

Date: Thursday, 28 April 2022

Annual Budget 2022-2023 Upper Harbour Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1 (39–9552)

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
39		Upper Harbour	1
50		Upper Harbour	1
66		Upper Harbour	1
82		Upper Harbour	1
125		Upper Harbour	1
164		Upper Harbour	1
178		Upper Harbour	1
191		Upper Harbour	1
248		Upper Harbour	1
250		Upper Harbour	1
252		Upper Harbour	1
257		Upper Harbour	1
267		Upper Harbour	1
299		Upper Harbour	1
313		Upper Harbour	1
314		Upper Harbour	1
344		Upper Harbour	1
369		Upper Harbour	1
382		Upper Harbour	1
432		Upper Harbour	1
521		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
561		Upper Harbour	1
		Upper Harbour	
614		Upper Harbour	1
635		Upper Harbour	1
646		Upper Harbour	1
649		Upper Harbour	1
667		Upper Harbour	1
676		Upper Harbour	1
681		Upper Harbour	1
695		Upper Harbour	1
705		Upper Harbour	1
711		Upper Harbour	1
721		Upper Harbour	1
722		Upper Harbour	1
728		Upper Harbour	1
745		Upper Harbour	1
750		Upper Harbour	1
758		Upper Harbour	1
769		Upper Harbour	1
802		Upper Harbour	1
868		Upper Harbour	1
882		Upper Harbour	1
919		Upper Harbour	1
939		Upper Harbour	1
960		Upper Harbour	1
967		Upper Harbour	1
976		Upper Harbour	1
986		Upper Harbour	1
1003		Upper Harbour	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
1006		Upper Harbour	1
1012		Upper Harbour	1
1015		Upper Harbour	1
1025		Upper Harbour	1
1035		Upper Harbour	1
1072	Buckner family	Upper Harbour	1
1140		Upper Harbour	1
1208		Upper Harbour	1
1290		Upper Harbour	1
1324		Upper Harbour	1
1341		Upper Harbour	1
1377		Upper Harbour	1
1389		Upper Harbour	1
1414		Upper Harbour	1
1445		Upper Harbour	1
1455		Upper Harbour	1
1456		Upper Harbour	1
1476		Upper Harbour	1
1522		Upper Harbour	1
1546		Upper Harbour	1
1573		Upper Harbour	1
1601		Upper Harbour	1
1616		Upper Harbour	1
1664		Upper Harbour	1
1715		Upper Harbour	1
1731		Upper Harbour	1
1738		Upper Harbour	1
1744		Upper Harbour	1
1750		Upper Harbour	1
1751		Upper Harbour	1
1755		Upper Harbour	1
1763		Upper Harbour	1
1766		Upper Harbour	1
1771		Upper Harbour	1
1820		Upper Harbour	1
1855		Upper Harbour	1
1869		Upper Harbour	1
1879		Upper Harbour	1
1881		Upper Harbour	1
1910		Upper Harbour	1
1926		Upper Harbour	1
1967		Upper Harbour	1
1977		Upper Harbour	1
1989		Upper Harbour	1
2006		Upper Harbour	1
2007		Upper Harbour	1
2105		Upper Harbour	1
2120		Upper Harbour	1
2132		Upper Harbour	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
2201		Upper Harbour	1
2222		Upper Harbour	1
2226		Upper Harbour	1
2227		Upper Harbour	1
2306		Upper Harbour	1
2329		Upper Harbour	1
2330		Upper Harbour	1
2386		Upper Harbour	1
2387		Upper Harbour	1
2404		Upper Harbour	1
2479		Upper Harbour	1
2497		Upper Harbour	1
2563		Upper Harbour	1
2631		Upper Harbour	1
2634		Upper Harbour	1
2655		Upper Harbour	1
2668		Upper Harbour	1
2682		Upper Harbour	1
2684		Upper Harbour	1
2695		Upper Harbour	1
2737		Upper Harbour	1
2746		Upper Harbour	1
2748		Upper Harbour	1
2790		Upper Harbour	1
2831		Upper Harbour	1
2845		Upper Harbour	1
2926		Upper Harbour	1
3001		Upper Harbour	1
3008		Upper Harbour	1
3030		Upper Harbour	1
3034		Upper Harbour	1
3061		Upper Harbour	1
3065		Upper Harbour	1
3082		Upper Harbour	1
3179		Upper Harbour	1
3241		Upper Harbour	1
3287		Upper Harbour	1
3334		Upper Harbour	1
3369		Upper Harbour	1
3383		Upper Harbour	1
3384		Upper Harbour	1
3387		Upper Harbour	1
3388		Upper Harbour	1
3395		Upper Harbour	1
3402		Upper Harbour	1
3408		Upper Harbour	1
3412		Upper Harbour	1
3417		Upper Harbour	1
3426		Upper Harbour	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
3437		Upper Harbour	1
3439		Upper Harbour	1
3473		Upper Harbour	1
3482		Upper Harbour	1
3512		Upper Harbour	1
3549		Upper Harbour	1
3581		Upper Harbour	1
3584		Upper Harbour	1
3620		Upper Harbour	1
3625		Upper Harbour	1
3630		Upper Harbour	1
3672		Upper Harbour	1
3682		Upper Harbour	1
3686		Upper Harbour	1
3736		Upper Harbour	1
3741		Upper Harbour	1
3753		Upper Harbour	1
3762		Upper Harbour	1
3788		Upper Harbour	1
3825	_	Upper Harbour	1
3866		Upper Harbour	1
3930		Upper Harbour	1
3938		Upper Harbour	1
4010		Upper Harbour	1
4055		Upper Harbour	1
4180		Upper Harbour	1
4196		Upper Harbour	1
4199		Upper Harbour	1
4209		Upper Harbour	1
4229		Upper Harbour	1
4233		Upper Harbour	1
4250		Upper Harbour	1
4254		Upper Harbour	1
4264		Upper Harbour	1
4276		Upper Harbour	1
4328		Upper Harbour	1
4350		Upper Harbour	1
4366		Upper Harbour	1
4403		Upper Harbour	1
4413		Upper Harbour	1
4498		Upper Harbour	1
4531		Upper Harbour	1
4565	<u> </u>	Upper Harbour	1
4571		Upper Harbour	1
4593		Upper Harbour	1
4624		Upper Harbour	1
4635		Upper Harbour	1
4660		Upper Harbour	1
			1
4730		Upper Harbour	

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
4825		Upper Harbour	1
4836		Upper Harbour	1
4870		Upper Harbour	1
4874		Upper Harbour	1
4884		Upper Harbour	1
4893		Upper Harbour	1
4897		Upper Harbour	1
4926		Upper Harbour	1
4976		Upper Harbour	1
4979		Upper Harbour	1
5001		Upper Harbour	1
5010		Upper Harbour	1
5037	_	Upper Harbour	1
5203		Upper Harbour	1
5249		Upper Harbour	1
5292		Upper Harbour	1
5400		Upper Harbour	1
5441		Upper Harbour	1
5444		Upper Harbour	1
5562		Upper Harbour	1
5563		Upper Harbour	1
5574		Upper Harbour	1
5590		Upper Harbour	1
5725		Upper Harbour	1
5780		Upper Harbour	1
5804		Upper Harbour	1
5892		Upper Harbour	1
5903		Upper Harbour	1
5911		Upper Harbour	1
5995		Upper Harbour	1
6015		Upper Harbour	1
6020		Upper Harbour	1
6069		Upper Harbour	1
6120		Upper Harbour	1
6209		Upper Harbour	1
6212		Upper Harbour	1
6228		Upper Harbour	1
6269		Upper Harbour	1
6273		Upper Harbour	1
6349		Upper Harbour	1
6379		Upper Harbour	1
6380		Upper Harbour	1
6395		Upper Harbour	1
6422		Upper Harbour	1
6437		Upper Harbour	1
6445		Upper Harbour	1
6455		Upper Harbour	1
6459		Upper Harbour	1
6501		Upper Harbour	1
0201		оррег пагроиг	<u> </u>

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
6511		Upper Harbour	1
6516		Upper Harbour	1
6544		Upper Harbour	1
6564		Upper Harbour	1
6582		Upper Harbour	1
6588		Upper Harbour	1
6659		Upper Harbour	1
6674		Upper Harbour	1
6694		Upper Harbour	1
6703		Upper Harbour	1
6742		Upper Harbour	1
6793		Upper Harbour	1
6860		Upper Harbour	1
6863		Upper Harbour	1
6940		Upper Harbour	1
6942		Upper Harbour	1
7015		Upper Harbour	1
7013		Upper Harbour	1
7056		Upper Harbour	1
7067		Upper Harbour	1
7083		Upper Harbour	1
7453		Upper Harbour	1
7772		Upper Harbour	1
7862		Upper Harbour	1
7866		Upper Harbour	
7870		Upper Harbour	1
7870		Upper Harbour	1
7876		Upper Harbour	1
7880		Upper Harbour	1
7887		Upper Harbour	
7893		Upper Harbour	1
7910		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
7910		Upper Harbour Upper Harbour	1 1
8001		Upper Harbour	
8002		Upper Harbour	1
			1
8009		Upper Harbour	1
8010		Upper Harbour	1
8012		Upper Harbour	1
8014		Upper Harbour	1
8015		Upper Harbour	1
8017		Upper Harbour	1
8019		Upper Harbour	1
8020		Upper Harbour	1
8023		Upper Harbour	1
8026		Upper Harbour	1
8028		Upper Harbour	1
8029	Scott Point Residents Group	Upper Harbour	1
8031		Upper Harbour	1
8033		Upper Harbour	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
8035		Upper Harbour	1
8040		Upper Harbour	1
8042		Upper Harbour	1
8044		Upper Harbour	1
8053		Upper Harbour	1
8055		Upper Harbour	1
8080		Upper Harbour	1
8082		Upper Harbour	1
8089		Upper Harbour	1
8094		Upper Harbour	1
8105		Upper Harbour	1
8204		Upper Harbour	1
8213		Upper Harbour	1
8302		Upper Harbour	1
8615		Upper Harbour	1
8629		Upper Harbour	1
8636		Upper Harbour	1
8641		Upper Harbour	1
8678	Business North Harbour	Upper Harbour	1
8691	Dasiness Weltin Harbeat	Upper Harbour	1
8708		Upper Harbour	1
8845		Upper Harbour	1
8890		Upper Harbour	1
8896		Upper Harbour	1
8905		Upper Harbour	1
8921		Upper Harbour	1
8923		Upper Harbour	1
8924		Upper Harbour	1
8934		Upper Harbour	1
8935		Upper Harbour	1
8936		Upper Harbour	1
8951		Upper Harbour	1
8966		Upper Harbour	1
8991		Upper Harbour	1
8994		Upper Harbour	1
8997		Upper Harbour	1
8998		Upper Harbour	1
8999		Upper Harbour	1
9000		Upper Harbour	1
9006		Upper Harbour	1
9007		Upper Harbour	1
9049		Upper Harbour	1
9063		Upper Harbour	1
9118		Upper Harbour	1
9118		Upper Harbour	1
9206		Upper Harbour	1
9206		•	
		Upper Harbour	1
9218		Upper Harbour	1
9220		Upper Harbour	1

Volume	Local Board	Organisation name	Sub #
1	Upper Harbour		460
1	Upper Harbour		473
1	Upper Harbour		474
1	Upper Harbour		516
1	Upper Harbour		523
1	Upper Harbour		552







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Will raise rents and the cost of living for the most vulnerable members of society.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT encourages minimisation of waste. PAYT allows low income households to minimise costs.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Anything you spend money on, will hurt low income households the worst. So spend NOTHING.

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a crucial issue that needs instant, reliable and ongoing action

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It seems like a careful sustainable way to progressively manage budget issues

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I couldn't find specific information on the proposed changes to give an opinion

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This seems a fairer way

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: i support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: not enough info available to make a judgment

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: quality of roading is not good and falling behind so need more emphasis there and more backing from council to push for improvements

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a crisis that needs urgent addressing.

As a disabled person who cannot drive, improving public transport & active mode provision will make it easier for me and all Aucklanders to have choice in getting around.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I would support further temporary rates increases. I believe that investing the full amount in public services, assets, and projects is vital to delivering the best outcomes for Aucklanders.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: In order to better combat the climate crisis, I believe that many road transport projects particularly those pertaining to road widening and carparking should be reduced or stopped. Traffic congestion is wasteful to the environment and wasteful economically.

I believe the council should prioritize road space reallocation as a "must do", in particular accelerating pop-up bike lanes and bus lanes to enable more people to cycle where they need to go, or buses to run at higher frequencies and on-time.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I live near Caribbean Dr Sports Field, a revamp to the park and toilet/changing facilities will be welcome there.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is a complete waste of rate payers money that should be used for more tangible projects

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: The council needs to look at the high wasted costs within the organisation, in particular some of the excessive salaries

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: So long as the priorities are not ideological driven

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This is typical putting the cart before the horse.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Council should sell off asset (Ports of Auckland, Auckland Airport)

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce staff, the current wage costs are exorbitant

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Reduse costs currently to expencive

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: councils operate on the basis that they believe they have a sum of money to spend annually and more oftern than not they exceed expenditure, rather they should focus on maintaing our exsisting assets.

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because you keep adding these targeted rates and then tell us our rate increase is only 3.5%.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

Tell us why: You are over paying your staff.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I currently use a private rubbish provide.

If the council takes this over it will cost more.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I'm not going to support spending millions and millions of dollars for nothing but virtual signaling.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Please move "climate action" to "things we won't do"

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: infrastructure to enable ease of transport is important

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: makes sense

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: if the reasons back up the decisions, no problem

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: you want it gone, you pay for it

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: makes reasonable sense

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on? other rates versus a return for rates paid.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Something must be done. Now.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Whatever it takes.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Please keep community libraries.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Makes more sense to centralise it.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: It's a start.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please get a bus lane and bus depot at Northwest operating as soon as possible. Scandalous this hasn't happened yet. Woeful management.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Most Auckland households should be provided a 6 or 9 month rate free period. This will help cover the gap in AKL services due to COVID lockdows etc, and provide a valuable kickstart to the local economy.,

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The proposal will INCREASE the amount of rubbish being generated and penalise residents who are reducing their rubbish. We put out a small bin every 6-8 weeks, and this proposal means we'll pay the same as someone who puts out their rubbish every week.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: Scrap the local boards - Too high a cost

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Reduce dramatically the costs of AKL. Rates are too high for the level of services provided.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: We have also been impacted by COVID and raising rates will put more pressure on everyone.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Why should just rates payers pay for rubbish

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we need improvements. I would like there to be even more, such as free transport

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us whv: I'm dumb

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I didn't read it

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I support it as long as it's not going to change back in a few years. Pay as you throw incentivises people to use recycling incorrectly

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Focus should be on environment

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because it is a completely stupid idea and none of your solutions will help with 'climate change' anyway. They sound like a waste of money at a time where money should be saved in every area of the council

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: There should be no rates increases. You can better target spending to stop wasting money in all areas of the council.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: You do not have any clear guidelines here about what exactly you are going to prioritise.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: They sound like a waste of money at a time where money should be saved in every area of the council.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I think the council should focus on making the city more efficient first to help reduce issues that might arise due to climate change ...improved roading and cycleways before worrying about electrifying bus services would be more beneficial with the future looking more towards e bikes and evs not public transport...the public transport cost to use needs to drop significantly, its a bloody rip off at the moment!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The Auckland council seems to have lost the plot spending on human resources by the looks of things needs to a big reduction in head counts and average salaries. The city seems to be getting worse despite the huge additional spend in human resources at council.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Services should be determined on a needs base not on which race is pushing it

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: With a large number of young families is this region we need more sports grounds, ideally a public pool/gym nearby as well

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This is unfair to those of us who have made the effort to reduce waste and therefore use a bin tag less than once a week. I use approximately 25 blue tags a year but your new system will charge me for 52 collections a year (when I only need 25). If you force this option and it costs more that the current tag system then we should be able to opt out and just use a private service (e.g. the yellow bin bags you can buy today). I suspect it will cost me at least twice what I pay currently. If there is no opt out then you can be sure my 80L bin will be jam packed and put out every week, thus doubling my current household waste output - and I am sure this is not your goal.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Hi interest and inflation is going to hit soon, ratepayers didn't vote for thses strategies

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: You need to manage the budget better and cut spending, ratepayers are not a bottomless pit for crazy strategy

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Spending 13 million on High st to remove parking is a great example of bad spending. Walk up there now and see what you got for the money...

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We are now paying non rural rates where we live, yet we don't have footpaths where live, our roadside drains are blocked and flood often - Herald Island

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There is no climate emergency.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rate increases are not need rather a far more efficient use of monies within the existing budget. Council is bloated

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: see answers to 1 and 2.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates currently have a rate that includes environmental, adding target rate is double dipping

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Focus should be on keeping the rates increase as low as possible, anything else is tone death, also only deliver services that must be provided

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: The people the council is meant to serve are hurting financially, deliver core services, do it well. Trim non essential

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Keep it the same, trying to be cute with a three bin system is going to blow out costs

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: Local board has shown it's not listening to the community especially on sanders park mowing volunteer tree planting

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: You've increased our Rates in Scott Point, with no footpaths, no bus transport loops to our area. You also have assisted in the traffic assistance from the Shore to town. You seem to forget about the Shore in any of your proposals.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: You don't spend wisely, nor will this change. Too many focus groups and no accountability. Be transparent in your spending

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Open it up to a vote on what your rate payers feel is unnecessary spending, what services should change or reduce. It's not what you think but what we think and also pay for. Remember you work and represent us, so ask us

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Not everyone puts rubbish out a week, it's unfair that a single person pay the same for a large family. User pays.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: We haven't got footpaths, roads are falling apart, no parks no bus but yet you're happy to keep opening up new subdivisions without the necessary support

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Be wise with our money, think of the shore when you're looking at viable alternate options. wider roads for new subdivisions, don't open new subdivisions without bus routes

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: A playground in Observation Green, Scott Point was talked about but never happened - this would be useful. We also have no close public transport services.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Roadworks have been slow and disjointed. Scott road has been one way for many months and these types of projects greatly disrupt residents lives. Planning and implementation needs to be better managed.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This issue is mostly transport related. Which hasn't changed or nothing much has been done to reduce empty buses running all around Auckland for past few years. Earlier plan of running smaller buses on ring routes and bigger on maun trunks was a good idea to start with never took off. So no trust that this money will be only spent on consultations.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Hope funding fron rate increase and other sources will be utilised for more efficient and sustainable projects which will benefit the city in future.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Please less noise more action. Spend less on consultations.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Easier. Efficient.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Should put more focus on public safety. No public bus service for Gills Road yet. People cycling and walking along no footpath loney track road is dangerous.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Money should come from reduction in council excesses - staff and salaries.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: No assests should be sold. Reduce the execess staff & salaries and return the council to core services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Services should be provided to those who pay the rates. no other race based priorities should be used these are undemocratic.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: User pays - big households that generate a lot of rubbish pay directly. Households that composte / recycle can save money.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Rates should be based on household sizes not valuation. Household size is the determining factor on council resources.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Last priority is rather vague. Where is the priority to the environment? What about supporting community-led conservation, restoration projects, protecting freshwater & marine ecosystems, our local biodiversity, pest control etc?

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is crucial that emissions from transport are reduced. It was very clear during lockdown that air quality in Auckland improved markedly.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It seems necessary - change for the better is investment in the future and it will cost.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Not sufficient information

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We pay directly now, though the tag system, and I am sure this has an effect on people's habits.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Is there an over-emphasis on sports facilities?

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I do believe that we need to do more for climate change. BUT I would support anything more than or it to be raised before the 10 year period is up.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: None of your documentation that is provided shows what the reduction in services prioritisation is. you state you have a priority list but this is not visible and therefore not enough information to support or not.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: a set of criteria at this point is not acceptable. to propose a budget you must have a list of project and objectives of these projects / services that you would be looking to cut. 3 word questions around the cuts do not lay out what is open to cutting and what is not.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: There are many people who do a lot more to recycle or keep there waste to a minimum and others that just through everything out. I feel that this will punish the people that do try and do as much as they can to reduce their waste and help the people that do nothing.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: None of these priorities relate to Hobsonville / Hobsonville point. Even the park that you have created near the Greenhithe bridge cannot open and so at the moment is a wasted area.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: No more targeted rates, enough is enough. Use some of the petrol levy for it. And definitely no more based on property values, they should all be a flat rate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Time to live within your means, go back to your core services and stop all the extras.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Under your plan I will pay a lot more than what I presently do. And scrap your food bin, a lot of people don't need it and should be able to opt out of it.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: I live in Pinehill, fail to see how any of your priorities applies to the area where I live.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Change all rates, general, targeted etc to a flat rate not based on your cv value.

Return to your core services and stop all the other stuff.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: It is cheaper for me to pay yearly and get my waste collected. Also if you standise it on the rates, what about rentals. Landlords pay the rates, so does that mean the tenant get free rubbish collection, or do tenants have to see a rent rise again. It's like putting petrol tax on rates, everyone has a car, renters as well, but only people who have rates pay.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: In face of Covid creating more work from home opportunities, I think we already have sufficient reduction of emissions here without targeted investments. I think this money would be better spent dealing with the increase in mental health issues due to isolation, and the reduction across the board of feeling of community and connectedness which is also adding to issues with depression and etc. Inflation is growing out of control and there's also uncertainty on how the market at large will react post covid and in the after math of Ukraine war. I think this money can be better spent elsewhere. There's a budget shortfall of 85million dollars due to covid already (see question 2), why not use some of this money to address that? Also, introducing an additional rate just for this? As it stands, people can't even afford food and rent.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: There's far too much money being allocated to INCREASING the climate action fund, money should be taken from there.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: This just makes sense

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I should pay based on the amount of waste I produce.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Seriously, there's more than just parks to look at! I love them, they are fit for purpose as they are, there's no need to spend millions on them

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I still don't have a bus service within 1km. Should not be charging with the assumption that everybody has access to bus services.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Everybody is having a hard time, remember that, not only the council.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Should depend on how much the house hold is throwing away, not how much the property is worth.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Transport between north shore to city

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I absolutely do not support this initiative. This extra cost will disadvantage low and middle income families and households who are already under pressure. This is totally no need to "increase the tree canopy" or massively increasing walking and cycling when Auckland is such a spread out city.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I agree wit Using the Government's Better Off support package funding. Changing the timing of some capital spending to defer spending and use the central government's balance sheet.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Reduce spending in areas no longer needed and cut funding from areas that do not achieve the council's core functions. The council spends and WASTES billions of dollars funding non core projects and initiatives - all a waste of time and money.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Strongly support moving towards a one city - one system approach for this. I live in an area where we still need to buy tags for rubbish. It makes much for sense for this amount to be added to rates from 1 July 2022.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support most of them.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: As long as you don't sell off Bomb Point for more housing!!!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: you might be able to encourage people to look after the berms in front of their house, instead of these being an ACC obligation, by providing green waste bins as well. We currently pay an annual fee with Econowaste for our household rubbish - I'd be interested to know, in advance, what the rated cost for the 3 bin service will be, as we're also already doing food composting. We have little rubbish already in our household.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: You might be able to encourage people to look after the berms in front of their house, instead of these being an ACC obligation, by providing green waste bins as well. We currently pay an annual fee with Econowaste for our household rubbish - I'd be interested to know, in advance, what the rated cost for the 3 bin service will be, as we're also already doing food composting. We have little rubbish already in our household.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Selfishly, I live in Hobsonville, so the SPSSP is important to our area. But it mentions street names - it would be helpful if the council could update Google Maps so that we can actually know what we're looking at.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is very important that we take all the steps feasible to create a more sustainable city - particularly in low income areas.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Seem to be reasonable measures.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Seems reasonable.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I think it's good to have different pricing options for the different bin sizes as some people produce very little rubbish. it would also mean only one set of pick up trucks circulating instead of different sets belonging to different companies, which is what currently happens.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: seems reasonable

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: to move towards the future, public transport needs improvement first then maybe density

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates in Auckland are already among the highest in the world, 50% higher than the equivalent value house in Sydney LGAs which include weekly recycling, rubbish and green waste collection - much more than here for much less money. Why? LGA mayors and councillors earn much less - is that the explanation?

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Yes it should be included in rates, but not at a large increased cost. Rates here are already 50% higher than the equivalent value property in Sydney, where weekly rubbish and recycling and monthly vegetation collection are included.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: 'the sale or long-term lease of non-strategic assets as required' I have recently heard that you plan to sell off many green spaces without public consultation. That's a complete lack of strategy as well as transparency. The public already has no trust in you.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Only if there is full consultation before any action is taken so we know exactly what it is we're losing. In full detail, omitting or bundling nothing.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: As a single person household and despite all your links to other links, I cannot find what the annual rates charge would be for me as I am not a 'typical household'. I do my utmost to recycle and that includes recycling through other non-council entities like soft-plastics, toothpaste tube collections, and used battery recyclers. I also have a home compost for ALL my food scraps and very occasionally use the insinkerator (I don't eat meat or other food types that cannot be composted). So your food scrap bin WOULD NOT compliment my home composting. I also buy plenty of food from bulk outlets, minimizing food containers. I probably put my bin out for collection once every 4 months and my recycling bin a bit more often but again....not nearly as much as your 'typical household'. You are actively penalizing those of us who care about the environment so we carry the cost of those who don't. Why should others like me have to pay for food scrap collection if we produce zero food scraps. Iudicrous idea by somebody who clearly doesn't watch their own environmental footprint.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Acknowledge the fact that "weak link between price and behaviour" and the cheaper rates as the result.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Herald Island

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop adding rates, Auckland is already too expensive to live in!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: These measures are aiming for outcomes that our household will never actually benefit from, same as the majority of households in Auckland. The rate has been constantly and quickly growing. I suggest to better use the funds that are already being collected over collecting extra that would be spent on things that don't make any noticeable difference.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Same here as above. Your statement about budget shortfalls don't exactly have credibility when money are being wasted left and right. Te Huia train, harbour bridge extension and light rail to the airport are to name a few of those pointless/failed yet expensive projects. Cut the wastage and you will suddenly get more budget.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't know what you propose except for differentiating between must/should/could/won't. No prioritisation framework will work in incompetent hands.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I want to pay for what I use and don't want to pay for anybody else. I oppose giving more of my funds into Council's management because it would be the usual "pay more for getting less" as the Council is unable to manage the funds efficiently and equitably.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: We would benefit from all of those.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Improvements for private transport and vehicle owners. Parking and driving has been increasingly worse since I started driving. Roads are clogged or closed with endless and probably unnecessary construction (like city rail), arbitrary speed limit reductions that improve nothing, just slow things down, unaffordable parking and absence of public parking, petrol prices. We the drivers are funding most of the road network, but we are the ones who are constantly being left out as the last priority:(

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Council can't afford this at the moment see below question saying 85 million shortfall

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: You can choose how much rubbish to put out. Encourages more recycling

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Under the old north shore council. Greenhithe was immaculate. Trees trimmed gardens weeded. Now the replacement to recreational services are not up to date on their mowing trimming etc. would be good to have the

standards back. All the trees that volunteers were chopped down at sanders reserve. This is craziness! Council needs to prioritise organisation and clarity so this is never to happen again

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: why there is nothing about improving public transport/walking/cycling in Albany area? It's quite bad

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

disgusting decision about removing planted trees by volunteers. You should be ashamed of yourself, corrupted lot. Thank you to two councillors who didn't vote for it. People know your names and will vote accordingly

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Find ways to reduce rates, not increase. Any bum can do that. My mother's pension is \$380.00 per week and her rates are \$350.00 per week. That leaves her \$30.00 for food, power, petrol, phone etc. You're forcing her out of her family home.

As for your question on ferry's, have more stops like riverhead, greenhithe, te Atatu etc to offer an alternative to vehicles. Don't force us out of cars, offer better options.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Sack stupid staff who only contribute to dumb ideas that don't relate to councils traditional functions. Like the idiot who spent \$50k on painting smiley faces on crossings at quay st.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Employ myself, run all ideas past me and I'll decide which ones pass and which ones go in the bin, along with the person that came up with that idea

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: As in question 1. Stop increasing rates and forcing people out of their own homes and rentals. Rates go up in rentals, which means rents go up, which means homeless people on the streets you heartless people. Rates should be a quarter of what they are.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a growing problem world wide

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: public services need to be maintained at an acceptable level

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: it is important that council spending is made where we need it most

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: it is important that rubbish collections are available to all residents at a reasonable price across the Auckland region

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: it is important that local facilities are upgraded to a good standard

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

public transport should be maintained at current levels of service and at the same fares for users too

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This needs to be a central government funded initiative.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Efficiency savings and how the council operates in a business as usual environment needs to be reviewed first. How the council operates is that best practice? Through a continuous improvement using a mckinsey similar framework challenge all council stuff to identify opportunities to do their roles quicker and smarter using automation where possible to reduce a ballooning FTE workforce.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: The planned reduction is not enough, Fonterra recover 20M a year from operating expenses for the last five years. In years 1-2 it was a lot higher, council needs to run a better program to flush more cost savings out.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Lets get back to the basics. Footpaths and roads are in need of repair and maintenance. Nature strips aren't mowed frequently, looking messy (Gills Road, Oteha Valley Rd). Road improvements for Gills Road with the new extension down to Hooton Reserve, lights at the Ave and Dairy Flat Highway.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because you couldn't organise anything and the money will be wasted. If I thought you had capability to deliver I would support it but you don't

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support only if you get rid of all the staff who are just hangers on. They wouldn't last five minutes in a commercial organisation so why should we pay for them to add not a jot of value?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Prioritisation is common sense but I still don't expect you to do it properly

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This is hair-brained and obviously thought up some some half-wit. I compost most of my food waste. I only put out a small rubbish bag about every 3 weeks. Now you want to charge me every week. If so no incentive to compost - so I won't. How could is that for your climate change objectives??

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rarely see anyone using the bike lanes and I already pay rates for buses yet there are no buses available where I live The Avenue, Albany.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce staff numbers, too many bureaucrats doing nada.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Decrease funding for these services from council rates and increase user pays.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Unfair on those who choose less packaging and also those who compost. Stop with the one size fits all and allow people to reduce their trash as they have been doing.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: When are you going to do something about the intersection of The Avenue, Albany and Dairy Flat Highway??? We have been waiting over 30 years! The wait times and risks you take to get out of The Avenue are increasing. DO SOMETHING NOW! Stop wasting money on vanity projects and fix the above ASAP.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

When are you going to do something about the intersection of The Avenue, Albany and Dairy Flat Highway??? We have been waiting over 30 years! The wait times and risks you take to get out of The Avenue are increasing. DO SOMETHING NOW! Stop wasting money on vanity projects and fix the above ASAP.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We need to encourage less waste. By having a user pay scheme per collection people are prompted to reduce household waste. If this means a higher fee per collection so be it.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Combating climate change and protecting the natural world

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Buckner family

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I try hard to reduce my families waste, by not buying products with non recyclable packaging and minimising personal consumer spending and using products until they cant be used anymore.

We are going to be known as the greedy generation in the future! I use the council ticket and would put my bin out about 6 times a year. Then you are going to penalise me by forcing me to pay for everyone else's excessive waste. You prove how little you care for the environment if this is introduced as many people are like me, except most wont submit to this budget as not many are aware of your underhanded proposal. You have no figures on how many people are like me as there is no way to count us, but I know a few. Mosr people that want regular rubbish collections use private business as nobody wants to deal with ACC unless forced to! Sounds like you are also going to force me to have a bin for an empty flat at my house as well. Leave the ticket system alone and introduce it to all of Auckland and people get rewarded by NOT putting their bin out by saving the cost. Taking away the financial reward for not creating rubbish is offensive and shows ACC isn't even paying lip service to the environment despite claims to the contrary.

Clearly it is foolish to take away an incentive to reduce our own personal waste. ACC makes me personally ashamed to be part of Auckland just by proposing this ludicrious scheme.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: the climate crisis needs addressing NOW and the impact of these improved transport option will be huge for Auckland

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I support the evidence for the more sustainable rates-funded system, although more should be done on waste minimisation rather than just disposal.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate taxation is occurring at the central government layer. Auckland Council does not need to contribute to inflation.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Auckland Transport continues to engage in unnecessary projects in my local area. I do not believe the cost savings across council do not extend far enough to contain your cost over runs

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: There are a lot of words in the link and very little detail. How bureaucratic.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Do not support free for all waste. Other regions have bins reflecting different types of waste for recycling which works well. A pay as you throw approach would encourage lower waste The compost bin is a waste of time. I have a large compost bin and you are going to be charging me for something will never use. There needs to be a rebate or other form of opt-out

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: None of the activity is in my local area so makes no difference to me.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Seems like an unfair system unless my below points have been considered and included. 1- We have already been encouraged to reduce our waste with the current user pays (PAYT) system and our personal household only fills a bin approximately every 4-8 weeks and already have our own home composting system therefore the introduction of a higher priced rating fee will be unfair and discourage the reduction mentality already in place. Can individual properties opt out and continue with a system that already works well from the consumers viewpoint?2- Also is the new" fee" proposed to be added to each existing annual property rates bills? Or is it proposed to work more equitably and fairly for all like a utilities bill similar to electricity, telecommunications, etc continuing personal responsibility and fairness where the actual individuals/ households generating the waste (as in rented/ tenanted properties) pay personally by way of a monthly charge? Or is it just a rates increase in disguise which it appears to be from a consumers viewpoint and will therefore push rents up again.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not one climate prediction has come true

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: If you want to reduce emissions, you need to reduce consumption. So spend less on unnecessary activities and be more strict with resource use within the systems you use. For example, ensure that trucks doing works are not making unnecessary trips, not sitting around idling with engines on, and spending less on 'visual appeal'. Spending more creates more emissions, not less. Think about it a bit deeper, spending money represents consuming resources one way or another. You generally won't holistically reduce emissions by spending more money.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Using the Government's Better Off support package funding doesn't sound like a good idea, it just shifts the pressure to somewhere else.

Changing the timing of some capital spending is possibly one step in the right direction, but probably cancelling some unnecessary capital spending altogether is a better option.

Implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services sounds like the best option. This should be considered far and wide, push this to its limits. Just maintain law and order, leave the rest to the free market.

Increasing rates is never a good idea. Instead, if there is a COVID budget pressure, please look to reduce costs, reduce unnecessary services or make services optional / subscription / membership based.

The sale or long-term lease of government assets is a great option as it gives more control back to the free market. Again, this should be considered far and wide.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: The 'Service prioritisation' information doesn't really say much about anything practical. It would be helpful to have a number of examples. Ideally, the council should only ever being doing the things in the 'must' category. Other things can be handled by individuals and private enterprise.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Different areas of Auckland are likely to require different services etc. It does make sense to use PAYT, rather than just include in rates, but establishing blanket rules is undesirable.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: If we have budget issues, we should be focussing on critical services, not just fun, lovely amenities.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

It sounds like a good idea in principle that the Local board would have increased decision-making over local community services, but it should not required the additional \$2.0-\$2.8 million per annum will be required from 2022/2023 to cover the increased cost of providing local boards with advice and decision-making support. If they are not capable of making decisions, don't let them do it. They are getting along alright as it is, don't spend more money just to change the power from one place to another.

As long as the Tūpuna Maunga Authority doesn't require further budget increases, it seems there is no way to avoid it.

Also, I think it is likely that the reference to the "integration and harmonisation of the policies of the former councils" was put into the policies to ensure that those positive aspects of having independent councils are not forgotten now that the supercity council has taken over.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The statement is fundamentally wrong. We do not need to reduce transport emissions. Today's climate change is well within the range of natural climate variability through Earth's 4.5-billion-year history. In fact, it is within the range of the climate change of the last 10,000 years, a period known as the Holocene, 95% of which was warmer than today. Indeed, it is now cooler than the Holocene Optimum, which spanned a period from about 9,000 to 5,000 years ago. The Optimum was named at a time when warming was understood to be a good thing in contrast to the miserable cold times that periodically cripple mankind. A small group fooled the world into believing that warming is bad and that today's weather is warmer than ever before, all caused by the human addition of a relatively trivial amount of CO2 to the atmosphere. It is the biggest lie ever told, and that reason alone caused many to believe.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I do not support this as the indication to use the 'Better Off' support package suggests that the council has decided to participate in the ridiculous Three Waters asset grab.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: This item is so badly worded that I actually have no idea what is being proposed!

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Seems logical.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: The spending will be good for the area.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No new taxes and no rates rises. The mayor and council need to realise that our salaries do not go up by the same percentage that are added onto rates as increases.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Improvement for public transport is definitely necessary as there is currently an unequal distribution of accessible transport as well as unreliability of the current transport (cancellations, too late or early).

In order to improve the climate problem, we must make better infrastructure such as more roads. Although this seems counterintuitive, it will actually decrease traffic, allowing for less carbon emissions and better traveling efficiency for personal and public transports.

This is especially important due to the recent rise in fuel costs and future worries of further increases.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT makes people take notice!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Critical to all our futures, and forward planning and budgeting is essential

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Auckland Council needs to decrease discretionary spending rather than increase rates. Borrowing should not cover operating costs as that simply covers systemic overspend, poor management of income vs outgoings, and as a consequence creates a significant ongoing cost pressure as lending costs increase.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It is critical that Auckland Council align income and expense, particularly without borrowing to cover operating costs (capital is another story). With the debt levels already very high, without significantly increasing operating income (particularly rates) service levels must reduce or stop in selected areas.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I don't understand how one charge fits all could possibly be considered equitable (a household of 2 avid recyclers that kerbs a small bin every 2 weeks pays the same as a household of 4 folk who kerbside every week?) Nor how this contributes to climate change positively. Surely incentivising (through cost) to minimise waste at source is more climate friendly?

I do support the food waste collection, and getting standardisation across the city.

If it's a matter of retailer margin, put scanning labels on the bin, and readers on the trucks to automatically charge per collection on a rates account (or similar).

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Local board planning and governance costs seem to be excessive for the capital and operating budgets they deliver.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop wasating money, we are set to have a high year of inflation and increased interest rates. Stop adding to these costs, strip back your services to core needs, try and actually NOT have a rates increase!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: You should always look to cut wasateful spending, and I guarantee there is a significant amount of it you could get rid of!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: As above, stop adding to these costs, strip back your services to core needs, try and actually NOT have a rates increase!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Stop adding to the rates costs, strip back your services to core needs, try and actually NOT have a rates increase!

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: You take enough of my money for nothing already

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Get rid of bloated Stratford and put to work helping us.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Live in apartment

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The next question already talks about inflation, adding anything is not helping

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Don't make those that generate less waste subsidize others that dump more. Pay per dump is far better in this regard

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Minimize cost on things that are non-essential during difficult times

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Current bicycle path network is useless -- disconnected pieces and very bad planning -- more dangerous to use than road. Also missing in some key areas. Also pedestrian network -- its very frighten to walk -- no pedestrian crosses outside of CBD -- no surprise everyone drives car. Public transport need more flexibility -- small bases when traffic is low. Both these will significantly reduce CO2 emission if you use the current budget wisely (don't purchase hydrogen buses -- effect per \$ is very little, you can achieve heaps more reduction if think, not advertise).

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: don't mix rate increase with other measures. no need to move pressure to kiwi already struggling with significantly increased live costs

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: less administration, less operational costs. Good idea!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Ring-fenced funding for climate action is critical, really glad to see this.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: It's very important that accessibility provisions for disabled people and others with access needs continue to be prioritised in the budget.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

There needs to be budget for meaningful engagement with disabled people in ensuring the waste services are explained in alternate formats and fully accessible for disabled people. There should be a disability impact assessment of all of Auckland Council's decisions especially budgetary ones.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Hello, we are a small household that try very hard to reduce landfill waste by composting & recycling - something that everyone should be encouraged to do. We only put our 80L bin out every 2-3 weeks, so this new proposed payment scheme will severely impact the cost of our waste removal. Please allow the tag system to remain for those of us who are trying their hardest to reduce landfill waste. I suggest you rather try to come up with an incentive scheme to get people to reduce their waste. Thank you.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The planned rates increases are not sustainable for many Aucklanders and cause hardship.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Low-emission ferries are not a good investment, the cost outweighs the benefit

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: All organisations are having to do this

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: All organisations are having to do this

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is more efficient and still encourages waste minimisation as those who contribute more waste pay more.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Most people travel for work so money would be better spent into reducing the need to travell to work by investing in infrastructure for better faster comunication options so people dont need to sit in offices doing work that could be done at home. by cutting this none essential travel you can reduce carbon and free up roads for other users.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Selling assets and changing delaying projects will cost more in the long run due to alot of work started will need to be redone so costs will be much higher selling assets will give some money back but this will be drained away for having to rent back to use the services required. The government would be far better to raise funds from the public though bond sales and this will also gage which projects should be done in which orde. This will also drive more nz people into investing in nz

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Agree but implentation can be improved

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I think the tags are the best system pay as you use. Just the tags should be fitted in the lid of the bin using rf id tech this way the payments can be online and bins can send owners bill for being used. Also no tag required less waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Would prefer more money to improving hosptails police and schools

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There should not be continuing add ons to rates. Rates are for funding services and running council if you cannot operate under the already excessive rate we have then drop some of your wish list features and stick to core services.

Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Covid should not be an excuses to have a one off special rate increase (which you have omitted in your brief) Over the last 2 years council spending and budgets should have decreased given that any real work is done by contractors not council staff therefor a contractor should not have received council income but would have received government wage subsidies. Running empty buses at an estimated \$700 per trip does not constitute fiscal responsibility.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Unbelievable that these steps and processes were not already undertaken. One point I could not see in the discussion paper is budget responsibility for example the biggest cost impact on most budgets is not blow-outs but simply the belief that as a manager I have to spend my entire budget for a given year, with the belief that the budget will be trimmed next year if I underspend. How about encouraging staff to be under budget and rewarding good fiscal results without cutting next years. budget What I have witnessed in senior roles is managers who at the 10 month mark hurriedly and wastefully run around ensuring their budget is gobbled up within the next two months. No reward no gain / saving.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: NO double dipping, rubbish collection is a CORE council service which is already included in general rates, to then charge for a service already paid for simply demonstrates council inefficiencies' it also encourages people to leave rubbish to collect at home or dispose of at the roadside. I would rather see a return to rubbish collection fully in the rates. Making people pay twice for rubbish removal only results in those that can afford it do it, those that cannot deal with it as they can,

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The PAYT system better supports the Council's waste-minimisation objectives. It gives people an incentive to reduce their rubbish volume as by doing so they can reduce how much they pay for bin collection. A rates-based charge would have a perverse incentive effect that it would discourage waste minimisation since the cost of waste disposal is fixed regardless of how much rubbish a household generates (up to the size of their bin).

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It's a load of irrelevant nonsense

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Less rates .less nonsense services

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Less bureaucracy. Less wastage.get rid of supercity and go back to original 3 bodies

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Contractors and user tags from supermarket

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Go back to 3 councils

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Please accelerate the prioritisation of separated and full protected pathways for use by people walking, rolling, on bikes, etc. as this is absolutely CRITICAL in order to achieve any sort of climate successes.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: You have a lot of words that don't really say anything.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: In theory it makes sense, but I also know that its easy to justify anything against a rubric if you try hard enough.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT systems are *the most effective* way for people to actually be cognizant of their waste, and take steps to reduce it. We currently only need to get our rubbish collected once a month, and its often still 3/4 empty. Additionally, when Waitakere originally moved to PAYT the rates did not decrease proportionally, so it would be kind of unfair for rates to continue to increase based on shifting back to a rates-funded system. If you are going to go ahead with the rates-funded system, then perhaps also shifting Rubbish collections to a fortnightly collection would help residents to reduce their personal waste accordingly, and not penalise those who already have a very low waste output. I like the idea of a food waste collection service, though I would be concerned about the smell and frequency of collection.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like more emphasis on increasing access and safety on our streets, with more separated walkways and cycleways, providing greater opportunities for experiencing the outdoors, and more travel choices between homes, schools, workplaces, and retail. The sports fields and playgrounds allow for too much wasted space for vehicle parking, and need to take water usage into account.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We only put out our bin ever few weeks, this would be a much bigger cost for our family.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I am a 72 year old pensioner, living alone. I have very little waste and I generally put out my bin with tag on, about every 3 weeks. Why should I pay the same as everyone else who has large amounts of waste?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Our house hold of three only put put out small rubbish bin about once every 4 weeks. We heavily recycle and happy to pay for that. We use a worm farm and compost system to reduce any food waste into land fill.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates are high enough already

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: All reduce wages of highest paid

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Why have you not added reduce wages of highest paid in your cost cutting?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We use a private contractor who is much better than the council .

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Stop wasting money and stick to Core business,

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Funding should be given to protect the Waitemata harbour

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Don't borrow, cut spending. Find the costs that are inefficient and are not yielding measurable results. Discontinue these, use the funds now made available for currently relevant needs.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: as above

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We do not need yet another tax on citizens. Climate change is not your worry, China is! Spending money on trees, and cycleways is not a climate change effect. Ferry engines will improve as technology does. Cars are going electric around the world. Transport choice for people would be smaller electric bus 's , not only in 500meteres!!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Use govt funds available by all means, its tax already submitted! Capital spending on what? or looking at repairing infrastructure. Efficiency savings should be paramount all the time, and certainly be reducing office space, as online staff do not need desks etc. Sale of assets should continue, you are charged with looking after and providing infrastructure to support/serve the public. Rates increase of 3.5% one hopes is now on the old values of Auckland homes., not the new inflated ones!!! CPI is how much!!

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: efficiency saving and low-priority service reductions ?? What does this mean?

Is it essential, then keep it, if not stop it. If it feels good, stop it.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: User pays!!! Some households do not use council services for waste, so why should they have to pay a fee in their rates. Copy what waste management do. Not a general charge for everyone.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Upper harbour is a big area, living in West Harbour is not the shore. None of the spending is needed other than maintaining existing facilities. Nothing new required. Stop spending ways of money?

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Governance costs are extremely high, and too many people. Planning expenses are an unknown cost? As is the Operating expense just too high, on community services. This has got to be reduced.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: improvise on what you already have. The reason why people do not use public transport often is because its so expensive. lower the fares and more income will be generated hence less cars on road lowering emissions.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates are already way high plus extra fuel tax

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: road projects not completed on time causing extra cost and nuisance to motorists

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: rates is already high plus some people do not take out rubbish weekly.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed?

 Community well-being initiatives
 Support

 Marine protection initiatives
 Support

 Water resilience initiatives
 Support

 Environmental protection initiatives
 Support

 Advocating for a bespoke public transport service
 I don't know

Tell us why:

Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? Yes

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We do need to do something about climate change, but providing public transport doesn't mean many people will use it.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: There appears to be a bloated bureaucracy in council. Reduce staff numbers and the high salaries, not services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Until I can see specifics it's hard to agree or disagree.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I should NOT be paying to have other people's rubbish collected. Our household already composts and has very little rubbish. Whatever size bin I choose would end up costing me more than what I pay now.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is important. Especially improving public transport

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I would prefer to see a higher rates increase to maintain services

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: What other choice do we have?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I support this if in fact, it makes it cheaper

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like to see work on improving Bomb Point and securing it as a recreational area for Hobsonville Point

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Addressing and preparing for climate change is the most important thing. Is it essential that this happens quickly and is adequately funded.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I use the PAYT system and I only put my bin out once a month. Moving to a flat rate system removes the incentive to reduce waste. I can put my bin out every week and make sure I'm getting good value by making sure it's full every week.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We are fed up funding poorly targeted/underutilized public transport. Covid has altered many land use and employment patterns and its time teh Council started taking account of this.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Don't trust you sneaky lot not to cut library or community services which wont save you much but impact teh community. You have been here before with eg Ak Art Gallery Funding.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The Councils current rubbish services is not footing it with the superior service we get from ECONOWASTE. Nobody in our neighbourhood uses teh Council service. I have noticed the Council advertising for patrons!!! So now having failed with a system that's only been in place 4 years you now propose to use your monopoly taxing powers to foist a system on us through the rates that we dont want.

Will live with your proposal if it contains an ability for us to opt out.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: These are all excellent initiatives.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

not keen on too much local community board decision making. The Upper Harbour Community Board showed us late last year how unfit it is to have decision making powers when on a split vote it ordered teh destruction of a significant amount of community led reserve planting

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We must act now to take genuine action on climate change. This will require a change in lifestyle for many, and initially there will be some opposition, but by acting now we can adapt to a new way of life and be better off for it.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates should be increased further to help fund public and active transport programs.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate Action and Public Transport is a 'Must Do.' We cannot continue to neglect these key areas.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We must provide incentives for people to produce less waste. By having a rates-funded system people will feel entitled to fill their bin each week since they have already paid for it. This will become a barrier to habit change and will likely result in continued dumping of garden waste in rubbish bins which has significant climate impacts. With composting and recycling, even an 80l bin should be too big for the average household, and yet we have created a system where buying and dumping large quantities of goods/packaging is normalised.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Gills road link project that was promised 5 years ago?

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This should be a fix levy on all residence and not related to the value of your property.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: As we negotiate these usual times of COVID we need to be pulling back to spending on the basic services needing to be provided by the Council. We need to rebuild emergency funds and look to reduce costs/spending on non-urgent matters.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Not enough information. What do you classify as essential services?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Auckland Council have wasted so much money to trying to get waste management right. I have no confidence going to a rates funded system would be implemented correctly.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Need to encourage more supporting activities for youth an the community as a whole.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: prefer PAYT as I don't generate too much rubbish

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: NZ percentage of global emissions is so tiny, that whatever we do means nothing.

Why are we throwing everything at this, it's akin to asking the poor to fix the national debt by paying \$100 per week each

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Essentially council wastes so much, it's incredibly hard to trust anything that's said.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Again, no trust we are not being mislead.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There are enough rates as it is!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The poorest people should not be subsiding rich peoples waste costs

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Tree shade over pavements, and consistent cycle ways throughout Auckland.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: None of these proposals will reduce climate change. Encourage non ICE personal transport, make public transport more available and cheaper, stop artificially trying to prevent car use - in 20 years cars will not be ICE by and large and without the roading to use greener vehicles and parking for them you are going to find yourselves backed into a corner.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop using Covid as an excuse - as your rates revenue is largely dependant on population covid will have had zero effect on income, it might have had a reduction ion services output but stop whining about how hard it is and do with less like the rest of us.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Oh for goodness sake - it looks like your biggest expenditure is "depreciation and interest" which is an artificial accounting construct. Look at your "depreciation" to see if it is real or imaginary and make savings there.....especially stop hiding spending in depreciation.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The introduction of a compulsory green waste bin not only penalises those who already compost onsite but will not change the behaviour of those who throw everything in the landfill bin. I would possibly support with an opt out of green waste bin rate

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Proposals all seem a bit vague and wooly.....

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Please advise HOW Auckland City Councils targeted rates approach will actually help GLOBAL Carbon emissions.

NZ is currently 0.09% of total global emissions. Auckland would then be something like 0.009% as a worst case.

HOW exactly does anything Auckland City Council do actually make any difference?, other than virtue signaling and wasting peoples money.

Answer the question to RatePayers, and state EXACTLY how your proposed changes will fix the climate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: You will waste this money away and we pay far too much now the costs you are putting on house holds makes them miss food so you can put in feel good things.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Cut things out, sometimes when things are tight i put things off you need to do the same. Maybe not wasting money on replacing bilingual signs when the current ones are fine for example, not important put them off until you have the spare case.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Drop not core services, you waste too much money on things that people don't consider core services.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would prefer that most communications to me would be in english so I can understand what they are about please. renaming everything as you are makes it hard for 96% of people and you are there for the majority.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because there's no other way of funding this - citizens need to contribute.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Your proposed system won't do anything to reduce waste and, in fact, is likely to increase it. I don't understand why Council is unable to look at leading examples globally (think Taiwan and San Francisco) and adopt these in our city. Specifically:

- There is no incentive for people to produce less general waste knowing that your bin will be collected each week will simply encourage people to continue what they're doing (consuming and throwing away). If you're serious about waste reduction, move to a fortnightly collection for general waste.
- There should be no bags available whatsoever in urban areas where collections take place. To ensure this happens, remove them from general sale.
- Increase the frequency of recycling and create penalties for people who don't do the right thing I've lived in cities where people are fined or cautioned for putting general waste into their recycling.
- We know that recycling rates are more successful when households aren't given a single bin separate it out into glass, carbon, metal, plastic.
- Consider rebates for citizens who attend waste reduction seminars and education these are proven to change behaviour in the longer-term.
- What's happening with waste from building and construction? This makes up well over half of waste and is unnecessary. Can you look to create recycling centres where people can buy/donate building materials? What restrictions are you going to put on builders and construction firms to responsibly dispose/recycle their materials?
- Please consider how you can lobby government to ensure that some of these costs are not passed onto renters.
- Is there an option for compost produced from food scraps bins to be sold back to citizens?
- Consider actually enforcing people who illegally distribute circulars and other non-addressed promotional materials/ newspapers
- Consider a (glass) container deposit scheme central government is never going to do this. Why not lead the way and show the rest of the country how this is an effective way to increase the value of glass?
- Make battery recycling more widely available across the city (there are no options within 10kms of where I live)

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Some additional ideas:

- 1. Allow residents to plant low-lying native plants on their berms without penalty
- 2. Prioritise public transport for schools needs to be more regular and more affordable
- 3. Increase frequency of ferry services (notably: from Hobsonville Point)
- 4. Lobby government for mandatory rainwater tank options in all new builds and simply resource consent process for those installing rainwater tanks for internal use.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Definitely a good idea to prioritise Scott Point Sustainable Park. All three stages should be prioritised. The Hobsonville/Scotts Point area also desperately needs a public pool and gym facilities.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates are already to high and this funding should come from with in what is already drawn out in rates. This penalizes those that already do our bit to look out for the environment and limited fueled vehicular use including public transport

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates increases has negative impacts on already impacted rate payers. Covid has had adverse impacts on people too not just government, we look for aid from government not rates hikes to further put public into debt.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: It's not clear what is being done here to understand and make an educated comment. Please make this clear first.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This is a horrible idea. This badly penalizes those that are actively managing and educated on home composting and recycling. Pay per tag is helping to encourage waste minimization and increased recycling and composting. If your forced to pay for a bin with rates, people will just throw more things onto landfill as it's a convenience. With pay per tag we send one small bin every 5 or 6 weeks with a household that actively separates and recycles and composted. This model of tags is what encouraged this behavior. What should be done is more education from council on recycling and composting! Recycling shouldn't cost extra money it should be encouraged. Also soft plastics should have a collection and this being an ocean side country should be a major priority!!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Community facilities should get more budget and focus as the lifeblood off the local communities. Budgets should not be cut for these things.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

In a time where everyone is hurting rates can not go up. It will been a tiping point for some. This causes rent increases and other knock on effects.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: have a bus near where you live does not mean it will go to where you need to and when

Only Devonport ferry was slated as being electric. AT have no budget for anything else. You need more ferries over broader timetables. That will reduce congestion. We should be humming like Sydney

Walking and Cycling is good.

The tree canopy is a joke after paying for and getting volunteers to plant 1000's over a 2 year period and then spend \$14,000 to cut them down because someone complained about losing a view. Council cannot be trusted in this area

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Just paid CEO 6.5% and back dated it. More houses are being built and rate income is growing. The salary bill is \$1Bn. You need to reduce staff if you are proposing to reduce services and if you reduce services the rates should reduce also. Its basic economics

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: You are already paid to maintain areas and services. If you are not providing the service then the rates should be reduced accordingly.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: It used to be all inclusive and then to reduce landfill rubbish was separated out (without a rate reduction). I have already had 3 types of bin (rate of money). My family of 4 take it seriously and spend \$100 per year on rubbish tags. Why would we pay 3 x that. You are either poor at negotiating or need to re evaluate. If you force us to pay \$300 We will go out of our way to dump everything to get some value ... how does that help landfill.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support

of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Smart Board and very responsive

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council need to ensure Hobsonville Point Jetty is fully supported in terms of ongoing maintenace

Council need to ensure the Wasp Hanger in HP is made into a indoor recreation centre

Council need to take over maintenance of the Greenhithe Bridge gardens and stop wasting rate payers money on legal bills

Council need to ensure Bomb Point is kept as a reserve

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is fine but the Council needs to be careful not to introduce measures that are punitive for private motorists.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm confused - why am I paying per throw if the rubbish collection is paid via rates? It sounds like I'm paying twice.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I'd like to know what is happening with various roading projects. The pedestrian crossing outside Mitre10 on Oteha Valley Road is half completed and has been that way for a year or two. We are still waiting for traffic lights to be installed at the Avenue/Dairy Flat Road intersection. This is what matters to me personally the most. Council keeps kicking the can down the road on this.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I have no idea what is going on in my local board area. Is there a method for getting regular updates on this?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Can't afford more taxes

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: In the current economic environment where all households are struggling - we don't need another do gooder tax. ACC needs to be reducing spending not increasing!!!!!!!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: You have bundled in a number of points - some I agree with like cost containment. BUT you have not provided any detail relating to 'sale of non strategic assets" - what are they!!!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Rates funding of refuse collection does not incentivise waste reduction - PAYT provides a financial motivation for households to reduce waste. Equally for those renting - the landlord bears the cost in the rates and the renter will be provided with the smallest bin - probably will result in increases illegal dumping. Keep PAYT

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Herald Island - is such a small community - investment would be better in areas with higher populations

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Sort out the roads properly around Northwest Mall. It is a shambles - coned off part of Fred Taylor to stop right turn into Maki, Cars driving through the precinct up Maki street. Way to many traffic lights to get out of the place. Was there a plan for an overbridge across the motorway by Mitre 10 - Do it!!!

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: At it simplest, \$58 per year is insignificant and the issue important. In detail we need stronger tree protection during developments, private cars of large engine sizes need higher taxes, not fuel based taxes. Cars are ruining quality of life across Auckland via noise, pollution, stress and road safety risks. This should be around 10 times larger at least, and seeking central funding for this national problem, not all on Auckland.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The services of a council are important and often aid the poorer parts of society. Don't cut services, increase income from central sources. E.g. One cancelled roading project like MIII Road at 2Bn would do more good in Auckland to start managing the problem - time to become good enough to charge more, not retract to pointless.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: If prioritization is the route chosen it makes sense to focus on regulatory functions first but overall I feel the tactic or reduction is not sustainable, I feel revenue need to increase and be broader in sources than local rates.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I'm on the ticket system and it works well already.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Its good to focus on off-roadway projects such as parks. More could be done to help parks also have bikepaths

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

A) Developments are going in too easily and rapidly and AC is being left with asset shortfalls in stormwater, roading changes to urban, sewerage and water supplies. A more forceful stance on contributions would help. B) Auckland Transport is going a good job on buses and ferries (Metro) but other services like road safety, walking and cycling are not delivered forcefully or efficiently. AT is too expensive and needs to be just Metro, the rest back to AC.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Given I rarely put out my 80l bin, what you are proposing would cost me more and provide me (and others) less incentive to minimise waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

PH LB - please continue to advocate for the Squadron Drive on/off ramp to 18 to be completed.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Tags are great for only putting bins out as needed, do not want to pay for a service we don't use

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No fees for food waste for lifestyle properties that compost properly. No waste water charges for those on septic systems. Do not use council systems so should not have to pay for them!

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We dont have the current infrastructer in place to support this 10 years is to late

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Capital spending is already at its fullest with delayed projects in place e.g the tunnel

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: we are a housed of 6 whom already managing there own waste to the point we only neede 1 tag per 6 weeks. This is a lot cheaper than the system you have in place. We also manage out water use to that of a family of 3-4 and including power and yet receive no form of rebate or advantages of doing this expect our own personal savings. We do not need a standardised rubbish system as we are not the family with this issue. You should be tarring good waste recyclers and public transport users with the rest of the poor achievers in this department. this includes our carbon foot print we also only own 1 car and use public transport to work.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Play ground up grades are not a priority and some play grounds which are being upgraded lok like they could be donated to community groups or low decile schools as they are still in a usable condition

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

making a more viable cost effective highly used public transport system

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I live in a granny flat next to a club. Landlord pays one lot of rates for both club and flat as it is one property, which probably means one bin. If the bins are changed (like recycling did) that means I won't get a bin under new system

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Just another tax

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates increase to 3.5%??? That's what they said last time and look where that got us, 11.5cents a ltr on petrol. Just another way to increase rates.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I know another way, let's be honest and look at the absurdly high wages the ever increasing Council members receive. In fact, looking at some of the their job descriptions you wonder just exactly they do!

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The new system means I pay even if I don't put a bin out. As a small household, I put a standard rubbish bin out only every 2 - 3 weeks and recycling bin 4 - 6 weeks. The PAYT system makes me thrifty and conservative but with the proposed system - it will be, why should I try, I've paid for it anyway and just throw everything out. Who cares?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: Like this whole Feedback form, no one will take any notice of what we say anyway

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am not racist neither am I Maori yet I don't see much there to effect me in a positive way.

Apart from dog registration, every thing else appears to be on the monetary increase.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Offering modern transport options as well as improving the impact of climate change.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Using the resources available. Auckland needs to concentrate on core business. Encourage private enterprise. Every strategic asset sale should be debated case by case.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We do need to limit the population growth in Auckland. Covid has given us a time to take a breath. We need to revitalise our city especially business, tourism. Encourage workers to return to the CBD but have restrictions on private transport.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The rates funding should be on one flat demand as some households are already reusing bins. It would be costly and unnecessary to have three bin sizes and goes against the principals of carbon reduction. Cost would also be reflected in the cost when renting. Definitely there should be the same rate over the whole council. All private waste management systems cannot collect domestic rubbish as rate payers would be paying twice. Would they get a rated refuse rebate? It would also eliminate several collection days in the week in some parts of the Shore rubbish is out on the Kerb almost every day.,completely eliminate dags (eco bags) A council run compost system is silly it would be better to have an old battery or IT waste system that clips onto a bin when needed.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: There are places in upper harbour where footpaths suddenly stop and the road has to be use or access through parks are unusable in winter. Better co-ordination between parks.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

A lot can be done by local boards. There should be no separate Maori wards this is racial bias and apartheid. Every member of a council body should represent all cultures equally and unity not partnership should be part of council. There should be a Treaty of Tamaki Makaurau where if we are a citizen we are all people of the land. Over Covid Auckland has lost its voice and has been dictated to and ruled by central Government.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: Support improving transport choices, especially buses and trains and making them more accessible. Stop trying to tick all the boxes for transport options. Do one or two things right. Sometimes you can't fit cars, trucks and bicycles on one road. No one wins and everything slows down. Increasing the tree canopy in an urban environment is not cost effective. Leave this for the rural areas.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: You can't keep cutting back on services. At some stage you'll reach a point where essential work has been deferred for too long and the road back is too long and more expensive. Anyone that keeps on saying they'll cut spending and reduce services is leading to bigger problems at a later date. Deal with it now. Put up the rates until council gets its income stream back.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Reduce the nice to haves, don't halt the essentials. We don't need big events. We don't need million dollar playgrounds.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: And around and around we go. Why does council keep going back in circles. Do you not read the consultation from last time you went away from rates funded to PAYT? We like PAYT as we are a household of two with no kids and we recycle heavily and reduce our packaging at point of sale so we generate very little waste. PAYT rewards us for this as we put a rubbish bin out every six weeks at most. How about making it easier for people to dispose of things like batteries (car, household, marine etc) or old gas cylinders from your barbecue. Its near impossible to do this unless you want to drive kilometres across Auckland and pay exorbitant prices. No wonder people dump stuff. Its too hard. Make it easy to do the right thing. Can you opt out of the rates system as a residential customer? All your financial calculations are based on emptying a bin once a week. How about you adjust your calculations for people that empty their bins once a month or longer.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Do not raise rates. Park non essential projects, reduce inflated senior council salaries by 3.5%, see if that gets any support.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: I think you need to understand what the future of work will be as come out of the pandemic. I suspect that people's movements will be different as a desire for more flexibility is maintained. Stress testing assumptions would be worthwhile. I also think more people will move out of Auckland. Especially as the population ages and affordability postworking age bites.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Expenses need to be managed

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I feel pay as you go is a fairer system

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6.

7. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate emergency??? What rubbish

Nothing like claiming an emergency to allow unfettered powers and zero scrutiny. Power mongers have always done this / just need some useful idiots sucking on the teat and most modern university graduates fit that bill.

There are far greater issues that affect us residents

To think we can alter the climate is arrogant in the extreme but certainly is a great ruse and cash cow

The planet is fine, will be fine, but council needs to stick to providing services. Saving the planet is such a noble and lofty idea, but it's not councils job and it's not required

But don't let truth get in the way of a good story and a good power grab

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: While a choice of bin sizes provides some incentive to reduce waste, I believe a direct PAYT system results in more direct and obvious incentives to waste reduction. By putting a cost on waste on a weekly basis, there is a very clear advantage and reward for waste minimization.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Given that the latest revaluation saw many lower-income areas experience above-average CV increases, the UAGC should be decreased and a compensatory increase made to the General Rates component.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I have an 80l bin which I put out every three to four weeks, how would the system accommodate this so that I am not paying more than I currently do.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It's just logic - better public transport, safer walking and cycling, and more trees have a net benefit from a public health and climate perspective.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why: Support asset recycling but do not support increased borrowing.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Put 'Deliver on key priorities like climate action, transport, the environment and community development' into the MUST DO category.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Shouldn't this be aligned with the MfE's most recent consult on transforming recycling?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We urgently need to take action to reduce emissions and adapt to the impacts of climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I rarely put my general waste bin and have a compost bin, so I would end up paying for other people's waste collection.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Would like to see improved access for cyclists, including speed restrictions in residential streets so it is safer for people to walk and cycle.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We recycle and compost so usually don't put out landfill rubbish more than 4-5 times per year. We would be penalised for being conscientious and doing it right.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: These projects can wait; there must be spending in other areas.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Re Tūpuna Maunga -- WE DO NOT SUPPORT. Maori have too much power over public lands. We do not support the Three Waters plan. We do support changes about dogs.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Remove the vaxx pass only entry! I just want to be able to take my 80 year old grandmother to the library!

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Its not practical nor can many of us afford to buy ev, Auckland needs better and lower emissions public transport, better and safer walking, cycling.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I donot support the proposed shared paths they are dangerous to both cyclists and pedestrians and not practicale or safe for most cyclist to use the shared paths should be full protected or offroad cycle paths (seperate from pedestrian paths)

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

get on with building more safe cycle paths so more Aucklands can avoid driving.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why: When you are my age, 57 - you see lots of changes over the years with Council spending. And rubbish!

Changing to bins was necessary - as too many animals would get into the rubbish and the idiots of society wouldn't got clean it up, leaving a mess.

Look at the statistics. There are a LOT of older people living in households of 1 to 2 persons only. They generate very little waste and CANNOT afford more land rate increases.

Which will happen if the Council simply puts a Uniform charge in for rubbish.

Myself - I put out the smallest bin, I think it is 80 litres, once every

3 to 4 weeks!!!

Cost, \$2.70

Therefore please think again!

And don't go weighing rubbish, i have never heard anything more daft.

Stick to the knitting!

It is rubbish. You want to encourage people to recycle.

IF you simply give everyone big bins, streets can't cope especially tiny streets or those in Hobsonville Point. People will chuck more in their rubbish bins because they won't care.

They'll not be bothered with recycling.

It would be a VERY BAD MOVE

Stick to the choice of bins, and therefore, a choice of cost!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Support better public transport, active transport and more trees. The better frequency for buses is much needed. I would also like to see more ferries (I live in Hobsonville Point and they are my only viable option yet last morning one leaves at 9am).

A better faster bus connection is needed between Hobsonville and Constellation Bus Station to link to the Rapid Transit Network. There is no parking after 6:30/7am at the bus stations on the Northern Bus network yet the buses that go to the station are slow, indirect and infrequent. This makes busing not a viable option

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I am part of a laneway society and have to pay a fee for this. Part of that laneway fee is to provide for private rubbish and recycling collection for the communal bin area. I don't feel it is fair to be double charged for this service via both rates and also the laneway society when a) I do not use the public waste collection services and a) I am already paying for my waste to be collected

In terms of question 4B - how is a multi- unit residential development defined? I live in a terrace house where all the properties within the laneway share a communal bin. How will you ensure we are not included in the standardized waste charge?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: should concentrate on key core services in difficult times

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I only need to put out a bin every six weeks.

Prefer to pay as I go

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Three Waters plan needs to be dumped, proposed changes insufficient.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I believe it is important to spread awareness of climate change so people can learn and have knowledge about global events.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I believe it is important to change some services according to some people around us.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: because it reduces waste in the environment and also is more cost-efficient, especially because of the inflation.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: we need to sustain the environment and we can do this by providing signs, more rubbish bins across reserves or playgrounds in order to reduce littering.

Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support the climate action targeted rate as the actions listed above will significantly impact New Zealand and especially Auckland by reducing emissions. However, transport emissions are not the only emissions New Zealand should focus on if they want to stay under the 1.5-degree threshold and have long-lasting change. Due to the recently increased fuel prices, I believe the Transport initiative will be the most successful as the 1 million Aucklanders will have more access than before to public transport facilities, therefore, saving money.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I am unsure of my opinion towards this proposal. I think we should focus on what is most important and not waste time on ineffective tasks that would negatively affect some of New Zealand.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I believe that it all depends on what services are being stopped, reduced, and changed. prioritising popular services over other services that could be equally as important can lead to an unjust situation within the New Zealand communities.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The proposed action of moving New Zealand to a rates funded system is a good initiative as is cost-effective for the majority of New Zealand whereas the PAYT system can be more costly for those who are in low-income households if it isn't supervised effectively. this also supports the climate as mentioned above and people can personalise to their own needs.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Most of the priorities are based on recreational and entertainment purposes when the priorities can be put towards more useful things. not all of the parks need to be upgraded, focus on a few so we can have multiple great and safe parks instead of several average parks. Also can assess how useful new multiple walkways will be and how often they will be used.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Preventing climate change is becoming an increasingly important issue to tackle. I think it is definitely important to do our bit by making New Zealand less reliant on transport and to make local areas greener.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It is important to ensure efficiency in spending to have enough budget for planned targets.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: By listening to opinions of the community and making decisions on which services to reduce/stop/change it will prioritize more important projects in place.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: A more eco-friendly, cost efficient way to minimize waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Making sustainable parks and public areas will make this area a more vibrant place.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is getting worse and worse by the year and us, as New Zealanders must control what we do to save our world and make sure our environmental footprint will benefit the future generation. To do this, proposing a climate action is a start:)

Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I think on-going budget pressures is vital for New Zealand as COVID regulations have really affected our economy, proposing a management to these pressures will help develop NZ.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Yes, this question relates to the previous question. The most vital services will need to be reduced/changed, whilst other services that don't benefit NZ economy but be stopped.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I am not sure how to answer this question.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Α

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: This is a good survey as it takes into account many environmental and economical pressures in NZ and how we can solve it as a society

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: I believe that the this introduced rate is too high cost and maybe should be proposed to reduce its budget and also focus on some actions which are more cost efficient. I don't think moving to low emissions ferry services will be very cost efficient then planting tree canopies.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: No opinion.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: I think that cutting operating spending is not very realistic. Given the significant cost reductions already achieved I think that cutting more costs would be not very feasible.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Sorry is this question asking to move to region-wide rates-funded refuse collection service, with a choice of three bin sizes or pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

I would think moving everything to either would be costly but maybe more efficient in the long run.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: I live in Albany and these additions have not really effected me but I do not oppose them.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think climate change is a big issue and more transport and low-emission ferries will definitely contribute to decreasing the rate of climate change. Also, not only increasing provision for walking and cycling promote climate change action, but people can exercise more and get fit. Tree canopy is also important as the weather gets hotter, people seek for shelter.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm not quite sure

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm not quite sure

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: People are able to choose their bin size of how much rubbish they waste. The bins are also reusable unlike the tags and bags.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: More improved places and parks will definitely attract more people and families.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: PUBLIC TRANSPORT OPTION AND/OR SAFE ACTIVE MODES FOR HERALD ISLAND MUST BE ADDRESSED. There is currently no other option than to drive. Even when getting to the main road (KAURI ROAD), there is NO FOOTPATH OR CYCLE LANE. This is both a safety issue alongside an equity and climate issue. Taking away the bus service and not giving us any other safe or active options to the private car goes entirely against our Auckland Plan, Transport Emission Reduction Plan and Climate Plan.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: PUBLIC TRANSPORT OPTION AND/OR SAFE ACTIVE MODES FOR HERALD ISLAND MUST BE ADDRESSED. There is currently no other option than to drive. Even when getting to the main road (KAURI ROAD), there is NO FOOTPATH OR CYCLE LANE. This is both a safety issue alongside an equity and climate issue. Taking away the bus service and not giving us any other safe or active options to the private car goes entirely against our Auckland Plan, Transport Emission Reduction Plan and Climate Plan.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

PUBLIC TRANSPORT OPTION AND/OR SAFE ACTIVE MODES FOR HERALD ISLAND MUST BE ADDRESSED. There is currently no other option than to drive. Even when getting to the main road (KAURI ROAD), there is NO FOOTPATH OR CYCLE LANE. This is both a safety issue alongside an equity and climate issue. Taking away the bus service and not giving us any other safe or active options to the private car goes entirely against our Auckland Plan, Transport Emission Reduction Plan and Climate Plan.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Council funds mismanagement- spend on esssentials and stop wasting rate payer money

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Manage funds better, cut red tape and beauracracy

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Standardisation should create efficiencies and save money to spend elsewhere

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Need to spend on heavily used parks and play areas, not build toilets on grounds used by a limited catchment of people etc. "look at ways to navigate parks"..... sounds like money wastage

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Spend on essentials- get Auckland transport sorted, get a good recycling program in place, improve air quality, access to recreation and parks

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need more public transport options to help get cars off the roads.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Suggest that you take a look at the service offered by the Christchurch City Council - 3 bins - Garden Waste & Food Scraps (weekly collection) / General Rubbish (fortnightly collection) / Recycling paper, cardboard, cans bottles (fortnightly). The two fortnightly collections alternate so each week you can do your garden / food waste and whichever is on schedule that week. Fantastic system and well received in Christchurch.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Rates increases need to be kept to a minimum with the increasing cost of living - we are getting no more services and in some instances worse services than what we had previously, yet we are paying more.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Don't necessarily support ALL the proposals but your question doesn't offer me the chance to define it. Overall in favour of more tree canopy, easier access to public transport and better ferry services (only wish that included MORE services in our harbour). Not so sure about the additional walking/cycling.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Again, some of the proposals make more sense than others. I think we need to spend more on infrastructure and now, stop putting off capital spending. Rates need to be kept down as they are getting to be huge and hurting home owners disproportionally. People can't afford more every time their principal home goes up in value as the house prices ridiculously seem to do every 5 minutes here. How about increasing rates on home owners who have more than e.g. 3 homes (investment properties) rather than just 1 principal home ownership? Electricity is going up again for many, need to work on that. Need our grocery bills to come down - how can the ACC help with that - introduce more competition (I know this last comment may not be relevant to this section).

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Looks straightforward and simple enough. Have to start somewhere, so yeah, I like this plan - it's easy to follow and makes sense.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I feel like recycling should be prioritised over the size of the bins or bags. I use a bag and put it out about once every 2 weeks and this is fine for our household, however we could recycle easily every 7-10 days but I only have the option of once a fortnight, which means lots of recyclable stuff ends up in the yellow rubbish bag. Also, I hear NZ cannot recycle our own stuff and send most of it overseas - this seems nuts. Rather than spending millions changing the size of the bins or Rates vs PAYT system why not spend millions fixing the recycling capabilities and capacity?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know

of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I would love to know my area better and to walk the walkways, etc. I'm all for upgrading walkways, playgrounds, green spaces and sports and recreation facilities.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I really think you need to provide better information re recycling. Better information re how the changes in the rubbish collection and bin sizes/prices, etc, affect rates (paid by homeowners) and affect costs to tenants. I am a tenant. My rent is already huge. If a system will provide me with less costs and more efficient systems then I'm all for it, but not if the costs will just be passed on by the homeowners/landlords. NZ is becoming a land of renters. You need to be more specific how it effects the various groups across the board. Landlords need to be made to absorb costs and not pass them on to their tenants with rent increases, etc. I am deeply worried how the 'have nots' (those who did not get on the property ladder) are going to pay their rent in a year, 10 years and certainly once they are on a pension, as we know the pension will only cover basics. Who is going to pay their rent?? Will we have more homeless, etc? The great divide is happening now! What can be done about it. I grew up in a largely principled equalitarian society, but what I see now is the rich and the poor, the haves and have nots. Those who made it on the property ladder and those who didn't. Catchup is now impossible. The impending catastrophe is just around the corner. People who invested are smug. People who couldn't are worried, concerned and fearful.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I want to live in a city where I can move around safely and with the lower environmental impact possible. A city that is aiming for a green future with forest and vegetation being more visible than concrete and steel. This will improve my wellbeing and mental health because when I am in contact with nature is when I feel at peace.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I feel more motivated to reduce my waste if I pay less for waste collection. So PAYT has worked well for me and my family. However, paying fewer rates by choosing the smaller bin will work as well. I am concerned about the number of bins that might be disposed of by the change. Also, I would like to see some discount system for disposing of other waste at the dump station. Like old appliances and mattresses. Hopefully, that will reduce the illegal dumping that we are seeing around Auckland.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I live in Hobsonville Point and I have two teenage kids. I think it is very important for them to have the sports park ready soon because currently, they need to travel to other neighbourhoods to practice their sports.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: too expensive

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: keep spending under control

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: some govt programs are a big waste of money

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: it encourages people to make less waste

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Hobsonville war memorial

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Most Aucklanders could not afford another rates rise

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: There are no priorities for West harbour which is part of the Upper Harbour area.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Tax and leavys are way ahead of our income and no effective economic growth are shown in the region by council spending.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: 1) Pressure was built due to inefficient vaccine roll out strategy by council. After 1st lockdown council and government took more than one year to vaccinated over 65 population. (Personally our family tried to get vaccinated as early as possible, but we were force to delay our 1st dose.)

2) Council should find efficient investment and deploy your resources effectively to increase your annual income, Rather than taxing people and charging high rates.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: This need an analitical study to identity what should come in priority,

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Pay as you throw, will control and reduce waste disposal

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Rate payers are now struggling to live. Postpone most of nice work you proposed and focus on more important / essential work to spend

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: anything to keep the world alive

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: i doing see why we shouldn't help those who are still financially struggling due to covid

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: it wasn't clear enough for mr to say support because i just think things should be more affordable in general. people shouldn't hang too struggle to stay alive

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: like i said before nothing else matters if the earth dies

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: i think humanitarian welfare and environmental health is a bit more important than a skate park- not that i think that's not important. i just wish mental health for the youth was more prioritised.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

issues like climate change, mental health for youth, and helping ukraine seem to be the most vital to me at the moment

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: NO SALE OF ASSETS

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I DO NOT PAY MUICH FOR THE TAGS. MY WASTE IS MINIMAL. I REFUSE TO PAY MORE FOR SOMETHING I WILL NOT USE. PLEASE DO NOT DO IT.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: NO FOR PLAYGROUNDS.

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Living cost increase and the rates should keep at minimum increase

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Affordable living expense

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: covid 19 infacted our life too much this is not the good time to add on more tax

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: the reason for this is because the Akl council had too many running problem and no one take responsibility for this always want to add on tax for us

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Decrease tax and clear the responsibility for decision makers

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Any new initiatives Council plans should be funded out of savings in other areas of operation, not just dumped on ratepayers. Your estimate of costs based on median value residential property is interesting. Is there any such thing anymore?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: The proposal fits well with my previous comments.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: It is difficult to follow the ideas set out in 'Service Prioritisation' without having a clearer idea of what specific actions would be taken and in what areas. Otherwise the principles seem reasonable.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I pay for private rubbish removal because the system is more flexible so I do not want to pay through my rates for something I do not use.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: I am unfamiliar with most of the locations listed in this programme. They do not seem like important priorities for expenditure given the pressures many people are under given the current rises in basic costs for groceries, petrol and other charges.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: most of this is virtue signalling at rate payers cost

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: but do not support selling assets

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: do not trust councils choice of which services to stop

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This is a really BAD idea, our cost will go up from \$80 a year to \$400, council does not like the competition from other services

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Only put our rubbish bin out every 4 -6wks.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support this as climate change is a big problem and these ideas will lessen the problem.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Much more convenient and cost-effective.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I agree with these changes, especially renewing the playgrounds as they have gotten quite old, and adding bathrooms to the sports field.

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because it is probably the most urgent problem in the world today. However, I would want to know that it is being spent sensibly and responsibly.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Talk is cheap! Not enough thought appears to be going into some of the cuts and other go-aheads with regard to the future. It also seems to me that North Shore is regarded as a cash cow for other parts of Auckland. What about the new harbour crossing - new trains are fine, but we don't get them? I absolutely agree with certain council expenses like the inner rail link and money spent on parks, reserves, playing fields etc but others hmm.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: With reservations.

It is all too often that a decision is made without looking at the full picture. Too much indecision (eg Albany swimming pool, which cost a fortune on reports before any building started) Some cut backs are very counter productive as they will cause much greater expense down the track. Need to have is far more urgent than nice to have. Pet projects are OUT.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Because I and a number of my friends are very careful to produce a minimal amount of waste and in fact I have an arrangement with a neighbour to put my tiny bit of waste (mainly unrecyclable packaging) in her bin. I also produce virtually no food waste. I do NOT believe in clogging sewers with it via the wastemaster either. The vegetable waste goes into my monthly paid garden bin, other wholesome scraps get cut up and fed to the birds. Other people compost their vegetable waste. Adding a charge of \$415 a year to my rates bill is fining me for being responsible and ecologically minded and seems very unfair. I might also point out that this cost is greater than the usual annual National Super rise!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know

of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Because several will benefit a large number of people.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am very unimpressed by the ACC's way of dealing with reports of problems in local reserves. After a time, they send you a msg saying the problem has been fixed, after agreeing there was a problem, when in fact this means that the problem has been dealt with by sending an email that it has been fixed, when nothing whatsoever has been done, and the hazard remains, currently disguised by longish grass (George Panill Reserve)

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: You will only waste the money on giving yourself pay rises when normal working people won't get one.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: You will only waste the money on giving yourself pay rises when normal working people won't get one.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No rate rises! You will only waste the money on giving yourself pay rises when normal working people won't get one.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Transport emission is something I believe that is necessary needed to be reduced.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I want climate action now for the future of my children

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Sounds good to me.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I believe in equality.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

To accelerate and contribute to action on climate change, I would like to have more alternatives for transport. If e-bikes could be more accessible or affordable to regular commuters, this could have a great impact in relieving traffic congestion and therefore reducing carbon emissions. Auckland Council should support these alternatives through some kind of concession or subsidy in order to make e-bikes more affordable or accessible.

Thanks for considering.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the biggest crisis of our time. We should put everything we can towards it

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I know there are a lot of people who want to stay pay as you throw because its cheaper for them. But an efficient standardised service that takes into account compost and recycling which makes the rules fair and consistent benefits us all and I dont mind paying slightly more than a pay as you throw for that. Plus remembering to buy tags and how much waste the create is something I'm to get rid of.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I believe that it is important to live in an environment with clean and healthy air and surroundings. I think that these changes would positively impact the people of NZ and help to save the world from worsened climate change.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Current system. Encourages waste minimization eg we have gone from a bag a week to a small bin monthly by composting and ensuring we recycle as much as possible.

We can therefore reduce our cost to under \$50 per year

We believe the proposal is effectively a rate increase as we can currently control our costs, important being on a fixed income.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Return to democracy, no appointed representation on council or boards especially on racial grounds

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am a retired professional. I have 3 adult children, 5 grandchildren and 3 great grandchildren. I am very concerned for their future in a world with a rapidly changing climate because of the damage to the environment and risk to life. We are living in a climate emergency. Therefore: 1. More needs to be done in Tāmaki Makaurau Auckland on climate action.2. I want climate action now for the future of my children. 3. Paying a little now means we'll hopefully pay less in the future.4. Not everyone has equitable access to public transport.5. The declaration of a climate emergency by Auckland Council is a good first step to tackle climate change.

6. In 2019, The Auckland Climate Action Targeted Rate must be passed to show that this declaration is more than just an empty gesture/words.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: 1. Reducing transport emissions will go a long way. 2. I want to know my kids are safe when they cycle and walk. 3. Climate justice has to be a major focus.

More frequent bus routes will make it easier for me to get around all of the city. 4. I want more options to get out of my car. 5. Decarbonising the ferry fleet is a really important step toward reaching climate goals.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: 1. We cannot delay this package so it should not be pushed off to 2024

- 2. Provide dedicated funding for tangata whenua-led initiatives
- 3. More buses need to be decarbonised and quickly
- 4. Front-load the fund so more gains can happen before 2030
- 5. More funding needs to go to the parts of the city that have low canopy cover and fewer green spaces
- 6. Take more incentives away from the use of private vehicles
- 7. Create more mara kai and plant even more native trees, and provide initiatives to build community

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: No escape from responsibility for waste.

But negative about lack of incentive to reduce waste which should therefore be included

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is essential. Auckland is not doing enough.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Climate action doesn't come for free.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As I said above. Climate action doesn't come for free.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: What ever reduces emissions.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to address climate change and encourage public transport and cooling city,

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It is proposed to fund increased frequency and coverage for public transport and purchase of new buses and ferries. The Council's own documentation acknowledges ongoing reductions in patronage with recovery not expected until 2029 and some 20 million less passengers for 3-4 years due to working from home, reluctance to share travel and other covid related factors.

Given these expected scenarios it is difficult to see logic in increasing services and the purchase of new equipment. These expenditures should be postponed until patronage returns to levels that justify them.

I note there is no mention of the regional petrol tax takings that from media reports I understand remain on the Council's books and that have yet to be used to implement "climate related improvements".

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Concern that the Council doesn't consider it necessary to reduce the 3.5% general rates increase given changing circumstances since this was originally proposed. Whilst the Council has experienced drops in income so have a significant number of Aucklanders. Those on fixed incomes have experienced the same reductions in dividends as the council and been on the downside of reduced interest rates. Businesses have experienced covid imposed closures, reduced turnover and inflationary factors. Residents of the city have increased food, rents and fuel costs. Numbers of people have lost employment and the food bank demands have been dramatically increased. Given these factors the Council's increase in rates become yet another cost burden.

The proposed cost savings of \$15M, in an OPEX of \$4,876M, represent only 0.3%. In financially difficult times perhaps the various highly paid Chief Executives could be challenged to meet a more demanding savings target with a view to reducing charges to ratepayers.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why: Framework doesn't suggest a very active commitment to cost reduction - the subtle difference between (won't do?) and (won't do!). Would expect things that don't align with key priorities or deliver value to be unquestionably stopped, and hard questions to be asked with a view to finding savings in the (could do?) category?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Believe the PAYT system incentivises reduction in waste and is user pays focussed.

Charges for food scrap disposal need to be able to be opted out of - we have chickens, worm bins and make garden compost - so could not find justification for charges for a service we would not use.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):			
Your local board: Upper Harbour			

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: My name is Su. I've lived in Auckland most of my life, I raised my child here and want to see this city I love build resilience to the worsening climate crisis in which we are now living. To achieve that, we have to act boldly and decisively NOW.

This targeted rate is an excellent beginning. Like many people I am happy to pay a small amount now, rather than waiting for the costs to mount as the crisis deepens.

Every member of my family would love to walk, cycle, and bus more for the benefit of the planet and our health. What stops us is the lack of infrastructure, and very real safety concerns. I strongly support the increased options for active transport, which will help my family's ideals align with tangible, safe action and the de-incentivising of private vehicle use.

I also ask that use of the funds be front-loaded so that the most gains can be seen before 2030.

We are living in a critical window of time to change the future for my son, the other young people of Tamaki Makaurau, and generations to come. With this budget, the Council has the opportunity to bring about real change. Be ambitious; the time for visionary climate decision making and funding is now.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I think managing and planning for climate change needs to be a "must do" rather than a "should do".

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We currently have PAYT rubbish collection and a 120l bin. It takes us 2-3 weeks to fill that bin (we compost all food waste), and we use approximately 20 bin tags per year. That means our current rubbish collection cost is around \$85.00 per year. Under the proposed change, the cost would more than double.

This hardly incentivises rubbish reduction.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: I think there needs to be more attention paid to creating/improving cycle paths and to planting more trees. Let sports clubs pay for fields.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I do not support on another tax! I do not believe Auckland Council's promise on spending this money only on climate chagne.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: There is no point of doing this consultation for the sake of doing it. This is because I donot think Council would really listento what people say. This is only a procedure showing that Auckland Council collects feedbacks. But actually all the decisions have been made already.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Auckland Council should consider how to utilize the current reources to provide better services to the citizens, instead of raising taxes again and again. Taxpayers are paying more and more, but get less in return from Council.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Auckland and New Zealand's environemnt has been the number 1 in the world, so Auckland Council should be focusing on economic development.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I cannot afford the high living cost.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The current salary is not enough for us to afford living.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please cut the fuel tax!

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not for now ...because of the COVID ,was too hard to have any spare money

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The income tax has already increased, wouldn't such incremental be sufficient to cover such area? Or any potential public spending areas.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: If this has been agreed and implementing for years, then no objection.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: It depends on the disclosure of what are to be discounted/stopped, etc.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is much easier and time saving for everyone.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is imperative that we support public transport in order to reduce the use of private motor vehicles. As a ferry user user, I am aware of the aged, polluting fleet, and support the move to more climate-friendly vessels. Walking and cycling are the healthiest and polluting methods of transport and are, essentially, a human right. We need to support walkable people- and climate-friendly communities. Tree canopies have multiple benefits and should be a part of all communities, not just those of historically wealthy areas. Furthermore, the council should stop farming sheep and cattle on council properties. This activity contributes 49% of our green house gasses. With 80% of our native habitat destroyed, only 4% of Kauri remaining and the remainder threatened with die-back, the council should be planting native trees on all of our farms.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Climate action, transport, and the environment are not "should do" but "must do".

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: After the debacle of the mowing of thousands of trees, it's hard to believe that the Board's claim to "provide support for conservation projects" is legitimate. Walkways and parks are not solely for enjoyment, recreation, and nice views. They are an essential tool in addressing climate change and the move toward liveable communities that aren't focused on car-culture and a BAU approach to the environment.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we must.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Why is so much focus on large grassy patches. There should be a much bigger focus on the ecosystems and replanting areas to increase biodiversity, communities benefit from such locations as well. I want to see a replanting plan.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: This cost should come from our existing rates. Personally I don't even get a cost of living pay rise each year, the ongoing rates increases put increasing financial pressure on me.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I only put my bin out every 3-4 weeks - I don't want to be paying for a service I don't use! PAYT encourages waste reduction.

Even with the costing of the 80L bin my waste removal costs more than double from ~\$51pa to \$124pa

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: More must be done to mitigate climate change and make our urban spaces suitable for people instead of cars. This is a step in the right direction.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think that inputting money into our industry to help slow down the effects of climate change is really important, especially as climate change is a huge problem in our world right now. Especially improving our public transport would be helpful. Countries that are better developed in technology, such as South Korea(I have been there every year as my family lives there!), have really efficient and convenient public transport. For example, having underground trains - fast, much more people can fit on a train than in a bus, and having the whole platform underground takes up minimal land/area. Countries like South Korea have such frequent buses/trains that the majority of the population uses them for transport to work, school, e.t.c. As of March 2020, 66.8% of South Korean people use the bus as their main method of transport, and 56.5% of people use the subway/train. This has helped majorly with the population density, traffic, and carbon emissions from single-passenger cars.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I think it is a good idea to prioritise certain things to spend on, so that we are able to achieve our most important aims first. Also, we will not be spending any unnecessary money that way! - also connects to the last question that I gave feedback on :)

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I think it would be a great idea to provide a choice of three different bin sizes to accommodate different needs. As the smaller bins have a cheaper price, it would encourage households to minimise their waste produced, so that they can also save money. Because I feel like a lot of families/individuals could produce less waste if they wanted, but they feel that there is no benefit for them individually if they do so. But if there is small reward like cheaper prices for producing less waste, I think it would be an effective way of encouragement!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I think it is good to improve the nature and surrounding environment so that people are encouraged to get outdoors and use those facilities. It would also allow people to get a break from staying indoors all day (because of social media, television, and other various technologies a lot of us tend to become isolated from the community and forget the value of human interaction!) and get fresh air, while spending time with their friends/family.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am only a high school student but there are some things that I find unnecessary that the government uses money on. For example, I live in a house that is right in front of Countdown, and so I see Countdown whenever I look out from my window. This area in Hobsonville is quite new, and the sign at the front of Countdown was perfectly new and clean. (photo of this below) However, I am assuming that a significant amount of money was used to change the two large signs displaying 'Countdown,' which I find unnecessary? The only thing that was changed was the font and colour of the sign. I think that money used for these types of things can be used for better things such as more car parking for residential areas like Hobsonville. - Each 3 story house in my neighbourhood only has a tiny one-car garage and a small area in which the whole neighbourhood is allowed to park their cars. My dad parked his car in this small area allocated for our neighbourhood to park, but it got robbed by someone. So after he got a new car, he tried to park his car behind of our house, but apparently, that was not allowed and it would get town away. So he had to park it in that small area. However, since this area is quite small there are often no spaces left to park our car. So in those times he has no option but to park it behind our house where it might get town away, and then wait until someone drives their car away (from the small area allocated for parking), and then quickly park it there. It is really inconvenient, and I don't understand why we are using money to take down new signs in perfectly good condition, while we are ignoring the fact that neighbourhoods such as my own have limited parking availability. This really takes up a lot of time as my dad has to constantly be watching that small area until someone drives their car out so that he can park it there, as many others in our neighbourhood would do too. This is just a small everyday example that I have experienced, and I just wanted to express that I feel that some money can be used more wisely by the government! Thank you for reading this!:)

Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: We also need focus on community building and resilience. Community gardens would be an ideal, multilateral solution.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

You should speed up the Scott Point Park progress!

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Probably post COVID there are other things which need to be addressed

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Funds need to be better managed without simply just increasing rates all the time. The problem with constant rates increases are they are above the increase % of peoples wages

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: I believe we are missing the opportunity to develop a wonderful piece of land surrounded by schools and housing into a playground and central community area. Eggs Playground at the Fields Reserve is a great green space with a terrible and basically unused playground. The area is big enough to be created into a hub similar to Takapuna playground, Margaret Mahi in Christchurch or Hamilton lakes playground that we can be proud of. In addition there are many schools in the area and a growing population and the local businesses at the Seville Centre. I fear if left this will be turned into housing which would be a terrible loss.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I believe we are missing the opportunity to develop a wonderful piece of land surrounded by schools and housing into a playground and central community area. Eggs Playground at the Fields Reserve is a great green space with a terrible and basically unused playground. The area is big enough to be created into a hub similar to Takapuna playground, Margaret Mahi in Christchurch or Hamilton lakes playground that we can be proud of. In addition there are many schools in the area and a growing population and the local businesses at the Seville Centre. I fear if left this will be turned into housing which would be a terrible loss.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It's basically the least we can do to get more action and faster. I'd be keen for council to lobby government harder to help move the behaviour change needle. For example, we need subsidies for e-bikes to go along with the electric vehicle subsidy. e-bikes are revolutionising transport the world over and we need to tap into that.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Seems sensible.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I prefer the ease of use associated with a rates-funded system, but we currently use way fewer than 52 tags a year (closer to 20), so we will likely end up paying more. Is there no way to allow for a more flexible system that allows people who produce less waste to pay a smaller fee under the rates-funded system?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I'd be keen to see the local board advocate for more protected cycleways in Hobsonville Point. We have the potential to create a lot of mode-shift if we protect the cycleways in Hobsonville Point Road, for example. Painted cycleways are meaningless.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We need all hands on deck helping us transition to a cleaner, greener Auckland. That means prioritising sustainable modes of travel (public transport, walking and cycling) and reducing our funding and/or subsidising of pollution-spewing, congestion creating car-dependence.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: I live in a new housing development and there is no bus service provided. This needs to be addressed to encourage people to use public transportation.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I do not support this proposal. I am a widow, I live on my own and I use the smallest rubbish bin size, which I only put out every 3-4 weeks. I will be financially impacted by this proposal, unless there would be a refund for households who do not put out rubbish weekly. User pays is the fairest way. It encourages reduction in waste and does not adversely impact those who are doing their bit for the environment. I propose you keep the bin tags and keep it fair for everyone. I compost my food scraps and would not use the food collection bin, unless this would be a free service. I would like to opt out of this increase and keep to the current system.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Less incentive to reduce rubbish to landfill and improve recycling. The pay-as-you-bin tags work on an economic and psychological basis as well. People have changed their behaviour in favour of recycling to avoid even a tiny imposition of \$4.25 because they overtly pay the money.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Inprove transport and make more eco friendly

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Need less pressure on families

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Need spending on environment

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Yes support this

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The irrefutable, scientific evidence of human-driven climate change and its incredibly dangerous implications for our survival as a species warrants every action possible to mitigate the worsening issue. It is in line with Auckland Council's 2019 Declaration of a Climate Emergency to impose this rate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are living in a climate crisis, and Aotearoa has already acknowledged this at a national level, but Auckland Council needs to be enacting this. We can't wait til 2024, or later - we are in a climate crisis now, and every moment we delay has a consequence. We are giving up the futures of our children without a side thought. I'm in my 20s and just about to start a family, and I want our kids to know that we are making good changes and fighting for what we need now, and we are not just leaving it to their generation, when things have gotten significantly worse and may be even more irreversible than they are now.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support this idea as it will not only help the environment but help other to be more active as the idea "move bikes" will be involved.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why: stopping some services will end to people not being on same terms and thoughts as others and will cause issues

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: spending money on bins will allow people to be more cautious of what they're putting in their bins and buy reusable items

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Scoot point park is hugely important to this growing area and should be completed in full as quickly as possible

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: More needs to be done in Tāmaki Makaurau Auckland on climate action, and I am in favour of paying a bit more now in order to protect our future. Making public transport cheaper, more reliable, and more accessible all work to get cars off the road as Auckland grows, and I would be happy with a slightly high council rates bill to support this. Reducing our carbon emissions needs to attacked in multiple ways, and getting people out of cars and in public transport leaves our roads free for the people who do need cars, so its a win in multiple ways.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Not a fan of sale of assets.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: reducing and changing services over stopping.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This is more efficient than many people paying every week for different bin tags, easier for busy households to manage, especially if households move throughout Auckland. Some households may use way less rubbish than others (as a 2 person household this would be us), but this is offset by higher convenience and the acknowledgement that people may move through different size households in their life. Overall much in favour of standardisation through Auckland.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Creating more public transport choices for people in Auckland will help reduce the amount of carbon emissions produced from the daily use of cars. As a result of more travel choices, there should also be more frequent services to allow people to get to their destination on time and in a more environmentally-friendly way.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Not sure

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support but at the same time, inflation should also be addressed, particularly when Auckland has the most number of people struggling to make ends meet due to the rising cost of living.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: A big issue of the current waste system is that you have to constantly buy tags to have your rubbish collected. For normal households, it is unnecessary to have their rubbish bin collected every week as there is not that much rubbish to be thrown. This creates a stench from the waste and eventually becomes an environmental & health problem. The new system could help alleviate the current problem since the waste would be collected on a more frequent basis, and Aucklanders would not need to buy tags (which is another environmental factor as the tags cannot biodegrade & they're hard to rip off from the bin), which ultimately reduces the cost people have to spend on waste. As long as tax rates don't increase as a result of this proposed system, then I think this is a great idea.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: It would be nice if the Browns Bay playground gets a new look as well, as the current one is getting old and has been that way for many years. There is quite a lot of space that could potentially be built upon for a new/expanded playground.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are facing a climiate emergency. My generation (millenial) and those that follow will face the consequences of gross environmental mismanagement on a global scale. While the problem is global, where better to start and set an example from than our own backyard? I grew up, live, and work in beautiful Tāmaki Makaurau Auckland; one day I would like to raise my children here but to do that we need to work on making Auckland a livable, low carbon, sustainable place to live in the future now. A little effort now will go a long way later.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: These proposals are the bare minimum to meet our climate change obligations. Please go ahead with them.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Sounds okay but depends on what services are being cut.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: It's cheaper for us to use PAYT as we don't create as much trash as other households do.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To myself and I believe a growing number of people, climate change is by far my most pressing political concern. Climate disaster will set back much of the progress we've made in recent decades, so preventing it is top priority.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: This budget seems to have taken into account general instability and pressures caused by covid. I would support more policies that focus on taxing large corporations and the top 1 or even .1%. I fully back capital gains tax, wealth tax, more taxes on large corporations and measures to reduce tax evasion.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is set as a high priority, which I support.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Again climate friendliness is top priority.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: More walkways and public services incentives spending time locally, fostering community and reduces transport emissions.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like to see focus also put on public transport, as I currently it would take me several bus hops and much more time than driving to get to most places outside of Hobsonville.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This targeted rate would allow Auckland to raise some of the funds required to make changes to reduce our emissions, including ensuring better public transport that is easier and more attractive for Aucklanders to use, electric ferries, improving walking and cycle routes so more people use them, planting more trees to enhance suburbs and suck up carbon, and improving resilience by supporting urban and community food gardening. In my opinion, with climate change already upon us, all of these actions are urgent and important. They will help Aucklanders reduce their own carbon footprints, and well as build stronger communities which we will need as climate change impacts our city.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support these proposals as they are necessary, but efficiency savings and reduction in some services should not adversely affect the important work Auckland Council is doing to deal with climate change and its impacts, and have any adverse impacts on poorer communities.. The Service Prioritisation framework below should ensure this happens.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As above.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This one is very difficult for me! On a personal level, as a member of a family of four who composts, worm farms, re-uses, recycles, bokashis and gardens, we put a rubbish bin out (the smallest one) only perhaps 4 times a year. It is a little more effort to do this, but perfectly doable. With the proposed changes, we will be paying for everyone else's food and landfill waste to be picked up. I also feel strongly that people (and businesses) should be encouraged and supported to deal with their food waste on their own properties if they can, to avoid all the extra transportation of food waste to a central processing plant, and encourage the growing of food. However, I understand the need for a one-size-fits-all system for Auckland, and that not everyone has the capacity to do what we do at home. If this is the simplest option, I support it in principle, but would like to see some of the revenue collected from the rates change going to initiatives that encourage waste reduction at home.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Support

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: At the moment we are struggling to put food on the table and now you are wanting to tax us further - now is not the right time

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This will cost me considerably more money per year. I usually put my bin out every 3 weeks. If this new scheme goes ahead I will simple put more rubbish out in the bin with no regards to saving waste - so more for the land fill.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local hoard: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There are so many living cost increases it is not the right time to impose another rate. Public transport usage is down, so we could invest later.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: It doesn't sound like using the "better off" package is making us better off - it sounds like it's being used to solve a different problem. The rates increase should keep up with inflation not be below inflation. Short-term covid-related impacts should be debt-funded not "better off" funded.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: But we should also look at council's massive property portfolio and what really needs to be owned and managed and all the staff needed to do that - lots are not really providing value to the community because they sit empty or poorly-utilised.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It would be great not to worry about the tags, but would this mean more people chuck stuff in the main bin instead of recycling?

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support local boards making decisions about services (although they do this already?), but they make too many decisions about how those services are delivered, which should be management's job. They waste their time worrying about what colour to paint a hall or refusing to sell property that isn't even used. Many seem to push personal agendas using the excuse that they are the voice of the community, when they only really represent a small vocal minority.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: our feedbacks made no difference as there's default of yearly increase of rate

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: to encourage minimise the waste produce

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: If we don't now- when will we! I'm keen to do whatever we can so that in the future we are not suffering the consequences of not bothering!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to make a difference for future generations

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Need to help plan a for adults futures otherwise there poverty will become more...

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It get confusing when moving

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: In order to live a healthy, good life we need a good environment. As climate change gets more serious the environment around us is going to change which will eventually effect us as well. This is why we need to make actions to make a positive change to our environment.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Budget pressure can lead to a chain reaction that will affect the government, business, no residents therefore I support this proposal as it will help NZ economic flow stable and keep it from falling apart.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As far as I understand this means the government is trying to reduce the overall money spent by reducing the form of effciency saving and low priority services. If this is necessary I think it is a good idea to reduce overall use of money by taking out low priority services.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Everyone has different rate of use. Some people use less, some people use more. In my opinion Paying for the amount you throw is more efficient than region wide rates.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It is silly to continuously buy tags to have your bin collected. It would make sense if this was paid for in rates.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why: I did not know about this - this should be encouraged and discussed in schools

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think communication is most important

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Create more parks and green spaces. But more of the responsibility on developers. Especially green field developments. Aim for 50% of the city to be green spaces. Anything for sustainability and future planning. More density and public transport.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Given transport is NZ's 2nd largest source of carbon emissions, it is critical we fund public transport to reduce this, and congestion for a better quality of life. This is really only the first step - investment in rail, especially to north of Harbour Bridge, must be done to decrease our overreliance on cars. Support this only as a first step.

Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: The key priorities (climate action, transport, the environment) are good. Just make sure you also prioritise housing infrastructure, to allow for new builds especially after MDRS was passed.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The current storms show us what could potentially happen to all our beautiful seaside suburbs if climate change is not addressed. Also I want to walk up Queen Street without breathing in diesel fumes from buses.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This must be accompanied by intensive action on recycling and composting, with incentives for those who respond pro-actively.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Re the Tupuna Maunga Authority - every guided bush walk I have ever been on around NZ stressed the importance of the dappled light through the protective overhead canopy which protects and nurtures the emerging growth. The mature trees (whether native or exotic) must be left to provide this protection.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Auckland is not doing nearly enough to address this climate emergency. As a nondriver, I have a firsthand view of what our public transport services are like, and while there has been a lot of progress since the abysmal state of public transport in the mid-2000s, it still has a way to go before it will get people out of their cars. We really need action like the climate action targeted rate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I'm encouraged to see this option mader available. For many decades, Auckland's regional transport has been dominated and stymied by over-commitment to private car ownership. The remeduy is urgent and overdue - to enhance and expand public transport options in line with emission minimisation. Go for it! NOW's appropriate...

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Standardisation is likely to be far more efficient for resolving environmental issues, as well as being more cost effective for us ratepayers.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to actually take this seriously as it is the biggest threat to our species

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Make it up slowly over the next decade. No more pressure on individuals.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Makes sense.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Helps the environment.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce the Auckland council workforce and reduce your wages.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Don't use my rubbish bin every week as there is only 2 of us living here. We use it every 2-3 weeks.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: The cycle way from the yellow bridge in Hobsonville to Greenhithe bridge.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Seems most of our rates get spent on stupid things like consulting groups, stupid road designs like on Henderson. Who is accountable for all this ridiculous spending? Seems all the small areas of Auckland get neglected while the city centre gets a fat chunk of all the money aswell as your 1000s of "workers". Hopefully a new mayor will help to rectify some or all of the above points instantly..

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local hoard: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate Change is the biggest threat to our communities in generations and needs to be treated as such. We also have the opportunity to improve the wellbeing and accessibility of transport for our people which is hugely important to me.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I believe PAYT encourages better individual waste management such as composting and recycling to reduce waste cost

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It will have no real impact it is just another form or revenue generation under the guise of climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Need to focus on core council activities and reign in all the other spending focus is Transport, rubbish collection, parks, and leisure facilities level social issues to government

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Also where are all the bins going to go on street waiting collection we already do not have enough room in local street the week rubbish and recycling are the same day ...3 bins for each house!!!!!!!!!!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: If we don't address climate change then many people around the world will die. As a developed country having benefited from high greenhouse gas emissions, we now need to do more than average to reduce them.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Urgent action is needed - supported by this kind of funding.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Myself and my family and friends living here in Auckland. Shouldn't we care?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The system we have now works well and it can be extended to other areas if they are not happy with their existing system

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: These look reasonable and at the sametime the minimum

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Increasing public transport options is one of the best ways to get people out of cars.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We specifically aim to reduce our waste.

We have the smallest bin available and still go 2-3 weeks between putting it out regardless.

The current plan is to effectively charge us for a weekly pickup when we do not currently use that.

It would cause me to put the bin out more often and provably throw more away rather than reuse or recycle.

Reduce the cost for the smaller bins of enforcing or instigate lower frequency rubbish pickups and provide larger bins to account for time increase.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I do approve of the climate action targeted rate as long as the prices are adjusted proportionately for different incomes. I would also propose the question - will each Auckland resident be paying a targeted rate or just one per household?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: More and improved public transport would be so beneficial for the climate as well as the people as taking the bus is so inconvenient at the momment. It is slow, and irregular. This means that it is more easier to take the car.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Not sure if some of these are urgently needing of change at the moment.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I think this is a good way to help save governments money that may be used in better more impactful places.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I think the food waste would be a new and a bit time-consuming at first to seperate but would have such a lasting impact overall the faster we phase in the faster we will see positive change. Also this change would mean that people who make more rubbish pay more and people who make less rubbish pay less. So everyone is more responsible and mindful. However, the suburb and how many people in the house may need to be reconsidered for pricing.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Seems like Hobsonville is the only place being improved.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: There are some critical services that are comically under funded like construction site sediment control management so instead we spend millions on managing the sediment that gets into the rivers. Departments like Auckland transport are extordinarly wasteful on high wage bills

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I live in a body corp which covers my waste collection so I am paying twice if it's in my rates. I have no choice in this matter as we do not have places to store bins

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support climate action and I know that it cost money to implement it. But I must stress that I am tired of mismanagement of funds and inefficient management of funds which always result in disproportionate amount of fundings being used in consultancy works and over inflated salaries for CEO or high up roles. I hope every sent of the \$574 million will go to meeting climate actions with the highest financial ethic.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: Yes to:

- Using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- Keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

Yes hut.

- Changing the timing of some capital spending as long as it is based on sound evidence that it is a good practice.
- Implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services. Which services? Again this needs to be based on sound backed up evidence.
- Continue work on the sale or long-term lease of non-strategic assets as required. What is the definition of "non-strategic assets"? Make sure we keep within public services assets that are for the community.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Some people are already working really hard to minimise their waste, who currently might put out a bag of waste every six weeks, or their bin out once a month, going to a rates-funded system will financially disadvantage them over our current PAYT system. And will certainly not bring more people to shift towards reducing their waste.

In fact, the rates-funded system allow between 50 - 100% more waste to be disposed of for between 20 - 24% more cost, which could lead to more waste being disposed of, rather than encouraging waste minimisation.

Increasing ones bin size from 80L to 120 L, a 50% increase in waste capacity, costs 20% more pa

An increase in bin size from 120 L to 240L, a 100% capacity increase, costs 24% more pa

The Council's proposed plans would also effectively create a monopoly in waste disposal, preventing private waste companies from offering competing curbside collections systems. This is absolutely NOT ACCEPTABLE.

Both the Waste Minimisation Act and the Commerce Act are very clear on creating monopolies i.e. A Council monopoly of household waste disposal will not serve Auckland well. Make sure you comply with the Acts.

The introduction of curbside food waste collection is a great step towards waste minimisation. Household food waste is about 45% of our rubbish bin, so tackling that is really important. However, sending this waste by truck to a commercial composter 260km away in Reporoa, doesn't meet Council's own decarbonisation plans.

Ideal waste minimisation would see that food waste being composted within Auckland, and the compost and resultant methane from the composting being used in glasshouse production within the region. E.g. Pukekohe, Auckland's vegetable garden, seems a good alternative to Reporoa.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5 Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Stop wasting money through bad financial management and stop increasing our debt. Work smarter and apply strong ethics.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: how is paying council going to do this??? We need to change the way we live!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: people are struggling financially so now council wants more money as well!!

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: just make it fare and affordable for all!!!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: these make for better living

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council needs to work carefully with ratepayers money !!! Maybe have a look how you can cut cost in salaries of the people high up in council and not keep getting more and more money from the people.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We simply can't afford to keep pushing the climate issue and it's massive impacts on us all down the road. It will cost us much much more in the long run if we don't change our behaviours now and public transport is a huge part of this

Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Pay as you go provides more of an incentive to decrease rubbish from my experience as a household

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Our communities are rapidly growing and outdoor recreation space is so key

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Generally I support the Climate action targeted rate. But in my opinion, these actions are not even balancing the scales of the climate crisis.

The climate crisis is a complex issue, but using that complexity to obscure basic realities cannot be forgiven. We are destroying the planet and along the way we are destroying ourselves.

The protection of all trees in Auckland City has been removed. The Council removed that protection. Since then more than 50% of the trees in Auckland City have been cut down. This will only escalate with the impact of the Enabling Housing (and other matters) Act plus Council's own intensification in the Unitary Plan. A key travesty is Council not buying the Kohimarama remnant Forest and allowing developers to turn this into intensive housing. Getting ratepayers to plant a few saplings is trying to dam the canal with our fingers. Additional trees planted may go some way to mitigating but until we ensure 30% Ngahere urban forest in all areas of Auckland we will never remotely achieve climate resilience.

Burning Chinese coal in the Huntley power station to provide electricity for a fleet of buses in Auckland is even more mind-boggling.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I do not agree with the sale of non-strategic assets - who determines whether the Downtown carpark is a strategic asset? The continued cuts and prioritisation of services and the selling of assets is the death by 1000 cuts that makes Council useless.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Waste water and stormwater

I strongly recommend that we adopt the Water Strategy which states that for climate crisis, loss of biodiversity, flooding, etc that we adopt green infrastructure in all new developments - now. What is the point of waiting 20 years when most of the intensification will have been done. I request that Whenuapai has a green infrastructure plan in place prior to Plan Changes for the area being approved.

Parks and communities

I support further investment in our Ngahere. 200 ha of native forest in our Regional Parks.

I request that more ecological corridors need to happen in all suburbs currently experiencing intensification.

Environmental management and regulation

We support investing in environmental protection through the Natural Environment programme. We agree with the challenges stated on p 22 of the report where it states

"A degrading natural environment – our rapid growth is putting pressure on our environment, along with the spread of pests, weeds and diseases threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction". Action needs to be taken now to address this, particularly in light of the on-going intensification.

We therefore request that in Whenuapai and other areas undergoing rapid intensification, that a minimum of 30% native ecological corridors are planned into the areas prior to plan changes being approved. A blue-green spatial network plan needs to be done in these areas.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: Whilst support what the UHLB is proposing, it saddens me that due to no Whenuapai representatives on the Local Board, this area is being neglected - for instance all greenways plans for this area are aspirational and not actioned. This is tragic considering the intensification that is happening now.

We would therefore like the U H Local Board to pursue the following:

currently intensification is happening in Whenuapai and the greenways plan for this area is only aspirational - this needs to be actualised now prior to plan changes being finalised. Whenuapai is a growing area that requires investment in open space and facilities to create functioning communities for people and wildlife. Note work within Council on the Climate Resilience strategies, Aukland Water Strategy, Urban Ngahere Strategy, and North West Wildlink etc. All this good work needs to be actioned now. Whenuapai would be a great case study to action these strategies into effect.

Equity is not just about the income or assets of the residents and property owners; the provision of public facilities and open spaces determines the health of a community

We request that Whenuapai also has a green infrastructure plan in place prior to Plan Changes for the area being approved

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I would like to take this opportunity to talk about the importance of landscape ecological management; and how it can be implemented with Upper Harbour as an example.

I support investment in infrastructure and green/open space for greenfield development.

It is essential that while meeting our need to grow the housing supply in Auckland that we invest in meeting other council objectives for greenfield developments. These new communities don't inherit the legacy of community assets that have been built up by legacy councils despite them often having a higher population density due to changes in how we build. They are also in areas that come with their own ecological challenges and opportunities. For example Whenuapai is an ideal place to provide North West Wildlink connections between Lucas Creek and Swanson; but given it's agricultural heritage has a tree cover well below Urban Ngahere goals. As council reviews it's planning and decision making allocation for the provision of services such parks and community facilities it needs to consider the unique challenges these greenfield developments have and budget to provide vibrant communities, rather than new forms of inequity.

Auckland Council declared a climate emergency and call for action. As mentioned, in the annual budget plan on p.22 states "A key challenge to the degrading natural environment that our rapid growth is putting pressure on our environment, along with the spread of pests, weeds and diseases threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction". Action needs to be taken now to address this, particularly in light of the on-going intensification.

We support immediate action on implementing blue-green network spatial plan to be done for all areas of intensification happening in Auckland, with Whenuapai as a case study.

Whether purchased by the Local board or the Governing Body, the annual plan should enable a framework to purchase sufficient parks to provide not only for recreation but also for ecological connectivity. We therefore advocate for changes to Open Space Acquisition Policy/Development contributions to specifically allow conservation land and esplanades

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I fully support the dedicated rate for climate action. As petrol prices increase we need to create more options for people to get around and not just by cars. Active and public transport are the most efficient ways of transporting for where they need to go but much more needs to be done so that it suits everyone and is not just for people with better means.

Now is a critical time to reduce our emissons before it is too late and irreversible changes occur.

I want to walk/cycle and bus with my children but it is often unsafe or inconvenient, so i strongly support the increased options for transport.

The targeted rate is a good start but Council needs to be more ambitious. Council must implement more dedicated cycleways and busways with cheap and quick improvements that don't rely on gold plated solutions.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I support standardising waste services and charges across Auckland, however by having a fixed rate it is not incentivising people to reduce their waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Need to advocate to Auckland Transport to be putting in low cost cycleways especially on the northshore side of Upper Harbour area as it very unsafe to get around other then in a car.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Your proposed actions does not really contribute much to Climate - like what does improved bus services have to do with climate?? And what about preserving the trees we have now? And what facts do you have that how much reduction of emission there will be? Also, as a country, the central government should have reserved funds to manage and address the climate for the NZ as a whole, and it should not come out of residence by increasing rates..

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: As long as there will be no rate increase to fund your shortfall...

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT system provide more flexibility and much more cost effective for household that does not need to throw rubbish every week. Although seemingly your calculation looks cheaper for waste, but that is based on the fact that it is for housewhole that throw out rubbish every week. As your goal is to reduce rubbish, PAYT is a better approach as the residence will be thinking about when their rubbish will be full and when they will want to chuck it and to organize their rubbish.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applica	able):	
Your local board: Uppe	er Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: This is an important step towards achieving/exceeding our climate action goals. It will also greatly benefit the system of public transport in Auckland, thereby encouraging more people to use it, and make the lives of people already trying to do their part for the environment easier. This is a great step in the right direction.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Improving community facilities I think a positive thing, and better connections between different regions also will help encourage more sustainable forms of transport and recreation

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Addressing climate change has to be priority #1 for all of us now. Scientific studies show that anything less will lead to catastrophe. Auckland must act boldly now. CATR is an important first step and should be front loaded for maximum and early impact.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Prioritise action to slow down climate change and consider climate change effects in all decisions.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are living in a critical window of time that could hugely influence the future. Let's do all we can to support Auckland being liveable for our children, their children, and their children after them.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Climate justice is paramount. I strongly support the rate focusing on under-served areas.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Some things may have to be sacrificed for a higher purpose. If we don't, these things may disappear anyway as climate change reprioritises every part of our lives

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Currently waste management is not focused. We need to standardise waste management, but it shouldn't stop there. This is a global problem, particularly the lie about recycling. Find out where our recycling goes, it has been shown to be shipped overseas and burnt out buried.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We do it for the love of Auckland and its people

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It is essential to address climate change asap.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT made me reduce my amount of waste or recycle better. This can work for most people. Rate funded system will not push to reduce waste.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Brilliant. ABC. Anything But Cars. Make Auckland green not concrete and roads. A city is full of people but often doesn't feel like it's for people, but for cars and roads. It doesn't have to be this way. Great public transport is an essential element along with urban greening.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I do not support selling off public assets, non-strategic or not. Tax cars much more, build less roads, stop all fossil fuel subsidies. Start marshalling community volunteers rather than private profiteers. There's enough money especially if we tax those polluting the most. Don't raise money to support business as usual. We've hit and past peak car, peak road, peak petrol. Make them pay and give them options.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Stack rank, not prioritise. If something is #1 go after it without exception.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I support standardisation and the removal of private enterprise like Waste Management. This is a public utility and should be run and managed as such. This should be means tested otherwise it is a regressive tax. Wealthy and high polluters should pay more, those less well off, less.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: These are good but not ambitious enough. How about market gardens instead of parks, or lawns? How about electric lawnmowers not fossil fuels? Community gardens (commons) and cars? You're not trying hard enough.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Democratise communities. Local boards are monolithic, political generalists. They don't know or care what happens in my street and community.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Strong climate action now is the only hope for our future. Single most important issue we are facing.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I feel it's important to take a hands on approach to climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: If you ever get to do it

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Really?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: As a small household we use the weekly rubbish collection once every 2 - 3 weeks and the 2 weekly recycle bin only every 4 - 6 weeks. The proposed system means half the time I will be paying for something I don't use. As a reported way of reducing rubbish this proposed system is just hot air. If I'm paying for it anyway I'll just throw everything out willy nilly and not sort, burn, compost etc as I do now. Lets be honest - it's just a money grabbing act by council which is in huge debt. Honestly, no one I know believes your reasoning for this suggestion.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: At least cycle-ways aren't mentioned.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Stop trying to get cars off the road by removing car lanes for cycle-ways, car parks for extended bus stops, narrow roads and no car-parking in new developments.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have no other choice but to implement these changes. ASAP!

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: about time you got round to sorting out Rame Road properly

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on? escalating rate charges especially for pensioners

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I cannot understand how there can be an emergency on climate related issues, if reducing emissions is the solution, due to covid and the work from home policy most companies are adopting, transport emissions has been reduced by at least 70%, compared to pre covid, we can see with our eyes every day that number of cars that is on the road has been GREATLY reduced, if anything, we Aucklanders have already exceeded any climate related targets previously, why is the council still trying to make us pay more in the name of climate?

The only point I would ever consider supporting is the improvement to bus services and other forms of public transport, as I can see Auckland is still very lacking in that area.

Other points like plant more trees and provision for walking and cycling, we really have more than enough, and it pains me to see my tax money continually being dumped in these unnecessary areas.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I don't agree with the data provided on costing of PAYT, we don't spend nearly as much as 391 dollars per year as the supporting document suggests, in reality we only spend around 180 dollars per year on rubbish, and now with this new system you are forcing me to pay 375 per year. can't possibly support this.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Please do something in Whenuapai as well

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I could get a Tesla with a year's worth of taxes I pay. Making people poorer doesn't solve climate change. You can feed a family for a day with \$58. Stop taking people's money.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: How about spending less? Does anyone at the Auckland council know the word 'austerity'? Cut salaries of folks in the C suite and stop wasting money on 'artwork' which no one gives a shit about. Public servants in Japan, South Korea and Singapore do way more for less money public servants here get paid.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: PAYT as long as it is reflected in reduction in rates.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: People have Google maps, so a government solution to help people navigate between parks and open spaces is not required.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The local government should investigate ways to reduce itself, which will reduce red tape, bureaucracy and taxes.

Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are in a climate crisis we need action now and transport is one of the biggest emissions we have. I also use public transport most days and would love improved service.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The current system is confusing and ive heard of people having the tags pulled off bins and then getting skipped over for collection.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Improvements in parks is great. I would love to see more walking and cycling improvements as well, especially some areas that have incomplete footpaths such as bush rd.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Would love to see more investment in walking and cycling measures. Especially saftey improvements and more cyclepaths. This also helps to reduce climate impact

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: we don't need any more taxes- we already have regional fuel tax and business improvement levy - we cant afford any more.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I only put a small bin out once a month, I recycle and compost. I don't want to pay for services that I don't use.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

allowing Aucklanders to have reduced fares on buses etc so that we can all get used to using them - then look at making changes - new services etc.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: All the idea for climate action sound great. However, I live in an area that is undergoing considerable hoursing intensification at the moment and in the coming years. There are no plans in our Green ways plan to put in cycleways. There is also no land put aside to increase tree cover in this developing area and to offset the the huge loss of urban trees as a result of the Enabling Housing, and other matters, Act. I would like to see specifics on how Auckland council intends to increase Ngahere forest in urban area of Auckland? Where are the locations/land put aside to do it? I would also like to see transparency into the use of the extra money from the Climate Change targeting rate to ensure it is spent on projects that will mitigate climate change and not just a back door way to increase the general rate.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I support investing in environmental protection through the Natural Environment programme. I agree with the challenges stated on p 22 of the report where it states

"A degrading natural environment – our rapid growth is putting pressure on our environment, along with the spread of pests, weeds and diseases threatening many of our native species. Approximately two-thirds of Auckland's local native species are under threat of extinction". Action needs to be taken now to address this, particularly in light of the on-going intensification around Auckland.

If selling off "non-strategic" assets means selling public land that is green spaces then it goes totally against what the council says it will be doing to mitigate climate change and improve biodiversity. Keeping all public land, and creating more, is the best way we can increase tree canopy cover and improve citizen's well-being at the same time.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: In the online budgeting tool it does not tell us how much of ratepayers money is spent on overprices salaries and outside contractors, whom, many would say, do not give good value for ratepayers money.

86 staff earn more than \$250,00, 48 staff earn more than the Mayors salary of \$296,000. Seven staff earn more than our Prime Minister. this is totally unacceptable. Its time Auckland Council stopped building their ivory towers and got real about their inflated salaries that they do not deserve. There is the reduction in operating spending.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Can we please have a community re-cycling centre in the Upper Harbour.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: The Upper Harbour Local Board priorities say very little and will have no influence on my neighbourhood - Whenuapai, which is undergoing major intensification.

How about the local board put funding into setting up a community based task force to make Whenuapai a case study to develop a green infrastructure plan before any further plan changes happen in Whenuapai and a plan that incorporates Councils Climate Resilience strategies, Auckland Water Strategy, Urban Ngahere Strategy, and North West Wildlink etc. This is an opportunity to put into practice Auckland councils own development strategies at the beginning of the foundation level.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support investment in infrastructure and green/open space for greenfield developments, like Whenuapai.

It is essential that while meeting our need to grow the housing supply in Auckland that we invest in meeting other council objectives for greenfield developments. These new communities don't inherit the legacy of community assets that have been built up by legacy councils despite them often having a higher population density due to changes in how we build. They are also in areas that come with their own ecological challenges and opportunities. For example Whenuapai is an ideal place to provide North West Wildlink connections between Lucas Creek and Swanson; but given it's agricultural heritage has a tree cover well below Urban Ngahere goals. As council reviews it's planning and decision making allocation for the provision of services such parks and community facilities it needs to consider the unique challenges these greenfield developments have and budget to provide vibrant communities, rather than new forms of inequity.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: climate change is a big problem that must be fixed immediatley

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The emission target rate is too high.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The more you throw, the more you pay.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: General rates increase very bad people cannot afford it and housing is already so expensive. Not just for home owners but also for tenants who have the cost passed on.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: it is fair. people can be encouraged to decrease waste levels if they actually have to pay for the consequences of how much they consume.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support this proposal because it will help improve our transport in Auckland and it will help students and workers who struggle with transport and it will reduce the carbon foot print which has a big role in climate change.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support the idea of cost reduction because it will help for budgeting and will not put pressure on the rate payers.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Some services like costing extra money for example the e-scooter lane in Henderson was waste of money in my opinion.

Here is a link to support my opinion.

https://www.nzherald.co.nz/nz/192000-to-paint-auckland-henderson-intersection-blue-slammed-as-shambolic-waste-of-public-funds/56K3JM7R3Z7XSWDODIS3REVPTE/

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I think tag system is complicated because its difficult to remember to buy a tag and put the tag on your bin. Its especially hard for working class.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I agree with all these priorities because it will improve Hobsonville. I specifically like the idea of the new path way in the Wharf Reserve because I enjoy going on walks with my family.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think the thing that's most important to me is Local board decision-making over local community services because I think having improvement within the community is always best to have so then we can live in a safe and happy environment.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support the climate action targeted range, because we must drastically reduce emissions and the pace needs to be picked up.

I already commute on bus when I'm not working from home, but the off peak frequency of my local bus is not good enough. Luckily it is on the list of routes to be improved, although we also need to ensure AT delivers on bus priority measures.

My only concern is that the activities funded by the targeted rate might be seen as sufficient action for the climate, when in reality it can only be seen as a top up measure. Climate action must form part of every single (budget) decision within the council.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: 'I support rates-funded collection service if it improves efficiencies, but I fear that it will undermine the waste minimisation goal.

We are a 3 person household and compost our food scraps. Our 80l bin would last 4 weeks, which saves us money as we live in a PAYT area, but we still put it out for collection more often for hygiene reasons.

If our 80l bin was collected weekly with a rates-funded collection, we would have no incentive other than our conscience to minimise waste.

Since you are also proposing to introduce a region-wide food scrap collection (which I support), I believe the proposed bin sizes are too big.

To address undermining the waste minimisation goal I propose:

- Introduce a small bin size around 35-40l. The SULO VARIO system with reducing inserts would be compatible with the collection vehicles as the outer dimensions should be similar to the current 80l bins.
- Reduce the collection of the general waste to fortnightly to reduce cost and required fleet of collection vehicles

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Govt is too slow to respond to changes needed that private business is not restricted by. This additional tax is a virtual signaling exercising providing little to no value in the fight for climate change. If the council want to lead in climate change, it will get better traction turning its entire vehicle fleet to electric, and then add their contractors vehicles are electric.

All other steps council will take to spend this additional tax will yield little to no value to reduce emissions.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We have what we need to deal with at the moment

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Public transport is not just better for the environment, but it stops congested traffic, makes road maintenance less common, and allows people who cannot afford their own vehicles a means of transport.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Money.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Although the climate is still being destroyed by other countries, things such as new trees and paths will be a nice addition to the city. The ferries could use some work, too.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: Honestly, I don'e know whether I support this or not. I don't know whether it is good to institute all these changes, or to keep it flowing the way it was.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't really understand what this is compared to the question above.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I know from personal experience that bin tags are a nuisance, and would like to see a nation-wide change at a later date.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: They all seem like adequate things to improve our neighbourhoods.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is a critical issue.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Covid has hit businesses and other people hard.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The rubbish collection should not be added to region-wide rates-funded because not everyone takes out the rubbish on a weekly basis.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I just think that it is a good idea.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think that this will positively impact the climate in a way that everyone can enjoy.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I think this will help to manage the budget pressures in an efficient way

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm not clever enough with money to make an accurate answer.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I think that the cost of waste disposal should be based on how much you dispose of.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: about half of the priorities sound good, the other half seems a bit strange.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicab	ole):	
Your local board: Upper	Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is an issue

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I think you guys know what you're doing

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Hi, I'm a student at Hobsonville Point Secondary School and I am in support of a climate action targeted rate, however I am concerned that these actions will not go far enough. To truly achieve net-zero, we need to implement not only carbon-neutral strategies but carbon negatives ones. While trees and plants will help, is it enough to offset the carbon cost of construction, output from public transportation as well as the standard carbon costs that the city sees? With the immense amount of space that will be required for both planting efforts and the expansion of public transportation, how is this being managed? I also believe the rates proposed are not high enough, and would like to propose an amendment to raise the proposed rates (for all categories of ratepayers) by 200%.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support the budget levers you are implementing. They seem like they will provide the council with significant revenue streams, which can be then allocated to the projects and people who need them. However, I feel there may be missed opportunities. Investment in the stocks of certain high-performance businesses/corporations could be bought and sold relatively quickly, to generate smaller bursts of income, which with the help of a variety of experts (having highly-competent people on this effort would not only be crucial, but absolutely essential, lest the council lose millions), could be expanded into longer-term revenue streams that given the right scale, may allow the council to generate millions. By no means is this a perfect approach. I understand that the stock market is fickle and prone to manipulation, not to mention that one bad purchase could derail any kind of revenue stream established through this enterprise, however, if the council desperately requires revenue as this report suggests, the risk may be worth it.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I support this framework you are proposing, and I understand that it is vague for now as decisions are still being made. However this support is temporary and will have to depend on the final prioritisation outline.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I support this proposal because I believe the three bin system will give more incentive to decrease waste consumption, and a food scrap collection system will be perfect for my household as we have always wanted to start composting.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support most priorities, however I feel these goals are extremely leisure orientated, and would like to see more climate change related proposals prioritised.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I live in Scott Point, Hobsonville and below are some points I'd like to give feedback on:

Firstly I believe Auckland should pave the way regarding our waste management. To do this we should ban single use plastics (cups, plates, cutlery) in food and drink industries and this will ensure that all single use products are recyclable.

Secondly, there should be efforts directed towards making public transport more efficient and accessible. In newer areas (like Scott Point) there is often high traffic, but if bus routes were more efficient and connected to the ferries, this would encourage more public transportation use and lessen travel time for those that go to places like the city. For me it takes me one and a half hours on public transport to get to city- half an hour walk to get to my nearest bus stop, then two buses to central CBD.

Lastly I think we should introduce rubbish sorting courses in schools and ensure that all schools are recycling properly. For example, at my school (HPSS) we don't recycle properly as "high school students cannot be trusted with sorting out their rubbish".

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: transport is key producer of emissions and council can influence way to reduced car use eg better active transport, especially integrated with better walking and cycling paths

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: while i support the method i would like more detail around where cuts will be made and whether funding for capital work could be deferred and why and what may be sold.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: If you are going to stop funding any thing you need to make sure it does not affect those people who are less able to pay ie on lower income bracket.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: simpler, bags are not as secure easily broken eg handling or pets, why is there no comment for question 4b. I dont agree that former council areas should be treated differently in opt out.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I would support additional funding for green ways and cycle paths that connect to busway stations especially the new rosedale bus station, but also from existing stations.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

i support both of these

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I am in full support of more quality public transport. I don't want to live in a city choked full with cars and I don't want to live on a planet ravaged by the disastrous impacts of anthropogenic climate change. People should be able to get around Auckland without needing to use a car. We need to support and keep safe people who want to walk and cycle.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know Tell us why:

•

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

If Council Controlled Organisations like Auckland Transport do not follow the strategic goals outlined by the Council, then they need to be reformed. We cannot let middle-managers and their BAU practices prevent the drastic transformation required for the city to be a more vibrant, healthy and sustainable place to live.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As someone whom has grown up in Auckland and would like to be able to still live in Auckland and surrounding areas in the future, I believe it is vital that action is taken to reverse our impact on the environment not only for us but also future dwellers of the region. Auckland Council has already declared a climate emergency. The Auckland Climate Action Targeted Rate must be passed to show that this declaration is more than just an empty gesture/words.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Hi, I'm a student at Hobsonville Point Secondary School and I am in support of a climate action targeted rate because I strongly believe climate change is a crisis that must be addressed, and addressed now.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Hi, I'm a student at Hobsonville Point Secondary School and I am in support of a climate action targeted rate because I strongly believe climate change is a crisis that must be addressed, and addressed now.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think we should introduce rubbish sorting courses in schools and ensure that all schools are recycling properly. For example, at our school (HPSS) we don't recycle properly as "high school students cannot be trusted with sorting out their rubbish".

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I believe it is good to contribute more money to climate change

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I dont really know what this means

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: so that we can spend more money on bigger priorities

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This would become more cost effective so it would be better for rate payers

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: This would make the upper harbour community much better

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I beilieve the climate is the most important

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Hi, I'm a student at Hobsonville Point Secondary School and I am in support of a climate action targeted rate because I strongly believe climate change is a crisis that must be addressed, and addressed now.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support this proposal as I understand that recent events have resulted in many pressures on the budget, and the best solution to this problem is to prioritise services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I support this framework you are proposing as the orders of priority resonate perfectly with me.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I believe the movement from a PAYT system to a standardised payment system for region-wide ratesfunded refuse collection is a brilliant plan. This is my opinion because it implies that everyone will pay the same for rubbish collection and no particular urban region will have to pay more for lack of/having a different rubbish collection system.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: because I support proposed positive changed=s

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support the delivery of the Scott Point sustainable sports park. It is necessary that all connecting roads and footpaths around this park are completed at the same time, as currently some roads are not built yet or footpaths are

missing due to developers not constructing on adjoining land yet. This is not acceptable and causes safety concerns for pedestrians.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think we should reduce our carbon footprint to make our world a better place.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This will be easier

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Our place should be renewed to make it seem friendlier.

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on? family

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because it's good

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: It's confusing

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: This is helpful

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Confused

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Some of them are confusing

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because I feel like supporting the climate is important for future generations (us) and I believe that it is a good transition to a healthier lifestyle.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: But I think it is a sensible way of managing the money.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I do not have strong opinions on this

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I feel like this depends on the person and I also don't have strong opinions on this

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I feel like these are all beneficial but I dont have any strong opinions

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applica	ble):		
Your local board: Uppe	r Harbour		

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Scott Point Residents Group

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: As a cyclist and ferry user I can understand the need to improve the framework for both these services. There is so much scope in Auckland to avoid using ICE vehicles for commute and it should be exploited.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Moving to a rates funded system prevents us from making our own choices for refuse e.g. composting, using other providers

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Population is exploding in these areas with very little local facility. To prevent more congestion and pollution it makes sense to expand the activities available locally.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is important for the future and any possible improvements to our current environmental situation will be beneficial.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: No strong opinions

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Sounds good.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: A better system overall in my opinion.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Nothing much to say.

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Wasted money, Its all a con.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Council should spend more wisely and there is a lot of negligent spending.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I think this will both a positive effect on both the climate, and the wellbeing of the community. More funding in public transport and cycling is great.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I'm not well informed to either support or not this policy.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Bettering public services such as public transport, public facilities, bettering cycling and walking roads and paths, and playgdounds.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is something that will directly affect me.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Hi, I'm a student at Hobsonville Point Secondary School and I don't know because this proposal does not particularly resonate with me so I have no opinions on it.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't know enough about how this proposal will affect the economy and whether the predicted benefits will outweigh the reduction of services.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't know enough about the criteria as it is because this proposal is too vague for me to form an opinion on.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't know because this proposal does not particularly resonate with me so I have no opinions on it.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: I think Auckland should pave the way regarding our waste management. To do this we should ban single use plastics (cups, plates, cutlery) in food and drink industries and this will ensure that all single use products are recyclable.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think that there should be efforts directed towards making public transport more ecient and accessible. In newer areas (like Scott Point) there is often high trac, but if bus routes were more ecient and connected to the ferries, this would encourage more public transportation use and lessen travel time for those that go to places like the city.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local hoard: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: because i care

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It's a good idea.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information







Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Scott Point sustainable sports park will be a fabulous asset to the community

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: How does this actually go in to help climate?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: The proposed will cost way more than paYt at the moment. It might be better off for people who has heaps of waste but unfair for single occupants or people who recycle. Right now I'm encouraged to reduce waste so I don't pay a lot. If flat rate is charged why do I bother recycle or reduce waste at all.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It's fanciful and PC driven. This Council shows no significant ability to deliver on any promises; rather spending time and our money on self-congratulatory publication (e.g. Our Auckland) which flaunts success, but clearly, implicitly ignores failure; the poor delivery of maintenance, management and fiscal responsibility. The Council appears to be full of 'spin doctors' who spend inordinate amount of time (and money) preparing documents and ostensible consultations, when the decisions have already been 'framed' and often made. The 'post ipso facto consultation process is both an insult and a sham; hiding the 'money grab' of rates and now rates of revalued property. How cynical to impose a rate (rejected by ratepayers) AFTER a general revaluation. Much of the ostensible need due to COVID is in fact the product of woeful city management. It's a disgrace.

The environmental proposals, while important, are not a priority in the minds of many, when the city itself is poorly maintained, poorly administered, bad at meeting the needs and requests of the ratepayers and obsessed with 'feel good' projects rather than maintenance of the city ajnd its services. How cynical to be voicing an idea about tree planting when recently the Upper Harbour Local Board sanctioned the destruction of thousands of native trees; planted by volunteers; all without adequate consultation or commonsense consideration of viable alternatives. Disgusting!! The public outcry alone should have signalled exactly how little trust and confidence the ratepayers of the wider city have in their 'elected representatives'.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: The Council has demonstrated no significant ability to manage or administer the considerable funds available; both through Government assistance and via rates. Instead, the city is in incresing debt with astronomical interest owed. Their only solution is to blame Covid, though that's now a tired and only partly justified causative factor. Over-staffing, exorbitant salaries, wasteful spending, excessive use of consultants (yielding little of value) poor management and accountability of sub-contractors, resulting in the 'gateway to New Zealand' looking tired, disheveled and untidy. (weed growth, poor maintenance of parks and reserves, roading issues, etc, etc)

Most of these proposals are predictably a 'cash grab'; offering little and removing much ... the 'fire sale' of assets deemed surplus to requirements (in whose terms) Land once gone is gone forever.; sold in desperation.

Talk of efficiency sounds good, but has been significantly absent for years. Reduction in services .. does nothing for the ratepayer. (no consequent lowering of costs or taxes; in fact a round of further cash grabs in the guise of 'targeted rates' ... which impact on many, even if they don't want or use the services ostensibly provided. (e.g. targeted refuse rates ... minus adequate opt out facility) ' Changes of timing in capital spending' ... for this read 'delays on promises made for delivery which justified previous rates'.

This council is inept and dishonest and can't be trusted to 'deliver'.

Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: What more is there to say??? Putting it back on the ratepayer to agree/disagree with priorities (which Council has already identified) is a rare and unconvincing way of absolving itself from a further reduction of services. No reduction of rates, evidently. Just a 'we're short of funds, so by tinkering, we'll possibly be seen to be making unpalatable decisions and cuts, having consulted the ratepayer. Then we'll be able to 'spin it' and suggest that that's what people wanted. No they didn't ... you gave them unpalatble choices on what they'd do without because you're in trouble financially.

Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: With some small exceptions, I'd rather have what we've got! I want to choose how I deal with waste. I choose to use an independent collector for household refuse. I'm reasonably happy with the collection of recyclables. I have no wish nor intention of succumbing to the all inclusive refuse rate and subsidizing the service for others when I already dispose of a considerable portion of my minimal food waste through on site composting. I do not wish or intend to use a food waste bin to store food waste onsite, year round, attracting flies, rats and other vermin and having to clean and manage yet another bin. It's a further stupid idea which is further 'grab \$\$ from everybody' under the guise of providing a required/requested service. Just like every other targeted rate which ratepayers are expected to pay whether they want it or not. Yet further evidence of the arrogance and ineptitude of the ocean of Council employees who no doubt justified their excessive salaries by sitting around for a year constructing this elaborate, wordy, and ostensibly persuasive document. They'd have been better used by actually providing service to the ratepayer; or working somewhere else.

For those providing rental accommodation, this service is nothing but a nuisance when tenants have already learned to responsibly coordinate their refuse collection with each other to best suit their financial and actual needs. Now you're putting the financial burden back on the landlord/ratepayer. What a stupid idea!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Sadly, our elected representatives (mostly) showed themselves to be poorly informed, and untrustworthy. They made an ill-informed decision to 'mow down' several thousand native trees planted by volunteers; instead of adequately and imaginatively consulting with the organising team and considering imaginative alternatives and remedies. What a disgusting 'abuse of power'. Once again, the minimal objection, by sectional interests and a small group (4/6 Board members) denied the benefits for future generations, destroying the work of volunteer students, community groups and others.

I lack confidence and respect for their ability to spend any money coming via council for selected projects and for the manner in which the projects were given 'priority'. They would do better to look at the areas of existing facilities and to see where injection of capital to maintain and improve them would benefit everyone.

Take a drive around your Local Board area and see what needs doing to benefit the whole Board area, before you start to get into 'self-congratulatory' projects which will benefit a mere handful.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I am concerned that the power vested in the Loacl Boards might be expanded, when it is already evident that there is significant need for these 'well-meaning and inexperienced amateurs' to spend money on projects and to make decisons impacting on the local Board area, without consultation with the ratepayers or even the wider Council when necessary. Recent experience demonstrates how easily this 'comes unravelled'

Targeted rates such as the proposed 'Paremoremo bus service' are an appalling indication of the puntive nature of a rate for a service which a very few requested and which many don't want, won't use and yet are expected to pay for. Be prepared to be confronted and publicly embarrassed on this one; because many of us won't pay. Do we see approximately \$60,000 dollars in 'seeding' the set-up and operating costs as equitable? Hell no!

TEN temporary bus stops with signage only (plus perhaps a painted road mark) at \$6000 per stop??? You have to be joking!! A number of us can walk to a permanent, designated bus stop IF we ever require one (which I haven't in 12 years). Am I feeling in retirement like subsidising the few to catch the proposed service daily?? No I'm not and won't .. watch this space if it becomes a public, media and social network confrontation. This is crass arrogance and an example of why many of us will not trust or expect the local Board to act quasi independently, without check and balances from the full Council; who sadly don't garner much more confidence or respect after terms of woefully inadequate performance.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: An immense effort towards the mitigation of climate change is needed. While this is a good start, and I support these efforts, I worry about a lack of timelines and ways that these policies will be enforced. The intended additions and changes to become more carbon-neutral/negative are good and rate expansion seems necessary. Expanding public transport, bike and walking tracks are good ideas that could be somewhat easily implemented. However, there seems to be a lack of pressure to do this other than many concerned individuals. As you well know, the government moves slowly, and as has been the case in the past, I am concerned that much of the intended climate action will get caught up among bureaucratic plugs and a few powerful but unwilling people. Whether or not these concerns are founded, I obviously cannot say, but it is something that I would be concerned with if I were a member of the council and as a citizen whom climate change will affect.

Furthermore, I am concerned that these actions will not go far enough. To truly achieve net-zero, we need to implement not only carbon-neutral strategies but carbon negatives ones. While trees and plants will help, is it enough to offset the carbon cost of construction, output from public transportation as well as the standard carbon costs that the city sees? With the immense amount of space that will be required for both planting efforts and the expansion of public transportation, how is this being managed?

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- · changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: I support the budget levers you are implementing. They seem like they will provide the council with significant revenue streams, which can be then allocated to the projects and people who need them. However, I feel there may be missed opportunities. Investment in the stocks of certain high-performance businesses/corporations could be bought and sold relatively quickly, to generate smaller bursts of income, which with the help of a variety of experts (having highly-competent people on this effort would not only be crucial, but absolutely essential, lest the council lose millions), could be expanded into longer-term revenue streams that given the right scale, may allow the council to generate millions. By no means is this a perfect approach. I understand that the stock market is fickle and prone to manipulation, not to mention that one bad purchase could derail any kind of revenue stream established through this enterprise, however, if the council desperately requires revenue as this report suggests, the risk may be worth it.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

While I do support the basics of how the budget will be allocated, I am concerned this it is just that, basic. While I understand that proposals simply haven't been made and you have outlined some priorities in your 'should do' column, this is still very vague, especially community development. Without a clear plan, these ideas appear fairly likely to be clogged up in bureaucracy, though I could obviously be very wrong. At least, in my opinion, this lack of clarity about what particular risks are being mitigated as well as the specifics requirements by law should be clarified so that the public can better understand your goals as well as keep yourselves accountable. As a general rule, there does also seem to be a lack of accountability in this prioritisation. You have not named any service like DHB's which may be in need of aid, and even if there are no proposals, it makes sense to do so for the sake of the public? No disrespect, but this does seem like a way that you are allowing yourselves breathing room if you do not deliver, to say that 'x wasn't a priority. So what are the specific areas in community development, essential services and those priorities that you are legally responsible for? Additionally, why is climate action, something essential to maintaining quality of life in Auckland over time, in the 'should-do' category?

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The tax-funded system seems like an excellent improvement over the current throw as you go idea, for all the reasons you yourself have outlined. Given the right resources allocated to informing the public, it should be a welcome addition to the city.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Support

Tell us why: While I appreciate these priorities, they do not seem particularly important. There are no new highlighted bus routes, no improvements to waste management, the maintenance of the environment, etc. You have highlighted what is actually important previously, so why these low-priority goals while larger fires blaze elsewhere.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I personally am concerned about the lack of focus on (native) biodiversity. While I agree that it is not the top priority, establishing efforts to maintain and increase biodiversity across efforts would be a boon. Particularly, damage done to our native plants and animals by mammalian and insect pests, notably possums, rats and cats is concerning. In Hobsonville Point alone, I understand that more than 30 possums were caught last year, with many more around. The number of rats is higher. Therefore, in the effort of protecting what native life we have, as well as protecting the forests that you plan on planting as part of climate change mitigation, I would like to see increased trapping efforts across efforts. Current efforts are somewhat segmented across various civilian organisations and DOC. bringing these organisations,

such as the Kaipatiki project, under one umbrella related to the Department of Conservation to make trapping pulses more efficient and comprehensive, as well as further educating the public on mitigating the spread of these pests, would be a good start. If these groups are somewhat managed by DOC, which I assume at least some are, further consultation with and between these groups should help to aid the aforementioned goals. DOC and civilian groups have done incredible work in the past, and we can do more.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

Hi, I'm a student at Hobsonville Point Secondary School and I am in support of a climate action targeted rate. Considering the climax of our current economic situation, especially in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic and now the war in Ukraine, this is an ingenious way for us to ensure we meet our initial pledge of being carbon net zero by 2050 regardless of the number of societal hurdles thrown in our way to deter us from doing so. In summary, it sets our climate crisis at the forefront of ratepayers' minds prompting them to see the issue for what it really is and take it more seriously.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

I support the budget levers you are implementing. They seem like they will provide the council with significant revenue streams, which can be then allocated to the projects and people who need them. However, I feel there may be missed opportunities. Investment in the stocks of certain high-performance businesses/corporations could be bought and sold relatively quickly, to generate smaller bursts of income, which with the help of a variety of experts (having highly-competent people on this effort would not only be crucial, but absolutely essential, lest the council lose millions), could be expanded into longer-term revenue streams that given the right scale, may allow the council to generate millions. By no means is this a perfect approach. I understand that the stock market is fickle and prone to manipulation, not to mention that one bad purchase could derail any kind of revenue stream established through this enterprise, however, if the council desperately requires revenue as this report suggests, the risk may be worth it.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: I don't support this idea because I feel that climate action should be a must-do as climate change will soon begin to negatively affect our daily lives.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

I believe the movement from a PAYT system to a standardised payment system for region-wide rates-funded refuse collection is a brilliant plan. This is my opinion because it implies that everyone will pay the same for rubbish collection and no particular urban region will have to pay more for lack of/having a different rubbish collection system.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: They all seem like good plans

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I think that for the newly proposed rubbish recycling system, it'll be beneficial to attach an embossment or permanent laminated sticker on the bins detailing what can and can't be thrown away in certain bins. It'll be good to start this out from the onset to ensure that all our bins in Auckland have consistent labelling which will help us reduce the waste we throw away incorrectly and reduce the waste that ends up in landfills.

I also feel there should be a bigger incentive to go electric and this should be done by providing outdoor parking spaces that wirelessly charge one's electric car.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Healthier for the environemt

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Will have more scale and guidance to how the budget is used

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Will be unhealthy for the environment as people will result in the use of plastic bags more

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because it's important we look after the environment while we still can

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why: I do not understand this proposal very well but I support it because Covid-19 has certainly negatively affected the economy majorly so it only makes sense that having to adapt and manage the budget pressures better is necessary.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I think it's important to prioritise what we spend our money on otherwise money is "wasted" on something that is less important compared to something that could've been more useful and prioritised.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The proposal is better than the PAYT system as it'll be more convenient, efficient and there will be less waste from the garbage bags and tags.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support it because I think it's a good thing to support the climate propsial.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Some parts of proposal do not seem to be able to reduce carbon emissions.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if app	plicable):	
Your local board: U	Jpper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: It's necessary for us to make this change for the climate to change, being a home owner already has a lot of financial strain- so with the increase in rates i dont think it's feasible for a lot of the low socioeconomic communities around Auckland

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Not enough clarity on non essential services and why do we want to make the community more deprived of services. Will the land that isn't being used sold back to Maori?

There isn't enough definitive reasons and plans

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It will reduce overall spending and the standardisation will help make the waste management more successful

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I agree with the improvements being made!

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applica	able):	
Your local board: Uppe	er Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because I am all for saving the climate

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Business North Harbour

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: 35% of our members who responded support this proposal with 54% opposed.

BNH is opposed, in principle, to the Council's proposal to introduce a substantial new targeted rate of 2.3% through an Annual Plan. This was not signalled through the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 and represents an unfair and destabilising approach to rates, especially at a time when businesses are facing tremendous uncertainty from the ongoing pandemic, world events and inflation. While we agree with the need to take climate action, in our view this must involve decisions by the Council to reduce, stop or reprioritise services, not just simply adding more targeted rates. If further funding is absolutely necessary, we ask that funding mechanisms be employed that incentivise climate action and more appropriately spread the cost, such as a UAGC.

In addition, BNH does not accept that a business differential (even at 25.8%) should be applied to the Climate Action Targeted Rate (CATR), if introduced. We accept that business should contribute its share for climate action, but not through a differentiated targeted rate.

Further, with an existing and increasing number of sustainably designed and constructed commercial buildings, we disagree there is always a relationship between high property values and higher generation of greenhouse emissions. As a consequence, and also as a means of incentivising the action in Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Plan to accelerate the uptake of sustainable design and construction of buildings, we ask that Council provide for remissions of any climate action funding requirements for these kinds of buildings.

Finally on this topic, as the majority of businesses across the Auckland Region are small to medium-sized, BNH would welcome initiatives that support business to take climate action. We believe that business education concerning sustainability and climate action is particularly important to raise awareness and drive the changes necessary to achieve Auckland's climate action targets.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: 56% of our members who responded support these proposals with 25% opposed. Our overall feedback is that, while we support the general direction taken in the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of \$31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.

BNH is very concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%. We believe that many businesses will struggle to afford the cumulative cost of these increases, particularly after they face the additional cost of the legislated increase in the minimum wage, which comes into effect on 1st April 2022.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

- keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR)
- make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs);

and then

- prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments
- · sell or lease more surplus property
- make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

BNH agrees with the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, and ask that it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value or can be provided just as well by others, should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately.

We also suggest introducing immediately criteria which indicates whether an activity needs to be done immediately or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then we would ask Council and its CCOs to adopt a 'do it once and do it right approach'. On too many occasions projects are undertaken and completed, only to be re-visited and changed a little later at significant additional cost.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: 56% of our members who responded support these proposals with 25% opposed. Our overall feedback is that, while we support the general direction taken in the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of \$31.8 billion

over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.

BNH is very concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%. We believe that many businesses will struggle to afford the cumulative cost of these increases, particularly after they face the additional cost of the legislated increase in the minimum wage, which comes into effect on 1st April 2022.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

- keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR)
- make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs);

and then

- · prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments
- · sell or lease more surplus property
- make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

BNH agrees with the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, and ask that it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value or can be provided just as well by others, should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately.

We also suggest introducing immediately criteria which indicates whether an activity needs to be done immediately or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then we would ask Council and its CCOs to adopt a 'do it once and do it right approach'. On too many occasions projects are undertaken and completed, only to be re-visited and changed a little later at significant additional cost.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: 35% of our members who responded prefer the rates-funded system and 62% prefer the PAYT system.

BNH shares Council's concerns about the high rates of waste generated per person in Auckland and agree that the Council can play a role in influencing waste reduction. We also support the goals of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, including to minimise kerbside household waste.

We strongly support the proposal to allow certain business properties to opt-out of Council's waste management services and charges from 1 July 2023, because the Council's kerbside service is more suitable for domestic waste than dealing with the wide range and nature of business waste. BNH agrees that charging for a service that the Council cannot deliver is inequitable and that the case for maximising Council's ability to influence waste behaviour is also irrelevant when the service required cannot be delivered by the Council, such as to certain business properties.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Our overall feedback is that what businesses need most from Council is a fair, transparent and stable approach to rates. As noted above, we are concerned about the unsignalled and compounding effect on businesses of increases in rates and charges.

Business Differential:

As BNH has noted in previous submissions, we appreciate that the business differential is being reduced to 25.8% by 2037/2038, but fundamentally, we do not accept that a business differential should be applied to rates, especially for reasons that "businesses are better able to manage additional costs than residential properties" or because "businesses can claim back GST and expense rates against tax." These reasons do not justify the business differential, which is simply another cost burden, particularly for small businesses who make up most businesses in our BID and across Auckland. Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate:

As the border reopens, we strongly believe it is critical that Council fund 'Brand Auckland' visitor attractions and events to support local businesses to recover from the COVID-19 crisis. BNH believes that having no funding for this in the Annual Plan 2022/2023 is very short-sighted.

Additionally, we disagree with the position that a link must be made between the funding of visitor attractions/events and a specific funding mechanism such as the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate ('APTR'). We believe a more secure and sustainable source of funding needs to be found, though we do agree with the introduction of a levy at the border on international visitors.

Watercare's Increase In Charges:

Watercare's board of directors resolved to increase water and wastewater tariffs by 7 per cent to support an increase in capital investment, which follows an increase of 7% for the current financial year. BNH is concerned about these substantial increases in water charges from Watercare and question whether they are a priority or are indeed reasonable.

(6) Regional Fuel Tax Underspend:

BNH's preference is to introduce initiatives that both manage demand and raise funding equitably as soon as possible, balanced with investment into affordable and more frequent public transport, in order to effect sustainable behavioural change. We understand for example, that technical work on the 'Congestion Question' project that has been examining the potential to apply congestion charging in Auckland is progressing, with a phased introduction being the preferred option for Council.

In the interim, while we have previously supported a regional fuel tax, we are very concerned about the ongoing underspend of the Regional Fuel Tax and in light of recent world events, we ask that Council advocate to central government for the Regional Fuel Tax to be suspended.

(7) Vacant Land

We note that in preparation for the Recovery Budget, the Council asked for advice on the mechanisms to address vacant land to encourage development and we asked that consideration be given to mechanisms that address vacant tenancies in high street retail areas. As the border reopens, we again ask for this to be considered such as use of vacant window treatments and activations.

(8) Upper Harbour Local Board Priorities 2022/2023

45% of our members who responded supported most or all of the UHLB priorities with 23% unsure and a further 30% opposed to most or all of the UHLB priorities. Without any specific reference to economic development within the priorities for 2022/2023, the priorities stated by UHLB do not appear to significantly engage our business owners and commercial property investors.

BNH notes the absence of specific economic development and business development priorities listed in the UHLB 2022/23 priorities but remain confident, following regular discussions with UHLB, of the importance the board places on the enhanced economic performance of the local economy. This has been signalled recently by their investment into the small business mentoring programme.

BNH would like to acknowledge the support received from UHLB over the past twelve months and the Team look forward to working with the board in the coming twelve months and beyond, to achieve the best outcomes for our members and the area as a whole, in line with the board's strategic priorities and outcomes. BNH acknowledges that Upper Harbour is a diverse and vibrant area, continuing to be one of the fastest growing areas in the country in both absolute and percentage population terms, a trend that is expected to continue for the foreseeable future. This brings both challenges and opportunity for BNH and as the Local Board's only formal Business Improvement District, we look forward to continuing to work collaboratively with UHLB, leveraging from the ongoing growth of the region.

The latest Infometrics economic reports1 highlight that the UHLB area is outperforming the growth in Auckland and New Zealand across a number of key matrixes including GDP, Business Units, Employment and Population. Maintaining this level of growth will prove difficult if the infrastructure business needs is not made available. The business community aspires to achieve improved productivity whilst also improving sustainability and reducing environmental damage, all of which are critical for the sustainable growth of the region.

Access to, through and around the business district remains of great importance to BNH members and is critical for the 35,000 employees across the North Harbour BID. BNH requests greater support for local transport infrastructure projects which support business and economic development, over and above community or politically led initiatives. We acknowledge and support the initiative of developing improved cycleways and footpaths making these modes of access more user-friendly, hopefully encouraging some commuters out of their vehicles.

BNH supports the UHLB's focus on the infrastructure challenges for the growing population and their advocacy for improved public transport and roading networks. We would however ask that the provision for rapid transport does not remove or compromise infrastructure assets and facilities depended upon by commercial trade and employee commuters, in the absence of alternative modal options.

BNH continues to rely on the UHLB's extensive local knowledge, and influence across the Auckland Council family to add value to their role of local board governance. We also collectively rely on the board's ability to influence government decisions for the greater good of the region and the economic vitality of those who choose to create local employment opportunities within the North Harbour district.

Given that the area incorporates one of Auckland's major commercial and industrial hubs we support the local board to identify opportunities that ensure that Auckland Unlimited (AU) contribute to, support, and deliver, localised economic initiatives. It is imperative that AU are held accountable for delivering economic development projects within the Upper Harbour Local Board area that support local economic growth and development.

Business Improvement District (BID) Targeted Rates:

The 50 Business Improvement Districts across Auckland are directly accountable and responsible to their members for their BID targeted rate level and how it is expended. The Business North Harbour BID targeted rate of \$732,403 for the 2022/2023 period has been approved by our members through the Annual General Meeting process and we fully support its approval by the UHLB. See attachment for more info

Important privacy information



Submission: Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022 / 2023

28th March 2022

Business North Harbour Incorporated

Kevin O'Leary – General Manager PO Box 303 126 North Harbour 0751 Phone 09 968 2222 or 0274 799 563

Email: kevin@businessnh.org.nz

Local Board Representation: Upper Harbour Local Board

28th March 2022

AK Have Your Say Auckland Council Freepost Authority 182382 Private Bag 92 300 Auckland 1142

akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

Submission to Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/2023

Auckland Council is seeking feedback on the Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/2023 and related annual budget policy documents.

Introduction

Business North Harbour (BNH) representing the North Harbour Business Improvement District welcomes the opportunity to make this Submission on the Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/2023.

BNH is a significant commercial and industrial Business Improvement District (BID), representing over 4,500 commercial property owners and businesses within the North Harbour area. Collectively they employ over 35,000 Auckland residents and ratepayers. The organisation is located within the Upper Harbour Local Board area, which remains one of the fastest growing areas in the country, in both absolute and percentage population terms, which brings both challenges and opportunities to the North Harbour business district.

BNH represents and works with a wide range of businesses comprising of a mix of sole traders, Small Medium Enterprises (SME), through to multi-national organisations representing sectors such as ICT, business services, specialist manufacturing, light – medium warehousing, logistics, retail and hospitality. In addition, we have key educational institutions within or on our boundary, including Massey University Albany and AUT Millennium, along with a variety of primary and secondary schools including Rangitoto College, the largest secondary school in New Zealand.

Our primary interests are decisions within the Draft Auckland Council Budget 2022/2023 which:

- Impact on the cost of business across a short to medium timeframe
- Impact on economic development and the ability to leverage value from location
- · Support or restrict business growth opportunities
- Impact on access to both regional and localised transport hubs
- Impact on R&D and investment sector development and capability
- Provide the scope to leverage natural assets for economic development across the leisure and tourism sectors enhancing Auckland's reputation

The 2022/2023 Budget sets out Council's priorities and how Council proposes to fund these. You are seeking feedback across several key proposals, our response is set out below and covers:

- (1) Ongoing Concerns Regarding The Impact of COVID-19
- (2) Climate Action Targeted Rate
- (3) Budget Pressures and Service Prioritisation
- (4) Waste Service Standardisation
- (5) Rating Policy Proposals
- (6) Regional Fuel Tax Underspend
- (7) Vacant Land
- (8) Upper Harbour Local Board Priorities 2022/2023

(1) Ongoing Concerns Regarding The Impact Of COVID-19:

Our local business members continue to express serious concerns to BNH that COVID-19 is having a significant ongoing impact on their businesses.

These impacts include direct financial impacts, especially hospitality businesses, supply chain and market disruption as well as effects on production and human resourcing. More particularly, COVID-19 has had major impacts on exporters to China and those relying on international visitors and students. For hospitality and event organisers, the ongoing disruptions have been devastating. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down. Businesses tied to travel, tourism and hospitality have experienced losses that will not be recoverable and we still do not know how long these challenges will continue. We have lost many businesses already, with the outlook for some businesses now looking dire the longer the current situation goes on. BNH has also noticed a decline in the security of our BIDs and town centres, likely due in part to COVID-19 measures by central government to address homelessness and reallocate police resources.

With very little direction from government, a number of landlords have decided to share in some of their tenants' financial stress with proportional rent relief throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures.

While BNH acknowledges that there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences such as food safety licences. BNH also asks for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic.

(2) Climate Action Targeted Rate:

35% of our members who responded support this proposal with 54% opposed.

BNH is opposed, in principle, to the Council's proposal to introduce a substantial new targeted rate of 2.3% through an Annual Plan. This was not signalled through the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 and represents an unfair and destabilising approach to rates, especially at a time when businesses are facing tremendous uncertainty from the ongoing pandemic, world events and inflation. While we agree with the need to take climate action, in our view this must involve decisions by the Council to reduce, stop or reprioritise services, not just simply adding more targeted rates. If further funding is absolutely necessary, we ask that funding mechanisms be employed that incentivise climate action and more appropriately spread the cost, such as a UAGC.

In addition, BNH does not accept that a business differential (even at 25.8%) should be applied to the Climate Action Targeted Rate (CATR), if introduced. We accept that business should contribute its share for climate action, but not through a differentiated targeted rate.

Further, with an existing and increasing number of sustainably designed and constructed commercial buildings, we disagree there is always a relationship between high property values and higher generation of greenhouse emissions. As a consequence, and also as a means of incentivising the action in *Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Plan* to accelerate the uptake of sustainable design and construction of buildings, we ask that Council provide for remissions of any climate action funding requirements for these kinds of buildings.

Finally on this topic, as the majority of businesses across the Auckland Region are small to medium-sized, BNH would welcome initiatives that support business to take climate action. We believe that business education concerning sustainability and climate action is particularly important to raise awareness and drive the changes necessary to achieve Auckland's climate action targets.

(3) Budget Pressures And Service Prioritisation:

56% of our members who responded support these proposals with 25% opposed.

Our overall feedback is that, while we support the general direction taken in the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of \$31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.

BNH is very concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's tariffs and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%. We believe that many businesses will struggle to afford the cumulative cost of these increases, particularly after they face the additional cost of the legislated increase in the minimum wage, which comes into effect on 1^{st} April 2022.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

- keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg not introduce the CATR)
- make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs);

and then

- prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments
- sell or lease more surplus property
- make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

BNH agrees with the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, and ask that it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value or can be provided just as well by others, should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately.

We also suggest introducing immediately criteria which indicates whether an activity needs to be done immediately or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then we would ask Council and its CCOs to adopt a 'do it once and do it right approach'. On too many occasions projects are undertaken and completed, only to be re-visited and changed a little later at significant additional cost.

(4) Waste Service Standardisation:

35% of our members who responded prefer the rates-funded system and 62% prefer the PAYT system.

BNH shares Council's concerns about the high rates of waste generated per person in Auckland and agree that the Council can play a role in influencing waste reduction. We also support the goals of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, including to minimise kerbside household waste.

We strongly support the proposal to allow certain business properties to opt-out of Council's waste management services and charges from 1 July 2023, because the Council's kerbside service is more suitable for domestic waste than dealing with the wide range and nature of business waste. BNH agrees that charging for a service that the Council cannot deliver is inequitable and that the case for maximising Council's ability to influence waste behaviour is also irrelevant when the service required cannot be delivered by the Council, such as to certain business properties.

(5) Rating Policy Proposals:

Our overall feedback is that what businesses need most from Council is a fair, transparent and stable approach to rates. As noted above, we are concerned about the unsignalled and compounding effect on businesses of increases in rates and charges.

Business Differential:

As BNH has noted in previous submissions, we appreciate that the business differential is being reduced to 25.8% by 2037/2038, but fundamentally, we do not accept that a business differential should be applied to rates, especially for reasons that "businesses are better able to manage additional costs than residential properties" or because "businesses can claim back GST and expense rates against tax." These reasons do not justify the business differential, which is simply

another cost burden, particularly for small businesses who make up most businesses in our BID and across Auckland.

Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate:

As the border reopens, we strongly believe it is critical that Council fund 'Brand Auckland' visitor attractions and events to support local businesses to recover from the COVID-19 crisis. BNH believes that having no funding for this in the Annual Plan 2022/2023 is very short-sighted.

Additionally, we disagree with the position that a link must be made between the funding of visitor attractions/events and a specific funding mechanism such as the Accommodation Provider Targeted Rate ('APTR'). We believe a more secure and sustainable source of funding needs to be found, though we do agree with the introduction of a levy at the border on international visitors.

Watercare's Increase In Charges:

Watercare's board of directors resolved to increase water and wastewater tariffs by 7 per cent to support an increase in capital investment, which follows an increase of 7% for the current financial year. BNH is concerned about these substantial increases in water charges from Watercare and question whether they are a priority or are indeed reasonable.

(6) Regional Fuel Tax Underspend:

BNH's preference is to introduce initiatives that both manage demand and raise funding equitably as soon as possible, balanced with investment into affordable and more frequent public transport, in order to effect sustainable behavioural change. We understand for example, that technical work on the 'Congestion Question' project that has been examining the potential to apply congestion charging in Auckland is progressing, with a phased introduction being the preferred option for Council.

In the interim, while we have previously supported a regional fuel tax, we are very concerned about the ongoing underspend of the Regional Fuel Tax and in light of recent world events, we ask that Council advocate to central government for the Regional Fuel Tax to be suspended.

(7) Vacant Land

We note that in preparation for the Recovery Budget, the Council asked for advice on the mechanisms to address vacant land to encourage development and we asked that consideration be given to mechanisms that address vacant tenancies in high street retail areas. As the border reopens, we again ask for this to be considered such as use of vacant window treatments and activations.

(8) Upper Harbour Local Board Priorities 2022/2023

45% of our members who responded supported most or all of the UHLB priorities with 23% unsure and a further 30% opposed to most or all of the UHLB priorities. Without any specific reference to economic development within the priorities for 2022/2023, the priorities stated by UHLB do not appear to significantly engage our business owners and commercial property investors.

BNH notes the absence of specific economic development and business development priorities listed in the UHLB 2022/23 priorities but remain confident, following regular discussions with UHLB, of the importance the board places on the enhanced economic performance of the local economy. This has been signalled recently by their investment into the small business mentoring programme.

BNH would like to acknowledge the support received from UHLB over the past twelve months and the Team look forward to working with the board in the coming twelve months and beyond, to achieve the best outcomes for our members and the area as a whole, in line with the board's strategic priorities and outcomes.

BNH acknowledges that Upper Harbour is a diverse and vibrant area, continuing to be one of the fastest growing areas in the country in both absolute and percentage population terms, a trend that is expected to continue for the foreseeable future. This brings both challenges and opportunity for BNH and as the Local Board's only formal Business Improvement District, we look forward to continuing to work collaboratively with UHLB, leveraging from the ongoing growth of the region.

The latest Infometrics economic reports¹ highlight that the UHLB area is outperforming the growth in Auckland and New Zealand across a number of key matrixes including GDP, Business Units, Employment and Population. Maintaining this level of growth will prove difficult if the infrastructure business needs is not made available. The business community aspires to achieve improved productivity whilst also improving sustainability and reducing environmental damage, all of which are critical for the sustainable growth of the region.

Access to, through and around the business district remains of great importance to BNH members and is critical for the 35,000 employees across the North Harbour BID. BNH requests greater support for local transport infrastructure projects which support business and economic development, over and above community or politically led initiatives. We acknowledge and support the initiative of developing improved cycleways and footpaths making these modes of access more user-friendly, hopefully encouraging some commuters out of their vehicles.

BNH supports the UHLB's focus on the infrastructure challenges for the growing population and their advocacy for improved public transport and roading networks. We would however ask that the provision for rapid transport does not remove or compromise infrastructure assets and facilities depended upon by commercial trade and employee commuters, in the absence of alternative modal options.

BNH continues to rely on the UHLB's extensive local knowledge, and influence across the Auckland Council family to add value to their role of local board governance. We also collectively rely on the board's ability to influence government decisions for the greater good of the region and the economic vitality of those who choose to create local employment opportunities within the North Harbour district.

Given that the area incorporates one of Auckland's major commercial and industrial hubs we support the local board to identify opportunities that ensure that Auckland Unlimited (AU) contribute to, support, and deliver, localised economic initiatives. It is imperative that AU are held accountable for delivering economic development projects within the Upper Harbour Local Board area that support local economic growth and development.

Business Improvement District (BID) Targeted Rates:

The 50 Business Improvement Districts across Auckland are directly accountable and responsible to their members for their BID targeted rate level and how it is expended. The Business North Harbour BID targeted rate of \$732,403 for the 2022/2023 period has been approved by our members through the Annual General Meeting process and we fully support its approval by the UHLB.

Conclusions

As we enter another very uncertain period, especially for small and medium-sized businesses, BNH asks the Council to carefully consider the needs of these businesses in its approach to the Annual Budget 2022/2023 and to provide more focus on growing the economy and supporting local businesses.

Should there be any questions or other matters arising from this Submission, we would be pleased to respond to those.

Yours sincerely,

Kevin O'Leary General Manager





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):

Your local board: Upper Harbour

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate action is the responsibility of Central Government and the individual. Yet another layer of cost and inconvenience to ratepayers is undesirable.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- · considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Probably the best compromise for dealing with the budget shortfall in the interim.

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: No 'set of criteria' as yet to comment on.

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Residents who are ecologically aware and compost organic waste should not be subsidising ratepayers/residents who over indulge in contributing waste for collection.

This waste management issue is becoming too complex. I believe in the KISS approach.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: '- Recreational reserves and facilities essential for a medium density suburban area like the peninsula area.

- Auckland regions dire need for more public swimming pool centres has been totally overlooked, even for consideration, in a developing, fast growing area like Upper Harbour.

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Generally I think the current Auckland Council set of structures and governance need to be more equitably implemented and reflect the identity of local board areas with a greater degree of autonomy given for public facilities and planning overall, with specifically defined areas such as the Hobsonville Peninsula and Westgate regions of much rooming growth.

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Should be able to do within the current budget. Rate rises are already unsustainable.

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We only put out our non-recyclable bin once every six weeks or so so current cost of tags is managable.

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Environment

Public Transit

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Should prioritise the public transport

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Other between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Other of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





9000

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





9006

Annual budget 2022/2023

Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Rate (charge) reform

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Other or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Other

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide rates-funded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not Support Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not Support

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information





Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.

Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable):	
Your local board: Upper Harbour	

Your feedback

1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

4. Standardising waste management

Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: For households who have already installed kitchen waste disposers and composters for the purpose of reducing waste, we only need to purchase the tag and throw rubbish once in every 6 weeks. The rates-funded system would totally disregard the efforts that we have put to reduce waste production. This is very unfair!

Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

5. Local Boards

6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Important privacy information