for me as a student, since petrol and parking are expensive, as well as being harmful for the environment. The Government needs to act now to take more incentives away from the use of private vehicles, and make public transport more accessible. Planting and protecting native trees should also be a key commitment, if the Government wants to stand by its environmental principles. The Council should have dedicated funding for tangata whenua-led initiatives. We have recently seen unnecessary destruction of native urban ngāhere (for example, Save Canal Rd Trees) and endangerment of marine life (for example, Pūtiki Bay), due to local government's lack of foresight. It is a failure on the council's part to view the environment as an expendable resource, when it directly impacts on young people's futures. I hope you will take my submission into consideration.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4877

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is important for the future.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I am happy with the budget management measures.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Until there is clear prioritisation of the cuts, I do not support. No tangata whenua and/or Māori outcomes reductions.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It has worked well in the Auckland Central area - prefer to continue on this system.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Typical CnR approach. There are ZERO priorities based in the Orakei community. Kepa Rd is a death trap, traffic calming measures are a joke, the roads are dangerous, there is no bike path through the area.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4894

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action needs to be front and centre of any policy making, and this rate will provide the funding to invest in our future before it's too late.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4907

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Action on climate change is essential and will repay itself through significant climate change induced cost avoidance.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It all seems very sensible.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support most of what is proposed. 'Mitigating key risks' however, should be a 'should do' not a 'could do'. Mitigating secondary risks should remain 'could do's.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I can't see why PAYT is less equitable than the proposed alternative, and it removes the incentive to reduce waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Orakei Local Board needs to remove its fixation on the GI to Tamaki Drive cycleway and focus instead on radically increasing the number and length of cycleways on other roads especially main roads such as St Heliers Bay road, Kohimarama Road, Kepa Road and Tamaki Drive east of Ngapipi Road.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4911

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the greatest challenge facing humanity, effective action is urgent!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4968

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I love the planet

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Good timing

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Efficiency

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Positive long term effects

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Lot of good thoughts in this

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

4984

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Small sacrifices will help secure our future change for the better

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I think there is room for cost-cutting and improvement on unnecessary spending. Some items which marginally benefit the majority of the community could be either shelved or delayed.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Every organization should have a set of priorities when it comes to budgeting and those priorities should be for the benefit of the majority.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Our area is under the rates-funded system and I like that

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I think most of the proposed priorities by the Oraki board are good

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5019

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I do not think the system is working. We need smaller electric buses that come more often. I often see empty buses around the inner and wider Auckland area.

I do how ever not support the building of more bicycle lanes. If we have less cars on the road due to better public transport the bikes can share the roads.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I feel the council is wasting money. They redo our roads in Parnell when it is not necessary and leave dangerous footpaths in newmarket.

The money spent on the waterfront has also not had the best outcome for Queen Street and other inner-city retail and hospitality businesses.

I do not believe in the expense put into the light rail. There could be electric buses going out to the airport. Such a shame for those that have been affected.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I think the first thing to do it have less people working in the council and I think they all need to be accountable. The house next to us got sign off but I gather the person that signed if off left two days later and it never should have. They never visited the site and there were so many false bits of the information on the plan. It is appalling that we have not been protected by the council

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I feel there are people who secretly have more than their allocated bins and throw far too much rubbish out. They have no consideration for the environment. User pays is a very good idea as long as the bags are biodegradable.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I see that Bloodworth Park is being redone again. There does not seem to be much long term thinking. We need to think carefully before money is spent.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am still very upset about the fact that a person can sign off a house plan when they have not visited the site. The council is not working for everyone if this is the case. They are supporting only the richest people and the little people have no protection.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5065

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am young, and I don't want to live in a world where living standards are drastically worse and millions of people are dead because of the inaction of this generation of politicians.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5069

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I love trees and quieter streets. We've lost too many trees in recent years. We need more buses (& ferries) which don't run on oil-based fuel. I want my grandchildren (and me!) to experience a cleaner city, where it's safe to walk and cycle.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5105

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: global warming

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Great idea, will create so many benefits for Aucklanders.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I suppport it but stop selling off our green spaces and pocket parks.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Sounds logical

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Advocate and try secure finding for more road safety improvements.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5166

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I'm 26, I've grown up and lived in Auckland my entire life and feel like I've seen the city come to an awkward place in its life now. I think that working towards this climate action goal is the kind of thing that we need to energise the city, not only by the collective effort and infrastructure development that this initiative and budget would allow for but also by giving lifelong Aucklanders hope for a better city and a safe, liveable and sustainable world for future generations of Aucklanders.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: All great initiatives, especially the ones pushing for care and nurturing of our green spaces

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5173

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Essential to encourage and improve public transport, and have cycle paths (including completing ones under construction at the moment including connections) and move away from fossil fuelled personal cars. Tree canopy is good too, however must be done with thought around what trees are suitable and how they can be looked after in the future, so they don't just die.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: My reservations are if you put pressure on facilities such as libraries which I think should definitely NOT be affected. These are essential services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: My reservations are if you put pressure on facilities such as libraries which I think should definitely NOT be affected. These are essential services.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: 'I think having different sized bins would create less waste, I want to comment on the curb-side collection of food scraps.

- Far too much organic material goes to landfill in NZ, producing methane and contributing to climate change. There's so much more that can be done with this resource to help replenish our degrading soils, offset synthetic fertilisers and support more regenerative and urban agriculture to boost food security and adapt to climate change.

- Unfortunately, most organic waste policies are narrowly focused on diverting organic waste from landfill; how we might utilise these organics to create a resilient, regenerative food system is largely an afterthought. This has resulted in most organic waste collection and processing systems focusing on quantity (i.e. maximising organic waste collected and processed), at the expense of producing a quality end product and developing local food systems that grow community resilience and wellbeing.

- Various methods and scales of organics collection and processing infrastructure can and should work in tandem.

- Whatever process is used, it should not dis-incentivise food waste reduction. Achieving the best environmental and social outcomes requires a food waste management system to ensure that as much food as possible is prevented, rescued, redistributed to people and reused/recycled into animal feed, before composting or other processing methods are considered.

- Anaerobic digestion is an expensive technological solution that is less scalable than composting. Investment in AD may also result in a 'lock-in' effect which incentivises maximising its processing capacity and thus dis-incentivises reductions in food waste and crowding out the market for alternative methods of processing organic waste such as small- to medium-scale composting.

- The most effective strategy would be to invest in and incentivise the development of a wide range of facilities – from home and small-scale local composting, on-site composting at institutions such as schools, on farm sites, and large-scale centralised facilities.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: There are some excellent projects here for the environment - priorities should be ensuring the connections for the cycle path, particularly the one from Gowing Drive Meadowbank to go ahead to enable this community to cycle to schools, and the community centre is well overdue.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: South Auckland has a disappointing amount of tree cover compared to the more affluent suburbs. This should change. Walking & cycling facilities have slowly improved over recent years but more needs to be done to link the existing paths and provide more secure cycle parking at key locations. We absolutely need to do more to combat and prepare for climate change and I fully support the introduction of this rate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5209

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The reason I don't support is I think it should be higher and AC must be much more ambitious with climate policy, consistent at a minimum with 50% Akl decarbonisation by 2030 as per IPCC goals and AC prior commitments including C40 group. Responding to the biggest challenge ever faced by humanity is going to take more than $1.12/week. Houseowners can afford more with high Auckland house prices- suggest moving this to $5/week as a minimum with scientific review of necessary targets and changes.

We are 100 miles from 50% decarbonisation by 2030 as you should be aware. This is selling out all children alive today and future unborn children to potentially fatal outcomes of a changed planet. $1.12/week is not serious. Urgently AC should have a public education programme dedicated to "telling the truth" about the climate emergency so it becomes widely known the extreme threats we are already exposed to. This will lead to the public demanding action and a virtuous circle, as oposed to our current downward spiral. Billions of people may be killed this century by our changing climate- think about how best we can prevent this. Raise the fee and educate the public!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

You must run a public education programme to inform the public on the serious of the climate emergency and why we must take immediate sustained action and all people and organisations reduce their carbon footprints and increase nature based solutions. Central government has failed on this to date- they cannot be trusted at this point. Educating the public should be a number 1 priority intervention.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5219

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is important that the council takes a strong stance on slowing down climate change, and a part of this is by making the community aware of what they can do to prevent it and why this is important. The proposed cost for an individual household is very reasonable, particularly with the benefits received through the availability of better public transport options.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support the majority of these points, however I would encourage the council to be aware of the pressures that many families at this time. I think that if it would be possible to have a lower rate increase for this financial year, that many people in our community would appreciate that.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It is a great idea to standardise waste collection. It offers households multiple options (the different sizes of bins), and ultimately saves the community money. Organic waste collection is something that is very needed in the city, and it an important step in making our communities greener and more sustainable.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: It is particularly important that we focus on improving water quality, particularly the Newmarket/Middleton stream and at Waiatarua and Waiata reserves, and Hobson point. We must take action before irreversible damage is made to these areas, as our local communities depend on this.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I like the idea of reducing the reliance on cars but this MUST be actually backed up with better options. I live in Glendowie and my options are very limited if I need to get around to anywhere other than the city or St Heliers.

I fully support the planting of more trees and we owe it to the next generation to be focussing on reducing our emissions. I am slightly concerned about Auckland Councils reputation for wasteful spending so would hope that this extra rate is actually spent wisely on real solutions

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: My rates keep rising and yet I still see daily wastage and inefficient usage of Council funds. There is seemingly no cohesion between Council, AT and Watercare with roads being dug up multiple times instead of just doing everything required at the same time. The changes in St Heliers village spending $250,000 on each raised crossing and goodness knows how much on reducing the roundabout that has made absolutely no difference really doesn't help Council get any sympathy from Residents for their money shortfalls.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: It depends on the services that are being considered

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5240

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I strongly object to this extra increase in Rates. Targeted Rates are being used to get around the 3.5% increase level by freeing up finances already budgeted for. I find this dishonest, 3.5 is 3.5. This is becoming the new normal. My paying extra towards low energy privately run ferry services ain't going to change the climate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Support only if OVERALL Rates are kept at 3.5%

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: However I do not need a Food scrap bin. Should be able to opt out.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

How much does it cost us to have 13 Iwi telling us how to manage the city's mountains ?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5244

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5288

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support strong climate action!!!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Not good enough, more education and transparency around what happens to our waste needed

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5293

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is critical to ensure long term commitment to climate action and connecting communities

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Need fair, better waste systems that incentivise lower waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5296

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the most critical issue facing humanity and other species

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: So long as the "non-strategic" assets do not include significant areas of native bush.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Waste reduction is critical

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I think the Orakei Board is doing a pretty good job prioritising

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

For me priorities are:

1. climate change

2. management of sewage contamination to within regulations

3. incoming water use reduction

4. waste reduction, segregation and management

5. increase public transport use by "taxing" private vehicle use at peak times

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5299

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is needed.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5306

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5324

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As NZ's largest city, Auckland needs to show a willingness and direction to fight climate change and its affects on city inhabitants. I think the CATR is an excellent start.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5329

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: 1) Bus service: Should be reconsidered once global economies stabilise and people start using buses post pandemic.

2) Fullers have monopolised ferry services. It should be their responsibility to cut emissions by 50% to get tax breaks

3) Plenty of walking/ cycling paths already exist

4) Encourage communities to plant natives - Politicians need to set examples by volunteering to plant trees in their constituencies (Eg Tree planting and upkeep competitions)

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Council's job is to provide essential services such as water, waste, consents etc. "Climate action" can not be prioritised over essential services. Council could prioritise / pick its suppliers / contractors based on their energy ratings

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: A very common sense approach

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Tree planting/ upkeep should be a community exercise

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

* "Transforming public spaces" can be looked into once global economies stabilise

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5387

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is probably the biggest issue we face as a society. We need to start treating it like the threat it is.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I think this is equitable, however believe another major obstacle waste minimisation is timely introduction of a compost collection to combat food waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5403

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This should come out of the existing rates. Reorganise your priorities and remove something that is less important. This proposal is just an obvious ploy to get around the agreed 3.5% increase.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: As any business would do, review your priorities and keep to your budget. Rate payers are under huge pressures, individuals and businesses, they have to live within their means so should the city council.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It sounds logical, as long as you actually make the hard decisions and ensure you stick to your budget.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5405

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to sustain Aotearoa for future generations.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5423

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5428

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Anything to better the environment at its tragic current state

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Idk

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5435

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We all need to do our bit.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5488

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5499

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Should come out of general rates and not an additional rate

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Don't increase general rates! Cut costs and reprioritise!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5518

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: One way or another, we're going to have to pay for the actions we take or don't take. Let's pay now and invest in the greener future we want to hand down to our children!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: No time to read the details now -- I really wanted to address the "climate action targeted rate".

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: PAYT, I suspect, is more open to people throwing their rubbish away in other ways so that they don't have to pay. I'd rather pay a bit more to subsidise someone who can't, rather than find their stuff in my rubbish or on the side of the road!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I believe that the Orakei Local Board wants our area to be beautiful. I would LOVE for them to find a way to provide access under the railway and opposite the Orakei Basin to a walkable swimming hole in the inlet. We have too many private swimming pools and not enough public access to the clean waterways! Please add this to the wish list!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5529

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Reducing transport emissions is critical to saving our planet, and people need help to do it.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: These seem sensible and achievable measures that are needed.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We need to implement measures that have the most impact on climate changes issues. This should be the main criteria.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is efficient and the PAYT aspects will be recovered in other ways, such as rents.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: This is a well balanced approach. Please, make parks more user friendly by focusing less on design aesthetics and more on installing more seating and more shade.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I want a strong partnership between Auckland Transport, Auckland Council and central government so that funding can be used most effectively. The ferry contributes to a fifth of our public transport emissions, decarbonising the fleet is a simple, effective step towards our climate goals.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5557

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Money needs to re-directed from within the current rates and reduce non essential spending.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

When we have less money in our pockets we have to spend less! The council needs to shrink the size and scale of these projects to spend less.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5585

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The rates will already be increased due to rising housing costs to also add a new rate would be detrimental

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like much more climate change action to be undertaken

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5596

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Good balance with available tech

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Good effort but increase should target the rich

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I like it

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: All good stuff

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5608

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Want to stop our planets heating up

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Coastal inundation in Kohimarama

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5616

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate emergency

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Sensible

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Best choice

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Local board decision making

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5635

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Environment, Equity, Transition away from Cars, Logistical Efficiency and Smoothness in City organisation and household travelling.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Needs more clarity and Transparency as to specific Austerity measures. Otherwise support.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Needs more transparency as to what would services would be cut or changed. It must go along with goals of wellbeing across spectrums of environment, economic, social, and civil concerns.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?Other

Tell us why: Support and should do more than 'continue'. Measures to clean up rubbish / polluted areas or streams and maintenance / repair of rundown facilities.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Pressure the Government / Authority in charge to implement Universal And Permanent Free Public Transport! It helps Council's environment, social, and economic goals and is long overdue.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5673

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are already at the point of no return with our climate crisis, this is at least a solid start to acknowledging the severity of it and at the same time mitigating the continuing human casualties of its oncoming presence. Prividing some of the basic initiatives for food, transport and countermeasures to combat the emissions is the least we can do. For us to not take this on will prove far more costly in the future and even more disastrous to our people across the length and breadth of Tamaki Makaurau.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: To make any change we must be prepared to sacrifice or make ends meet if it means in anyway shape or form a future proofing of our city.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Not everything can continue I change if we are to try and make a difference, this makes sense.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It seems crazy that this s not standardised across the city, it is a fairer system and the scope for definitive recycling initiatives could come into play if there is enough of the same refuse coming out regularly to build a robust industry.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I do not support the wholesale chopping down of established rakau in our urban areas, the new initiatives I support only if it is adding to and not taking away from the old trees in our city, too many have been lost to Goffs mismanagement over the last years and before and this has to stop.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5706

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: In principle I support any local or central government action that targets climate change providing it does not impact the most vulnerable members of our community (generally not home owners) , with their daily struggle to survive. I do however think any local gov action around climate change needs to align with central Gov actions, and utilise a pool of local and central Gov resources. Work smarter and better together

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: It's fantastic you can use central Gov funding for some of this shortfall. If you applied this package, how would we be looking at the end of the next triennial? Is it unrealistic to promise things like unchanged rates etc that communities eventually pay for in the form of reduced services/non maintained infrastructure/long term debt

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: It's a case by case basis, ask this consultation process before you make decisions on each service and make sure you reach more U25's, Maori, Pacific peoples, renters etc.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's more simple and better understood if it's standardised funding

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support these priorities but food waste management facilities is missing. Where are our community gardens with compositing sites, where are our big recycling and food waste bins near/in these amazing parks and transformed spaces for example.

Also, I want events that empower the voices of people who are struggling to survive as well as help others thrive. I also want more LBQTIA+ events to counter the shame bought on the area by our current electorate MP.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

More Te Tiriti centric policies where Māori are partners in creating, no one likes seeing the effects of hurting our indigenous with anti Tikanga Maori policy. More interaction between local gov and U25's, Pacific Islanders, renters and other more marginalised communities.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5730

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Targeted rates can't be spent elsewhere, need cycle paths and public transport

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Sale of assts is not good, cost savings should happen, tie rates to inflation or 3.5%, lobby central government to give Auckland it's share

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5783

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Portions of AKL will be under water by 2050 including most beaches. Funds need to be allocated from a focused addition to rates.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Great priorities but should also include flood control for beaches Okahu Bay to St Heliers which may partially be under water by 2050. Okahu Bay already floods as does Tamaki Drive at Kohi in heavy rain.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5785

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: climate change is clearly accelerating and decisive action is needed, however just planting more trees will only work if they properly maintained not just left to fend for themselves as at present. Likewise the bus services need to be properly planned

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support some of these proposals but not all, so the way this question is worded is defective

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: again this question is badly worded and should have been broken down into its constituent parts. if the Council really wants participation it needs to improve its consultation processes considerably.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: the Council should encourage smaller citizen initiatives to compost locally

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: again a poorly worded question. The issue should be how the work on the priorities is done efficiently rather than the way money is currently wasted on grandiose schemes

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

again the section is poorly designed if the objective is to get genuine citizen participation

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5800

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: '-I want Auckland to make a contribution to reduce emissions by providing more public transport. Get people out of their cars.

-So sad to have seen the huge loss of trees in the city since general tree protection was dropped by National.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: 'Accomodate different household requirements

- May encourage waste minimisation.

- Why should low waste households subsidise high waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5822

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is a critical area where we need to INVEST (so that there is a payback in both lower carbon but also community experience) now. It is not an option to defer.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I still see the council operations as inefficient. I am sure you will say we have cut costs but it seems that money is still spent on areas that are not needed (roading & paving that did not need to be redone with no impact on users)

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Since page 24 does not actually say what areas fit into which category it is impossible to comment. The current information is a statement if the obvious (ie you will do what you are required legal to do & should stop doing low impact activities etc).

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Should be in rates as is a core service. But priory should be on waste minimisation.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: the local board can not approve the cycleways & connections to greenways but “advocating” does not seem adequate

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5860

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To ensure a future free from pollution for the next generation.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

to tatou paraneta ko to tatou ora

Our planet is our life

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We can't afford higher rates

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Like everyone else ACC have to live within their means

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Surely pay as you throw is better for environment

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Tell us why: What's in it for our Maori community

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5891

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5907

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need a targeted response to the challenge of climate change, and act now. For the survival of our city and for the generations to come. This is a value option and will mean we can spend less on parking space and more roading.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5925

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is no longer an issue that we can afford to delay action on. Auckland Council needs to put climate change at the front and centre of its decision making, and if this CATR will achieve that then I am in support of it.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5970

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Rates based does not encourage waste reduction. I currently have one bread bag size of waste per week and food scraps are composted. I agree that its costly to manage Payt so there must be a better way? Barcode on the bin that is charged to ratepayer - quarterly/ annually. Landlords can make arrangement with their tenant. Vouchers as part of rates with credit for unused in next rates year?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5972

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is an important issue that needs to be addressed.

Also, developing technology in New Zealand may lead to building an industry of good implementation of technology, then can be sold to other cities around the world.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

1122

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I think public transport and emission reduction should take priority

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: I think raising rates or commercial charges to cover the services

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Because of simplicity

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: they are good priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5991

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

5999

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6007

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we need better public transport for so many reasons, improving our climate response in Aotearoa is crucial. The charge is minimal.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is more climate friendly encourages better recylcing.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I love Pourewa and the ngahere initiative.

Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like to see more environmental priorities for Rodney, i support pest management and green roading and the freshwater systems are crucial.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6044

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Please get cars reduced and more public transport that is regular on time and links to trains

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's cheaper

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why: Don't hear much from any local board member

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6046

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Continue to improve water quality including Newmarket/Middleton stream and at Waiatarua and Waiata reserves is the highest priority as it is extremely unhealthy for people, wildlife and nature and will solve climate issues as well. It needs immediate attention

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Only prioritise these things for the health and well being of our region:

1.The Water Quality Targeted Rate .

2.The Natural Environment Targeted Rate

3.The Budgeted funds for essential services for infrastructure

4. Bring in The Climate Action Targeted Rate.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6059

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6071

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6076

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The climate crisis is simply a case of we fix it or we die. I'd rather fix it

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This would prevent excessive waste in our landfill system and give families who make an effort to lower their waste an incentive

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

General climate action is vital to us, and the work of Ngati Whatua at the pourewa tree planting initiative is vital to the restoration of auckland centrals wildlife passage as it allows native seabirds to return to this area

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6084

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think we should be spending more on meeting our carbon emissions reduction targets

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Not sure of the implications

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6110

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Eastern Bays Songbird Project

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think this will become more and more our number one issue affecting Auckland over the next few years. As housing increases in Auckland w need to make sure the balance is there to protect our urban forests, which are largely on private land. Once these forests are destroyed they will no longer function as carbon sinks. These forests are the lungs and filters of our cities. Although planting new trees is also a big priority, there are often problems with not being able to plant trees that are going to be canopy or taller than 30 meters because people don't want to lose their view or they will create shade etc so that is why we need to protect areas that already have large trees established.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I think this has worked well in areas that have it

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: There are very sensitive ecosystems in this local board area, for example, the Tāmaki River. The more community involvement in restoring and managing this area the better.

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: The Ōrākei Local Board have shown they are supportive of many environmental issues and protecting our waterways is important to them. As well as planting new trees all existing urban forests, especially if they are surrounding a waterway need to be protected and enhanced. Sometimes it is hard to plant new areas because people want their view not to be obstructed or large trees may shade their property. That is why it is so important to protect areas that already have established large trees.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

With our close proximity to the Hauraki Gulf our streams that lead down to beaches like Kohimarama Beach are an incredibly important part of the whole ecosystem that we need to protect. We need to understand that it is all connected.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6113

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have to front up and pay for addressing this major climate disaster that is upon us .

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Looking at the cost of the bureaucracy of councils and what that costs for starters. Sell an asset to move this city forward .

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: SAme as above

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Brilliant idea. We are behind Great Britain is this rubbish management .

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I am particularly keen on the clean up the the water flowing into Hobson Bay. The streams are in a disgusting state … raw sewage flows directly into the bay from some of the houses around the bay. There is continual toxic chemicals flow into the streams by Newmarket part and Wiata . This needs to be addressed it is an embarrassment to live in our so called green country and see the state of the water. Roll on three waters at least some change of thinking is in the air

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am worried about the back fill of the city with below average in fact ugly cheap housing in the streets of the Orakei boards domain - in fact anywhere . Ventnor ave is an excellent example of greedy developer and cheap building ruining the value of the houses around it and their quiet neighbourhood . Surely it cannot cost that much more to the developers forced to a higher standard of architecture to match the investment of the house owners right by them .

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6143

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is an existential threat, which needs to be taken seriously for our and future generations.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I support these measures provided that they are well thought through. I also strongly support Council disestablishing about 70% of its middle management positions, which would also provide a huge saving in expenditure. There is a lot of dead wood that could be culled from the Council payroll.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support careful priortisation of expenditure but don't see in any of the material we are referred to anything about substantially cutting the Council wage bill. 70% of middle managers could be removed without any loss of efficiency, and possibly some gains, in my opinion.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Cleaning up the water and the natural environment should be a priority.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Cleaning up the water and stopping sewage overflows into Waitaramoa / Hobson Bay should and surrounding streams should be a priority.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6154

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: These sums of money should be spent within the transport budget ONLY. The Council under no circumstances should spend money to force ratepayer behavior (walking and cycling is part of STANDARD road design and maintenance, NOT separate). Trees and greenbelts a a completely separate subject and should not be lumped in with transport.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Under NO circumstances should elected councilors be allowed to sell Council assets, unless they are notified and voted on by ALL ratepayers. Council priorities should be in this order:

1. Air quality (if you cant breath, you die)

2. Water quality (if you cant drink water you die)

3. Sewage and storm-water.

4. Roads and footpaths.

5. Rubbish

6. Transport

7. Parks, libraries and pools

Then IF there is money left the rest can be priorities voted on by ratepayers.

Scrap Heart of the City and other non-essential Council departments. (I do not want to pay for marketing of the City or commercial interests).

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Council priorities should be in this order:

1. Air quality (if you cant breath, you die)

2. Water quality (if you cant drink water you die)

3. Sewage and storm-water.

4. Roads and footpaths.

5. Rubbish

6. Transport

7. Parks, libraries and pools

Then IF there is money left the rest can be priorities voted on by ratepayers.

Scrap Heart of the City and other non-essential Council departments. (I do not want to pay for marketing of the City or commercial interests).

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: The Newmarket Stream is an OPEN SEWER (proven, documented by Healthy Waters). NO DEVELOPMENT OF ANY PROPERTIES within combined storm-water/sewer areas until they are separated, this affects approx. 15,000 properties. NO EXUSES not to complete within 10 years are allowed.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Yes, the old Newmarket Borough Council (richest in the country) had a ban on building any structures over three stories or high density because of known limitations on the combined storm-water and sewers, due to sitting on solid bluestone. The Auckland City Council has since allowed construction of over 30 multi-story/high density, causing the Newmarket Stream to become a sewer. This is also happening in multiple other suburbs. ALL SUBURBS urgently need the combined storm-water/sewers replaced BEFORE the unit plan can be implemented, otherwise the Auckland City Council is putting at serious risk the health of ALL Aucklanders. This must be the highest priority.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6169

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6176

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6215

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6250

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is an irrefutable fact and we need to take steps now to reduce our carbon emissions. Council's declaration of a "climate emergency" in 2019 was an important start but it now needs to be put into action, beginning with the reduction of emissions by our fleet of buses and ferries. More low emission buses, in particular, are essential.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6255

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Absolutely crucial to meet our climate ambitions. We must act immediately

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Only fair and sensible

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6264

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6265

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: The public transportation is incredibly expensive, unreliable and slow so why would people take it? Why would I spend over a hundred dollars a week so I can spend 3 hours a day on a bus, when I can spend just as much on gas and cut that commute in half? If you're serious about getting people on buses, it needs to be cheaper and more reliable - buses, trains and ferries constantly being cancelled at the last minute or just complete no shows is why Auckland has the highest rate of drivers per capita in the world.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Why is Auckland Council spending money on whale tails if there's such budget pressure?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: How would this achieve less waste? Seriously. Why would it being funded some other way result in less waste? Just because the bin size changes doesn't mean the waste does, especially since it's so expensive to live in this city (and the government is clearly not going to do anything about that) so you have more and more people living in one house.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Seriously, we're in a climate emergency and the most you're going to do is enhance parks? What about the constant flow of sewage into the beaches in Orakei? What are you going to do about that? The flooding problems are only going to get worse.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I've emailed about Auckland councils "plans" and even that was more informative and better than what this is. Are you kidding me? Very little of this is focused on the fact that we are in an incredibly perilous state and what you're going to do about it. You work in government and seem to have no plan - you're asking for feedback on people opting out of garbage collection? Why is recycling picked up every two weeks and garbage once a week - that literally results in households throwing away recyclable materials. This is pathetic.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.


2degrees
6:06 PM
40%
Regarding the recycling scheme, this is more disappointing news. I'm actually surprised that something as basic as returning bottles for deposits isn't implemented in Auckland. Mainly, I am disappointed in this scheme because it is very much putting the onus on the individual rather than putting in actual government mandates or plans. Given that this return for a deposit is already a scheme in Canada, I can tell you that it is not one followed, except for those who need the money. Therefore, what is there to incentivize those who wouldn't see the point in driving (because Auckland public transportation is so unreliable) to drop off some containers for a few dollars? Also, the petrol being used to go drop off the containers seems like it would be its own environmental problem. Furthermore, as you state this plan is simply for beverages and requires the companies to actually change their manufacturing. What is the plan for non-beverage recyclables, or if companies decide not to change how they manufacture bottles? Is there any plan for recycling to be picked up more often?
If this is the big plan, I fear we are in for some dire times ahead given the urgency of the climate crisis and how little the New Zealand government seems willing to stick to or even create any genuine goals for dealing with this incredibly serious and pressing matter.

6278

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is an existential threat, and an issue that will affect us all in every aspect of our lives. We cannot afford to wait another moment to act and we can not wish it away just because it's too hard or too scary. We all have a role to play and local governments can be hugely effective here and create massive wins.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6306

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need many more trees and parks to replace those lost to developers and to come anywhere near civilised cities like London & Paris

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We need to stop wasting money on $100,000 speed bumps and other traffic obstructions like the jagged stone kerbstones. Encouraging car-pooling as in the early 80's would be much cheaper

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Reduce staff numbers and scale back salaries, or better still, go back to the four more manageable councils we had prior to amalgamation

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Auckland's recycling is way behind other towns. The co-mingling wastes potentially recyclable materials by contaminating, (eg broken glass in paper).

A depot in each major suburb, run like the Wanganui one would achieve a far better result.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Take the volcanic hills back under parks dept. control. If any trees need removing it is the privet trees which are filling every area of bush and cause significant hay-fever problems. Take Kepa Rd and the Orakei basin as just one example.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Scrap the Tupuna management. The hills were abandoned by Maori when Marsden first visited. All local decisions should be made by elected local representatives.

Rates should be capped and extravagant over-design eliminated. Every new bridge recently has been very expensive, architect designed and inefficient, eg the one by the Parnell baths.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6309

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support this because local government can show real leadership on this important issue, particularly for such a car-orientated city such as Auckland where we are already on the back foot.

In my view an additional focus should go to electric trains as these are such an efficient means of transportation and a feature of a modern city compared to buses.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6327

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is crucial that Auckland makes rapid progress towards the 64% reduction in carbon emissions by 2030 and this rate and the funds are an important first step. However it will only be a small part of the required change and much more is required including reallocating road space away from cars towards active and public transport, increasing parking charges and removing a lot of on-street parking, reducing public transport fares for those on lower incomes, making the public transport network more frequent and faster, reducing traffic speed limits and better integrating development so it is concentrated around good public transport and amenities rather than allowing more greenfields sprawl.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: This revenue is needed but also expenditure should be reallocated away from roading and other infrastructure in greenfields development towards enabling greater intensification in existing urban areas, public transport and cycle infrastructure should be rolled out more cheaply by reallocating parking and general traffic road space and significantly greater revenue should be raised from parking by introducing parking charges for most on street parking and increasing charges where they exist already (and charging at park and rides in central areas like Orakei).

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Actions to reduce carbon emissions should be added into the must do section as an essential for our continued livibility.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like more cycling lanes introduced - currently Kepa road is a nightmare and the roundabout near the Orakei train station has no provision for cyclists or pedestrians to navigate safely.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6350

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is here and we need to act now - I want myself and my children to have a future.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6386

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is necessary to meet regional and national targets.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: However I do not support a blanket charge for food waste collection, I do the right thing by composting, I don't understand why I should be penalised for this.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6392

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Let's get most commuters off the roads

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I'm concerned about what you do when circumstances occasionally change?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: The Board is doing a great job in difficult circumstances and I support their chosen priorities,

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Cycle ways and walk ways being completed sometime soon...

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6420

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: No evidence is provided of the cost effectiveness of the proposals in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The proposed bus service improvements will have little effect on greenhouse gas emissions and in some situations may even make them worse. For example, if you double the frequency of a bus service the number of passengers doesn't double, so the average occupancy of buses on that service is likely to go down leading to a greater environmental impact per passenger.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why: Lack of information in the supporting information.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

A total rates increase of about 6% is too high and higher than most peoples' income growth.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6454

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like to see more equitable support for arts, particularly theatre. The last few years have been a real struggle for live events, so there's no better time to re-evaluate how to meet the needs of arts/theatre to ensure it can get back on its feet.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6468

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate action should be part of the Council's general operations - a separate rate is not justified

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The council staff have been in a privileged position during the covid problems. To share the pain of the community the council staff should receive no increase in the coming year that is not mandated by the increase in the minimum wage

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Badly chosen options

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I have no confidence in the ability of the council bureaucracy to devise a good system

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: too much money is being spent of minor improvements in all areas

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I oppose the degrading of our democracy by proposals for transferring control of key environmental aspects of the city to the control of Maori Tribes

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6488

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: ALL the necessary mitigation action to be undertaken by an entity -- under the Paris Climate Agreement is FULLY incorporated in the participation in the ETS. Anything else is superfluous or a scam.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Too vague. I would need a list of specific items and the best-case/worst-case assessment by independent auditors of how that would negate the $85-million excess run up against the previous, unmitigated, excess.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Again there are no specifics; figures or actions e.g. cutting staff by 15%/ having all staff on $150K per annum reapply for their jobs. Government obligations, legal or otherwise are for their account not ours. One of the few services which are generally accepted as successful are library services. I trust rumours of slashing the one service that works efficiently are just that.

The wasteful, ideologically driven AT should have their staffing and budget cut by 20% this year and 25% in the following year.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: In principal, I prefer rates-funding of all NECESSARY services. I also abhor the way AC is constantly looking to add a charge for things which should be under "rates" to achieve unnecessary services which could be ignored or passed back to government. Helen Clark successfully dumped most of her government's responsibilities on municipalities without the funding (which she diverted into hiring additional bureaucrats in Wellington

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: These are all necessary to improve the highest paying Local Board, which has successively got the least bang for its bucks since the so-called SuperCity and Brown and Goff ruled in blatant favour of the localities which supported them....despite claiming to be independent.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The only way AC will ever get out of the mess that is the SuperCity is by devolving 85% of all budgets to Local Boards and for AC organisations to sell their services to them or step away and allow private enterprise to bid. We would happily write-off the 15% for the Tower Block to fritter away. The Tupuna Maunga "Authority" shows what happens when unelected and unaccountable bodies get money to waste. Please can all and any Mahuta is try to slide in under the UN Agenda 2030. Not needed. All other rates, levies and fees need to be parked until we are solvent again. The government needs to refund all GST to fund us instead. We hire Mayors for their alleged government connections and get squat.e

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6508

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Deferring action would be selfish and cost future generations much more to fix.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support overall, but not the sale/lease of assets: the Council needs a strong asset base for its borrowing, and we need more assets in collective ownership rather than being sold off, which is likely to favour those already with wealth.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Seems sensible

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I accept that PAYT might lead to anti-social dumping. BUT I also think that a user pays approach is best in terms of reducing waste and that the inequity issues relating to larger families and the like can be addressed through targetted assistance.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: They all seem fine - but the priorities relating to public spaces must be focussed on reversing the priorities for car drivers, and there must be more priority given to active modes of transport; public transport needs to become the prominent non-active mode.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6513

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Simplier process

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6532

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Nothing, ultimately, is more important to the people of this Earth, than the climate crisis

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6534

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change must be considered when making all local and central govt decisions.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6555

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have ignored climate change for over 50 years, we cannot continue to do this. You only have to look at the one in a 100 year floods, dry spells, now occurring on a regular basis. We need to prepare and reduce our impact on our climate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6600

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We should look to cut costs in other areas as opposed to pilling more costs on rate payers. Please stop developing bike lanes which are sparsely used .

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I'm generally supportive. We should spend less on bike lanes and more on improving roading networks which are congested .

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6607

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Allow individual action to reduce climate impact and emissions. Do not add to rates. This can be achieved by choice and reduction, not increase.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Any impact is due to government restrictions and action. Less government intervention means less restrictions.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: This is too vague. I have read the Service Prioritisation link and still am not clear no what is essential and what is not.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Currently our waste is covered by rates. However our house has minimal waste and therefore I feel overpay compared to neighbours.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support caring for our green spaces and being stewards for the environment. I do not support ongoing business support as they should be allowed to operate as they chose without restrictions.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Local board decision making, regardless of party or current national leadership.

Stopping Three Waters.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6614

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to address climate change NOW!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I thought the connections to the GI2TD path where a done deal?

I am not sure we need any more sports fields .... maybe more spaces for casual recreation.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

PLease take action to achieve Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri, Auckland's Climate Plan!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6615

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to take as much action as we can as quick as possible

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6636

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Accessible and affordable transport is increasingly necessary with traffic worsening, urban sprawl and of course carbon emissions still on the rise

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The is going to be so much economic strain so it's about prioritising and long term benefits

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Waste management should be standardised. It's critical to climate mitigation to have an efficient system that incentivises households to reduce how much waste ends up in landfill. Plus, everyone should pay the same rates, not just be able to create more rubbish by paying for an additional tag

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: A great balance of community focused priorities and environmental priorities. Particularly support events, improving mission bay community space and the wetlands

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6643

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6651

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6718

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am currently in my final year of high school, and want there to be a future for myself and others. We are in the midst of a climate crisis and more needs to be done in Tamaki Makaurau. There is only so much people like myself can do. More funding needs to go toward planting native fauna, improving public transport, decarbonising busses and ferries. The Auckland council declared a climate emergency in 2019; it is now 2022 and we need action. This can not wait any longer, and if we pay now, hopefully the cost in the future will be less severe. The planet is dying, and if we do not take action now, we will soon be too.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6719

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Essential, most critical issue of our generation. We HAVE to do more to address climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Standard charges are very easy … but there's no incentive for households to reduce waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Cycling connections and safer cycling on streets are key to reduce vehicle use

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6720

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6766

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Focus on improving public transport first, once that is done look at other projects. This will yield the most climate benefit in the most accessible way, most quickly.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Focus on cost reductions. Review staffing levels and IT costs.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: The first priority is to look at opportunities to do more with less - like most businesses do. Don't catastrophise - improvise.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Simplification should be the most economical. Also PAYT will incentivise fly-tipping and other anti-social behaviour.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Do not remove mature trees from public land.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6848

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: After the weather we've just had it's a no brainer

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Don't do dumb shit

Keep to basics

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It's cheapest !

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: More do less talk

Love the orakei basin walkways

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6867

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: You are fascists!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6887

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need homegrown and renewable energy solutions so that transport and mobility is accessible to all, and I'd love to live in a city forging this path!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6888

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6898

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6900

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: What's more important than our whenua

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6901

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is common sense.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: I don't want you to forget Ellerslie!!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support more extensive public transport, but it is very difficult to get NZers to get out of their cars isn't it!

I support to NO further removal of all trees on the cities maunga. Removal of noxious weed is good.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6925

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I'm very happy to pay this new rate to support climate action, and would love to use more public transport - it's just not convenient at the moment.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We only put our red bin out every two weeks, and could probably only put it out once a month, so would prefer to pay-as-you-throw

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

6926

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I have lived in Auckland for most of my life, and I love it. Unfortunately the city is not very liveable without high functioning public transport

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It sounds sustainable

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Not across this area

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Sounds much more equitable

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: To make the most of this beautiful city

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7014

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7049

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support this proposal but recommend changes.

Specifically, it is not the transformational change which reducing Auckland's emissions requires.

A large percentage of Auckland's emission come from transport, specifically single-occupant vehicle trips, but this proposal - and existing policy - does not do enough to encourage sustainable transport. For example, it proposes only 18km of new cycleways, which is frankly pathetic.

Connected networks of *safe, separated cycleways* are critical to encourage more people to cycle. However, Auckland Transport has failed to deliver even its unambitious targets (especially since the terrible decision to disestablish the walking and cycling unit in 2018), and the 18km target in this proposal is similarly unambitious.

To meet the Council's own climate targets, I recommend a ten-fold increase in cycleway kilometres, paid for by a reduction in local road construction. Replace low BCI roading with high BCI cycleways - both the climate and people's health will win.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: 'I support this proposal but recommend changes.

In particular, I recommend increased recycling of non-core assets, such as

- Carparks near train stations, which should be urgently sold or the air rights leased to encourage increased residential/mixed-use development around transport nodes.

- Sale of golf course land for residential/mixed-use development. Specifically, the Takapuna Golf Course is directly across the motorway from Smales Farm busway station. It is ripe for high-density development. The benefits few people who play golf on urban courses - who will drive to the course anyway, so may as well drive to a course out of town - are vastly outweighed by the potential for sustainable mixed-use development.

Similarly, Remuera Golf Course is on highly desirable land, a short bike or scooter ride from Glen Innes or Panmure Stations. It should also be sold for development.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: The Service prioritisation page linked to above is *extremely vague and unclear*. It does not tell the reader anything significant. Page 24 of the PDF is the same. Without specific priorities and examples it is meaningless.

My priorities would be increasing

- investment in core service such as parks, Local Board discretionary funding, etc.

- efficiency in transport spending by deprioritising roading projects and channelling funding into public and active transport projects. Auckland has a mature roading network, but a very immature public and active transport network. The latter should see the funding, not roading.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: 'I support this proposal but recommend changes.

- I would like an opt-out from the food scraps bin

However, I do like

- the rates-funded system. A PAYT system encourages illegal dumping.

- the choice of red bin sizes, which is pragmatic and equitable

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: 'These priorities focus on parks, community facilities and sustainable transport, which are key Local Board projects. I particularly approve of the

- Gowing Drive connection to the Glen Innes to Tamaki Drive Shared Path, a critical initiative to increase transport choice and reduce congestion

- ongoing improvement to Michaels Ave Reserve including the new clubhouse and kids' playground

In addition, I recommend

- A cycleway from Stonefields to Ellerslie along Abbotts Way, through Liston Park (excisting) and along Michaels Avenue

- A mixed-use community centre/library/apartment development next to the Ellerslie war memorial Hall

- significant increase in investment in pedestrian facilities such as zebra crossings (not half-baked pedestrian shelters)

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

It is time the Council walked the talk about climate action, significantly increasing spending on public and active transport, and reducing spending on roading. Auckland has many dangerous roads and intersections which dissuade people from cycling and walking short trips. It's time to rebalance our transport network to provide real choice.

If Auckland Transport is unwilling to do so, the Council should establish a separate active transport delivery organization. Aucklanders have waited too long for meaningful, safe transport choice.

Finally, local board discretionary funding needs to be significantly increased. Local boards do a lot of great work but are not funded appropriately. It's time to use efficiency dividends and asset recycling to good use in local communities!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7052

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7053

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Support cash back for empty bottles as part of waste management scheme.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7063

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Absolutely vital!. Stop spending billions on roading and pour this money into making buses and trains much more efficient and cheaper for everyone and everything so they will use them for transporting a large percentage or people and freight. Cars should be the least important vehicle as it is in many cities

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I am happy to pay this and more as long as these huge funds are used efficiently and effectively. A report should come out every year as to what the council has done-sent to every rates payer

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: These decisions should be made by citizens assemblies.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Good idea though you also should have community gardens, recycling and repair of everything that can be done. all plastic to be returned to distributor!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Your priority should be our old and dangerous drainage system and Pubic Transport to be much more efficient

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Use of Citizens assemblies for all major decisions and much less people of council. You need to report every year to all your rate payers

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7075

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to do more and fast. This is not much to ask cost wise considering what's at stake if we don't invest in reducing our emissions and wider impacts.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It sounds like a good set of tactics in the circumstances

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7719

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Supporting looking after environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7843

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is a key issue and we want this to transform the way we live with our family. Ebike subsidies would be good too!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I think there are social services that aren't deemed essential but actually are as they hold the social and mental well-being together for communities. I would caution that this include libraries, other social things be included as essential. Where austerity impacts these programs, youth and other marginalized groups suffer

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7844

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We should be throwing everything and more at this issue. Keen to see more intensive planting happening now.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Connections to the bike path have to be actioned/prioritised otherwise it will not be used to it's potential. For example, council has spent millions buying a property on Gowing Drive for a connection. This needs to be followed through on.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7852

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I live in Saint Heliers, Auckland. I support the targeted climate rate. We have one of the most beautiful cities in the world. I laud the recent vision of the Koi Tu report for a biophilic/National Park city.

Since 2012 and likely before, a “green city” or some version of this concept has been highlighted as a priority in all Auckland aspirational planning and focus groups. The people want it. Yet we still debate it and do not prioritise it over economic, housing or transport goals.

We have much to lose when (not if) the more extreme effects of climate destruction start to hit us. We must do everything we can at every opportunity to mitigate this. If that is an extra $50.00/yr now rather than running the country into debt in rebuilding after flooding, bush fires and sea level rise - I very much support this.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year’s, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Waste management is a huge need. We need strong, consistent and sustainable investment to be able to deal with the growing changes and to build local infrastructure to process our waste, rather than ship it to go be burnt or end up in illegal landfill overseas.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7864

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: i am a keen cyclist and would like more options to cycle (and walk) in the area. Cycling on the road between Warkworth and Matakana is dangerous because of heavy traffic and a lack of shoulder so a cycleway linking the two, plus a cycleway to Snells would be an asset in the area, plus fewer cars on the road- less carbon footprint. the area is lacking in cycleways , a sad reflection of a growing region with inadequate infostructure.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7874

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: But I think repair etc of the storm water system needs priortisation!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: there is no detail in the service prioritization list, only generalities

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7884

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to make changes far faster than is currently happening. A targeted rate that is clearly to be spent on climate-related interventions is a good idea.

Please ensure that this revenue is spent specifically on services that go above and beyond those which are currently provided. It must not become a top=up fund for BAU services.

PLEASE get your spelling right. It is "500 metres". Not "500 meters".

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Please don't be afraid to raise rates by more than 3.5% if it means avoiding fire sales to raise cash.

Look at other ways to raise revenue. Car parking fines for example. These are regulated by central government and are so low ($40 in Auckland city centre) that it is cheaper to park illegally and risk a fine than it is to pay for parking. These reflect early 1990s prices and are thus the equivalent of buying a four bedroom villa in Grey Lynn for $120,000. Let's update our parking fines accordingly and raise revenue by encouraging good behaviour.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It is hard to give detailed feedback on this based on the information available, but it appears to be sound reasoning.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Make it as easy as possible to do the right thing. Rates-funded systems are the way to go.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Broadly speaking these are sound aims; There needs to be much more emphasis on public transport and active travel connections. Ōrākei is choked with traffic. There need to be cycle lanes on Ōrākei Road from Ōrākei station up to Remuera Road and also to Kepa Road. Please complete the Hobson Bay Walkway between Shore Road Reserve and Victoria Ave.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like to offer my unconditional support for the climate action targeted rate.

How about going further? Please think about congestion charging to raise revenue and reduce traffic.

Road renewals for all roads with a speed limit of 50 km/h or higher should come with protected cycleways as standard. Make this BAU.

Please ensure that monies invested by Auckland Transport are consistent with the climate action targeted rate.

Please ensure that the City Centre Targets Rate is invested in high quality streets and spaces that will attract people back to Auckland city centre.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7917

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is too important to not do. Climate change and protecting our environment is one of our greatest challenges and we need to act now and quickly. Having a targeted rate insures funding is there.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It seems like a well managed approach using different levers and options to spread the load of the budget pressures

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: There is a framework of reference as to how and why services are cut.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: If as outlined in the question it is more equitable and climate friendly than other options

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: They continue to support the natural environment and to improve and enhance existing built environment assets. I fully support the work of maximising connections to the GI to Tamaki drive shared path.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Looking at cycle safety along the arterial roads of Kepa rd and Kohimarama rd which are increasingly clogged with parked cars.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7929

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am a 30-year-old software engineer from Meadowbank who is very concerned about climate issues. The flooding in recent days is a reminder that action on carbon reduction and climate resilience cannot wait any longer, and it is great to see Auckland Council indicating that it intends to take climate action seriously. Increasing transport options for all, and moving away from a car-centric transport model, will be great, not only for the climate, but for the liveability of our city as well. This targeted rate is only the beginning, and I want the Council to be more ambitious than ever this year in its climate decision making and funding. Also, use of the fund needs to be front-loaded so that the most gains can be seen before 2030.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7952

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I hate climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7958

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I believe that this is a good start in lowering emissions. More needs to be done now in Tamaki Makaurau - to better the lives of future generations and to look after our environment as we only have one Earth.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7961

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a collective issue that will require collective funds to address.

These rates should, however, be designed to not adversely affect individuals and communities who are bottom threshold earners.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Tax the more affluent folk to manage budgets more effectively.

Any efforts to manage ongoing budgetary pressures should not come at the expense of lower and middle income communities in Auckland - it's likely to blowback on the region's liveability in the long run.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: If you are looking to be more effective and efficient in spending, consider cutting/reducing services for communities in richer suburbs of Auckland. They have the means, presumably, to sustain their lifestyles without it compromising them significantly. Whereas community funded services are extremely important in less developed and less affluent parts of Auckland - for people whom these services typically have the greatest impact on quality of life.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: n/a

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why: Further enhance green spaces and co-govern with local iwi - Ngati Whātua Ōrākei - to ensure the development of the area in accordance with kaitiakitanga principles. The priorities above reflect a lack of collaboration/partnership with regional iwi - at both the governance and grassroots community level, which is rather concerning.

I'm not Māori myself, but as a resident of the area I see it as critical in the urban planning and development of parks, public spaces, urban forests and so on.

See this article about how urban parks can be designed to be better mental health infrastructure for communities: https://www.azuremagazine.com/article/its-time-to-design-city-parks-as-mental-health-infrastructure-for-everyone/

There are international initiatives we can look to that are creative, innovative and inclusive in design.

We need more communal gardens, disability friendly/accessible spaces, and also more community events (e.g. street fairs, markets and so on) to animate these areas.

It would be nice to see more publicly funded cultural art (murals and sculptures) that is reflective of our multicultural communities too - by local Maori and Asian artists, for instance.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Planning initiatives must and should have extensive input from a range of diverse stakeholder communities (including Maori, youth and ethnic demographics) - and these should not be tokenistic, but should actively inform implemented decisions.

Planning in this way should also take an evidence-informed approach - decisions should not be made by leadership who are subject to lobbying or personal interests, or by people who think a particular strategy 'feels right' to them without proper consultation and research backing.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7980

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Auckland's transport infrastructure is poor and needs significant improvement. Currently for some, public transport is not an option. This needs to change.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7981

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

7983

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Public transport is the biggest issue facing Auckland at the moment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I disagree its climate friendly. What we already do is climate friendly - worm farm, compost food scraps. The creation of yet more bins is just more plastic waste on our property.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I've seen no improvement in the water quality at Newmarket/Middleton streams, only frequent unmet promises.

Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8004

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: really important to have tagged funds so money can't be spent else where and also raises visibility of climate action

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: essential service provision and compliance very important- particularly in relation to water infrastructure

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: But need more emphasis on Hobson Bay and waterways and particularly old combined system

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Support Water Quality targeted rate, Natural environment targeted rate and all work reducing sewage overflows into waterway

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8007

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Do not agree to the 3 Waters reforms. They should be campaigned on in the next government and local government elections before an attempt is made to force them on the people of NZ.

Stop discrimination against people who made a medical choice about their bodies i.e. the morally criminal banning of NZ citizens entering Council facilities (I have had the jab and been injured by it).

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8018

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: In dont know

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I dont knoiw

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: i dont kniw

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: i dont know

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8064

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I would love to have more options to walk and cycle within the Auckland region. I am excited to see $228 million towards building more cycleways and walkways, especially connecting Warkworth to Matakana and Snells Beach

I WANT to walk and cycle more for the benefit of the planet and my health. However, lack of infrastructure and safety concerns, prevent this, especially in Rodney East which has one of the highest road tolls in the region

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8066

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I would love to have more options to walk and cycle within the Auckland region. I am excited to see $228 million towards building more cycleways and walkways, especially connecting Warkworth to Matakana and Snells Beach I WANT to walk and cycle more for the benefit of the planet and my health. However, lack of infrastructure and safety concerns, prevent this, especially in Rodney East which has one of the highest road tolls in the region I support the funding and development of the Matakana Coast Trail Trust trail in the Rodney district, because: - It will get cars off the road – commuters and school trips – less carbon emissions! - My kids could safely bike to school, the current roads are dangerous! - The rest of New Zealand has many trail options – Auckland has virtually none! - Our community (the Auckland region / the Rodney district) is growing so rapidly but the infrastructure hasn't kept up, and we could all do with more outdoor options.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8096

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to take action for climate change emergency immediately.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Fair enough considering the effects of the pandemic.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Standardised system would NOT help reducing waste. Currently in Remuera, our neighbours put their full bins out every week, as they don't worry about how much they produce waste and how much it would cost Council to get rid of them. It would be unfair for these who are already doing right things for reducing waste for our planet i.e. soft-plastic recycling, composting and managing their food. PAYT system would encourage people to reduce waste, as they can see how much non-recyclable rubbish they produce and how much they pay to get rid of it. You don't need food waste bin, if you are careful when shopping (e.g. check what you have before shopping) and preparing meals (e.g. using left overs). If food waste bin needs to be introduced, it should be optional. No more extra/new plastic bins, please. Re-use the unwanted bins (120L) when new smaller bins (80L) are introduced.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Great to see the improvement for the water way by Portland Road.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8113

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Thank you for the opportunity to submit.

More needs to be done in Tāmaki Makaurau Auckland (and New Zealand as a whole) on climate action. We are in a climate emergency, and I want urgent action now.

I am currently pregnant with my first child. As my husband and I navigate parenthood, I feel an overwhelming sense of fear about bringing a new little person into the world in its current state.

We lead privileged lives in the sense that we can choose to eat organic, locally grown fruit and veg + grass fed meats, choose to bike rather than drive, choose to use sustainable, ethical and grey water safe beauty/cleaning/other products, and choose to upcycle, make or buy second hand. But these choices are barely a drop in the vast ocean of choices that need to be made in order to make significant change to the climate emergency.

Auckland Council has an opportunity to make a choice that will have resounding impact not just for us, but our future generations. The time for action is now.

More green spaces - parks, urban gardens, green canopies lining the streets.

Decarbonise public transport options, and more links that are user friendly, well lit, well designed transit hubs.... just as a start.

This targeted rate is only the beginning, and I want the Council to be more ambitious than ever this year in its climate decision making and funding.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8124

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a major issue of concern. Urgent meaningful action is needed now - start now.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Its a real balancing act but please dont delay important capital spending - it will never be cheaper.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Same for everyone. although probably a bit tough on those in rural areas.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Please consider planting trees around the perimeter of Velenoweth Green to give shade (in the future).

Also I'd like to see you working with children to understand their ideas and wishes. Eg over lockdown children around Madills Farm setup bike ramps and jumps in a rarely used part - this had been dismantled and the area been made less accessible - it was innovative and fun for many children. Please talk with the children - especially mid to ;ate primary school and early secondary.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Buses provide much needed public transport for many people. Ensure all new buses are accessible. Half price or better yet, free public transport is supported. Support electric ferries. Ensure all new ferries are accessible to disabled people. Walking and cycling are very important. Many disabled people find cycling, on appropriately designed cycle ways, easier than walking. Cycling needs to be accessible and safe for all ages and abilities. Support tree planting, but also want old growth better protected and not removed when intensification happens.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Do not want libraries, pools or community centres sold. These are vital for community cohesion and participation.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Support standardisation, however, do not support three different sized bins. This could lead to perverse outcomes where renters with small bins and potentially large families are forced to take their rubbish to other places like the bins at the beach/neighbours bins, which could result in overflows in other locations. Do support organic refuse collection on a large scale across the region.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: Please finish the shared path ASAP! We understand the delays due to Covid, but have been waiting years for this shared path!! Ensure that all new public spaces are accessible to disabled people. New playgrounds must have accessible play equipment. New benches, tables and drinking fountains must be accessible. Mission Bay would be a great place place for an accessible beach mat and a Changing Place - adult change facility.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8167

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We're already a generation late with addressing this. Inaction will harm our community we'll beyond our lifetime.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8182

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: A targeted rate will ensure monies are spent on the targeted area of Climate Action. Climate Change is a reality and as a Coastal City we need to be acting NOW.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It is always prudent to operate efficiently and minimise excess spend

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I do support pay as you use waste charges to encourage less waste to landfill. I do support green waste collection but to community composting programmes only if householders cannot compost on their own property. Not to n anaerobic compost digestor such as is proposed.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): CLM Community Sport

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We support Council's proposed investment in low carbon public transport and the active network, as we believe there is a direct link between investment in public transport and the active network to increased levels of activity.Safety is of huge concern for our Tamariki and Rangitahi. Cycle / walking pathways play a role in making sure they make it to and from their destination without harm of motor vehicles allowing increased participation in activities at Parks and Sports fields.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Whilst we agree in theory, we know that within South Auckland we have a number of tired and aging facilities. These facilities are essential for participation in sport, play and active recreation along with creating social hubs for our communities. Further information on what will be targeted through the "recycling of non-strategic assets" would be appreciated as decisions could have a social impact.

There is concern about Council's proposal to implement an additional $15 million of permanent cost reductions in the form of efficiency saving and low priority service reductions. Public open space is valued by our communities and provides important and well utilised places for recreation and play. Any sale of this public open space has the potential to impact physical activity levels and as a result, negatively impact the wellbeing of communities.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: There is already a shortfall in provisions for Sport and Recreation facilities within South Auckland and examples of inequity throughout the region. The deferring of capital investment might have a detrimental impact on the condition of current sport and recreation facilities, as well as the inclusion of other much needed facilities.

Maintaining the current capital investment profile over the next three years would mitigate the risk of negatively impacting the wellbeing of communities caused by inadequate facilities necessary to support physical activity levels. Counties Manukau had 43 expressions of interest submitted to the recent Auckland Council 'Sport and Recreation Facility Investment Fund' which demonstrates such demand for new projects. This fund was oversubscribed substantially showing there is a need for an increase in this area, not a reduction.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were re-invested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

Manurewa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The proposed changes of decision-making allocation to Local Boards for new local recreation and sports facilities and their location design, build and fit out and the number of new local parks and their specific location, is of significance to us as an organisation. We, in theory, agree that Local Boards should have more influence in the decisions made for their Local communities. However, we would like to acknowledge the risk associated with this. Significant investment has been made on consultation and planning for Local board outcomes and would like that this evidence-based approach be considered as part of this process. It is crucial that a regional lense be applied to all projects to mitigate duplication of facilities and the production of 'white elephants', whilst projects with high demand get overlooked.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8193

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think this is so important. As a daily bus services user, I'd love to see the service and buses improved considerably.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Agree wholeheartedly.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8199

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is a high priority. We absolutely need to target our climate emergency immediately - cheaper public transport, smaller buses on some feeder routes, continuing with new cycle paths are all important and should help to reduce our terrible traffic congestion. Can we have more protection for our beautiful old trees and stop the senseless and ruthless felling of trees. Surely some trees can be kept and incorporated into new developments, They are vital for physical and mental health. Can we consider cycle safety along Remuera Road - this is a main route but extremely dangerous for cyclists. Many more would cycle along here if it was safe.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We currently have this system and it seems to work well.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: I support all proposed priorities and the improvement of our water quality in particular. Hobson Bay is such a wonderful natural amenity to have and we must look after it. At present it is a major Health and Safety issue. The drainage system is old and cannot cope at the best of times. With the extreme weather events we are experiencing, sewerage is at times flowing around residents' homes. Attention to this is a high priority.

The environmental programmes are wonderful and contribute to the health and wellbeing of our environment, wildlife and residents.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8207

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is only impacting everyone more so having a budget to do something about it is great.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I think we should be aiming to better spend our money, also we could charge a rubbish charge since we should be aiming to go to zero waste as a city.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We absolutely should be trying to reduce our waste to zero as a region, recycling should all be used, and commercial composting should be available. Also water tanks that store water for use on new builds should go in, rather than storage tanks that just let it go into after rain comes.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Maungarei Springs is still struggling to get it's planting and it's trapping standardised. For a local park with wildlife this is taking a long time. Also dogs should be limited to paved areas and grass and kept out of the wetlands due to bird life.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Climbing in the Auckland region, Maungarei Springs, Ti Point, would love to see more old quarries open for more climbing in the Auckland region.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8211

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We love Aotearoa and TAmaki Makaurau and want to look after it

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We suppose it needs it

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: If it's needed make busses a priority

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Sounds like it should be okay as you through to be better environmentally but that's not what it suggests so don't know

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units) I don't know

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Need more bus stops

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8225

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: The supporting document for the Climate Emergency states the following issue: “Last year was New Zealand’s hottest since records began a century ago, and seven of the last nine years have been among the hottest on record too. We must act, and act now, to protect our planet for future generations.”

We absolutely agree that to make the necessary changes we need to act, and act now but in a very targeted way.

We would like to support the Climate Action budget, but cannot without assurance that all monies will be spent in the best focussed areas.. Auckland needs a coherent Climate Action plan - It is a futile exercise to have all these public consultations without a coherent and clearly signalled plan. At the moment we have a series of ad hoc projects which started off with a declaration of a Climate Emergency in 2019. What is missing is any coherent explanation of the relative climate benefits from that ad hoc suite of measures.

One of the massive benefits of a coherent understandable explanation of the climate challenges faced by the city and their relative importance is to educate people in a coherent consistent way about what steps they need to take to forward the plan. It would also give people the opportunity to make a meaningful contribution to the consultation process; without a clear plan and a rationale cogently related to the climate crisis, the consultation pretty much consists of “Do you want us to raise $1 billion and spend it on what we feel will have some climate benefit?”

Currently a large part of the 2022 proposed budget will be spent on cycle ways and improved bus services which relate to minimising transport emissions and vehicle movement.

The proposed budget has also identified that tree shade and evapotranspiration are climate change/global warming mitigation measures that need to be funded, along with kai gardens, fruit trees and food forests (although noticeably absent is any reference to urban farms).

The latest scientific analysis of how to deal with global warming says that transpiration is the number one priority ahead of carbon emissions so the greatest expenditure should be going into transpiration, and reducing carbon emissions is a secondary consideration. There are immediate steps that should be taken to make this happen - we must act, and act now.

It is everyone's responsibility who has any influence over any piece of land in the city to ensure the soil is being used for transpirational purposes, which means water containment and cooling, which is essential as the planet warms up.

Measures to improve evapotranspiration are designed to repair the hydrological cycle that enables vegetation to water-cool the planet; for this to happen communities need to have the capacity to create vegetative transpiring surfaces to cool the neighbourhood, cool the city and counter global warming. It is their contribution to counter global warming by composting, growing food, food forests, tree planting and forest regeneration.

At the same time as these measures can be put in place, Council needs to look at the enormous spend ($700 million over 20 years) it is planning for Anaerobic Digestion (AD) of food waste. In contrast to evapotranspiration, AD is a means of using food waste to generate expensive electricity, with a residue of readily accessible nitrates.

There is a fundamental conflict between these two measures and there is no plan which people can read and understand which will guide them in giving an informed answer as to whether $700 million should be spent on AD at the expense of transpiration, or voting for using local food waste to make compost to grow local food, to reforest volcanic cones and cycle ways and create pollinator pathways and rooftop gardens. In the Waste Plan and the promotion of the current budget the promise is to work with communities. Taking the food waste away is not working with the communities. Expending the climate budget on revegetating all available surfaces so that they transpire and cool needs funding for communities to do this, be engaged in it, be employed in this, food security, mental health, physical health, circular economy - resilience! The price of fruit and vegetables has been increasing year on year and is causing immense poverty and hardship so it is good that the plan says we are going to spend $13.5 million on evapotranspiration but how is planting 14,000 trees going to have that effect? This has to happen across the city on every available square metre on land to have beneficial effect, and if the city is not cooled, the rising temperatures will continue to be persistent. It will be too hot to go out on a bicycle.

On that basis, the highest and best use of food waste from a climate point of view is to put it into local composting to transform local vegetative growth whether it be planting trees, foresting maunga, backyard gardening, covercroping grass verges, community gardening, food forests, cover pocket parks with cover crops, wild flowers, pollinator friendly vegetation in place of heat re-radiating grass deserts. If you are going to go all out to replace reradiating surfaces with transpiring surfaces, the city will need all the foodwaste for the compost.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: 'We cannot agree with the point.

Specifically we have a concern about the standardisation of food waste for collection. Food waste is a huge resource for the city. As per our submission for Climate Change we believe the following.

The decision by Council to use an anaerobic digestor to deal with food waste was a few years ago.

At that time, and it remains the situation today, the Council stated the food waste hierarchy to be - Eat your food or give it to someone else to eat,

- Feed it to animals, and failing that,

- Compost it at home or in the community

Only after those possibilities were exhausted would there be a food waste collection service with some form of centralised processing or treatment.

People should have the option to not use the service if they are going to compost at home, or share their food waste with a community compost service.

The latest scientific analysis of how to deal with global warming says that transpiration is the number one priority ahead of carbon emissions so the greatest expenditure should be going into transpiration, and reducing carbon emissions is a secondary consideration. There are immediate steps that should be taken to make this happen - we must act, and act now.

It is everyone’s responsibility who has any influence over any piece of land in the city to ensure the soil is being used for transpirational purposes, which means water containment and cooling, which is essential as the planet warms up.

Measures to improve evapotranspiration are designed to repair the hydrological cycle that enables vegetation to water-cool the planet; for this to happen communities need to have the capacity to create vegetative transpiring surfaces to cool the neighbourhood, cool the city and counter global warming. It is their contribution to counter global warming by composting, growing food, food forests, tree planting and forest regeneration.

At the same time as these measures can be put in place, Council needs to look at the enormous spend ($700 million over 20 years) it is planning for Anaerobic Digestion (AD) of food waste. In contrast to evapotranspiration, AD is a means of using food waste to generate expensive electricity, with a residue of readily accessible nitrates.

There is a fundamental conflict between these two measures and there is no plan which people can read and understand which will guide them in giving an informed answer as to whether $700 million should be spent on AD at the expense of transpiration, or voting for using local food waste to make compost to grow local food, to reforest volcanic cones and

cycle ways and create pollinator pathways and rooftop gardens. In the Waste Plan and the promotion of the current budget the promise is to work with communities. Taking the food waste away is not working with the communities. Expending the climate budget on revegetating all available surfaces so that they transpire and cool needs funding for communities to do this, be engaged in it, be employed in this, food security, mental health, physical health, circular economy - resilience! The price of fruit and vegetables has been increasing year on year and is causing immense poverty and hardship so it is good that the plan says we are going to spend $13.5 million on evapotranspiration but how is planting 14,000 trees going to have that effect? This has to happen across the city on every available square metre on land to have beneficial effect, and if the city is not cooled, the rising temperatures will continue to be persistent. It will be too hot to go out on a bicycle.

On that basis, the highest and best use of food waste from a climate point of view is to put it into local composting to transform local vegetative growth whether it be planting trees, foresting maunga, backyard gardening, covercroping grass verges, community gardening, food forests, cover pocket parks with cover crops, wild flowers, pollinator friendly vegetation in place of heat re-radiating grass deserts. If you are going to go all out to replace reradiating surfaces with transpiring surfaces, the city will need all the foodwaste for the compost.

The nature of the problem is this, every available square metre of land on the planet, including in cities, and in this case particularly Auckland city needs to be shouldering its share of the burden of cooling the planet, which means it needs to be growing vegetation, and it needs to be growing it with the aid of compost and cover crops, and it needs to be building a soil with maximum capacity to hold water to allow the vegetation to carry heat out and lose it into space. In the process it needs to bring extreme weather events which are heat related under control.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the

Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

The four points of Anaerobic Digestion

Anaerobic digestion of food waste is said to have four benefits:

1. It is one possible means of dealing with food "waste" which would allow a 50,000 tonne chunk of food "waste" to be diverted from landfill in reasonably short order and thereby avoid significant methane emissions.

2. Composting food waste generates more CO2e emissions than disposal by AD.

3. The digestate byproduct of AD can be used as a nitrogen fertiliser in horticulture and agriculture.

4. Burning the methane extracted from food waste by AD allows the generation of energy from a renewable waste stream, and thus avoids emissions from the burning of fossil fuels.

We deal with these points in turn.

1. Avoidance of methane emissions by diversion of food waste from landfill

The Climate Change Commission has suggested that another possible way of saving methane emissions from landfilled food waste is to dump the food waste in landfills with methane carbon capture technology. We disagree with this proposition for two reasons: even modern landfills with methane capture capacity are not particularly efficient; secondly, when food “waste” is disposed of by landfilling, as well as the loss of organic carbon, the mineral nutrient content of the food is lost to the biosphere.

2. Composting food waste generates more CO2e emissions than disposal by AD

The Council’s 2017 Waste Assessment and two relevant appendices (“A” and “F”) are published on the Auckland Council website. It includes an analysis (understood to have been conducted by C40 Cities) as to the respective carbon footprints of AD v composting. The Assessment concludes that treatment of source-separated food waste by AD provides the "lowest carbon impact" (i.e. lower than the carbon impact of composting) - see Appendix "A" pp23 & 25. The graph at p25 of Appendix "F" quantifies the gap at 92,222 tCO2e over 11 years - 2019 to 2030: 1,148,903t CO2e (emissions savings from diversion plus composting emissions) less 1,241,125t CO2e (emissions savings from diversion plus carbon emissions of AD)

That result overstates the carbon emissions of composting as it fails to net off carbon emissions (129kgCO2e per tonne of food waste composted) saved, from synthetic fertiliser manufacture, when compost is used to grow food - see Appendix "F" pp 24 & 26. The overstatement of compost emissions by 87,797t CO2e is calculated as follows - 680,601 (tonnes of diverted food

waste between 2019 and 2030) x 129kg CO2e. This reduces the carbon gap between compost and AD to 4,424t CO2e (402t CO2e a year). Some might regard this narrow margin as immaterial, a flimsy support for a $700 million initial investment in AD.

It gets worse. The figure of 129kg CO2e per tonne of food waste composted is derived from the assumption at p24 that composting of "mixed organic waste" will yield 6% of by weight of finished compost. Attached is the front page, together with p82, of the paper upon which the 6% yield assumption relies. The diagram on that page reveals that in the particular trial 100tons of mixed solid waste produced a compost yield of 6.5% after 60 tons of compost rejects were removed from the feedstock. Source separated food waste is 100% compostable. A like for like comparison suggests a compost yield of 6.5% from a 40 ton feedstock - a 16.25% yield, not 6.5% and certainly not a 6.0%.

We have prepared the attached three graphs to show the effect of the relevant scenarios.

The first is a reproduction of the appendix "F" graph, to which a broken black line has been added indicating the GHG benefit of the intended diversion of food waste from landfill. This is a constant across all three graphs. The colour of the line representing carbon cost of AD has been changed from grey to green for improved visibility.

The second graph illustrates the effect of adopting a compost yield of 6% indicating AD clinging to a narrow advantage (4,424t CO2e). It also shows that increasing the yield to 6.5% flips advantage narrowly in favour of compost. The decision to adopt a yield of 6% for an unknown reason handed AD the better carbon footprint as indicated by the green AD line ever so slightly below the orange compost line whereas at 6.5% compost dips below the green line to show it has the better carbon outcome (by 2,807t CO2e). Neither yield has any legitimacy and it is difficult to understand the purpose of this exercise in spurious precision.

In the third graph using a 16% yield the orange composting line falls below the dotted black line indicating that composting substantially enhances the carbon benefit of diverting food waste from landfill by 141,690t CO2e. This represents a 233,912t CO2e improvement, in the carbon footprint of composting, on the result shown in the Appendix “F” graph.

The elimination of the 60t of compost rejects from the 100t of mixed solid waste inputs in the trial relied on was necessary to produce a like for like comparison with source separated food waste. But for that adjustment the trial would have been unusable for the purposes of the Waste Assessment. It is curious that no investigation was undertaken of other sources closer to home, such as Living Earth who compost the food waste collected from the kerbside in Christchurch for example. I have been composting food waste in the community pretty consistently for the last 14 years. I have never heard of or experienced a yield as low as 16%. Usually the expected yield would fall in the range of 35 - 55%.

For the purposes of this exercise, I am not arguing for a higher yield, and nor could it reasonably be argued that a lower yield is applicable, so the conclusion that composting has a better

carbon footprint than AD by a wide margin is not argumentative. The facts pointing to the implausibility of the Waste Assessment's contrary conclusion were drawn to the Council's attention two or three years ago yet the Waste Assessment continues to be published on the Council website without clarification of the issue. In this situation the ability of individuals to make meaningful contributions to the process of public consultation on relevant climate change and budget issues is compromised. The lack of transparency makes it impossible for people to make informed decisions about whether or not to use the proposed kerbside food waste collection service. There is a significant risk that conscientious souls will be deterred from composting their food waste at home or contributing to community in reliance on the Waste Assessment is reliable. The inability to opt out is a further disincentive to compost at home and in the community. It is hard to reconcile this provision with statements elsewhere that the council continues to encourage home composting.

For the sake of completeness, it needs to be noted that there is another significant omission in the 2017 Waste Assessment in that it fails to take into account the full carbon benefit of using compost to grow food, which is not confined to the avoided emissions from the manufacture of synthetic fertiliser.

Compost used in horticulture and agriculture, both in rural and urban settings, draws down and sequesters carbon in the soil. Conversely the effect of synthetic nitrate fertilisers and other forms of readily accessible nitrates (such as digestate from AD) destroys soil carbon structure and respires that soil carbon into the atmosphere as carbon dioxide, increasing our GHG emissions from agricultural land.

One suggestion that is constantly being put forward is the introduction into the Indo-Gangetic plain of artificial fertilisers such as sulphate of ammonia. This would soon lead to catastrophe. Even where there is a large safety margin, i.e. a large reserve of humus, such dressings do untold harm. the presence of additional combined nitrogen in an easily assimilable form stimulates the growth of fungi and other organisms which, in the search for the organic matter needed for energy and for building up microbial tissue, use up first the reserves of soil humus and then the more resistant organic matter which cements the soil particles. This glue is not affected by the processes going on in a normally cultivated soil, but it cannot withstand the same processes when stimulated by dressings of artificial fertilizers. - pp149-150 Albert Howard "The Soil and Health" 1944

This is a topic that needs to be borne in mind as an issue to be understood and taken into account in assessing the opportunity cost of widespread adoption of the AD technology.

3. ***AD digestate is useful as a nitrogen fertiliser in horticulture and agriculture***

The digestate is a readily-accessible nitrate, no different in its effect from synthetic nitrate fertiliser.

Globally we are losing 74 billion tonnes of topsoil to the ocean annually. New Zealand contributes 192 million tonnes to that total. The prevalence of industrial scale agriculture with its heavy reliance on biocides and synthetic fertilisers, particularly readily-accessible synthetic nitrates is heavily implicated in this concerning loss of food producing capacity and resilience. 75% of agricultural land worldwide is moderately to severely degraded. Once again, readily-accessible nitrates are largely to blame and returning organic matter and soil biology to the soil through composting of all available organic matter and, at the same time, eliminating readily accessible soluble nitrates from horticulture and agriculture is the only way to restore and protect the porous soil carbon/mineral structure.

This is consistent with the findings of the ISWA in its investigation of the comparative merits of composting and AD. It concluded that the challenge for waste planners is therefore to source and collect clean contaminant free organic waste and recycle these into quality compost [to restore health soils].

- BENEFITS OF COMPOST AND ANAEROBIC DIGESTATE WHEN APPLIED TO SOIL

Soil with an ample porous carbon/mineral structure has the capacity to infiltrate, hold and purify large volumes of water. It buffers the effects of torrential rain and drains excess water into acquirers so that streams continue to flow even in extended dry periods. This way healthy low bulk density soils avoid the cycle of flood, drought, erosion, aridification, exhaustion and desertification of arable land; these being the main drivers of deforestation.

The water captured by healthy soils gives vegetation the capacity to maintain the water supply to hydrate leaves so that photosynthetic production of sustaining carbohydrate sugars is resilient to dry periods. In the same way it provides the copious volumes of water for transpiration, the means by which vegetation cools itself and its environment. We sweat to cool ourselves. Cars circulate heated engine water through a fanned radiator much the same as dogs circulate blood through their tongues and pant vigorously to cool it.

Vegetation achieves the same result by maintaining a flow of water through its leaves or blades as the case may be. The sun's ultraviolet radiation heats the water and cools the leaf. The water absorbs copious quantities of heat until it reaches the heat of vaporisation at which point the vapour is released through the stomata of the leaf and in the process sucks up cooler replacement water from the soil carbon sponge. The heat laden vapour rises through the atmosphere until it reaches an altitude sufficiently cool to suck the heat out of the water vapour and condense it into microdroplets.

It is the way in which the Earth's hydrological cooling cycle is driven by the symbiotic relationship between vegetation and soil biology that generates and maintains the soil carbon mineral porous structure, the sponginess to hold large quantities of water.

Part of that process of maintaining the wetted soil sponge is to ensure that the water vapour that escapes into the atmosphere is returned as rain as soon as possible. Certain trees secrete highly hygroscopic bacteria in the stomatal cavity of their leaves. These bacteria rise with the water vapour. The microdroplets of condensed vapour form high albedo clouds that reflect incoming ultraviolet back into space (contributing to global cooling). The hydroscopic bacteria go to work coalescing the micro droplets by the million into raindrops of sufficient mass to fall back to earth under their own weight. The soil sponge is replenished and the cooling hydrological cycle keeps ticking over.

We have been slow to recognise the critical importance of focusing our efforts to restore the soil carbon sponge in order to cool the planet. We have always known that water vapour has extraordinary capacity to hold heat (it is the most powerful greenhouse gas of all) but the prevailing wisdom was that we had agency over carbon emissions but had no effective means of influencing the hydrological cycle - the weather is the weather and beyond reducing GHG emissions it was thought there was nothing more we could do. It may also have had something to do with a less sophisticated understanding, than we now have, of soil carbon, low bulk density, water holding capacity and the negative influence of synthetic nitrate fertilisers and industrial agricultural practices. The catch cry was, and in some quarters still is, that we need synthetic fertilisers and readily accessible nitrates to maintain and improve agricultural productivity in order to feed a projected population of 10b by 2050.

Scientists now say that restoring the soil carbon sponge supplants emissions reduction as the primary climate goal. Reduction of carbon emissions is now seen as a co-benefit rather than the main event.

- Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world
- Walter Jehne: The Soil Carbon Sponge, Climate Solutions and Healthy Water Cycles (see at 57.40 natural hydrological cooling is 95% of Earths heat dynamics)

As Jehne points out every square metre of ground needs its hydrological cooling function to be performing at maximum capacity. Each square metre of the Earth's surface receives 152 watts Difference between the incident solar radiation coming in and going out is 3 watts per square metre. Righting that heating imbalance is definitely an achievable goal: a 2% increase in high albedo clouds would do it. So too would a 5% increase in transpiration.

Keynote: Walter Jehne on Urban Agriculture and the Climate Emergency

As a city our priority must be to restore as much carbon/mineral porous structure as possible to as much land as possible. This can be achieved by supplanting mown grass in parks and other green spaces with cover crops or wild flowers in conjunction with compost. Devote a large acreage to capacious hyper local urban farms (one every 500m nearby every bus stop would be

useful) as well as community gardens. Schools need to serve as local hubs to generate compost to fertilise large kitchen gardens. The produce needs to be incorporated into the food in schools programme.Teaching about ecosystems and the soil food web needs to be added to the curriculum.

A huge effort needs to go into encouraging facilitating and incentivising home composting, street scale community compost hubs, local compost champions, compost sharing and back yard food gardens. Professional garden maintenance and arborists need to be equipped with efficient shredders and drop their shredded green matter to the nearest local compost hub in need.

Peri urban areas need to be devoted to compost intensive growing of food flowers, food forests, orchards, reforestation preferably with natives. The programme of reforesting the maunga many of which are still bare reradiating surfaces. All this needs to be done in conjunction with intensive pest eradication. No available surface should be overlooked. Cycle ways and walk ways can be future proofed by continuous tree shade cover and double as pollinator pathways.

The compost required for these projects will absorb all of the 170,000t of food waste and all available green waste in Auckland. Food waste and green waste will be collected within communities. Centralised kerbside collection services, truck movements and expensive AD facilities will be redundant.

Localised highly valuable circular food economies will prevail. Resilient communities will have access to nutrient dense whole foods and will have the opportunity to participate in the growing of that food. Those communities will be lifted out of the poverty they now face with the price of fruit and vegetables rising by 17% year on year for the past 3 years with the prospect of further price hikes on their way given the reliance on conventional agriculture on fossil fuel based fertilisers and biocides the price of which are on a steep upward curve. Add to that mix the crop failures and loss of productivity and supply chain failures due to extreme weather events, covid and the war in Ukraine.

We should not doubt the capacity of this form of biointense local agriculture. At the top of Symonds Street OMG is generating revenue of $90,000 per annum from a CSA model with 500 sq metres under cultivation, all grown with food and green waste composted on site. That equates to $1.8m per hectare.

Nor should we doubt the capacity of communities to replicate this model. This is what Andy Boor, the general manager of Kelmarna Gardens has to say about resilient communities.

“Kelmarna has provided me with the first genuine experience (in my adult life at least) of “community” - a hard thing to define, but you know it when you feel it - which is sadly lacking from too many of our modern lives.

To have resilient communities that support everyone's wellbeing, and are capable of tackling the intractable problems that we face, we need connections beyond our private circles of work, families and friends. We need networks of people with different backgrounds, ages, skills, and opinion, willing to do each other a favour, and work together in a common cause (even when we don't always agree on every detail).

My time here has proven to me beyond doubt that this community network is the foundational strength that makes Kelmarna work, and that amazing things can be accomplished even on a shoestring budget, with the willing collaboration of a connected community."

Kelmarna Gardens collects and composts local food waste on site and recently raised crowdfunding of $95,000 for expansion of its food growing activity.

The soil food web thrives on organic matter. It circulates the mineral and carbon content of that organic matter, and reduces it to carbon dioxide, soil carbon structure and plant available mineral nutrients. It is only when the nutrient needs of the soil food web are satisfied that nitrogen is released from the soil food web to plants. This mechanism ensures the integrity of the process that builds soil carbon porous structure.

In a natural environment food waste would be digested in the soil food web. A portion of its organic carbon content would build and maintain the integrity of the porous soil carbon structure and its mineral nutrients would be mineralised back into plant available form. In an industrial scale operation AD systematically intercepts that organic matter and diverts it down the passage of years out of the biosphere. The inevitable effect of doing so is to diminish soil carbon structure, the functioning and biomass of the soil food web and slow the cycling of nutrients and diminish the green cover and the cooling hydrological cycle.

The second big issue with AD digestate is that as a readily accessible soluble nitrates which, has the same soil carbon degrading capacity as synthetic nitrate fertilisers when applied to the land allows plants to bypass the natural soil food web process of soil building and energises decomposing bacteria to attack, devour and respire soil carbon structure out into the atmosphere as carbon dioxide.

For these reasons we believe that AD swims against the tide of soil carbon structure creation, the capacity of the soil to hold water to enable a thriving vegetation to transpire water into the atmosphere and cool the environment.

This exposes an incoherance in the Council's Waste Plan and its intended focus on ecosystem health and cooling transpiration.The intended expenditure of $700 million on food waste collection and processing by AD should be diverted into funding home and community composting projects on a massive scale. The intended expenditure of a mere $13.4 million on planting 14,000 trees with a sprinkling of kai gardens and food forests is woefully inadequate to

the task of cooling Auckland's landscape. A much greater portion of the expenditure of the proposed $1 billion needs to be devoted to these activities.

4. Energy Generation - Avoided Emissions from burning fossil fuels

The reduction of emissions by extracting methane from burning fossil fuels by substituting methane extracted from food waste is illusory; firstly because food waste is not a renewable resource which is apparent from the fact that we are losing topsoil at the rate of 74 billion tonnes a year and it is only a matter of time before the earth's soil will be incapable of supporting crops and grazing livestock. At the point that food production is no longer possible, burning food waste will not be an option. The United Nations say that we are only decades away from being in that position, unless drastic changes are made. For the reasons mentioned above, diverting food waste from the biosphere will simply hasten the day that soils will no longer be able to grow food. The emissions from diminishing and destroying soil carbon structure that results from AD of food waste on an industrial scale far exceed any emissions that might be saved from less burning of fossil fuels. It is also clear that there is very little market for digestate and there is a very real possibility that the digestate will either have to be landfilled or composted. If it is landfilled it defeats the whole purpose of diverting the food waste from landfill. If it is composted then the carbon footprint of composting will be added to the carbon footprint of AD, which is an absurdity.

If we were to add more AD units to the mix, the greater the likelihood is that the digestate will have to be landfilled or composted because as nitrate fertiliser free regenerative agriculture takes hold, as it inevitably will, there will be no possible use for the digestate and no possible market. It is completely foreseeable that AD plants will quickly become stranded assets.

We would recommend that it is better to pause any further investment in AD or other climate change action, for that matter, until a coherent consistent understandable climate strategy is devised.

Academic research paper indicates compost yield of 16.25% (40 tons of organic waste yields 6.5 tons of compost)

Sustainable Solid Waste Management in India

by

Ranjith Kharvel Annepu

Advisor: Nickolas J. Themelis
Stanley-Thompson Professor Emeritus

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Earth Resources Engineering
Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering
Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Science

Columbia University in the City of New York

January 10, 2012

Sponsored by the

Waste-to-Energy Research and Technology Council (WTERT)

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

EARTH ENGINEERING CENTER
Advancing Sustainable Waste Management



Figure 28, Material Balance Flowchart of MBT Process, with Calorific Values of Different Fractions of Composting Rejects: Source: Ramky Enviro Engineers

Original Appendix F Graph

with Diversion of Food Waste (pre-treatment) added



Appendix F Graph

with GHG Avoidance Emission Factor (AEF) | 6% & 6.5% yield



Appendix F Graph

with GHG Avoidance Emission Factor (AEF) | 16% yield



	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total	
Total Emissions Baseline	325000	334737	343649	352802	362202	371856	381770	391952	402409	413148	424177	435504	447137	4986343	
Composting (Total)	325000	252424	259080	265950	273004	280250	287691	295332	303180	311240	319518	328020	336750	3837439	
Anaerobic (Total)	325000	245649	252305	258992	265859	272911	280154	287592	295231	303077	311134	319409	327907	3745220	AD emission savings greater than composting by:
Composting (Net)		82313	84569	86852	89198	91606	94079	96620	99229	101908	104659	107484	110387	1148904	92219 (Based on Original Appendix F)
Anaerobic (Net)		89088	91344	93810	96343	98945	101616	104360	107178	110071	113043	116095	119230	1241123	
With GHG AEF (6%) above green line		245980	252636	259332	266207	273270	280522	287970	295619	303475	311543	319830	328339	3424723	
With GHG AEF (6.5%) below green line		245443	252099	258781	265641	272688	279925	287356	294989	302828	310879	319147	327638	3417413	
With GHG AEF (16%)		235240	241896	248302	254880	261636	268574	275700	283017	290533	298252	306180	314320	3278530	Compost emission savings greater than AD by:
With GHG AEF (6%) Net		88757	91013	93470	95995	98586	101248	103982	106790	109673	112634	115674	118798	1236620	-4503 (Based on 6%)
With GHG AEF (6.5%) Net		89294	91550	94021	96561	99168	101845	104596	107420	110320	113298	116357	119499	1243930	2807 (Based on 6.5%)
With GHG AEF (16%) Net		99497	101753	104500	107322	110220	113196	116252	119392	122615	125925	129324	132817	1382813	141690 (Based on 16%)
organic waste composted (tonnes)		50000	50000	51350	52736	54160	55623	57124	58667	60251	61878	63548	65264	680601	
Diversion of foodwaste from landfill (Pre-treatment)		242849	249505	256116	262905	269878	277039	284393	291945	299702	307668	315851	324252	3382104	
Difference between Anerobic and...															
... 6%		-331	-331	-340	-348	-359	-368	-378	-388	-398	-409	-421	-432	-4503	
...6.5%			206	211	218	223	229	236	242	249	255	262	269	2601	
...16%		206	10409	10690	10979	11275	11580	11892	12214	12544	12882	13229	13587	131487	
Compost minus AD emissions		6775	6775	6958	7145	7339	7537	7740	7949	8163	8384	8611	8843	92219	

1423

#8225

8229

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Most Aucklanders don't need public transports

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Tell us what specifically you are prioritising.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The current system is running perfectly well

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reduce the charge of utilities.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8230

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Stop the segregation in council owned properties eg libraries everybody has the right to use these buildings equally

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8632

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I have to say it's difficult to estimate the exact amount of money required for the project. But I can see that it is being put to good use in areas that need improvement!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8635

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It helps not only New Zealand citizens but everyone even future generations

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8651

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: PAYT is the one I would favour enormously, over the straight rates funded system. So the idea is that it is the resident/occupier of the property that should pay for the waste management PAYT. - in other words if the owner is not residing on the property, they would not pay for the service. The PAYT system has many advantages 1- obviously its much more fair and equitable 2 - provides flexibility for the occupier, in other words the occupier can choose when and how often waste is put out on the street - eg. if the occupier is away for a few months they would not pay for waste management, also if an owner has an empty rented flat, the owner is not liable for waste collection is not taking place. The ultimate thing is that the approach I am suggesting will obviously be an incentive for occupiers to be encouraged to reuse or recycling items, thereby, being a far more environmentally friendly arrangement. Finally, the occupier is incentivized to compost any organic material, rather than sending to the landfill

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Ellerslie Business Association

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We are opposed, in principle, to the Council's proposal to introduce a substantial new targeted rate of 2.3% through an Annual Plan. This was not signalled through the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 and represents an unfair and destabilising approach to rates, especially at a time when businesses are facing tremendous uncertainty from the ongoing pandemic, world events and inflation.

While we agree with the need to take climate action, in our view this must involve decisions by the Council to reduce, stop or reprioritise services, not simply add more.

If further funding is absolutely necessary, we ask that funding mechanisms be employed that incentivise climate action and more appropriately spread the cost (eg such as a UAGC).

Turning to the proposal for the Climate Action Targeted Rate (CATR), we do not accept that a business differential (even at 25.8%) should be applied to this targeted

rate if introduced. We accept that business should contribute its share for climate action , but not through a differentiated targeted rate.

Further, with an existing and increasing number of sustainably designed and constructed commercial buildings, we disagree there is always a relationship between high property values and higher generation of greenhouse emissions. As a consequence, and also as a means of incentivising the action in Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Plan to accelerate the uptake and sustainable design and construction of buildings, we ask that Council provide for remissions of any climate action funding requirements for these kinds of buildings.

Finally, as the majority of businesses across the Auckland Region are small to medium sized, we welcome initiatives that support business to take climate action. Business education concerning sustainability and climate action is particularly important to raise awareness and drive change.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why: We have serious concerns expressed from our local business members that COVID-19 is having a significant ongoing impact on their businesses.

The impacts include direct financial impacts on businesses (especially hospitality businesses), supply chain and market disruption as well as effects on production and human resourcing. More particularly, COVID-19 has had major impacts on exporters to China and those relying on international visitors and students. For hospitality and events organisers, the ongoing disruptions have been devastating. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down. Businesses tied to travel, tourism and hospitality have experienced losses that will not be recoverable. We still do not know how long this will continue. We have lost many businesses already, with the outlook for some businesses now dire. We have also noticed a decline in the security of our town centres, likely due in part to COVID-19 measures by central government to address homelessness and reallocate police resources.

With very little direction from government, the vast majority of landlords have decided to share in some of their tenant's financial stress with proportional rent relief

throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures. While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences) . We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic. Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long

Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of $31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

• keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR); and

• make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then

• prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments;

• sell more surplus property; and

• make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value or can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest criteria asking whether an activity needs be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: We have serious concerns expressed from our local business members that COVID-19 is having a significant ongoing impact on their businesses.

The impacts include direct financial impacts on businesses (especially hospitality

businesses), supply chain and market disruption as well as effects on production and human resourcing. More particularly, COVID-19 has had major impacts on exporters to China and those relying on international visitors and students. For hospitality and events organisers, the ongoing disruptions have been devastating. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down. Businesses tied to travel, tourism and hospitality have experienced losses that will not be recoverable. We still do not know how long this will continue. We have lost many businesses already, with the outlook for some businesses now dire. We have also noticed a decline in the security of our town centres, likely due in part to COVID-19 measures by central government to address homelessness and reallocate police resources.

With very little direction from government, the vast majority of landlords have decided to share in some of their tenant's financial stress with proportional rent relief throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures. While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences) . We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic. Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long

Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of $31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

• keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR); and

• make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then

• prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments;

• sell more surplus property; and

• make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value or

can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest criteria asking whether an activity needs be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: We share concerns about the high rates of waste generated per person in Auckland and agree that the Council can play a role in influencing waste reduction. We also support the goals of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, including to minimise kerbside household waste.

We support the proposal to allow certain business properties to opt-out of Council's waste management services and charges from 1 July 2023, because the council's kerbside service is more suitable for domestic waste than dealing with the wide range and nature of business waste. We agree that charging for a service that the council cannot deliver is inequitable and that the case for maximising council's ability to influence waste behaviour is also irrelevant when the service required cannot be delivered by the council, such as to certain business properties.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Tell us why: See attachment for more info

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

5) Rating Policy Proposals

Our overall feedback is that what businesses need most from Council is a fair, transparent and stable approach to rates. As we said above, we are concerned about the un-signalled and compounding effect on businesses of increases in rates and charges.

Business differential

While we appreciate that the business differential is being reduced to 25.8% by 2037/2038, fundamentally, we do not accept that a business differential should be applied to rates especially for reasons that “businesses are better able to manage additional costs than residential properties” or because “businesses can claim back GST and expense rates against tax.” These reasons do not justify the business differential, particularly for small businesses who make up most businesses in Auckland.

Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate

As the border reopens, we strongly believe it is critical that Council fund ‘Brand Auckland’ visitor attraction and events to support local businesses recover from the COVID-19 crisis. Having no funding for this in the Annual Plan 2022/2023 is very short sighted.

We also disagree with the position that a link must be made between the funding of visitor attraction/events and a specific funding mechanism (such as the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate (‘APTR’)). We believe a more secure and sustainable source of funding needs to be found, though we do agree with the introduction of a levy at the border on international visitors.

Watercare’s increase in charges

To support an increase in capital investment, Watercare's board of directors resolved to increase water and wastewater tariffs by 7 per cent. This follows an increase of 7% for the current financial year. We are concerned about these substantial increases in water charges from Watercare and question whether they are a priority or reasonable.

(6) Regional Fuel Tax underspend

Our preference is to introduce initiatives that both manage demand and raise funding equitably as soon as possible, balanced with investment into affordable and more frequent public transport in order to effect sustainable behavioural change.

We understand, for example, that technical work on the 'Congestion Question' project that has been examining the potential to apply congestion charging in Auckland is progressing.

In the interim, while we have previously supported a regional fuel tax, we are very concerned about the ongoing underspend of the Regional Fuel Tax and in light of recent world events, we ask that Council advocate to central government fort the regional fuel tax to be suspended.

Conclusions

As we enter another very uncertain year, especially for small and medium sized businesses, we ask the Council to consider carefully their needs in its approach to the Annual Budget 2022/2023 and provide more focus on growing the economy and supporting local businesses.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

22 March 2022

Auckland Council Governing Body
Auckland Council
Private Bag 92300
Auckland 1142
akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Submission to Auckland Council Long Term Budget 2022/2023 and Local Board Priorities

Auckland Council is seeking feedback on the Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/23 and Local Board Priorities. This feedback is on behalf of all businesses in the Ellerslie Business Improvement District (BID) – we represent approximately 135 businesses and landlords in our village area.

Our submission will cover;

(1) Ongoing concerns regarding the impact of COVID-19
(2) Climate Action Targeted Rate
(3) Budget Pressures and Service Prioritisation
(4) Waste Service Standardisation
(5) Rating Policy Proposals
(6) Regional Fuel Tax underspend
(7) Local Board Feedback
(8) Other Matters for Feedback
(9) Summary

1. Introduction and Ongoing Concerns regarding the impact of Covid-19.

In addressing our concerns, we wanted to highlight that in our view business is not just a segment of society. Business is the heart of a community and what makes a city a city, and our village a village. They are the places where people spend most of their lives, it's where they receive income to support their families, it's where they go for coffee, food, goods and services and of course, to socialise and build community. Businesses are the heart and rhythm of a city. A healthy businesses community is a healthy community.

COVID-19 has proved to be an extremely challenging time for most of our village. While some sectors are doing well, such as business services and construction, most are not. Hardest hit are our retail and hospitality businesses, and beauty and personal care. The movement of people has also changed and our town centre that relies on office workers has been greatly effected with numerous office workers now working from home, many still most days per week. We have fewer people in our centre as shown with pedestrian movements and parking usage compared to pre-covid levels, making it very tough for business.

We still do not know how long this will continue. We have lost many businesses already, with the outlook for some businesses now dire. We have also noticed a decline in the security of our town centres, likely due in part to COVID-19 measures by central government to reallocate police resources plus the impact of emergency accommodation nearby by Kainga-Ora.

While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences) . We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic.

2. Climate Action Targeted Rate

We are opposed, in principle, to the Council's proposal to introduce a substantial new targeted rate of 2.3% through an Annual Plan. This was not signalled through the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 and represents an unfair and destabilising approach to rates, especially at a time when businesses are facing tremendous uncertainty from the ongoing pandemic, world events and inflation.

While we agree with the need to take climate action, in our view this must involve decisions by the Council to reduce, stop or reprioritise services, not simply add more.

If further funding is absolutely necessary, we ask that funding mechanisms be employed that incentivise climate action and more appropriately spread the cost (eg such as a UAGC).

Turning to the proposal for the Climate Action Targeted Rate (CATR), we do not accept that a business differential (even at 25.8%) should be applied to this targeted rate if introduced. We accept that business should contribute its share for climate action , but not through a differentiated targeted rate.

Finally, as the majority of businesses in Ellerslie are small to medium sized, we welcome initiatives that support business to take climate action. Business education concerning sustainability and climate action is particularly important to raise awareness and drive change.

3. Budget Pressures and Service Prioritisation

Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of $31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.

We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

- keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR); and
- make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then

- prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments;
- sell more surplus property; and
- make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value or can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest criteria asking whether an activity needs be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right.

There is much duplication with government services and work that can and is being done elsewhere and isn't core council business. A prime example is this type of project on marketing plant based food choices. Its not core council business and the authors themselves acknowledge in their own conclusions that government agencies were doing similar work and research.

https://www.knowledgeauckland.org.nz/media/2219/sustainable-healthy-food-choices-research-and-development-project-auckland-council-nov-2021.pdf

Auckland Council needs to focus on core services that align with its key priorities and deliverables. Small businesses are looking at every expense with a fine tooth comb to remove that which isn't essential. Auckland Council needs to be doing the same.

4. Waste Service Standardisation

We share concerns about the high rates of waste generated per person in Auckland and agree that the Council can play a role in influencing waste reduction. We also support the goals of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, including to minimise kerbside household waste.

We support the proposal to allow certain business properties to opt-out of Council's waste management services and charges from 1 July 2023, because the council's kerbside service is more suitable for domestic waste than dealing with the wide range and nature of business waste. We agree that charging for a service that the council cannot deliver is inequitable and that the case for maximising council's ability to influence waste behaviour is also irrelevant when the service required cannot be delivered by the council, such as to certain business properties.

5. Rating Policy Proposals

Our overall feedback is that what businesses need most from Council is a fair, transparent and stable approach to rates. As we said above, we are concerned about the un-signalled and compounding effect on businesses of increases in rates and charges.

Business differential

While we appreciate that the business differential is being reduced to 25.8% by 2037/2038, fundamentally, we do not accept that a business differential should be applied to rates especially for reasons that "businesses are better able to manage additional costs than residential properties" or because "businesses can claim back GST and expense rates against tax." These reasons do not justify the business differential, particularly for small businesses who make up most businesses in Auckland.

Watercare's increase in charges

To support an increase in capital investment, Watercare's board of directors resolved to increase water and wastewater tariffs by 7 per cent. This follows an increase of 7% for the current financial year. We are concerned about these substantial increases in water charges from Watercare and question whether they are a priority or reasonable.

6. Regional Fuel Tax Underspend

Our preference is to introduce initiatives that both manage demand and raise funding equitably as soon as possible, balanced with investment into affordable and more frequent public transport in order to effect sustainable behavioural change. We understand, for example, that technical work on the 'Congestion Question' project that has been examining the potential to apply congestion charging in Auckland is progressing.

In the interim, while we have previously supported a regional fuel tax, we are very concerned about the ongoing underspend of the Regional Fuel Tax and in light of recent world events, we ask that Council advocate to central government for the Regional Fuel Tax to be suspended.

7. Local Board Feedback

Overall we support the proposed initiatives in the Orakei Local Board plan. In particular we believe the Orakei Local Board is undertaking the initiatives based on the wishes of locals and is well connected with residents and businesses.

Ellerslie is a distinct community in the Orakei Local Board area. We are well connected with public transport options and the current intensification being undertaken and planned for in our neighbourhood is significant. Auckland has been slow in the past to provide the infrastructure to fit alongside intensification and we are

seeing the consequences today as we play catch up with public transport infrastructure and water infrastructure. In Ellerslie, the intensification is happening now, and there are some extremely large projects in planning stages such as the development of racecourse land with a possible 500 plus new dwellings plus further additional sites. Consequently we need to be developing and making plans for what our area needs in the medium to long term to continue to be a thriving and successful community.

We support the proposal by the Orakei Local Board to transform public spaces including our Ellerslie War Memorial Community Centre. It is a key asset for our village but it is now aging and not fit for the spaces community groups need. It is in a wonderful position at the entrance to our village adjacent to an existing council carpark and community square, and also sits alongside 2 old Kainga Ora houses. We have advocated for several years that this space has wonderful development potential given how well connected it is to our village. We envision a thriving community mixed use space, opening up onto our public square. This space would be highly utilised by local workers during the day and the local community during evening and weekends. Its development would be a key fixture in the continual success of Ellerslie.

In addition we strongly support the Orakei Local Boards plan to fund local events and work with BIDs and business associations to support COVID recovery. Our town centres are the heart of our local communities and it is often the small everyday connections that make a big difference in peoples feeling of wellbeing which sits alongside business success. The two are not mutually exclusive, a thriving business centre has community at its heart. When our businesses are supported and successful, they in turn support our communities. Ellerslie has been strong at this in the past, and we need to nurture this in order for it to continue.

8. Other Matters for Feedback

Increasing local board decision-making over local community services

We support the proposal to give increasing local board decision making over community initiatives. Now, more than ever, acting local is important and local boards are the closest to understanding and taking appropriate action for their communities and businesses. A one sized fits all approach does not fit with what communities and businesses now need – and local boards are the best positioned to understand and drive initiatives forward. We believe local boards should get a greater share of the rates revenue collected from their communities to enable them to undertake these changes our community desires. If they are to advocate and represent local communities they need adequate funding to do so. This extends across all elements of the council spectrum from local transport and safety projects, facilities, environmental projects and business support.

We do however wish to ensure that there is consideration to the practical nature of how people live their lives – that is, not by local board boundaries but by geography, transport, schooling and work considerations. With Ellerslie being located on the boundary of two other local board areas – Maungakiekie-Tamaki and also Albert-Eden, people often access services in these areas not just exclusively those in the Orakei Local Board area. Hence Local Boards will need to ensure they work closely and cross functionally in the provisioning of services to the entire surrounding areas, not just in direct response to wishes of people in their individual wards. This

will be particularly important for larger community facilities and projects such as new libraries, parks etc. It is important that Ellerslie isn't disadvantaged by our geographic position on the edge of the Orakei local board boundary as it is an area of importance in intensification and future growth.

Finally we wish to confirm our support of the passing of the BID targeted rate for the Ellerslie BID area – as disclosed in the supporting information section of the Annual Budget consultation.

9. Summary

To conclude, as we enter another very uncertain year, especially for small and medium sized businesses, we ask the Council to consider carefully their needs in its approach to the Annual Budget 2022/2023 and provide more focus on growing the economy and supporting local businesses that are at the heart of our communities.

Megan Darrow - Town Centre Manager

on behalf of the Ellerslie Business Association Board

8734

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Remuera Business Association

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why: 2. Climate Action Targeted Rate While we agree with the need to take urgent climate action, in our view this must involve decisions by the Council to reduce, stop or reprioritise services, not simply add more. We are opposed, in principle, to the Council's proposal to introduce a substantial new targeted rate of 2.3% through an Annual Plan. This was not signalled through the long term plan 2021/2031 and represents an unfair and destabilising approach to rates, especially at a time when businesses are facing tremendous uncertainty from the ongoing pandemic, world events and inflation. If further funding is absolutely necessary, we ask that funding mechanisms be employed that incentivise climate action and more appropriately spread the cost (eg such as a UAGC flat rate). Turning to the proposal for the Climate Action Targeted Rate (CATR), we do not accept that a business differential (even at 25.8%) should be applied to this targeted rate if introduced. We accept that business should contribute its share for climate action, but not through a differentiated targeted rate. Further, with an existing and increasing number of sustainably designed and constructed commercial buildings, we disagree there is always a relationship between high property values and higher generation of greenhouse emissions. As a consequence, and also as a means of incentivising the action in Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Plan to accelerate the uptake and sustainable design and construction of buildings, we ask that Council provide for remissions of any climate action funding requirements for these kinds of buildings. Finally, as the majority of businesses across the Auckland Region are small to medium sized, we welcome initiatives that support business to take climate action. Business education concerning sustainability and climate action is particularly important to raise awareness and drive change.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why: 1. Ongoing concerns regarding the impact of the COVID-19. We have serious concerns expressed from our local business members that COVID-19 continues to have a significant ongoing impact on their businesses. The impacts include direct financial impacts on businesses (especially hospitality), supply chain and market disruption as well as impacts on production and staff shortages. Recruitment of staff has been one of the issues. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down.

The Remuera local brick and mortar businesses have had to invest on having a much more visible presence on-line in regards to their website and being far more active in social media arena to attract sales. We have no travel agents in Remuera Village anymore as tourism have experienced losses that are not recoverable. We still do not know how long this will continue.

We have installed the new CCTV which will help with the increase in crime. RBA is in the Process of linking the cameras to the Police and Auckland Transport through Safer Cities. RBA also have Matrix Security doing hourly checks because of the increase in shoplifting and Ram raids.

With very little direction from central government, most landlords have decided to share in some of their tenant's financial stress with proportional rent relief throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures. While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences) . We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic. 3. Budget Pressures and Service Prioritisation Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long-Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of $31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised, and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled. We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

▪ keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR); and ▪ make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then

▪ prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments; ▪ sell more surplus property and

▪ make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it to be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value, or can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest criteria asking whether an activity need be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right. Further conduct a productivity review across the council group. We are daily end-users of services of council and its CCOs, and regularly experience inefficiencies, double handling, and unnecessary reworking that takes place.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why: 1. Ongoing concerns regarding the impact of the COVID-19. We have serious concerns expressed from our local business members that COVID-19 continues to have a significant ongoing impact on their businesses. The impacts include direct financial impacts on businesses (especially hospitality), supply chain and market disruption as well as impacts on production and staff shortages. Recruitment of staff has been one of the issues. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down.

The Remuera local brick and mortar businesses have had to invest on having a much more visible presence on-line in regards to their website and being far more active in social media arena to attract sales. We have no travel agents in Remuera Village anymore as tourism have experienced losses that are not recoverable. We still do not know how long this will continue.

We have installed the new CCTV which will help with the increase in crime. RBA is in the Process of linking the cameras to the Police and Auckland Transport through Safer Cities. RBA also have Matrix Security doing hourly checks because of the increase in shoplifting and Ram raids.

With very little direction from central government, most landlords have decided to share in some of their tenant's financial stress with proportional rent relief throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures. While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences) . We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic. 3. Budget Pressures and Service Prioritisation Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long-Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of $31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised, and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled. We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

▪ keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR); and ▪ make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then

▪ prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments; ▪ sell more surplus property and

▪ make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it to be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value, or can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest criteria asking whether an activity need be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right. Further conduct a productivity review across the council group. We are daily end-users of services of council and its CCOs, and regularly experience inefficiencies, double handling, and unnecessary reworking that takes place.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why: 4. Waste Service Standardisation. We share concerns about the high rates of waste generated per person in Auckland and agree that the Council can play a role in influencing waste reduction. We also support the goals of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, including to minimise kerbside household waste. We support the proposal to allow certain business properties to opt-out of Council's waste management services and charges from 1 July 2023, because the council's kerbside service is more suitable for domestic waste than dealing with the wide range and nature of business waste. We agree that charging for a service that the council cannot deliver is inequitable and that the case for maximising council's ability to influence waste behaviour is also irrelevant when the service required cannot be delivered by the council, such as to certain business properties.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Tell us why: 8. Local Board Feedback We note the Orakei Local Board has been determined their priorities for 2022-2023 as follows:

1. Local parks – continue to develop and enhance our parks and sports fields, concentrating on Colin Maiden, Waiatarua wetland and other local parks.

2. Community Facilities work with our communities to transform public spaces including The Remuera Library and St Vincent Avenue streetscape.

The Orakei Local board have been amazing in their response to all situations with regards to The Remuera Business Association.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

5. Rating Policy Proposals Our overall feedback is that what businesses need most from Council is a fair, transparent and stable approach to rates. As we said above, we are concerned about the un-signalled and compounding effect on businesses of increases in rates and charges.

Business differential While we appreciate that the business differential is being reduced to 25.8% by 2037/2038, fundamentally, we do not accept that a business differential should be applied to rates especially for reasons that "businesses are better able to manage additional costs than residential properties" or because "businesses can claim back GST and expense rates against tax." These reasons do not justify the business differential, particularly for small businesses who make up most businesses in Auckland.

Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate As the border reopens, we strongly believe it is critical that Council fund 'Brand Auckland' visitor attraction and events to support local businesses recover from the COVID-19 crisis. Having no funding for this in the Annual Plan 2022/2023 is very shortsighted, and frankly alarming. We also disagree with the position that a link must be made between the funding of visitor attraction/events and a specific funding mechanism (such as the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate ('APTR')). We believe a more secure and sustainable source of funding needs to be found, though we do agree with the introduction of a levy at the border on international visitors

6. Regional Fuel Tax Underspend Our preference is to introduce initiatives that both manage demand and raise funding equitably as soon as possible, balanced with investment into affordable and more frequent public transport in order to effect sustainable behavioural change (The erratic delivery of public transport services by AT is undermining efforts to encourage modal shift). We understand, for example, that technical work on the 'Congestion Question' project that has been examining the potential to apply congestion charging in Auckland is progressing. In the interim, while we have previously supported a regional fuel tax, we are very concerned about the ongoing underspend of the Regional Fuel Tax and considering recent world events, we ask that Council advocate to central government for the Regional Fuel Tax to be suspended.

7. Vacant Land & Empty Retail Sites in Metropolitan Town Centres. We note that in preparation for the Recovery Budget, the Council asked for advice on the mechanisms to address vacant land to encourage development and we asked for consideration to be given of mechanisms to address vacant retail tenancies in main street areas. As the border reopens, we again ask for this to be considered (such as use of vacant window treatments and activations). We have 2 sites one on Remuera Road and the other on Clonbern Road that have been vacant for several years, our repeated efforts to engage with the offshore property owners have been fruitless. "Retail land banking" in metropolitan town centres could be de-incentivised with rates penalties. As we enter another very uncertain year, especially for small and medium sized businesses, we ask the Council to carefully consider their needs in its approach to the Annual Budget 2022/2023 and provide targeted focus on growing the economy and supporting local businesses.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8795

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8811

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8826

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8837

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8949

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8950

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

8969

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9045

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Lingarth St, Remuera footpath need to be replaced or fixed.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9060

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9076

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9124

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support all policies if they can help to develp economy

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9134

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9137

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9138

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9139

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9140

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Epson Chinese Association

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9186

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9190

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?Other

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9192

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?Other

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9238

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9248

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Take care of ethnic senior life

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9297

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9325

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9341

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9343

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9351

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Current spending on public transport is very poorly targeted. This is obvious from largely empty buses driving around.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: You have left out the obvious issue of reducing city council staff - the organisation appears substantially over- staffed.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The Tupuna Maunga plan needs to be quickly changed before trees on Mt Hobson are cleared. In addition the plan needs to take note of the recent welcome High Court judgement.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9352

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: we must take care of our land, our people and our earth so I think this is a good idea.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: This can be hard for those homeless people to buy food and stuff...

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Yes, keeping everyone safe. I think as red light everyone should wear a mask wherever they are outside. And keep your mask OVER your nose!!!

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9372

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9377

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Untranslated comment in Chinese

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9381

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9393

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9398

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9400

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9405

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Support for reducing emissions but not for introducing a climate tax.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council rates reform

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9407

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: How to make sure the new tax is used on purpose?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9468

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9492

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think Council should review the current taxes.

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9496

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9497

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9501

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a huge topic and this would affect our next generations. I support this proposal.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not Support

Tell us why: The living cost has been increased dramatically. Auckland Council should implement the taxes later when the economy is back on track, and Aucklander would be less stressful.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9511

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9517

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is everyone's responsibility to contribute thus to fight with climate change.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Auckland Council should be more efficient and lean.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Auckland Council should review and make its management system more efficient.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: it is easier for Auckalnd Council to manage waste in 1 system.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: We should actively face the environmental challenges and cope with it, thus to keep a better environment in the long run.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9560

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why: Auckalnd Council should review and evaluate the current bus lanes and cycling path, to see if anything can be lean, instead of simply introducing another tax.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not Support

Tell us why: I do not support Auckland Council selling assets.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9581

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Artla Design NZ Limited

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The targeted rate is excessive on every residential property as in these hard times many cannot afford it. Council cut you overheads and salaries.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Council does not listen to or act upon the needs of the wider population.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Your priorities do not reflect the wishes of the majority of rate payers.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The PAYT system is fair and equitable for individual needs.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: The local board spends money on unnecessary items wastefully.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I oppose the Tupuna Manga Authority Operational Plan particularly how it relates to recovering existing trees and greenery and tree canopies

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9584

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because I think that our climate matters and if all of the action go ahead then it will make a big difference.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I think that some money should be spent on out native birds.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I do not support 3 different size bins. I think that recycling bins should be bigger than rubbish bins to encourage people to use less rubbish and more recycling.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	I don't know

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?I support all priorities

Tell us why: They all sound important to help make our communities better. I would like the cycle path because when it is open I will use it to get to school. At the Mission Bay playground I would like to see more climbing striders and a new see-saw.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

9585

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōrākei

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional $1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for $574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about $1.12 per week (about $58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of $85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Not sure, as am sure there are area you could get this money from. Tired of reading that cycleways will fix it.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: You need to be more transparent about how our money/ rates you income is spent. We see large scale but not the detail.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why: Think there is benefit in standardisation and I like the idea you can choose your bin size. But if going to do food waste collection that has to be easy to dispose off. We get lots of rats if you're expected to compost.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Not enough detail has been provided to adequately to answer these questions. It mentions transforming public space at Ellerslie War Memorial hall but that space was definitely improved in the last ten years. Council makes it very difficult for us to get the local board to listen. Too many hoops to go through to get the smallest job done. We having a tree branch removed in the public area for safety reasons or getting footpath tidied up or getting our roundabouts cleaned up of rubbish, tend with care. Our city looks like a mess. See to many planting which costs a fortune and follow up/ maintenance of for etc. in the years to follow. Council and AT need to work together, not make it so hard for us to see/ get improvement. Don't think the council are ever listening to us. Want to have clean green millions of trees but we let hundreds of trees to be chopped down every week by developers.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.