

Date: Tuesday, 3 May 2022

Annual Budget 2022-2023 Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1 (102–9567)

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
102		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
194		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
438		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
447		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
451		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
484		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
497		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
631		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
682		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
832		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
858		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
925		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1145		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1176		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1196		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1309		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1340		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1450		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1709		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1810		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1938		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
1976		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
2043		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
2083		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
2131		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
2949		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
2979		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3548		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3575		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3577		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3579		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3604		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3617		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3743		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3757		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3785		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
3929		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
4120		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
4317	DUFTON FAMILY TRUST	Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
4345	DOLLOW LY WILL INGST	Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
4411		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
4488		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
4530		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5017		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5080		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5109		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5148		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5149		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5149		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
2130		Otara-rapatuetue	

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
5152		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5153		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5154		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5155		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5157		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5158		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5159		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5160		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5161		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5162		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5163		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5165		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5194	Kolmar Charitable Trust	Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5261		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5738		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
5982		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6139		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6237		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6240		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6280		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6346		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6393		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6428		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6553		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
6673	Falepipi he Nafola Niuean Handcraft Group Inc	Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7123	raicpipi ne Naiola Macail Handerare Group ine	Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7123		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7145		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7157		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7175		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7176		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7178		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7178		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7184		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7185		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7205		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7205		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7206		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7223		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7230		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7262		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7262		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7263		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7264		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
		·	
7266		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7267		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7268		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7275		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7276		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
7277		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7278		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7279		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7280		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7282		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7312		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7325		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7339		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7345		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7384		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7385		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7408		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7422		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7496		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7510		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7512		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7513		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7514		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7515		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7516		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7517		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7523		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7524		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7525		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7526		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7527		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7528		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7529		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7628		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7642		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7652		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7655		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7658		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7659		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7660		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7661		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7662		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7676		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7746		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7748		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7754		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7789		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7790		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7809		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7810		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7848		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7871		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7909		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
7935	Kimpton Holdings	Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
8079	Papatoetoe United Football Club Inc	Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
8126		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
8146		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
8151		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
8165		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
8212		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
8847		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
9166		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
9181		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
9265		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
9277		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
9375		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
9422		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1
9567		Ōtara-Papatoetoe	1





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support this if it goes to actually funding things, and not funding advocacy.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Council has many other options including finally getting rid of its airport shares and port shares. You cannot oversee an airport or any other asset if you have a financial incentive to overlook issues.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: \$15 million saved, that does not sound impressive, but no doubt it was a major effort. Sigh.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: People need to get rid of their waste easily, else it ends up in parks and streams in certain communities. I hope this reduces dumping.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Community resilience

Community resilience (other) It seems to me my local council is

unqualified in this area. This will end up as

a photo op at best. There are no

measurable outcomes.

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) Again, this seems more money wasted.

Can we get Otara Creek cleaned up

instead please?

Arts initiatives I don't know

Tell us why: Just a channel where money is funneled to some, and not to the community.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I was extremely disappointed in the Covid-19 signs that were put up in the past 2 years: you put signs up in kids parks asking people to dob in kids who didn't follow Covid rules.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Rates a bit high for me ,I'm a pension now .

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Do not support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop paying your top executives too much. Don't need so many employees- reduce cost as council over spends.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Do not support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Cost of living is already what Auckland citizens are struggling with and with rates increasing is another burden for average income earners.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Not really sure.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I will go back to my answer in question 1

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: We already have people illegal dumping and it will get worse

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to do more and faster. Introducing better and cheaper public transport and bike lane also enables poorer communities to live fuller lives and access services.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't like your reluctance to borrow money, it is cheap at the moment and there is so much to do

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: The guide lines are not specific enough to comment on. So many small good decisions can make a big difference, it is hard from what you have said will enable the council staff to make good choices.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It is a much less hassle approach

The choice of bin sizes make it a better fit for residents. It may not work for rental properties as different tenants produce different amount of waste. You should make it easy for people to swap bin sizes.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: We need to convert suburbs to be mostly car free by making sure we have very low speeds, bike lanes and share car and scooter options

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We need trees for our streets to cool the environment and make them nicer to live in

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is important and best we start to effect change immediately

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Depends what the sale of other non equities and cost reductions are, I agree with upper management cuts as with majority of companies they are the higher salaries and less efficienct to be honest

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Too general, if it means job cuts, which jobs will be cut??

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Suppor between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) Otara needs a proper supermarket already.

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Otara really needs a proper supermarket.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Otara doesn't have a proper supermarket. Most of us have to shop outside our own town.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: During COVID everyone should stay at home rather than traveling around! So if no one is going out and creating co2 transmission through cars and other means of transport than we don't need the additional cost of this scheme!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Unemployment is on the rise! You can hike up all the taxes you like and call it by a lot of different names but there has to be a point where it is too much! People can no longer afford to live!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Look at where you can save money before asking tax payers for more should be priority!

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I like the different charges you apply on bin size!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Do not support

Tell us why: If we have incentives for minimizing waste like rate cut I would do it more diligently! I feel some of us are getting charged because we are already trying to minimize waste!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Less tax hikes

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because we are unsure of how the pandemic is going right now and the consequences that will bring.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Everyone might need assistance financially along the way.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: If its great for the environment and physical fabric of Auckland then it should be the way to go.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the biggest long-term threat to the well-being of aucklanders

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Payt causes people to dump rubbish illegally. If it's a hidden cost more rubbish more likely to stay out of the environment

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Community resilience (other)

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Other

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Reducing our carbon footprint as a country will allow nature to replenish itself and thrive, and that's important.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Eco neighbourhoods

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Do not support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reduce rates. Cost of living increasing from fuel, supermarket, electricity, mortgage interest rates but not wages.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I was a bit reluctant to agree to the fact that the cost of living is going higher and has passed my income. Petrol, housing, food, rates and now emissions. What do you do??? You cant help it because this is important for the future of my kids. Its all about our children and the legacy we leave behind.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I feel my council makes good decisions on behalf of all Aucklanders. Despite the challenges and balancing acts im happy with the proposal

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I support this even though it will affect me as I work in a local rec center providing recreation activity to the community. I believe most communities have the capability to deliver active activities for their own community. A management process needs to be researched and tested. We just don't want the quality to be less but to improve, to involve more people and cost less to deliver. My thoughts is each recreation center has a Manager and 2 support team to run the center. The activities and programmes need to be community led and driven to increase participation and ensure they are targeted for the community and enhances the well being of the community. Each centre needs to have a strategic group who are passionate and really care for their community especially the people who live in that community.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Great ideas proposed

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: The art one needs to include all ART, Music, dance, etc

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

First I want to say thank you to all our community board members who passionately serve us and thinks of us first. May you be strengthen and rejuvenated as you serve. Spiderman said with much power comes much responsibility. We will keep you and your family in our prayers.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate change doesn't have to mean more spending. If all AT and council staff used public transport, we would no doubt see an improvement in transport and cut emissions.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Encourage recycling and minimisation of waste by pay as you throw.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) New playgrounds. Beautification of parks.

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) None.

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: I support the rate, I don't support the way it is planned to be used. Trains and light rail are the most effective way to move people around the city quickly. A reliable transport system will result in a change in behaviour i.e. getting people out of cars.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Council should be completing a full internal re-structure to reduce costs.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Work needs to be done to teach people about waste and how to reduce it. Perhaps Council could look at incentivising information sessions as a start.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) Public Transport investment

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Needs more investment into public spaces i.e. playgrounds, roads etc. Comapre them to some wealtheir subburbs and Otara-Papatoetoe facilities do not compare.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: we need to do more to support the fight against climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: our region needs to constantly evolve and adapt to the changes caused by factors outside our control i.e. govt, tourism, pandemic

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: the utilisation of public transport won't be achieved unless the cost to users reduces so a level that is significantly less compared to driving a car. operational spending will hopefully achieve this.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: it is harder to add another expense item for household spending than paying it through the rates. PAYT might discourage people to dispose of waste properly causing more problems

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: all priorities are good for the community it provides options for the younger generation to get hands on experience on some of the projects where they can learn life lessons that are not offered by social media.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I want to feel safe in my community knowing that there are support groups available with no barrier to access

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Community resilience

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right? Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment. Support social enterprise

dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The jury is still out as far as my many hours of research on climate change. And I most certainly do not believe that there is a climate crisis. Our planet has under gone many heating and cooling cyclyes over millenia. The change in weather patterns is happening to the changing jet streams that go around our earth and these are inflenced byt eh SUN!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Auckland Council needsto get back to thier actual brief which are "core" services. And STOP with the arty farty art, cultural and vanity projects. AND get staff wages down to sensible and reasonable levels. It is US ratepayers who pay your wages!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) Why is this thing called "Compost hub"

needed when we will soon be "forced" to pay for scraps? I already compost my kitchen waste so I SHOULD be able to "opt out" but NO the big over spenders that are our Auckland Council want every

penny they can get out

Arts initiatives Do not support

Tell us why: I think that rates are already too high with out supporting so called usually over priced "art" projects! And you can forget about fostering stronger communities when so many migrants creating thier own little societies such as in Papatoetoe that is now more Indian than anything else. This NOT being racist this is fact. And "parallel societes is NOT good for social.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Auckland Council needsto get back to thier actual brief which are "core" services. And STOP with the arty farty art, cultural and vanity projects. AND get staff wages down to sensible and reasonable levels. It is US ratepayers who pay your wages!

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: this will depend on how we pay for it. The infill housing is causing some issues, due the removal of some nice trees in the neighborhood.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This would be great for the smaller households that could use the smaller 80lt bins & the new food scraps bins will be great

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) more parking spaces on residential units,

instead of them parking on the berms &

narrow streets

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: some of these new multiplex apartments need more parking spaces on the land they have bought instead of them parking on the berms & narrow streets

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: All good ideas and reducing the salaries of mid and senior level managers would also create a significant surplus of revenue for council.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is a much more straightforward approach that will be easier for people to understand (and will avoid the need to purchase tags for rubbish collections, etc, too).

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Community resilience

Community resilience (other)

There are many important priorities listed but I would personally like to see the accessibility to our facilities improved. For example, ramps for people with disabilities could be added to both the indoor and outdoor swimming pool facilities (such as

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other)

These are all great ideas but personally, I think the non recycling of certain plastics is the biggest environmental threat right now. We need to invest in facilities / equipment which can process soft plastics as well as other general household recycli

Arts initiatives

Support

Tell us why: Yes, a great way to connect the community.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We already have been stung with recent rate increases and increased cost of living. Covid and other international factors have made things worse. I would like these things to be delayed for sometime.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: This one is fine as it does not result in any further rates increase

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Please also look into salaries of top management staff which are very high in Auckland council compared to other organizations

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: For once in your history, don't implement expensive programmes and rate rises, especially when the cost of living has shot up like it has.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: For once in your history, don't implement expensive programmes and rate rises, especially when the cost of living has shot up like it has.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: For once in your history, don't implement expensive programmes and rate rises, especially when the cost of living has shot up like it has.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: For once in your history, don't implement expensive programmes and rate rises, especially when the cost of living has shot up like it has.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: They dont come when you need them - they are always late and going in the wrong direction

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: making the rich richer

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Easier

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) nothing - i will look after myself

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: good work

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

stop all the building and bs you are doing with the roads, shops....

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: there are other more important and pressing issues such as health and safety in our town centers and public spaces. Old papatoetoe towncenters is in drastic need of upgrading the safety. for example stadium reserve is in desperate need of lighting, as it was damaged during construction of the supermarket, there is frequent smashed glass and vandelism in the town center aswell as council owned buildings.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: i just want priority on health and safety issues, aswell as enforcement of antisocial behaviour such as noise control, animal management, revitilisation of towncenters. old papatoetoe is in drastic need of help

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Suppor between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Do not support

Tell us why: there doesnt seem to be anything to improve health and safety in our parks and community areas. old papatoetoe towncenter is in desperate need of help

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: I'd support if it does actually provide more effective and efficient transport choices for people. Is there other funding that could be put towards this? Concrete islands were placed in Mangere (Mascot Ave, and Fresian) a number of years ago now to make bike lanes and in the years since, I can honestly say that I seriously haven't seen anyone utilising these bike lanes ever. If anything they are more of a nuisance as they have caused more congestion due to the fact that if a car is turning off the main road, the car behind cannot pass as there's no space to and has to wait till the car has turned off the main road, backing up the traffic in particular in peak times. Many people I know from Mangere also feel the same way.

I've also had a friend share that the school on Mascot has parents who have had to park illegally in the bike lane to be able to drop their kids off to school safely, and some have even been ticketed, but they have no one where else they can park to do so. Which is not great seeing many of these families will already be struggling!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Easier than buying bags and tags all the time

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) All of the above

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) All of the above - they each play a part in

achieving or getting to the end destination or reducing waste, emissions, improving environmental outcomes and building resilient and connected communities.

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Priorities are great. Love what the team are doing at OKV and the various organisations/groups partnering in this space are amazing!

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The addition of bus services, or facilities for walkways and bike rides will make any affect to the travel plans of most people own cars

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We are already paying towards fuel tax, the cost of leaving is going high every day, inflation is at the roof top and the income a household is receiving is not going up. Adding more tax is adding to an individuals expenses and at this rate it is hard to afford any more taxes that is going to be imposed or added towards peoples expenses

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: This will help to source from other avenues and put less pressure on the community and rate payers

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Great to see we are focusing on the need and not the wants or nice to haves

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Rates funded would help towards meet our climate ambitions as the tags and bags are still not environment friendly and adds to our waste disposals and our landfills. Rates funded would mean cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Art is a good way to connect to others understand others cultures and traditions. It also helps individuals to tell stories and express themselves. It could also help with health challenges like depression

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

There has been a lot of developments in Otara and Papatoetoe area, more houses have been build to accommodate the short of housing spaces which is great to see, however this should be done in consideration to the amount of green space available as well. We need houses to live however we do not want these constructions to have adverse effect on our environment.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Yes but I expect the council to ensure we have a compact city as it is much more efficient to run, better rates revenue per square KM, cheaper to provide public transport, roads water etc. See the calculations done by the Strong Towns organisation

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I am not clear on what you would be cutting. Make more efficient use of money. Spend less on roads and more, much more on active and public transport as per previous answer.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: What is written is a statement that has no details and no examples.

See precious answer

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It is a simple system, therfore more cost efficient. Reduces the risk on dumping

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) Support walkable , cyclicable suberbs with

amenitites near by. Enable free or low cost public trasport to enable people to get where they need to go chaply and safely. Including kids getting to school with out being driven. Do slow spead

suberbs that ca

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) Support walkable, cyclicable suberbs with

amenitites near by. Enable free or low cost public trasport to enable people to get where they need to go chaply and safely. Including kids getting to school with out being driven. Do slow spead

suberbs that ca

Arts initiatives I don't know

Tell us why: Art is nice

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Ensure there is density with nice places to walk rest and meet in public spaces.

We need to have a compact city, free from polution, safe for all residents

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Redoubt rd needs to be looked at

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): DUFTON FAMILY TRUST

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Commercial buildings do not use council waste services so should not be charged for them

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

Tell us why: i don't agree with the sale of non-strategic assets as required. This is short term thinking and not long term. Once it's gone it's gone.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: If my rates go down by the relative same amount I support this. ie I don't want to pay twice.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Community resilience

Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment.

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Graffiti and litter is a big problem in this neighbourhood

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The Manukau sports bowl is an unsafe area where drug drops, motorcycle boy racers, siren king gatherings and rubbish disposed of everywhere is not ok. This needs to be remedied as it's a community area with kids, families, pets and the local community enjoys hanging out and getting exercise and fresh air.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiativesWaste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Take the climate action funding out of general rates - far too many targeted rates now

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Makes sense, fairer for all. One Auckland, one waste system

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) all funding and priorities seem to be focused on Otara only, that is because the

local board is predominantly Otara supporters - Chair keeps being Otara members. Papatoetoe doesn't seem to be getting any focus or priority! Papatoetoe is becoming the slums

Environmental initiatives

Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: all funding and priorities seem to be focused on Otara only, that is because the local board is predominantly Otara supporters - Chair keeps being Otara members. Papatoetoe doesn't seem to be getting any focus or priority! Papatoetoe is becoming the slums over the years - too many beggars/drunk people on the streets! Focus on security. You used to see a lot of elderly walking around, now you don't because it isn't safe to do so anymore.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council needs to investigate local board decisions a lot more - sick of seeing so much community funding going to Otara and barely anything for Papatoetoe! Doesn't make sense when there are a lot more properties in Papatoetoe compared to Otara. Far too much money is being spent on helping the youth of Otara - please do something more for Papatoetoe - be fair! Council please audit the local board decisions and get involved a lot more too. Far too much authority given to the local boards. I'm worried that the local board will approve for new community services/facilicites for Otara but nothing much for Papatoetoe. Who will be auditing? Will their be reviews? Will someone from the governing body be sitting strict rules that the local boards must follow?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we will be affected the most

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local hoard: Ōtara-Panatoetoe	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiativesWaste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There seems to be so much tax nowadays. We seem to be getting taxed on everything. Why are we now in this situation? Taxes are rising along with the cost of living, food, petrol. etc It may be a small amount now but everything will add up in the near future. I feel for my children, grand children and further generations.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiativesWaste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Kolmar Charitable Trust

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Public transport is a key to Papatoetoe residents with better links needed between Papatoetoe train and bus hub to Hunters Corner.

With an aging population public transport is vital to offer senior citizens commute access.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Capital investment is still required into community facilities now not in the future. If put on hold a generation misses out and with population growth there is more shortfall of available facilities and the standard of these facilities. This includes recreational park maintenance.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Priority for local spend needs to sit with Local Board.

Criteria of priority needs to clearly defined before more comment can be made.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Between the two options I prefer rates funded system as long as landlords don't pass this cost onto rents which are already crippling our South Auckland community. A PAYT system would hugely increase the illegal dumping that we are already exposed to.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: There is no priority focusing on Sport & Recreation programmes or facility upgrade or new builds.

This needs to be a priority on local Board investment into grounds and facilities.

The Local board have supported the initial concept of the Manukau Sports Bowl project but that will be a regional project and not overly increase facilities for Papatoetoe clubs and residents.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The Local board have supported the initial concept of the Manukau Sports Bowl project but that will be a regional project and not overly increase facilities for Papatoetoe clubs and residents.

A focus on local sport & recreation facilities.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: don't support spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources). Monies can come from general rates increase and regional fuel tax

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023 is too much especially in addition to paying regional fuel tax

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Propose spending what was going on climate change in Q1 spend that \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on prioritising operating spend to manage on-going budget pressures

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Set up waste stations that pay the customer for recycled items. Don't add to rates as waste between households varies in volumes, unfair that only rate-payers bare the brunt of costs and have to purchase tags/bags too, also with proposed increase to rates it seems unfair when not everybody pays rates so how are these people paying towards what should be shared regional costs

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) Pacific Pay Equity Gap, not just Gender

Pay Gap (its been 50yrs since the Equal Opportunity Act) but specifically Pacific Pay Equity Gaps for Pacific Females &

Pacific Males

Increase in wraparound services & monies for families who have lost their

jobs d

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) 'Compost hub but other initiatives too;

 Pacific Pay Equity Gap, not just Gender Pay Gap (its been 50yrs since the Equal Opportunity Act) but specifically Pacific Pay Equity Gaps for Pacific Females &

Pacific Males

- Increase in wraparound services & m

Arts initiatives

Support

Tell us why: 1. Increase needed in wraparound services & monies for families who have lost their jobs due to covid, who can't afford to purchase everyday grocery items (more accessible foodbanks) and can't pay rent/ mortgages or afford gas (gas price hikes) due to CPI increasing and their wages/salaries haven't increased to reflect that our peoples are suffering and still in poverty

2. Pacific Pay Equity Gap, not just Gender Pay Gap (its been 50yrs since the Equal Opportunity Act) but specifically Pacific Pay Equity Gaps for Pacific Females & Pacific Males, the lowest paid in NZ!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

- 1. Increase needed in wraparound services & monies for families who have lost their jobs due to covid, who can't afford to purchase everyday grocery items (more accessible foodbanks) and can't pay rent/ mortgages or afford gas (gas price hikes) due to CPI increasing and their wages/salaries haven't increased to reflect that our peoples are suffering and still in poverty
- 2. Pacific Pay Equity Gap, not just Gender Pay Gap (its been 50yrs since the Equal Opportunity Act) but specifically Pacific Pay Equity Gaps for Pacific Females & Pacific Males, the lowest paid in NZ!

Important privacy information

What is the Pacific Pay Gap?

The Pacific Pay Gap is the difference in pay between Pacific workers and the highest paid gender and ethnic group in Aotearoa New Zealand — New Zealand European men.



Source: Household Labour Force Survey, 2020, Average Hourly Wages

Why is this a human rights issue?

Pacific workers have the same basic human rights as everyone else:

- equal pay for work of equal value
- · equal employment opportunities
- to be safe and free from exploitation and discrimination in the workplace

These rights are protected under New Zealand law and international laws. Businesses also have responsibilities under law to ensure the rights of workers are respected and upheld. Unfortunately, these rights are not experienced by all Pacific workers.

What is the Pacific Pay Gap Inquiry?

The Human Rights Commission is conducting an inquiry to better understand what is preventing pay equity between Pacific workers and the rest of the workforce. We also want to know what businesses are doing, or could be doing, to improve job opportunities for Pacific workers so pay inequity can be identified, addressed, and ended.

The inquiry will consider the experiences of all Pacific workers, with a special focus on Pacific workers, in the private sector, in three industries:

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Construction
- 3. Health

What do we want to achieve with this

The Inquiry will enable the Commission to identify opportunities to close the pay gap for Pacific workers in the private sector. The findings will also be useful in addressing the disadvantages faced by other ethnic groups.

Are you a Pacific worker? Here is how you can get involved.

The Commission wants to hear from you, or your group, about your experiences of recruitment, pay discrimination, work conditions, promotion, and progression.

We welcome submissions from all Pacific workers, particularly those in the private sector – in Manufacturing, Construction and Health. From now to 31st March 2022, you can write to us, email us, tweet at us, phone us, send us a video, or attend one of the fono which will be held across the country.

For more information, visit our website pacificpaygap.hrc.co.nz

Email: pacificpaygap@hrc.co.nz

Phone: 0800 496 877

Follow the Human Rights Commission on Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, and Instagram









The New Zealand Human Rights Commission was set up in 1977 and works under the Human Rights Act 1993. Our purpose is to promote and protect the human rights of all people in Aotearoa New Zealand. We work for a free, fair, safe and just New Zealand, where diversity is valued, and human dignity and rights are respected. For more information, please visit our website: www.hrc.co.nz



facebook.com/NZHumanRightsCommission





New Zealand Human Rights Commission





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Carbon emissions will grow over the next few years with the growth of the population.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The government wastes a lot of money and it could be spent wisely on issues like homelessness, poverty and other social issues.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support anything that means trees and green spaces in Tamaki Makaurau. I teach primary school children and they lack spaces to get fit in. I live in Papatoetoe and have watched the tree canopy disappear and the roof tops become the dominant feature. The leafy suburbs are in demand so get the power lines underground and reduce the need for polling and tree removal.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support whatever is needed to make our city a better place to live for the future in a climate changing world.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Prioritise by all means but basic services must be top of the list for the sake of our health.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Rubbish removal is a biggy. People are careless and dodgy if given the chance so rates fund it and bring back Inorganic collections. Papatoetoe is full of roadside dumping of couches and beds.

This is for 4B - I have a worm farm and only use my bin once a month. Some people have way too many bins for the property size and the roads are full of them on collection days. This is a big issue so there should be an opt out for different situations so a minimum rate applied to all.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) Noise pollution. Papatoetoe residents

mental health is under threat from boom boxes, motor bikes and cars with loud mufflers. At times, classroom teaching has to stop at my school in order to be heard from them at the traffic lights and at night

we are of

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Graffiti arts have flourished in lockdown all along the motorway so lets hope the art doesn't get hit also.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Urgent need for a number of initiatives especially around electrifying transport and we must all take some responsibility and pay money to help

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Yes but please do not do harm to lower income people. Plus keep constant reviews of efficiency and effectiveness always with the medium to long term in mind not just instant cash release.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: That exercise was a farce. A pity because the idea is great. There is so much of the budget that is non negotiable that it was impossible to not have a deficit. That makes me angry.

The debt cannot be changed (can it?) but is unsustainable - nearly half the budget. The management infrastructure of the council is top heavy with all these separate entities. Hand things over to local communities with clear guidelines. Why does the Council own pictures?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Get stores to have a place to dump their wrapping as customers come out of the stores and get them to pay for it. Recycle food waste and sell it or give it to Parks and Gardens. I doubt if saving a small bit of money is going to stop the initial problem of there being too much that people throw away.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) It is not the Council's job to create

employment. Nor is it their job to increase digital literacy. Support and remove

roadblocks yes.

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) All of the above of course but again the

Council should be supporting but not getting heavily involved. The more you do,

the less the community learns.

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Of course but what does support mean to you? The sooner the Council butts out the better.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Just to express again my disappointment at your wonderful budget 'game' that contains overwhelming non negotiables. Please think very carefully about what you decide is unchangeable - top heavy with clerics seems to be the current and preferred model.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Youth open night. Encourage our youth to talk and share in a safe space. To conjure ideas for developments

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Cleanup to improve the look of neighborhood or park and inspire people to keep the space looking great.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: That will increase the prices of the houses. The poor are poor and the rich are rich.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Because property rates have been increased. That is the responsibility of the Auckland council to use the money wisely to work well. Everyone has to pay if they use the public facilities but they don't use them, they don't have to pay the rates for it.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I support but Must prioritise NZ Citizens first.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: That's an easy way!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Community resilience

Community resilience (other) Educating of a pleasant environment.

(Littering in street is a serious problem.

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Education of waste control including littering everywhere in streets.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Yeah it is a reasonable amount. Nothing much than a small contribution back but for the planet. public transport system needs a great push if the new subdivision housings are lacking sufficient car parking spaces. these days

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Art inspires, art reminds, art is something to talk about within a community

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It's a good step in the right direction! More needed but have to start somewhere More public transport is a win, and living in south Auckland we'd definitely appreciate more trees around!

Should raise even more for more PT imo

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why: Just increase rates by more, you're not even keeping up with inflation at 3.5%

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why: Ambiguous question

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Much easier for households, more importantly more equitable.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: 'Kia ora. Nga mihi.

I want climate action now for the future of my children

We are living in a climate emergency

- -Paying a little now means we'll hopefully pay less in the future
- -Not everyone has equitable access to public transport
- -In 2019, Auckland Council declared a climate emergency. The Auckland Climate Action Targeted Rate must be passed to show that this declaration is more than just an empty gesture/words.

Reducing transport emissions will go a long way:

-I want to know everyone is safe when they cycle and walk

Climate justice has to be a major focus because

- -More frequent bus routes will make it easier for me to get around all of the city
- -I want more options to get out of my car
- -Decarbonising the ferry fleet is a really important step toward reaching climate goals

- Dedicated funding for tangata whenua-led initiatives

We cannot delay this package so it should not be pushed off to 2024

- -More buses need to be decarbonised and quickly
- -Front-load the fund so more gains can happen before 2030
- -More funding needs to go to the parts of the city that have low canopy cover and fewer green spaces
- -Create more māra kai and plant even more native trees, and provide initiatives to build community

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Important to keep the agreed rates increase of 3.5% for 2022-23. Important to retain community based services and level of support established already to Maori initiatives and Marae across Tamaki makaurau.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Level of Incentive for everyone to work to minimise and reduce waste to landfill and support more creation in how waste is reduced by everyone.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support

or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support

between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support

of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Continue support for strategic development of Ngati Otara Park, particularly working to complete Ngati Otara Marae development.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Tēnā koe, ko Emmeline Croft tōku ingoa. E soho ana au ki Te-Puke-o-Tara (Ōtara) ki Tāmaki Makaurau. He uri whakaheke au nō Ngāti Hine (Taitokerau).

I support the climate action targeted rate to pay for \$574 million over the next 10 years, because without our environment we are nothing! How much have we spent on COVID-19? That's nothing compared to environmental damage, displacement of people and loss of lives when compared to climate change and the action we can take. I want to have kids, but not with the crisis looming over our heads. Why, so my uri can be exposed to the impacts of climate change and displacement? No thank you!

There is so much that can be done in this space, utilise the research and initiatives that are out there! We can do better Auckland.

Toitū te whenua, whatungarongaro te tāngata.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Stop increasing your salaries for one.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I think people should pay for the amount they use, but not keen on the bags. If this is the most environmentally friendly option, then I support this.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Falepipi he Nafola Niuean Handcraft Group Inc

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Who is responsible for the maintenance

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: To have a food waste bin an additional to 2 bins at the moment is not going to work. How can household manage that but can't managed the recycle and waste bin at present. They will end up throwing anything in there.!Believe me I ve seen in my area.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Community resilience Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: Priorities is important and the community definitely need to be more responsible

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The Cooper crescent Park-! live one house away and so sad the community had no value of property. Abuse, neglect, litter is a common exercise. It's a lovely recreational area and I cycle through the park every morning and that's how I see

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: better to save now than feeling sorry later for the damages of the planet in years to come

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: to save us from danger of climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: support planting of more trees

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not at time, I couldn't contribute to the budget financially

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Any increase in the rates to meet the cost affects our household budget

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I am a retired pensioner, there should be alternative means to meet the cost of what is proposed by the council.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As during this time a lot has change with the climate change. Will be great what the council is doing to change this.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Need to start the process or else it will affect our generations ahead

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Bettering the environment should be a concern for everyone and not just a few. This should also be a national concern and not just local areas.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Need to start the process or else it will affect our generations ahead

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: An increase in the Council Rates, increases the rents. We are not in the position to do that right now

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We pay so much already in terms of council rate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We have been hearing climate emergency for a number of years from various groups and now the council is hearing the voices of the people.

The budget cost should be deducted from the General Council Rates.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: For South Auckland, health and housing is crucial to the livelihood of the community.

The effects of the climate is an ongoing issues for decades, I believe the general council rates should allocate funds towards the proposed plan minimal increases

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: saves money on electric cars and planting more trees has many benefits

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rate increase affects my parents

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I'm in support of climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't agree with the budget proposal should come from our council rates, we pay so much already even in the most challenging times of Covid restrictions. Responsibility of Central govt.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Its challenging times to be talking about budget and increase of cost coming from our families

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I believe that the changes proposed and the budget to assist in those changes should not be covered by the council rate. Majority of the services are a 'user-pay' services. It is also the responsibility of the business or entities that are operating in our regions to contribute to the cost.

Cost of travelling on the public transports, admission into local gardens, airport/hospital carparks, city carparks... a percentage of the fare to be contributed to the proposed cost

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: A slight increase on top of annual increase to meet the budget of a proposed concept, needs to be revisited

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: support your move

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: low emission would be good for electric cars to save money petrol prices too high

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: good for people good for everyone

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: encourage low emission for vehicles

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To save environment and get fresh air

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: because there's huge change in the weather these days, would be good to do something to save the environment, saves peoples lives

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: support auckland councils proposal protect the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I support the changes that the council has proposed for services to move towards low emission vehicles, walkways and tree planting but I don't agree for a separate cost avenue as it should be part of the general taxes.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We currently experiencing financial difficulty with an increase of food and services.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There is already and increase in the cost of living. As a person with disability, there are pending projects of the council that affects my mobility in those spaces.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: good to make effort to keep our planet safe

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Should be part of the General rates as this increases each year anyway

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Despite the efforts of the council in their proposed projects to make changes to limit the effects of climate change, to increase council rates is additional stress to the many services that have already increased their cost

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The past three years has not been good financially in my household. With the recent disaster events in Tonga has put our strain on our family to try and spread the little we earn to meet the cost, and urgent supplies back home.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: climate change is part of our lives

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: to minimize ozone effect

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Its convenient

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Helps our environment looks healthy and better

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To improve the betterment of our environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To save the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: i want to breathe fresh and clean air from the trees,

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: saves the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: support planting more trees to areas hardly any trees to save the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: would be good to save the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To improve better environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: because the weather has been changed these days, too hot must be too much pollution in the environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To save our Environment and help climate change more better

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To save our environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Save environment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Support and save envirinment longer

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Its understandable

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: to save the planet

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because its important to!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed?

Community well-being initiatives

Marine protection initiatives

Water resilience initiatives

Environmental protection initiatives

Advocating for a bespoke public transport service

Tell us why:

Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

Henderson-Massey Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Howick Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Kaipātiki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Mängere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Manurewa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Örākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives

Tell us why:

Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Puketāpapa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Waitākere Ranges Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: good to do something now to save the environment from being damaged

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: for environmental benefits

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We as individuals, residents of this city are responsible to care for our environment and it should be considered our own free will to do so.

To have an additional budget for what has been proposed should have been incorporated since we country learnt about green house effects.

Environmental improvements and the cost to obtain it can come from the government to subsidise these cost in our already high taxes we pay.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It's the least on our minds at the moment as we are trying to recover from the Covid Outbreaks since 2020.

Food security should be a priority to the council, we need to see more community gardens in the towns

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There is already a rental/rate increase, who is know what the following year will come with more proposals. Have a separate cost to address the 'climate emergency', is not good for families already struggling.

The South Pacific Islands have been voicing the effects for many years and only to take affect in recent years.

How realistic is the 10 year plan regarding the public transport when we hardly ever used since they consistently change the routes and timetables? They spent millions on the new airport roads and on the that station in Puhinui, has that been effective to the public?

Get the returns from the use the mega stations of both Manukau and Puhinui to assist in meet the cost of what has been proposed.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I am a migrant to this country, and what has been proposed are services that my family hardly ever uses.

Our family are contributed climate emergency is having our own gardens, recycling towards zero waste.

Using one vehicle and car-pool methods to transport our workers and utilising the walking school bus for our children/grand children when they go to school.

Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to preserve nature in our world.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: We need money.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Compost hub

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I am already paying too much for my rates the money paying for your staff upper level are far too much and you have too many staff altogether.

green Auckland means rubbish and waste are not being met. This alone cost us too much. Fix this first.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop paying extravagant salaries for non productive people.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: start by stopping this chaotic and un progressive transport plan of underground rail system.

stop the trams plan

stop the transport system that only favours city or central dwellers

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: pay for your waste only by purchasing what you need.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) getting police to be more active not in their

car but foot patrol with community contact so they can see and hear the dynamics of

the area.

Get the dickheads who ride motobikes in

papatoetoe

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Other

Tell us why: there are lots of talented people and varied ethnic people in papatoetoe who can perform and teach others about their art,culture.

make a stage day where they can perform in inform like outside the papatoetoe library.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

the safety and well being of our individuals will make the difference in our community.

the more we know each other the safer the community will be.

how to get to know each other is by providing each month an ethnic day to celebrate with food,music,culture activities,dance,showcasing their beliefs

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Kimpton Holdings

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Not necesary as this is a warehouse and we do not require recycling services

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling, employment

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiativesWaste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Papatoetoe United Football Club Inc

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Public transport is key to our aging population and allowing them to get around comfortably and safely.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I think we need to focus a bit more on expanding, investing in and maintaining existing community facilities now, instead of focusing too much on planning, building and investing on new projects. If we can't invest in and maintain what we already have then future generations will be worse off. Better to have great operating existing facilities rather than poorly maintained and run future facilities which a lot of people won't use longer term.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Priority for local spending needs to be in the hands of the Local Boards for each area, not with some central group with no idea on local needs.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Agree with a region wide rates funded system with charges based on size of bins.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) There is no priority focusing on sport and

recreation programmes or facility

upgrades. This should be a priority to get our people of all ages moving - health benefits. Focussing on much larger regional projects does not necessarily

help local smaller pro

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives I don't know

Tell us why: We need to get the basics right before we move into other areas which are not basic council/local board areas of concern.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change has affected our normal life recently and so many disaster happened extreme weather it will continue to getting worse if we don't do anything.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Present rubbish collection is better for the local environment

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Manurewa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I support all priorities

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Increase opportunities for upskilling,

employment Digital literacy and access

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) '-

Arts initiatives Other

Tell us why: Focus on supporting youth development; staying in education, transitioning to work, training and tertiary education.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Public transport is a key to Papatoetoe residents with better links needed between Papatoetoe train and bus hub to Hunters Corner. With an aging population public transport is vital to offer senior citizens commute access, as well as students and workers.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I think we need to focus a bit more on expanding, investing in and maintaining existing community facilities now, instead of focusing too much on planning, building and investing on new projects. If we can't invest in and maintain what we already have then future generations will be worse off. Better to have great operating existing facilities, rather than poorly maintained and run future facilities which a lot of people won't use longer term. Need to sort what we already have first. This includes recreational park maintenance and lighting for health and safety reasons - e.g. winter training for sports.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Priority for local spending needs to be in the hands of the Local Boards for each area, not with some central group with no idea on local needs.

Why keep planning/building more facilities, etc - maintain what you have - Local board have more knowledge about what is required.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Agree with a region wide rates funded system with charges based on size of bins.

As long as landlords don't pass this cost onto rents which are already crippling our South Auckland community. A PAYT system would hugely increase the illegal dumping that we are already exposed to.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience

Community resilience (other) There is no priority focusing on sport and

recreation programmes or facility

upgrades. This should be a priority to get our people of all ages moving - health benefits. Focussing on much larger regional projects does not necessarily

help local smaller pro

Environmental initiatives Waste minimisation education

Environmental initiatives (other)

Arts initiatives I don't know

Tell us why: We need to get the basics right before we move into other areas which are not basic council/local board areas of concern.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Maintenance of existing grounds, with lighting, for training purposes. It's a health and safety issue. We are trying to encourage our children/youth to play sport, but it's in the dark, it's dangerous. The kids get scared.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Please work inclusively with mana whenua & local communities to strike a healthy balance of tree plantings and selections, no exotics that undermine native biodiversity please.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Sensible and fair.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Council needs to invest in more community-led recovery centres that provide advocacy for changing attitudes towards waste management, create employment and business opportunities in recycling and resource recovery, foster localised recycling away from sending garbage overseas to dump.

Also, make separating organics and recyclables compulsory for all markets and public events.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

Community resilience Support social enterprise dev

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

Environmental initiatives (other) post-covid - the fleamarket and night

markets to advocate for para kore and resource recovery which should create opportunities to upskill and employ local

champions

Arts initiatives Support

Tell us why: focus on the process, rather than just an outcome, so that there is a future for participants

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Planting should focus on increasing and sustaining native biodiversity, Highbrook has planted more non-n=beneficial exotic trees and expanding at the head of the creek, they can do better too.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support all government decisions

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The waste management is classified and strictly managed.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Ōtara-Papatoetoe

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information