

Date:

Wednesday, 4 May 2022

Annual Budget 2022-2023

# Whau Local Board

WRITTEN FEEDBACK Vol. 1 (9 - 9529)

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
9		Whau	1
37		Whau	1
53		Whau	1
160		Whau	1
163		Whau	1
187		Whau	1
265		Whau	1
339		Whau	1
385		Whau	1
450		Whau	1
491		Whau	1
499		Whau	1
507		Whau	1
535		Whau	1
565		Whau	1
576		Whau	1
603		Whau	1
605		Whau	1
615		Whau	1
650		Whau	1
685		Whau	1
706		Whau	1
717		Whau	1
743		Whau	1
819		Whau	1
835		Whau	1
845		Whau	1
898		Whau	1
946		Whau	1
1038		Whau	1
1058		Whau	1
1110		Whau	1
1143		Whau	1
1145		Whau	1
1147		Whau	1
1198		Whau	1
1236		Whau	1
1381		Whau	1
1391		Whau	1
1486		Whau	1
1559		Whau	1
1636		Whau	1
1641		Whau	1
1654		Whau	1
1657		Whau	1
1673		Whau	1
1688		Whau	1
1700		Whau	1
1704		Whau	1
1704		vvilau	<u> </u>

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
1754		Whau	1
1775		Whau	1
1777		Whau	1
1860		Whau	1
1951		Whau	1
1968		Whau	1
1995		Whau	1
2028		Whau	1
2049		Whau	1
2052		Whau	1
2059		Whau	1
2060		Whau	1
2088		Whau	1
2117		Whau	1
2163		Whau	1
2103		Whau	1
2170		Whau	1
2173		Whau	1
2208		Whau	1
2208		Whau	1
2243		Whau	1
		Whau	1
2255			
2273		Whau	1
2280		Whau	1
2335		Whau	1
2359		Whau	1
2383		Whau	1
2429		Whau	1
2445		Whau	1
2471		Whau	1
2493		Whau	1
2550		Whau	1
2560		Whau	1
2598		Whau	1
2602		Whau	1
2665		Whau	1
2688		Whau	1
2706		Whau	1
2722		Whau	1
2776		Whau	1
2795		Whau	1
2818		Whau	1
2874		Whau	1
2914		Whau	1
2941		Whau	1
2957		Whau	1
2963		Whau	1
2991		Whau	1
3049		Whau	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
3071		Whau	1
3074		Whau	1
3086		Whau	1
3103		Whau	1
3107		Whau	1
3120		Whau	1
3124		Whau	1
3198		Whau	1
3272		Whau	1
3281		Whau	1
3348		Whau	1
3404		Whau	1
3465		Whau	1
3509		Whau	1
3526		Whau	1
3539		Whau	1
3540		Whau	1
3561		Whau	1
3631		Whau	1
3642		Whau	1
3706		Whau	1
3774		Whau	1
3816		Whau	1
3901		Whau	1
3903		Whau	1
3998		Whau	1
4027		Whau	1
4035		Whau	1
4043		Whau	1
4054		Whau	1
4060		Whau	1
4068		Whau	1
4101		Whau	1
4123		Whau	1
4159		Whau	1
4228		Whau	1
4248		Whau	1
4279		Whau	1
4283		Whau	1
4295		Whau	1
4299		Whau	1
4353		Whau	1
4383		Whau	1
4398		Whau	1
4434		Whau	1
4449		Whau	1
4483		Whau	1
4493		Whau	1
4501		Whau	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
4535		Whau	1
4589		Whau	1
4626		Whau	1
4703		Whau	1
4733		Whau	1
4746		Whau	1
4792		Whau	1
4794		Whau	1
4795		Whau	1
4816		Whau	1
4820		Whau	1
4840		Whau	1
4852		Whau	1
4900		Whau	1
4914		Whau	1
4930		Whau	1
4931		Whau	1
5000		Whau	1
5044		Whau	1
5053		Whau	1
5118		Whau	1
5298		Whau	1
5355		Whau	1
5420		Whau	1
5424		Whau	1
5468		Whau	1
5513		Whau	1
5548		Whau	1
5582		Whau	1
5588		Whau	1
5623		Whau	1
5667		Whau	1
5743		Whau	1
5805		Whau	1
5809		Whau	1
5836		Whau	1
5917		Whau	1
5978		Whau	1
6012		Whau	1
6026		Whau	1
6093		Whau	1
6141		Whau	1
6155		Whau	1
6236		Whau	1
6239		Whau	1
6271		Whau	1
6321		Whau	1
6334		Whau	1
6370		Whau	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
6442		Whau	1
6448		Whau	1
6481		Whau	1
6491		Whau	1
6497		Whau	1
6561		Whau	1
6569		Whau	1
6599		Whau	1
6601		Whau	1
6634		Whau	1
6649		Whau	1
6665		Whau	1
6739		Whau	1
6818		Whau	1
6842		Whau	1
6941	EthNix Links and Advocacy Networks	Whau	1
6969		Whau	1
7032	-	Whau	1
7055		Whau	1
7102	-	Whau	1
7103		Whau	1
7104		Whau	1
7105	-	Whau	1
7106	-	Whau	1
7107	-	Whau	1
7130	-	Whau	1
7131		Whau	1
7167		Whau	1
7171		Whau	1
7179		Whau	1
7180		Whau	1
7182		Whau	1
7183		Whau	1
7186		Whau	1
7193		Whau	1
7224		Whau	1
7225	1	Whau	1
7251		Whau	1
7252		Whau	1
7353		Whau	1
7354		Whau	1
7355		Whau	1
7356		Whau	1
7358		Whau	1
7359		Whau	1
7360		Whau	1
7362		Whau	1
7363		Whau	1
7364	1	Whau	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
7365		Whau	1
7369		Whau	1
7431		Whau	1
7432		Whau	1
7440		Whau	1
7441		Whau	1
7465		Whau	1
7494		Whau	1
7519		Whau	1
7601		Whau	1
7639		Whau	1
7656		Whau	1
7679		Whau	1
7689		Whau	1
7690		Whau	1
7691		Whau	1
7726		Whau	1
7739		Whau	1
7741		Whau	1
7744		Whau	1
7750		Whau	1
7751		Whau	1
7752		Whau	1
7756		Whau	1
7758		Whau	1
7759		Whau	1
7768		Whau	1
7771		Whau	1
7822		Whau	1
7873		Whau	1
7896		Whau	1
7908		Whau	1
8003		Whau	1
8114		Whau	1
8133		Whau	1
8143	The Asian Network Inc. (TANI) (Community hui 1)	Whau	1
8144		Whau	1
8155	The Asian Network Inc. (TANI) (Community hui 2)	Whau	1
8620		Whau	1
8621		Whau	1
8625		Whau	1
8665		Whau	1
8696	Rosebank Business Association	Whau	1
8768		Whau	1
8825		Whau	1
8843		Whau	1
8882		Whau	1
8968		Whau	1
8970		Whau	1

Sub #	Organisation name	Local Board	Volume
8984		Whau	1
8985		Whau	1
8986		Whau	1
8987		Whau	1
8988		Whau	1
9276		Whau	1
9388		Whau	1
9401		Whau	1
9402		Whau	1
9404		Whau	1
9409		Whau	1
9410		Whau	1
9442	Nejashi Community Centre	Whau	1
9446		Whau	1
9452		Whau	1
9454		Whau	1
9482		Whau	1
9525		Whau	1
9529		Whau	1





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** There needs to be a clearer focus on the role of Auckland Council, plainly stating those services that are core (such as transport, rubbish collection etc). Marketing the City (the old ATEED etc) and firework displays are not core services and this is where any spending cuts must be. Sale of long term assets to fund "nice to have" rather than "need to have" is not sensible or acceptable. In addition, where is the "Control" of CCO's? Salaries seem to have galloped away, with none of the concrete outcomes measurement (with its risks and rewards for employees) that is normal in the private sector. Please always remember that rates must be paid by many people who have no material income e.g. retired folks, so that the revenue raised is quite unlike governmental taxes like income tax.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The Service Prioritisation information is empty puff. The must do, and should do activities need to be stated e.g. as a list. See comments above

## 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Having to pay for a label gives a real incentive to reduce the volume of rubbish, with this incentive best applied to each individual household.

I probably put out three 120L bins per annum, and compost almost all food scraps and other compostable materials.

There would be a great temptation to be rather less disciplined without any label, and I expect that total landfill volumes will increase if this changes.

So the result is likely to be a move away from the stated "zero waste" goal rather than towards it.

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support

# Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Support coastal walkway, but many of the other priorities seem to be marginal, and of a "nice to have" rather than "need to have" nature. Hard for those ratepayers who do not have substantial financial resources (income).

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I believe that global trends (self-driving cars) will make this additional spend highly inefficient. To give a specific example, we spent \$1.4B on the Waterview project (2.4km) and now with The Boring Company we would have a projected cost less than one tenth of that. We spent \$1.2B extra to get this ten years early.

I have no problem with the direction you're proposing, but I think that it would be far cheaper if we got their slower.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services

- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: There is not enough information about credible alternatives to comment either way.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: There is not enough information about credible alternatives to comment either way.

## 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** While I am willing to accept that standardised is cheaper overall, I believe it is important to keep a userpays element. Doing so helps people remember that rubbish costs money and discourages use. It's the same reason I'd oppose PAYG for parks - we want to have more park use and less rubbish use, so we want the maginal cost of throwing away rubbish to be non-zero. Otherwise it's too hard to change behaviours.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I felt this survey was selling the council's current plans. I would much rather have been presented with two credible choices and got to vote between them.

# Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is the biggest challenge humanity has ever faced and it's essential that Auckland Council take a proactive approach to meeting our climate goals.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** There are far more important things like the basics to deal with and money to be spent on than this. This is a nice to have not a necessity. Stick with the necessities.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



## What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Previously agreed 3.5% rates increase??? It wasn't agreed at all. You railroaded it through even though the vast majority of aucklanders didn't want and couldn't afford a rates rise. Why do you word this in such a dishonest way?

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Everyone throws away rubbish. I am a single household and don't have as much rubbish as other households. Again i'll be subsidising those who dispose of more rubbish whilst I dispose of very little. Should be user pays. This makes it fair especially for those of us who are a single household.

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

## What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Climate action is currently a nice to have. Avondale town centre has been a focus for years and is still rubbish and by making it pretty won't improve it. Again a nice to have. I live in New Lynn/Titirangi. Nothing in the books for us for improvement! You are focusing on one small area of one suburb which is ridiculous and not inclusive of the rest of the areas. Maori identity by putting signs about Maori history is again a nice to have not a necessity, and quite frankly a tiny minority of people will even notice or care about some signs talking about history. Its a pathetic token gesture anyway. Its an old fashioned approach to educating people about history and completely outdated.. Talk to young people if you are going to go down this path.

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We are a very efficient and environment conscious 2 person household. Organic waste goes into compost, our recycle bin goes out about 4 times per year, and our 120L rubbish bin goes out only about twice per year. I can't comprehend how any household can produce about 120L of rubbish per week. There should be more incentive for people to reduce waste - not less incentive. I like the sound of the RFID system.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

## What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The plan is inaccurate

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell ve why	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: Stop chopping down trees.

It is not the councils core service to be Te Reo tutors.

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

TMA needs to be disbanded.

Boards need to be more transparent - they are run as empires

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Other

**Tell us why:** This is a loaded question. If you don't support it it can be taken as either need to do more or need to do less. I believe that man made climate change is a massive fraud and no money whatsoever should be spent appeasing the hucksters who are promoting this scam, therefore I DO NOT support this proposal at all.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** A better idea would be to give all non-essential personnel at least two days of unpaid leave every week until Auckland's debt is paid in full.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** 15 Million? Are you kidding me?? You need to cut every service that is not essential. That includes narrowing roads and building train sets, all climate appeasement and anything that does not involve boring stuff like street lighting, storm water, sewage, road maintenance, berm mowing, dustbin emptying, that sort of thing. 15 million will do nothing to reduce the massive debt. Anyone and everyone not essential to these services should lose their jobs. 15 million is less than 15 days interest on the debt. 15 million! Do you really have that level of contempt for the people who pay your generous salaries?

# 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

**Tell us why:** Auckland has a MASSIVE debt, and you people lay awake at night thinking up new ways to waste OUR money. Any private CEO who had the same cavalier attitude to squandering money as you people do would most likely end up in jail for fraud and embezzlement.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

GET THE FRIGGIN' DEBT PAID OFF!! That should be the number one priority for ANY council with a debt as large as Auckland's. You don't need to raise rates, you just need to CUT SPENDING!! And if that means that you have to sack half the council employees, then just DAMN WELL DO IT!!!

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** This is the biggest issue for climate change. Would also like to see you look at AT fare charges as part of this.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



## What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: I'd like to see rates go up to cover shortfalls rather than a cut in services. Other use am supportive.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Seems sensible

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** PAYT is too complex to implement when bins are left outside. Would like to see a green waste bin as part of this to deal with garden waste.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10<br/>or more units)SupportStandardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsSupportStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesSupportApply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part<br/>of a propertySupport

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



**Tell us why:** These are all good priorities. I like the Māori heritage part and support the coastal walkway. Improvement in New Windsor's parks would be great as well

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Stop spending our money and causing inflation

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



Tell us why: Spend less and get rid of your unnecessary administrative layer

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Spend less of our money

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: New systems always cost more money

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Stop wasting our money

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Tighten your belts. You have destroyed our economy. Stop wasting our money

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Reducing Transport emissions. You are day dreaming, Aucklanders are addicted to cars. The only way to address this issue would be to perhaps, introduce measures such as were put in place during the second World War. Yes, I'm old and travel exclusively by Public Transport. Plus I endeavor to respect nature and the environment.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Don't pretend to understand the complexity of such an enormous budget. Where there was one section and home you now have six this must increase your annual income. Plus add to the massive human footprint this city is facing.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Why does Auckland have to increase its population/? This mad consumerism is a human disaster, world wide.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We should be charged by the amount of rubbish we throw, your proposal is positively unfair. Thank the powers that be, I have a terminal illness that should see me depart from this corrupt system. This is all about the extortion of dollars.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property



## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** As an older citizen I have witnessed the destruction heaped on our environment, by my species. However, as a citizen of Green Bay I feel privileged to be able to enjoy the beauty of our green spaces. Craig Avon and the scouts Moto Moana, plus Titirangi Golf course. Yes we have relatively clean air to breath, may this be left for the hoards of future generations to enjoy and cherish.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please leave the pay as you use system in place. Attack the corporates who have allowed this throw away system to escalate. Plastic consumption has produced this toxic legacy. Car tires here is another massive issue, and the list goes on.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: A small price to pay for salvation. Wish it was higher, this needs more investment.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Kebab shop on every corner

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** It is all minority people who moan and complain until someone caves in . The cycle ways are an absolute waste of rates money . It cost more in carbon to manufacture the batteries for electric vehicles than a normal bus whould use in years , not to also mention that most of the elements needed for these batteries cause huge devistation to the planet through strip mining ,. But also at the cost of almost slave and child labour

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** This is another way of selling off assets . Most peolpe do not want parklands and other assets built up by donation or purchased over many years . Stop the nutty stuff like the absolute needless disruption by the traffic department . Stop spending on stuff like the cycle lanes . Sack all ceo's and bring the different departments back under the council and make a councillor the hreasponsable . Then probably there would be money over .

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: a lot of meaningless words . Nothing concrete or even a resemblance of what could be done.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** A lot of money could be sabved if the waste collection came back to the council insted of paying huge profits to a company who is controlled from China.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

drop local boards and bring the whole lot back to the council

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** I'm concerned that when you say selling off underutilized assets, this might mean selling off council land for housing development. I generally do not support this. Other aspects of the plan I support.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: It would be good if there was a list of the actual services which would be affected.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Their is already far two many EMTY dirty buses driving around Akld with wasted bus lanes.

Increase the tree canopy. You mean REPLACE the ones you let cut down.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Keep Assets

No rate Increase

Less spending. Stop grand projects. STOP

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Budget \$\$ should only be used for that use. Not taken and used somewhere else

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

No more slum apartment blocks

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The use of targeted rates is camouflage for the overall financial impost imposed.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I support the concept in principle, but I do not believe the criteria will be closely followed

A huge amount of your work is discretionary - if it's not roads, resource consents, noise complaints and so on. Most community activities can be stopped. All unnecessary ToW can be stopped.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10Supportor more units)Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsSupportStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesSupportApply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part<br/>of a propertySupport

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities



Tell us why: 'Priorities should be:

- reducing travel time from Whau to work
- reducing noise complaints, stray dogs and dog attacks in Whau
- improving the streetscape amenity of Whau
- ensuring Whau residents receive equal highest customer service for all core Council services

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Rates are already too high.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



**Tell us why:** Better for the people, no point taxing us to kingdom come . Support has to come from somewhere . This protects the people more. Its been a very hard year with lockdowns and lack of income thus we need pritection feom more costs.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Everyone needs to review how they spend regularly so why not the council. Its common sense.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I will never use a bag system when i have a bin. Dogs, people, weather means it spreads across the street. No i will Never use a bag!!!! Why change what works for us.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities



## Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

After a year of 70% loss in income to increase rates would be the death of a lot of us. Please dont.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Need provisions for electric cars and vans too

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Rates funded is simpler to manage ad less prone to abuse. Waste minimisation behavior change can still be encouraged is the bins are RFID chipped to allow 'counts' of bin lifts, with a rebate system if you use fewer 'lifts' than budgeted for.

I am not so supportive of the regionwide food waste service as this penalises people who compost - they will have to pay for the service they do not use.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	



## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** As well as aiming to increase Whau's urban tree canopy by planting projects - I would like to see a focus on keeping the mature trees we already have ie stop cutting trees down, as well as plant new ones. It is pointless to keep planting trees if we are cutting them down faster than they can grow.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 650



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: we need to put our money where our mouths are

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: basic principle that makes sense

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: hassle to buy tags

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
T-U we when	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Support climate action and Te Whau Pathway, which should be prioritised more. Undertake more pest free 2050 programmes.



## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

There isn't enough pest free initiatives, we should follow closely with what wellington is achieving with making urban areas pest free.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

#### 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We don't put out rubbish every week, seems unfair that we pay for every tip, even if we don't use it.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support
Tell us why:	

ell us wny

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right? | support most priorities

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I think it is good to be environmentally friendly as a nation. I think we can have less PT bus services on the network that hardly carry anybody, during certain time of the day and on certain routes.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I think we can do stop work on unnecessary projects that only beautify the place rather than add any other aesthetic value.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I think we can stop work on projects that are not critical or postpone them to a later time.

### 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I feel more comfortable with a regular waste collection service, rather than having to buy a tag and doing all the other mickey mouse stuff that follows.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** I think this ward desperately needs a swimming pool and I think this can be integrated in the new design plan for the Avondale Town Centre.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I feel we need to stop offering licenses to \$2 dollar shop as there are too many already and they present a bad look for the community. I can count 6 in Avondale Town Centre.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Council must remain in budget while delivering essential services. Non-essential services should be deprioritised.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Anything non-essential should be cut. Anything that doesn't deliver value for a wide cross section of the community should be cut.

### 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Pay as you throw in the old Waitakere City area has been a disaster. Tags have been regularly stolen and bags have been torn to shreds by animals. It was a bad idea to begin with and encourages random rubbish dumping. The Auckland City plastic bins included in the rates needs to be rolled out across the entire city and the same amount of rubbish disposal included in rates. Anything on top residents are free to contract waste management suppliers themselves.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

### 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Anywhere council is not able to provide an economic service the resident should be able to opt out of the service and not be charged. They then have to make their own arrangements.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Current financial situation is challenging and short term focus should be on fixing that

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I think you underestimate the number of people who rarely put their bins out. For us, we put a bin out every few months or less. It is quite common in our family and our neighborhood for it to be every 4-6 weeks. If we are being charged no matter whether we put the bin out or not, we will just put it out every week no matter how little is in there. The collections are going to take far longer.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



### Tell us why:

### 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** It is another council money grab. The council has shown no respect for the tree cover in Auckland over the last ten years with wholesale removal by developers, infill housing & it's own destruction of trees at Western Springs & Mt. Albert. Allowing the building of houses/units with no parking /garaging puts cars onto the roads around them. This results in can be seen in Eden Tce. with businesses being forced to move due to lack of customer parking. Use buses more? Has any member of the council tried to carry home an oversized parcel eg a home appliance on a bus?

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The councils wage bill is out of control as is it's daily interest repayments. The yearly rate increases are supplemented by more & more " targeted rates"

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We put out a rubbish bin once a month on average, using a tab, compost what waste we can & use the council bin to recycle ,again perhaps once a month. Why would we support a scheme that will cost us over \$600 a year extra? Do chickens vote for Mc Nuggets?

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:



## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

## Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** While I support most Priorities, from past experience, I do not believe there will be any improvements carried out on the footpaths in my area. The footpaths are a disgrace & hazardous. Repairs by contractors, water, electrical, builders etc now consist of a tamped down bag of premixed tar seal being the norm.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** It is always good to react fast towards climate change etc. However, I am not sure what does this low emission ferry services and how do you define low emission? The amount needed to be spent comparing to the achievement, whether it is necessary address this low emission ferry thing now or whether the money should be spent on something else. If Auckland property owners need to pay more rates due to this climate reaction thing? After buying a house with unaffordable price, I wonder how many families are still happy to pay the rate that increases every year on top of this 1.12 dollars a week rates to this climate reaction thing?

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: so still not enough rates increase?

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Different people require different services. I am not sure about how you decide each category.

### 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I try to use environmental friendly products and reuse bags, containers etc. People who generate rubbish should pay by themselves.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10	Support
or more units)	
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with	Do not support

between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:



## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

## Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** always nice to have more parks and places for children to play and people to walk around. I am looking forward to Avondale town centre to be completed which would make the whole area quite different. However, i hope the local board could consider other groups of people as priority as well, not only just Maori

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Not sure about how does this inflation pressure work. If the government feels the inflation and needs to increase rates and budgets,

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I strongly agree that transport emission needs to be addressed if we are to make the slightest difference in climate change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: No comments

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: No Comments

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Convenience

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10Do not supportor more units)Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsDo not supportStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesDo not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: no comments

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I do not support this because it will be ineffective. For example, pouring more money into public transport is like pouring money down the toilet. Until you solve the problem of pupolation density, or lack of in the case of Auckland, then you will never have an efficient public transport system. Keep spreading the city out into the countryside North, West and South, and the public transport problem just gets worse. Look at cities that have good public transport system and there is one thing in common: Population density - people live closer together (or above and below each other) and therefore you have a whole lot more people living close to each bus stop, train station, etc, and you can get high usage and therfore lower cost/emissions/etc per passenger journey. Unless you are going to tackle that problem then please please stop pouring our hard earned money down the toilet. If you're not going to do what needs to be done then please don't do anything at all and stop pretending to care.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:



- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I think this is a good idea, to standardise in this way. However, offering 3 different sized bins for 3 different costs - well this could be problematic. Firstly, I produce so little rubbish that I do not need a food scraps bin, so making me pay for that is ridiculous. Secondly, households will try and get the smallest bin possible, and will overflow their rubbish into other people's bins on rubbish day. This is fine right now, when we all have the same sized bin and pay a flat rate, but if people are supposed to pay more for a larger bin because they produce more rubbish (on the face of it great), why should I have to pay for rubbish to be collected from people who want to cheat and underpay for their household? Do I need to now guard my rubbish bin?

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties



Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 1058



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I support action but the proposed \$58 per year is ridiculously low. Increase this and contribute to the cost of free travel on all public transport.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: But take action now. Sell assets such as Auckland Airport.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: But climate action is MUST DO not should do.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: How is rates-funded equitable for us? We only fill the 80L bin every 6 weeks.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I think we urgently need to take climate action now, and we need a targeted rate to make sure we invest in public transport, green housing initiatives and making Auckland a livable city for everyone.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Other

**Tell us why:** In support as a small step in the right direction, would be nice to see some mahi into biodiversity issues, land loss and forest fragmentation.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: Composting bins should be an option to the whole auckland region

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Te Reo can be integrated through all these priorities! Seeing greater Māori support will help encourage their decision making around Te Whau and te whenua. Their matauranga Māori will be greatly appreciated moving forward with the priorities in terms of restorative and "green" action.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Would be cool to see more tamariki learning and getting involved more frequently in some of these projects (in Te Whau) especially with the parks, coastal walkway and the avondale streets capes .

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to act now, we will be remembered and celebrated for what we achieved and shamed for inaction.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Would prefer to see a budget increase, off the back of rates or other funding sources rather than a reduction in services.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Less drama

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** We need to reinstate the velodrome. New surfacing and expand the width of the back straight so that it is the same on both sides. I would be willing to help run races and training sessions there and community cycling activities. It could be such an amazing place, connected in to the cycling network and the community workshop nearby a real focal point for cycling in Auckland. The fact the only velodrome is in manukau that really you have to drive to is terrible. It's also not very accessible outside of a few sessions aimed at school cycling. Bring back the Olympic park velodrome!

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate change is not a local government issue, stick to the basics that ratepayers need

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



Tell us why: Do the basics and cut costs, particularly staffing

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: As a single household I put out very little rubbish

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Standardise the opt-out rules for rule	Jpport
or more units)	

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: Save money as a priority

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate change is a national issue, and should be funded nationally.

If the initiatives listed above do not stack up against other priorities in the city's standard funding, they should not be done.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: We live in West Auckland anyway. Expect that pay as you go will drive efficiency.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: A few of the those initiatives are good. However they will come at a cost, which am not happy about.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Toll us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: It is just another rort to screw the ratepayer.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Scrap public transport. It is too expensive. Make people work from home, like I do. Then the few who have to travel to a factory, etc can do so easily.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I use a non council provider, so I would be paying twice.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: I don't see Glendene mentioned anywhere.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The poor state of Hepburn Road. It is also too narrow for a feeder road to a heavy industrial area. It needs total rebuilding and widening, or ban parking on one side of the road.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is the biggest threat facing humanity right now, but due to it being something with effects further in the future than the typical election cycle, it has so far not been treated with sufficient urgency or priority by elected officials. Improvements in public transport, walking and cycling options, and tree cover benefit everyone.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Bin sizes connected to rates is a good idea, in that it connects the wider community costs of waste to individual cost. However, please carefully consider what effect this will have on renters: landlord with rental properties are likely to want to minimise their own costs, even where that might not be suitable for the household actually renting the house. Consider a by-law that allows renters to be the ones choosing the bin size, with any additional costs connected to their rent (that way neither landlords nor renters lose out). Consider also the impacts of potentially frequent bin size changes and what to do with old bins – maybe a "swap a bin" service somewhere.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The ongoing development and upgrade to cycle paths is an excellent initiative. Further work to the ferry system doesn't seem like it would add that much benefit and benefits only a small section of the population. I would prefer to see more funds invested in each community via safe pathways and parks

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Efficiencies should be considered when reviewing how many staff Auckland Council have. Do we really need as many libraries and staff in this environment when so many people are turning to online services and can they not amalgamate with the community centers that generally sit in the same location

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

#### Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Obviously climate matters. None of us need that explained. I hope. It's an EMERGENCY. But we are not doing enough. There needs to be free and effective public transport. Why are we putting trams in tunnels with all of the cost of boring tunnels, and the inefficiency of people having to access tunnels, and the un-safety of doing that in a pandemic? Make trams and trains the priority, and get cars off the road! Like seriously, GET CARS OFF THE ROAD. And build extensive safe infrastructure for cyclists especially, but also walking and scooting, etc. It feels like progress for cycling is glacial. It's talked up but there is not much action and some of the design decisions are not great.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I don't really care if rates go up. I don't want essential services and environmental protection and action to stop emissions compromised.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I don't really care if rates go up. I don't want essential services and environmental protection and action to stop emissions compromised.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Make the best decision for the environment

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Our parks and trees are generally quite low quality. Not many different species. Not much attention to aesthetics. Small numbers. I want to see more native trees and more variety of native trees. I know there are challenges with that, survival, etc. And there are some good examples of things working well. It just feels like such a lot more would be doable with more commitment.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I was involved in restoration project at Western Springs, outer field (the bush above the field). With F&B. Council refused to conduct soil analysis after small volumes of white asbestos was found. Council refused to allow sensible risk-management procedures. Council now have the volunteers undertaking an extraordinarily difficult restoration process that has vastly increased work, and reduced the appeal of work for locals, and will if it works produce an inferior restoration. This is not the only occasion that council have frustrated volunteers trying to improve native planting. I have to say I was and am really angry about this. It felt like your staff and your bureaucracy was obstructive. Like sure it would be fine if council did the work themselves and did it properly and well. But that isn't happening. And if council were actually serious about risk management they'd be building safe infrastructure for cyclists. Instead council just gets in the way of restoration work.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Reduced emissions and more trees are needed. But stop allowing mass destruction of existing tree cover

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



**Tell us why:** Rates calculations should be adjusted for decile area. More affluent areas can easily afford larger increases. Lower decile areas cannot

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Lack of specific information. Please dont leave out services that keep Auckland from looking like a slum

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: This does not encourage anyone to reduce reuse or recycle. Landfill will increase

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: These will enhance outdoor areas which is so important with all the new apartments and terraced housing



# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why: USE OF AUCKLAND TRANSPORT LOSSES TO STOP CLIMATE CHANGE

AT is the way forward but it must be affordable and fast even >> FREE.

NO EMPTY BUSES which are causing huge pollution and climate change.

FREE AT so 30% less cars on city roads; no need for new highway save \$10m?

AT losses at present are huge paid by council who could save \$10m?

CHANGE FARES on over-crowded buses ONLY use mini-buses on empties

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: REDUCE MANAGERS/SUPERVISORS TO MINIMUM by early retirement offer.

REDUCE SPECIAL TECH REPORTS/APPRAISALS to one page summaries only

These 2 measures could save council \$10 million/annum

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: POOR PEOPLE NEED SOMEWHERE AFFORDABLY TO LIVE.

BUILD several 40 apartment 5 story state housing minimum maintenance blocks without lifts and a common central stairway/rubbish shoot.

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property



#### Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: Avondale needs affordable housing not community centers

Build ten 40 apartment 5 story state housing minimum maintenance blocks without lifts and a common central stairway/rubbish shoot. These apartments should be provided with solar water heaters and spaced out around Avondale but within a 5 minute walk to a railway station.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Global warming is the most important issue and we need public transport to be affordable or even free if that reduces Auckland's fuel consumption to a minimum.

# Important privacy information



# 1700



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

### 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I want to be a grown up city. I want a city that thinks about how it looks/works in the future.

Also cars are awful. And drivers are worse. I don't want to die in a terribly built bikeway.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: cost

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



Tell us why: only if you keep spending low

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: until you change the way A/T operate

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: WE only need to pay for what we use

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10	Support
or more units)	
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with	Do not suppo

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: waste of money on feel good items

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Scared for the future of Auckland and NZ if we don't do more urgently to address climate change.

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10	l don't know
or more units)	

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Good priorities, particularly te whau coastal walkway and tree planting



# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The failure of national and local governments to take meaningful action in the face of a well-established climate crisis is reprehensible. The targeted rate may to some degree rectify this situation at least at local government level.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The fact that Auckland Council achieved a budget surplus of \$1.9 billion in the last financial year is appalling. Council is not a business but a public service and council's budget strategies should reflect this. Assets should not be disposed of and services should not be cut in the face of senseless profiteering. Auckland has a long history of deferring essential capital spending and the mistakes of the past should not be repeated notwithstanding concerns regarding the impact of COVID on revenue.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Until Council undertakes meaningful cuts in services that contribute to the climate crisis, such as Auckland Transport's continued focus on ensuring the primacy of motor vehicle on the city's streets, I cannot condone and cuts to operational spending which, in the past, have tended to impact on already underfunded bodies such as the Auckland Art Gallery/Toi o Tāmaki.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Equality is always good.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Whau is one of the local board areas that has suffered from Council's failure to reverse 2013 amendments to the Resource Management Act, witness the destruction of the Canal Road ngāhere and the failure of this council and mayor to prevent this wanton destruction is reprehensible. These priorities may help redress that shame.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Failure of Council to control pest plant spread. Failure of council to address the appalling state of the Auckland region rail corridor, which is infested by both pest plants and graffiti.

# Important privacy information







# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need more tree canopy cover across the city, especially in poorer communities.

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



**Tell us why:** As a ratepayer I think rates should be raised in line with inflation and the needs of the council. Failure to raise needed capital simply means critical projects being kicked down the road until central government has to pick up the costs. So we pay anyway, just later and higher amounts than when initially proposed.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I don't agree with the reduction of council services.

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



#### Tell us why:

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 1860



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I compost my organic waste so dont use rubbish pickup as often

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

I don't know

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I support better public transport and less reliance on cars however, there is along way to go for there to be any real improvements. The current services are a joke and do not meet my needs nor most of those I know. The needs to be a fast reliable service out of the cbd to the outer suburbs that does not take an hour and twenty minutes. Bring back the express busses.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Start by cutting the ridiculous salaries you pay management and the over inflated cost for consultants and outside contractors. Does it really cost that much?

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Start by cutting the ridiculous salaries you pay management and the over inflated cost for consultants and outside contractors. Does it really cost that much?

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We already manage our waste with composting, recycling creating minimal waste. We generally only but a bin tag once a month. This scheme would mean a greater cost to us and less motivation to manage our waste.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: All history should be promoted at celebrated.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Adding another rate will not help, the issue is addressing the irresponsible spending of ratepayer money that is already collected and putting it to better use.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Again increasing rates will not help, and is most definitely not the time to do so when we are facing such high inflationary pressure. What you should do is repurpose existing capital, evaluate your existing spending and stop it if it is not giving the ROI.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** I agree that the Avondale town centre needs some improvement however I think the library investment is excessive and will not be used due to the clientele that live around there.

Improvements on the walkway and parks seems like a good idea to add value in these areas.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I oppose all measures to increase rates.

## Important privacy information



# 2028



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: there are other more important things the council should be working on

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

better roads and lower rates, stop using of chemical to kill weeds

# Important privacy information



# 2049



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Toll us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2052



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The start of the 2-stage development of Ponsonby Park, the new civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road, in FY 2022-2023.

\$5.5M is already allocated & available to start the Ponsonby Park project. It's been 22 years since the need for the civic space was first identified & 16 years since the land at 254 Ponsonby Road was purchased. Covid has already delayed the project by 2 years. It's now time to provide this much-needed & desired amenity for everyone.

# Important privacy information



# 2059



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We cook from scratch to avoid bringing in lots of packaging, recycle as much as we possibly can, compost, take soft plastic to collection points, take polystyrene to Mitre 10, and dispose of electronic waste on appropriate collection centres. This leaves us with a landfill rubbish bin to put out every 6 weeks. Your new proposal leaves us with no incentive to keeping doing so. We try so hard, but you are prefer to reward the people who try the least to do the right thing. No incentive for us to keep doing what we are doing.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2060



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We should be doing much more.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



Tell us why: Should increase rates to ensure spending is used as previously proposed.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We should be trying to reduce waste. So pay as you go is much better. An automated system would be much better with scanning. Technology should be able to solve this problem. Also we should ensure that food waste service is for all.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: should do more to enhance the cycle way network.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2088



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I am a single occupancy property and use bin tags when placing my rubbish for collection. I currently place my rubbish bin out for collection once a month. This is achieved by me making extra efforts to juggle items in my freezer etc to ensure the outside bin doesn't smell or attract unwanted pests. This is both cost effective as a user and also for the collection services as irrespective of how full a bin is the truck needs to stop and empty. If the rubbish bin cost is embedded into my property rates, I am likely to put my bin out every week for collection as this would make my life easier and the cost would be a flat rate. I firmly believe that if the cost is a flat rate embedded into peoples rates they are also going to be less likely to make an effort to minimise waste and recycle.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I think Auckland Council should increase rates even more than the 3.5%. I also think Auckland Council should be doing everything in its power to increase borrowing.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** 'These are modest proposals - necessary, but not sufficient. There is nothing here regarding the price of public transport (fares), and there is nothing really regarding private transport. Some ideas:

- make parking free in Ak for EVs of all types
- reduce PT fares to \$1 a journey, or similar capped low-cost fare

- build park and ride on a big scale - out here, at New Lynn, there is NO park and ride option even though there is a big railway station

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

using the Government's Better Off support package funding

- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** These are good measures in their own right, but not sufficient long term. Ak's budget needs to adjust permanently to lower revenue ... it will be 2-3 years before the airport produces strong dividends, and CBD revenue, esp. from parking etc, may never recover. Ak has to find ways to lower its costs, and raise revenue, including finding new sources of revenue.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** some principles to work by are a good idea. But Ak has to face the fact there will be less revenue over the next 3-4 years, so services will be under pressure. AC should be considering service redesign urgently, to lower costs while maintaining services

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: yes, this is a legacy from the amalgamation which Ak needs to sort out once and for all

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support



#### Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** I think people out here will roll their eyes ... Avondale is going to be rejuvenated ... that's been promised now for 4-5 years, and not a thing has happened. Nothing proposed to address the problems of Glen Eden, New Lynn, Waterview, or Blockhouse Bay. Too little, and moving very slowly.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** In the last few years Auckland council has taken to increase rates by including these targeted rates that are over and above the general rates. The council is already taking enough action to reduce climate change ie bike lanes that are often empty and are taking the place of what was once a traffic lane eg Mt Albert Rd.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I agree with keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5%.

As for selling or leasing non- strategic assets, this should not be done eg keep existing parks, keep the Auckland airport shares.

The council has done too much to reduce library services and they must not be touched in future. All libraries must stay open as they are now. All libraries should be able to buy their own copies of magazines and people should not have to reserve them from other libraries.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: The budget needs to stay at the bottom line with no "nice to haves".

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** Auckland city currently has bin collections included in the general rates and it should stay that way. By using tags on bins etc there are problems ie already we have neighbours who fill up our bins with their rubbish and we will not pay for their removal. We have so little rubbish that we often don't put the bins out for a month or so. We compost our organic waste and do not want to have or pay for a bin that we will not need. People must be allowed to have a choice and not be automatically charged for collections not used.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part	Do not support

of a property



# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** There is a need for a balance in enhancing Maori/European history in the area. Don't forget the European input. We used to have street signs at the entrances to Blockhouse Bay ie at the edge the creek on Blockhouse Bay road, near Glen Avon school, up near Whitney St/Donovan St and both ends of Portage Rd. The existing signs are in a poor state and need renewing. Blockhouse Bay needs to retain its street identity. Nearly every other suburb in the Whau has money to be spent in it and the Bay needs some too.

The council must not go overboard with felling trees, especially exotics.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Council must start building a second harbour crossing. I have lived here since the 1960s and seen numerous plans put forward, at great cost, then quietly forgotten about. We don't need more plans, just decide on one that is already there and start building. Do not agree to the building of light rail. Use the existing rail lines to Puhinui and build a line to the airport. There is already an efficient airport bus system. Auckland is so spread out that the proposed light rail system would benefit only a small % of Aucklanders.

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Rates are high enough cut out the fat which despite your weak review there is room to cut fat. Focus on core role of council and leave the nice to have until there is spare cash. This is a rates increase in disguise. Any targeted rate (which I do not support) should be a flat fee for everyone not based on capital value. Higher capital values properties do not contribute anymore to climate change than a lesser capital value property so the \$ should be the same regardless of property value. Businesses that contribute to climate emissions should be charged accordingly e.g. transport companies, factories expeling C02 emissions.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Rate increases have consistently been above inflation for a number of years so no targeted rates. Live within your means 3.5% no targeted rate unless you decrease the 3.5%. Any targeted rate should be a flat \$ amount not based on capital value. Rates are already rorted enough on capital value.

Maybe fire the idiots that lost \$1.4 billion in the interest rate betting! Bet they are part of the 86 earning \$250000+ salary group

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** Stick to core essential services only. If communities want the 'nice to haves' then let them pay a targeted rate for them should they choose.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** It depends on the price differential between the different size bins. Currently I put my bin out once a month so PAYT would save me a lot of money. I don't want to be paying for others rubbish collections.

Also the food scrape bins need to be an opt in or definitely as a PAYT. I have no need for it and do not want to pay for something I will not be using.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support



Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Everyone has been affected everyone living in Auckland not just the council. Individuals and families have had to prioritise how they spend their hard earned money. The council should do the same. If that means cutting employee numbers to fit council budget then so be it. It is reprehensible that you want to increase rates and targeted rates so that the council doesn't need to cut back like all the people who have to in order to pay for councils whims. Each department should have to undergo a 'what value' do they actually add. Why does their need to be and events department or a department encouraging businesses to set up in Auckland those are not core functions. Not sure why the CEO of the COOs and executive teams need such high salaries they are running monopolies it's not like they have competition for what they plan or introduce.

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



Tell us why: Why does the rates rebate never go up when the rates do? I am finding it hard to pay at the moment.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Services are not as good as they were as it is. Can they become even worse????

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** If I am being charged for a bin regardless of my need I will fill it with recyclables so I only have to take one bin to the kerb instead of two.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: New Lynn needs a swimming pool

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

High rates rebates for the less wealthy. Swimming pool for New Lynn.

# Important privacy information



# 2208



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I understand the argument that PAYT did not decrease waste for some households however going to a rates based model does nothing to incentivise more people to reduce their waste. For those who use 1-2 bins per year it appears each carefully curated bin is costing \$60+ (the rest being composted, recycled etc) and others can fill 52 bins per year of waste which should be recycled and dealt with differently for the same cost overall. It doesn't seem fair and does not at all encourage the ethically responsible option.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Action is needed now, forward planning means less expense in the future.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Toll us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Improvements in infrastructure and modernisation of spaces keeping the green spaces needed. Would like to see policy supporting Avondale racecourse as a green space available to the local community.



# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: this is essential to the future of all NZers.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** The PAYT system makes people think about how to reduce their waste through recycling and composting. If the waste collection is already paid for then people will not have the same pressure to reduce their waste.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I sort my waste, have a compost heap for all food waste, I recycle everything I can, according to guidelines , and in the last 24 months I've put out a general waste bin for collection on only 5 occasions. I discard only soft plastic and food packaging that doesn't decompose or can't be recycled. This costs me directly, through bin tags, about \$12 per annum.

I am definitely NOT in favour of paying through rates, for a service I can now access at a VERY MUCH reduced direct cost.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2280



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** As a father of a young family in Avondale I'm excited to see climate action prioritised by the Council. With hundreds of homes being built in our suburb we urgently need to provide transport options that support this growth in a sustainable way. It seems low carbon options such as low emission buses and trains and safe options for walking and cycling are the only to to achive this. We will become overrun with traffic and its associated climate issues if we don't provide people with good safe options.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** There is nothing more important than climate action right now. Any step that the council can take to mitigate the effects is critically necessary. This is a small step in the right direction - but much more radical steps are needed.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** I support some of these points - support funding, capital spending adjustments. But I think that 'efficiency savings' can be a false economy and that the sale of public assets is almost always wrong. More things should be held by the public, not fewer.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** The important issue is the way that the waste is handled after collection, not how it is collected. But it makes more sense that there be a standard method. And I prefer the method I'm already using.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We all need to play our part in combatting climate change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We have waited too long for a swimming pool in the Whau area!

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The start of the 2-stage development of Ponsonby Park, the new civic space at 254 Ponsonby Road, in FY 2022-2023.

\$5.5M is already allocated & available to start the Ponsonby Park project. It's been 22 years since the need for the civic space was first identified & 16 years since the land at 254 Ponsonby Road was purchased. Covid has already delayed the project by 2 years. It's now time to provide this much-needed & desired amenity for everyone.

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** No point doing piecemeal climate change things without addressing one of the bigger issues of urban sprawl - will the climate rate actually remove restrictions on density from areas close to the city centre (eg mt eden) to reduce commuter emissions and prevent development on valuable land or will 'tree cover' be used as protection for NIMBYs?

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Tags are a massive hassle - not only do you have to remember them, you have to deal with the occasional interference of people removing tags for laughs. It is illogical to have such wildly different systems across Auckland (as we are supposedly 'one city') and it encourages people to put rubbish in their recycling (which is already paid for).

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: For a relatively low individual cost, I see big benefits for the wider community,

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



Tell us why: Needs, must. Re-forecasting the plan is not unusual given the pressures Covid has brought.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** As long as the prioritisation is spread amongst all communities, it would be a shame if poorer parts of Auckland had to make sacrifices over the leafy suburbs.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The council has acknowledged that we are in a climate emergency, in line with scientific consensus and growing real-time evidence (temprature rises, disasters etc). Its very clear radical changes need to be made, and quickly, by governments, local governments, businesses and individuals. This is why I strongly support this proposal.

But also, given this, I dont think this essential budget should be enacted based on consultation - it must be enacted eiter way, as any other emergency works would be. The public doesnt have the expertise or knowledge to make this decision on behalf of our leaders, who need to just lead themselves. Please just increase rates to make this happen urgently.

Cycling and public transport project design has been pretty poor generally given the emergency and budget situations. The focus needs to be on maximising reallocation of existing street space, and minimizing new and expanded infrastructure - its too slow, too expensive, too high-emissions, often counters the housing crisis and makes streets less walkable, and enables continuation of an unsustainable level of private vehicle transport. Please focus on working 'within the kerbs' generally, and show a bit of leadership in following through despite complaining from NIMBYS. Leaders need to lead

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** make sure budget pressures dont cut things we need for our future - public transport for eg must have budget increased for improved services. Cut some big overgrown road projects; we cant afford more space for cars, in more ways than one.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

#### Tell us why:

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2550



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I see this as an urgent initiative for change – for future generations to come.

Across Auckland, the public transport infrastructure is pathetic. As a regular user (of public transport) over the years, I have felt very frustrated.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 2560



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** This is a step in the right direction and must be implemented right away. It is also not nearly enough and additional funding should be reallocated from the road budget to make non car transportation cheaper and more attractive.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: Reduce the road budget to make up for this shortfall, which also supports climate action goals.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Collection of separate organic waste must be prioritized. Strongly support the use of lower rates for smaller bills to encourage residents to reduce waste. This can be further incentivized by decreasing the frequency of rubbish collection compared to recycling and organics. Can also be supplemented with further bans on single use packaging.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

increased climate action must be a major focus

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** you will pass the cost of these airy fairy ideas onto the poorest sectors of our society. aucklands impact on climate change is absolutely tiny. stop forcing your agenda on ratepayers, you do not need to show the world how virtuous you are, you need to make living in a big city bearable for people whos reality does not allow for the luxury of using cycle lanes and buses. please stop this.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why: stop the climate bicycle stuff and keep paying for the essential services aucklanders need please.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: yes please. bin tags are horrible.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

### 5. Local Boards

#### Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: i do not want my rates going to ethnically bias programmes. again please quit your climate garbage.

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2602



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I think we need to carve out money for climate. However, my concern with it is that it puts climate action in a silo, where other projects/improvements that Council run don't have to consider it. You need to ensure that all projects operate within a 1.5degree future. I am also really concerned with the decision making that has happened in the past few years to not pursue tree protection that has meant that we are now needing to spend money to increase urban ngahere - it's this sort of siloed decision making that makes me very wary about how efficiently the money will be spent.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I would love to see some pay equity in the upper tiers of Auckland Council senior management as well to balance this shortfall.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: I think there needs to be some clear focus on reducing salary costs

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I don't understand how having a standard rate is going to incentivise less waste

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Toll we when	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** The amount of funding that is put towards environmental services is tiny compared to the operating expenses - how is this supporting the key priorities of climate action? Need to provide more funding to actual action. Also we need to stop allowing mature trees to be cut down in our area, rather than then having to pay to replant.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2665



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I support the targeted rate so long as the money is distributed in an equitable way, to benefit poorer communities and not just tamaki and the cbd

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** People producing more waste should pay for the extra landfill usage. So long as the rates funded waste collection takes this into account with different bin sizes, I'm in support

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



#### Tell us why:

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 2688



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: plans are always good. nut seldom carried out

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I pay for a garden bag monthly and have done for over 20 years, quite satisfied with this arrangement Refuse bin goes out monthly. There will be some discrepancy with council proposals over this. Waste bin, fortnightly. The system has to be fair for all ratepayers.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

ıy

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right? Other

Tell us why: I support some.

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

If I finally have to give up driving I expect there to be a better transport service where I live as the timetable went from 1/2 hourly to 1 hour service about 2 k's from New Lynn transport centre. Ridiculous.

## Important privacy information



# 2706



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Support climate change initiatives

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Necessary

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: makes sense

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Toll us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Well overdue

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
- ·· ·	

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Better living, better future, better economy

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Greener outcomes in the long term

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: Whau is long overdue for an upgrade.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Traffic Whau, e.g. Henry or Victor street, used by so many kids walking go school, too fast and unsafe. Especially Henry Street where 100s of kids walk every day inbetween speeding traffic. Needs speedbumps.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** You want to increase tree cover? How about you stop allowing developers to clear fell entire sites so they can squeeze in as many dwellings as possible for profit. Some sites now have literally no permeable land so the run off during heavy rain is contributing to flooding, as well as the woefully neglected stormwater system.

Additionally regarding cyclists, cycle lanes are fine, but not at the expense of other road users who actually pay to use that road! Auckland is huge and full of hills, MOST people can't and won't cycle for that reason.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Wanna save money then stop absolutely wasting it on ridiculous proposals that get rejected by actual residents once they're done. (Henderson town centre debacle as an example, and lower queen street)

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I don't know, because your explanation is just non committal political nonsense!!! You DONT ACTUALLY SAY what you may or may not stop , so how do you expect to get a decent answer when nobody knows what they are actually responding to?

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Absolutely do not support ! Most people are inherently lazy . This will do NOTHING to incentivise people to create less waste, especially when over half of the city are rental properties , therefore it will be the landlords paying for their tenants rubbish collections. ( which may even be passed on in further rent increases , as if they aren't high enough already ) additionally , for people like myself , who live alone , have my own compost and fastidiously sort recycling from waste, the 3-4 waste bins I actually put out a YEAR will average out to be around \$75 PER BIN !!! It will also monopolise waste collection which will put other waste collection companies out of business . Absolutely anticompetitive .

ANYONE should have the ability to opt out.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties



Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** This penalises Households that work to minimise waste. It penilises people for being good environmental citizens. It penilises Small households and subsides large ones - AGAIN. It penalises those on fixed incomes who try to minimise waste for financial reasons. It will penilise Renters who will have the fixed charges passed on to them in the form of Rent increases. ALSO you will have to order a whole heap of 80L Bins for low waste uses...and dispose of a mass of 120L bins. How much will that cost? and at what environmental cost? You say PAYT is not reducing waste - but you calculate using households not numbers of people. The main beneficiaries of this will be big homes with large families. Your food Scraps should be optional. Many people compost and they too will be penilised...Undoubtedly the same people you just penilised for being good environmental citizens

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The incomes are not increasing, than should the user pay more additional tax, please take it out from Government funding, do t burden poor citizens.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** As long as the normal tax payer is not burdened with additional tax, it's fine. Because I very family too has budget, and if low income earners pay so much tax, how will we survive.

#### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Again additional to our budget, which we can't take in.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

#### Tell us why:

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The longer we delay taking action on this the more it will end up costing us. \$58/year is well worth it for the value (especially of frequent public transport and improved streetscapes). I would prefer buses at 10-minute frequencies rather than 30-minute though (since 30 minutes is anything but frequent!)

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Debt is cheap!! Just borrow more, the younger generations are going to end up paying so much more in 20 years to fix all the broken down assets that haven't been maintained. When you cut services like public transport and you lose users, it is very difficult to get them back again. Also petition the government to provide more funding (can't expect council to manage Covid on their own).

(Also crank up rates on rich people to solve the housing crisis as well.... win-win!)

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Yes I like the table, I think it lays it out quite clearly. I think it would be good to see the rates rise needed to fulfil the obligations of each column. I find it strange how attached people are to a 3.5% rise, it seems backwards to me. We should figure out what needs to be funded (and obviously it will be different to different people... but deferring e.g. climate action now because we "can't afford it" is self-defeating because it's just going to get more expensive in the future) and then calculate the rates we need to deliver it. If people are unhappy with the rates they can always move to a different city... or accept the social contract and start being more community-minded...!

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** This is a great idea as it is more economical to spread the cost. It's ridiculous that 10+ years into the supercity some people are still having to buy tags to put on their rubbish bags

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

# Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** I always support the council doing more for communities. I would love more investment specifically in Blockhouse Bay (since that's where I live) but Avondale definitely needs it too! I love the idea of enhancing the visibility of Māori history in the area, we always need more of that.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** It is absolutely critical that public transport is prioritised over private cars. I also support shifting to electric vehicles to reduce emissions. I think it is of great importance to not only incentivise using public transport and cycling but also making driving less attractive. And this could and should be achieved by increasing the parking fees and making less parking free, especially in the town centres. That could bring additional revenue that could be used to fund better public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure.

When it comes to cycling emphasis should be on making cheap and fast improvements to corridors, not necessarily the main ones but at least on the streets that are parallel to the main ones. The cycleways need to be continuous and need to connect to the existing ones, especially of regional importance. I would prefer to see more kilometres delivered than some big and shiny redevelopment of 2-3 intersections with just a handful of new cycleways. We need the scale to make the actual difference.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Investment in public transport (no new roads), water quality and anything else that is primarily climate-related should be prioritised.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I support this proposal as long as the actual payment depends on the amount of waste produced by the household to encourage waste reduction.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support



# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Streetscape improvements in Avondale town centre should be more climate-oriented. A cycleway replacing some of the carparks and /or a buslane both or one way should be considered. If not possible because not Whau's responsibility, perhaps shared paths in Avondale town centre to encourage more mode shift. The community centre in Avondale should encourage more active transport options than are currently proposed. There should be a limited number of carparks for residents with mobility issues and definitely not long-term carparks that would encourage regular commuting.

The Whau Pathway should progress as quickly as possible, Whau Local Board should apply for more funding to complete it much sooner than currently proposed as it is a very important link around the Rosebank Peninsula.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Public transport fees should not be increased. Instead parking fees should to cover the difference and encourage more mode shift.

## Important privacy information



# 2957



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Support but only if it is going to remain the same at \$58 a year .

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



**Tell us why:** Support other measure mentioned however regarding rates, the rates increase should come back to 2.5% or rather 2% and not 3.5%. The average increase in household income year on year is around 2.2% which hardly meets the inflation or cost of living. Increasing the rates by 3.5% every year simply means people getting older can't afford to stay in their own homes and are forced to sell their sweet homes because they can't afford rates and the increased cost of living.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I strongly oppose this scheme.

Auckland Council please let people live in Auckland. Do you know what's gonna happen the people who are working hard day and night and try to make it little better for their families are going to be pinched by another rate increase for rubbish, rates are already going to be increased with the increased CV that have been released recently.

Rubbish collection PAYT system works the best and that is the best way to minimise the rubbish is generated by a household, we understand that you need to do something to justify your jobs but please don't over justify it.

A normal household of 3-4 people keeps their bin out every 4 weeks and thus the approx. cost that it incurs is around \$52 a year. What made you think that households are keeping their bins out every week in your rates comparisons. I am completely against the new rates system which should not be called equitable but punishing Aucklanders with another big expense. Either apply the PAYT across Auckland or do the rates to around \$70 max if you really want to keep it fair and equitable.

Not sure where you live or you don't read news how did you assume that "Moving to a rates-funded model may also help renters as landlords are more likely to pay the rates charge than to provide bin tags for the rubbish collection." Do you really think this will happen, please think seriously, the landlords are going to increase the rent which is already so high and skyrocketing.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is likely to be the biggest issue facing future generations and progress is currently very slow

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: I'm not well informed enough

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Difficult one! I like PAYT as there is greater incentive for people to reduce waste, however it also seems a more difficult system for the council to manage. I also don't know how much the incentive to reduce waste actually translates into reducing waste.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need drastic action on climate change. Better transport options are key way of addressing emissions.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** The priorities have broad community support and will help make the Whau area an even better place to live.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Nothing will improve if we do not make the change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: User pays will encourage waste minimisation behavior at source

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support COMET Auckland's request for increased funding, and for their funding to be inflation-adjusted from here on, in order to help more tamariki and rangatahi in Tāmaki Makaurau to reach their potential through learning.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** It is absolutely critical that public transport is prioritised over private cars. I think it is of great importance to not only incentivise using public transport and cycling but also making driving less attractive. And this could and should be achieved by increasing the parking fees and making less parking free, especially in the town centres.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Investment in public transport (no new roads), water quality and anything else that is primarily climate-related should be prioritised.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I support this proposal as long as the actual payment depends on the amount of waste produced by the household to encourage waste reduction.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Streetscape improvements in Avondale town centre should be more climate-oriented. A cycleway replacing some of the carparks and /or a buslane both or one way should be considered. If not possible because not Whau's responsibility, perhaps shared paths in Avondale town centre to encourage more mode shift. The community centre in Avondale should encourage more active transport options than are currently proposed. There should be a limited number of carparks for residents with mobility issues and definitely not long-term carparks that would encourage regular commuting. The Whau Pathway should progress as quickly as possible, Whau Local Board should apply for more funding to complete it much sooner than currently proposed as it is a very important link around the Rosebank Peninsula.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Public transport fees should not be increased. Instead parking fees should to cover the difference and encourage more mode shift.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We pay for these already in terms of levies on petrol/diesel and RUC charges.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** With PAYT system, we don't put the bins out every week as estimated/predicted in this proposal. Thus allowing us to spend significantly less than the proposed Region Wide rates-funded service. Plus, people won't be incentivised to reduce their waste if they don't pay for it every now and then. If it's a one-off fee, people tend to put bins every week which increases the collection cost end of the day.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities



**Tell us why:** I don't agree with Maori identity spending as it's a not-so-necessary spending. We could rather spend the money on Climate Action, Te Whau Coastal Walkway and Park Improvements

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 3086



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Hoping you consider continuing with half price transport fares after the govts 3 months offer as cheaper fares will encourage more people to overlook the inconveniences of said public transport.

Developers are completely razing sections when they redevelope them. Encourage them to leave a healthy native on the periphery where pos.

We need to save trees in all parts of Auckland.

the council should be offering trees more protection in all parts of Auckland ,not just where someone thinks they need it most.

Older exotics on eg mt Albert should be left in place to provide shelter for new native plantings.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:



- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I will no longer be incentivised to take my soft plastics to be recycled ....

I often see local recycling bins overfilled and notice bags of soft plastic in evidence. It makes me wonder if those bins are green washing and most of the stuff for recycle gets contaminated.?

Should be able to opt out of the food waste container

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property



# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Too many trees are being cut down .local developers completely denude sections prior to development. Need to save mature especially native trees .

Volunteers plant trees.and grasses.Council workers come along after and spray or mow them down. Need more coordination between volunteers and council,also education of mowers and sprayers.I am aware Covid and drought conditions this year haven't helped. But time and money spent on these plants is wasted when they don't survive . loads of tiny young plants can't compare with mature trees developers get to lop down seemingly without a second thought.

We need a local plan for educating locals on weeds. Moth plant is popping up everywhere this year. Privet ,pampas and wattle etc are ongoing probs

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Other

**Tell us why:** Accessible public transport also needs to include a reduce in fares. Services need to be both cheap and efficient to entice people to use public transport instead of driving.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Criteria outlined are vague.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: there is only one way to reach our target - support from all corners to make it happen.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** different households generate different amounts of waste. Its not fair for the everyone to have to pay the same amount. In saying that - PAYT households seem to be paying the same or more rates then non PAYT households so its to be more transparent as to what Auckland Council is charging for rubbish collection in the Rates as it doesnt seem fair/balanced at present. PAYT tags are going up in price all the time which is again unmanageable by many - resulting in theft of tags or illegal dumping. I agree that the price might encourage people to generate less waste - but it does little for those already doing their utmost to reduce waste.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** these areas are in much need of upgrade/maintenance of some sort. Olympic park is a heavy use area and will only increase with more housing being built in the area so future proofing makes sense.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** As we are seeing currently with fuel prices we cannot keep relying on foreign fuel for the majority of our services. More efficient transport is needed now.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part	Support

of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** I support all of the current priorities but I would like to see more priority given to walking and cycling especially in the New Lynn town center

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I believe in reducing our impact on the environment, and I believe public transport is critical to that, and needs to be improved in Auckland.

Auckland public transport needs to expanded to be efficient, far reaching, and cheap. I do not believe we can overspend in this area, as we've underspent for so long, and most importantly, we need massive projects that can be completed as fast as possible.

We need drastic solutions, yesterday.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I understand budgets must be adjusted and I support these suggestions generally. I'm wary of selling "strategic assets" however.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Unsure about this department. Little to no specifics

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Just moved to west Auckland from central Auckland and 'PAYT' is terrible. It's more expensive, encourages dumping, and turns rubbish into a problem of individuals, rather than one of collective society.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Toll us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Parks need more trees and plants. Far too many reserves and parks in the Whau area are only grass. More trees throughout the suburb streets would be nice too.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I currently have the 80l bin. I reduce, reuse and recycle. As a result my bin is collected 7 to 8 times per annum at a cost of less than \$50.00. Including the cost in my rates would increase my premium by more than 6 times that amount. How is that "fair" ?

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Re: 4B: Please ensure the base charge does not include services that are not supplied. For example, inorganic collections are a 'regional service', but are not available at my address.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

#### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: It will make it hassle free for all of Auckland

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support
Tell us why:	

ıy

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right? | support all priorities

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)? I don't know

Tell us why:

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I support however I only put my bin out every 4-5 weeks so would hope there is a refund for those who don't put their bin out often

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We've already seen nothing is happening with the fuel tax, introducing a targeted rate will only increase overheads without any solutions. You need to find solutions within the taxation system that is available. Any levies are suppose to be short term solutions lasting a max 2 years. You are proposing something for 10 years and then you will most likely extend for another 10 years without any results as we've seen from the amalgamation of the Auckland Supercity which is a big flop. Costs should've reduced whereas they've gone up.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Selling assets only a short term solution. You should increase services thereby generating more value and distributing costs. If you cut services then what services are you going to cut next and then next. Whoever thinks cutting services is a solution needs to introspect.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** Need to have one bin fits all system. Having multiple bins increases operational costs as people will keep on changing when there is a change in the household. I propose one bin fits all. I will put out my bin weekly no matter if it's half full or full. You are just adding initial costs and operational costs.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10	Support	
or more units)		
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with	Support	

between two and nine units
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties
I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support

of a property

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 3509



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I am not satisfied with councils management and operations of Auckland transport which rates poorest in terms of service and affordability. until council improve on this issue. I don't see a reason to spend any more single dollar on it.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** one thing council needs to do is cut down on staff and reduce salary budgets. lots of people getting paid 6 digit salary with little difference can be seen on ground reality

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** definitely stop providing services which has no value of any kind but should be consulted with local population than make it as a whole

again biggest cut should be on salary hikes and over staffing

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I am a staunch supporter of pay as you throw system.

myself I am using this and we only put our bins out every 4-6 weeks spending approx \$40-\$45 per year.

we compost all our food scraps and we are a minimalist family use bare minimum resources to avoid excess rubbish generation and keep our finances in line

most of our rubbish is our 1 year daughters used nappies and once she is potty trained I guaranteed you that our Rubbish bin will only require removal every 8 weeks minimum which further bring down cost for us.(\$30 per year)

what council is proposing is no way encouraging anyone to minimise waste generation. on contrary people with generate it more thinking we are paying for it anyway. the policy should be rewarding people who generate less and charging who is doing it more which is a current system and we are happy with it

even if we choose smallest 80L bin which council says will charge around \$124 which is almost 3-4 times we are paying now.

this is absurd and getting penalised for doing a bit for the climate by generating less waste and composting

need a big rethink on this.

Council better need to move to infrared scanned barcoded bin straight away which is same as (PAYT) than wasting money on transitional system

hope this gives you enough idea

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10<br/>or more units)SupportStandardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsI don't knowStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesI don't knowApply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part<br/>of a propertyI don't knowTell us why:I don't know

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I'm a mum on her 30's who really worry about the future generations and would like to see action asap for environment causes and I leave in Avondale.

I think it's awesome that Auckland Council is creating a dedicated fund towards a zero carbon future. We are in a climate emergency, and I want urgent action now. This is a first step for Council walking the talk on climate action. We have a long way to go to reduce emissions in line with Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri, Auckland's Climate Plan.

It will provide quick, low cost, transformational options for reducing emissions. Little to lose compared to huge potential gain! And MORE, frequent, bus routes will make it easier for me to navigate the city. I WANT to walk, cycle, and bus more for the benefit of the planet and my health. However, lack of infrastructure, and safety concerns, prevent this. I strongly support the increased options for active transport, which will help my ideals align with tangible, safe action.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change is such a key issue and we need to take more urgent action. Anything that we can do to speed this up is worth it.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I partially support this but still think that climate-related action needs to be at the top of the list.

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** We are only putting out our PAYT bin about once a month, and even then it's barely full, so we will end up paying more, and having less motivation to reduce our waste. How can this be built into the rates-funded system, so that you can opt for a cheaper, less regular pick up?

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** AT is just making moving around harder for everyone. It take longer to get anywhere = >emissions.

Focus on the transport fleet age and type, not trying to stop movement.

It's not council's job to do this.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Council has suffered just like other business and private individuals. You have to find ways to save and suck it up, not raise rates to get extra.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Stop wasting time and money on climate action. Support the rest.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Get on with the change. Don't wait 3 years!

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: Support all except climate action. You're wasting money and achieving nothing

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



**Tell us why:** Do not support any increasing in land tax. The Government should reduce office expenses. More land taxes are charged but the government do lessfor us.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Support to Pay as You Throw.

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why: I don't Support the Council taking the ambrico place for bus parking



# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Stop chopping down existing mature, climate change mitigating trees. It takes 30 years for a newly planted tree to start combatting climate change, in the meantime it has been scientifically proven that it is contributing to climate change.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Totally opposed to asset sales or cost reductions. Instead all cycleway projects, events funding, public art and other non essential projects eg a third totally unnecessary bridge over the Whau, need to be stopped right now. The mayor and councillors need to take a pay cut. All the CCOs except Watercare need to be brought back in house now. The TMA needs to be defunded - all they're doing is cutting down Auckland's trees which are desperately needed to mitigate climate change, and wasting public money on unnecessary events and overinflated salaries.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: Am totally opposed to cutting or changing services.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I already pay for bin tags but am totally opposed to having to pay for the proposed small green food only waste bin which I will never use.

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Totally opposed to spending on a third Whau bridge, park improvements, walkways, cycleways, and Maori signage - these are not essential services. Also opposed to the chopping down of mature trees and replacing them with new plantings which will take 30 years to begin mitigating climate change. It needs to be mandatory for developers to incorporate all existing mature trees into their developments. Developers need to be required to pay the full costs of all the services required for their developments.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Totally opposed to the TMA's Operational plan and their very existence. They are spending public money destroying Auckland's trees. This organisation must be defunded while our maunga still have any trees left on them. Also all use of Glyphosate needs to be stopped now and replaced with non toxic weed control methods as per the council's herbicide reduction policy. Woodburners and open fireplaces need to be phased out urgently to clean up Auckland's polluted winter air and protect people's health.

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: '- reduce tag pollution

- don't have to remember to buy tags
- reduce illegal rubbish dumping
- eliminate tag theft

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: '- te Atatu south town centre so it's not just a through-suburb for the rest of west Auckland

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Although climate change is a pressing issue, the cost of living in Auckland is currently too high in relation to income. The low/middle income earners already struggle to pay all their bills, blanket increases at this time are undesirable. Collection of these monies should be more targeted.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Stop wasting money on ridiculous Auckland Transport construction. In my local area I can count 5 "solutions" that have actually made problems worse for all who use the roads and the local communities (Titirangi/ Parker "upgrade", Glen Eden cycle way, Glen Eden speed humps, Henderson township debacle, Rimu/Rata intersection). Clearly the people in charge of these "upgrades" don't regularly traverse these routes, as they have created more problems than they have solved and cost spectacular amounts of money.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I don't know as there are no specifics stated in the proposal. Where are the ideas of which services to prioritise, which ones to cut?? This is a load of waffle and I'm not sure how anybody can actually provide meaningful feedback on this.

# 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** This is a disastrous proposal; in terms of the environment and financially for medium to small households. It is wrong to base the calculations on people using PAYT tags weekly. This does not reflect reality.

The reasoning behind PAYT was to incentivise households to send less to landfill, and after talking to friends and neighbours, I believe this is an effective strategy. Most of those I spoke to, like us, diligently recycle, compost, and put out their bin only once every 3-4 weeks, that is, even averaging a generous 20 collection/year, only \$85. For households like ours (a family of 4) and my mum (solo) who put bins out every 4 weeks, we are looking at significant increases from the current \$51 we spend.

This change to a uniform rate would not only monetarily penalise those who are conscientious in sending less to landfill, but also withdraw the incentive for all households to do so, and actually achieves the opposite of what the proposal claims to address.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)



Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

When is West Auckland is going to get another swimming pool? WestWave is always at capacity, in need of repai or closed for some other reason. Our West Auckland children, not just South Auckland children, also need to learn to swim.

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We have only one planet

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



Tell us why: Takes away the hassle of adding this in our weekly food bill

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Gives everyone freedom to save or spend as they like

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Will help us do our part for planet

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support
Tell ve when	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We shouldn't really have to explain why priority needs to be given to sustainable forms of transport. Have you seen the state of the world and where it's going? My question would be why on earth would we not do this and more?

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I think payt is a better incentive for citizens to try to reduce their waste.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



## Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

More work on safer streets for cyclists and pedestrians. End the "cars first" mentality.

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Our effect on the climate has to change sooner rather than later.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Rubbish collection should be part of the rates regardless of how much is created.

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 3998



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Because we are now in a climate emergency that is beyond being a threat, it is happening, while our societies are saying yes; but mostly to keep standards of living and economic growth as is. please start creating changes that will face the reality of our poor relationship with Mother Earth and its wider life.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate change needs more urgent facing than most of the above.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Hard question ! An easy choice is the to cut socially helpful things like libraries, and sports grounds etc. But they are actually among the things that can meet the greed need in better ways.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Might help lower waste creation, and flow on to what packaging people are willing to pay for.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Because our main focus needs to be climate change, but some maintenance of community social wellbeing also matters

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 4027



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: if you do not support a climate action targeted rate you must be a climate denier.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Whichever way you cut the cake, whatever funds we can collect from whatever source, spending on climate must come first.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** Whatever services are provided from whatever source, the spending should be in a way which addresses the climate emergency. At present all the climate indicators are still going the wrong way.

## 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** A new landfill at the Dome Valley must be AVOIDED (the same ideal geology for a landfill fits it even better for the next water reservoir). People need all the incentive they can be given to reduce waste-to-landfill. I support the tags but at present they are too cheap to have much effect. Additionally, your figures seem to show huge systemic losses in the operation of the tag system. So, I suggest that the three-bin system proposed should have much larger differences between the charges for each size. But the proposal still seems to continue pursuing LOW COST as a virtue. The opposite should be the policy; INCREASE the waste-to-landfill fees artificially high, ABOVE the actual cost, to begin to give some incentive to minimise. Landfill quantities will continue to increase because no one really cares. The charges have always been too low.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell use use and	

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** These are the expected run of the mill jobs to be done but...my, once leafy, suburb of Kelston is being turned into a slum by tree-felling. The beneficial intensification of well-designed domestic dwellings need not result in this wholesale slaughter.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

TREES !! Trees are the single greatest influence on several factors which improve the quality of life. Air quality, architecture, bird and insect life, temperature, etc. Civilisations which collapse are those which cut down their trees. A price should be put on trees to prevent casual felling. Given recent history, it's clear that the council is complicit in the continuing reduction of the canopy. Reverse this at the policy and operational levels.

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** As citizens we have to do everything we can to reduce carbon emissions. People are starting to become more and more aware and look for ways to contribute. But they need help. They need infrastructure in place so that they can take public transport easily, or choose to ride a bike to the shops over taking their car, or pick a capsicum from a community garden close by, rather than one that has used a lot of carbon to get to their local supermarket. People have so many stresses in life and will always default to what is most convenient. The council need to make it easy and convenient for people to chose to reduce their carbon emissions.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know	
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know	
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know	
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know	
Tell us why:		

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Pay to pollute scheme.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why: I don't agree with reduction of services and question the sale of land

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Which services? You do not say so how can I know?

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I'm not sure but I think it may be more effective

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I'm not sure what `future proof` means to you

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Again environmental and animal protection, wild and domestic, is most important

# Important privacy information

Have your say # 4054



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I have been involved in every urban growth study done by the ARA/ ARC from 1966 to 2002, and until that time carried out 2 postgraduate research studies on urban growth issues. As such I am very aware of long term goals not being addressed by present-day actions especially if present-day actions dont lead to short term results. Climate change has been a good example of this. However, it is now accepted as being real and the worlds no. 1 problem. Action is required as soon as possible. What was not in the past seen as a vote winner is no longer the case. Times have changed, today is the time to act.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: depends what the criteria are

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Urban trees are disappearing fast in Auckland and tree protection regulations needs to be revised likewise with rapid intensification, open space and recreational facilities should be treated as very scarce essentials

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 4060



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** This encourages people to create less waste as they have to pay for every time they put the bin out. If you can put it out every week and the cost is the same we will put it out with more rubbish every week. At the moment we put our bin out every 2 to 3 weeks.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

## Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Improving the manukau harbour.

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 4068



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The world is in a climate crisis. All countries and all cities need to take urgent action. Auckland needs more trees, and to reduce emissions. I live in Avondale which has had many trees destroyed by housing developments. Some of the trees were supposed to have council protection but this meant nothing and they were chopped down anyway. Avondale now has very low tree coverage. We need to be planting more trees as soon as possible, but also protecting the mature trees that we still have.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Auckland Council has too many employees earning very high salaries -over \$100K and some many times higher - this bites when so many Aucklanders are hurting - significant cost savings could be achieved here. Asset sales are short-sighted (you can only sell them once) and should be limited to assets that are not needed, not important, and not providing much value to Aucklanders.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Just a vague document that doesn't really tell you anything concrete.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Rates-funded is simple. PAYT adds a lot of inconvenience for households and administration for the council and providers. It would be good to be able to opt in or out of the food scraps system (we don't need it as we have a compost bin in our garden).

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Agree on climate action, trees, parks, walkways, and Avondale Town Centre. We don't need more promotion of Maori identity, te Reo and Maori History, it's being promoted all over the place already.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Our kids won't have the luxury of sitting back belly-button-gazing about whether to spend an extra buck or so per household a week to contribute to climate change, they will be living with the effects. We have a responsibility to try and do something now. This question is a no-brainer.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Please don't stop capital spending - underinvestment in the form of austerity measures are short term thinking. We need to keep our eyes on the future and ensure we keep prioritising the things that will actually make this city better and mean our young people have a future here and want to stay.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: TBH, I didn't understand what is being proposed here, I've read it multiple times and I just don't get it.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** I hi I standardisation makes sense for so many reasons (it has to be cheaper and reduce obverse ads, surely) but it seems that the people currently working really hard to reduce their waste will be penalised because they will pay the same as people throwing everything into landfill. This feels wrong, there need ps to be incentives for behaviour change around waste. Please speed up food wastes to other parts of the city - our living situation doesn't suit composting but it sucks sending so much waste to landfill unnecessarily.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** In 2019, Auckland Council declared a climate emergency. The Auckland Climate Action Targeted Rate must be passed to show that this declaration is more than just an empty gesture/words.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

It is important to me that Auckland Council ceases to support artificial intelligence and the fourth industrial revolution and other policies of the World Economic Forum. These are unethical, divisive and you are supporting something that will transfer power either into the hands of computers or a minority of people who will abuse it.

https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/plans-projects-policies-reports-bylaws/our-plans-strategies/auckland-plan/opportunities-prosperity/Pages/fourth-industrial-revolution.aspx

Also, I strongly oppose the requirement to provide vaccine passes to enter Auckland Council facilities especially libraries as these are vital for education and disadvantaged citizens to connect to the world.

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We are the biggest, busiest, most influential city in NZ we need to take responsibility and show the rest of NZ how it is done. This action is urgent and essential.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Our council doesn't need to bank money for a rainy day it needs to use it to make our city better.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It sounds fair and transparent.

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: This encourages United, equitable changes that can have a bigger, better planned environmental impact.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: They reflect the local culture, will improve our access and experience of local facilities.



# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
- ·· ·	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Auckland Council should reivew and plan on new car parking spaces for newly built apartments and terrace houses. As there are a lot of people parks on the grass of the public park which damages the environment.

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
- ·· ·	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** This is the need of the hour. Not only in terms of climate action, but also in terms of City infrastructure and boost for public transport. The two go hand in hand, so urgent action is needed to not only amp up public transport and reduce congestion, which in turn will massively help climate change goals

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



**Tell us why:** Avondale is in the prime position to be turned into a true green space ladden community, we need to act ASAP on this. The local flora and fauna are also incredibly important to be maintained and grown.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The development of a functioning public transport system is crucial to climate action in Aotearoa.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Toll us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Those with wealth should pay more. Small amount compared to the asset.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

**Tell us why:** PAYT system may help reduce waste. non-PAYT system is more convenient and could be more effective if standardisation lead to economies of scale.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Climate action should be at the forefront of all decision making. Wealthier citizens should pay more.

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Action is needed now to try and improve our environment or the consequences will be dire for Aucklanders - this small amount would have a big impact - I fully support it.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10I don't knowor more units)Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsI don't knowStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesI don't knowApply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited partI don't know

of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Because we are living in a climate emergency.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Reducing admissions may be the single most important issue that Auckland can and must address. As New Zealand's largest city, Auckland has the resources and scale to become a green city that will be an inspiration not only to all of New Zealand, but to our neighbors and partners abroad. Conversely, if we do not take bold steps, the city's prosperity, reputation, and quality of life will suffer. Transportation is the key issue here: we a range of improvements to the public systerm to make it green, clean, and appealing, and we need to make it free for everyone. We also need support for walking and cycling, and a carrot-and-stick approach to reducing automotive traffic and pollution. We need regulation that makes taking pubic transit to and from the CBD not just as an option, but clearly the best option.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Owners of multiple residences have profited grotesquely from increases in property value and rent: the city needs to recapture some of that unearned and unproductive windfall though a rates rise on owners of multiple properties, especially anyone who owns threee or more residences.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: PAYT is a regressive tax that hurts the poor and incentivises illegal dumping.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Climate action is immediately and critically needed, and incresed support for te reo and Māori history is culturally enriching for all visitors and residenta, and a treaty obligation we must uphold. I support other agenda items as well, and urge the board to work with Auckland Transport and the community to make public parks et. al. more accessible by public transit, bicycle and walking.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to shift more people out of cars and on to sustainable transport. This is an investment in a liveable future.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: The pay as you go model just doesn't work.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Need to take action on climate change. Need to create a city that is pleasant to live in.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** PAYT adds life admin to people's lives - and as usual this impacts those who have to less money eg. more wealthy can stock up on tags/bags - others will have to ration/buy one at a time. It creates another burden for people to have to deal with.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I support the cheap increase in wages for the medium person and the goals you are trying to achieve. I feel like this should happen more immediately.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Quite confusing

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** It will make people more conscious of their household waste, especially when the waste levy is increasing each year. It will fit in well with the standardised recycling as well.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

I don't know

Tell us why: There needs to be a bigger push for tree protection over development, especially with old growth trees.

The Avondale Market is very significant, so it should remain in place.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Have your say



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I support the targeted rate because we need to be taking serious action on climate change. I also support the cycling and public transport improvements. I am especially excited about the cycle plan for New Lynn.

My only reservation is that I would like to see this done as an increase to regular rates and portioned off to climate intervention. This should then be matched with money from the regular rates pool.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I don't really understand how despite everything changing and there being a shortfall that rate rises are being kept the same.

I do not support the reduction of services.

It would be good to get more money from the government because they seem to be a bit flush with cash at the moment.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** I think climate action, community development and the environment needs to be prioritised ahead of ahead of expenditure that will increase carbon emissions or prioritise private motor vehicle trips. We can use the need to prioritise spending as a way to push more of things that will help create climate action and build stronger communities.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

Tell us why: I reluctantly support this because of the privatisation factor below.

The cost savings of the rates system are based on putting out a bin every week. We currently only put a bin out every 2-3 weeks so will probably be paying more money. Has there been any work done to show what how often bins are put out and then looking at the costs from there? I understand that work is being looked at to see if there is a digital tag that can be used and then the amount deducted which is good. I would support that option if it was available because otherwise the cost of waste just gets lost in the rates bill (although if the place is rented out then is should go back to the renters somehow). I also understand that the issue with bin tags is also that people can move to a private waste remover which is not very good if you are trying to get people to reduce their waste.

I support the 3 bin idea but it also means you have to figure out what you do if you have a bigger bit is rubbish that could fit in the old bin.

Food scraps recycling is good. Although we compost all our own to use on the garden, it is better generally because most people don't

Question 4b - the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units). This is fine if they have to provide food waste removal services as a base standard.

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** They are pretty light on detail. There should be more cycle safety through New Lynn to connect to the New Lynn to Avondale path. Currently around the New Lynn transport centre it is dangerous on bikes because of buses and cars driving through the cycle ways to pull in and out of the bus stops/pedestrian drop off areas/ or cars and buses stopping in the cycle lanes to drop people off. The off road paths by the train tracks are narrow and feel unsafe. I have come across people doing drugs in there before. I would feel safer if they were on road separated cycle ways in this area.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 4493



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to really boost our preparedness and resilience to the affects of climate change by investing in infrastructure to enable Aucklanders to make the right decisions and connect with their natural environment.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Some of the ideas have merit but the reduction of services and asset sales obviously very much depend on which ones. Public services are particularly important for those unable to fund them privately. Pools , Libraries , Parks etc.. are vital to communities.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** I support the maintenance of healthy communities with resources for those that live within them . Cycle paths, walkways, parks, pools, libraries.....

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 4501



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I think money can be spent on doing other important things for the area, rather than thinking about climate. Like beautifying the Avondale Town Centre (looks shabby at the moment), too many beggars, too many \$2 shops, too many drunkards, too many car washers.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Prioritising more immediate and pressing projects, is a no-brainer.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** I think Public Transport (especially buses) can be reduced if no one is travelling in them. Too many road works going on at the moment, not even sure if it is required. Too many consents given to Apartments buildings. Not sure who is going to buy them. They might end up as white elephants.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: Not sure on this one.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** I think if one looks around there is too much of wasteful spending, by council. Too much attention and money spent on creating cycle ways and walkways.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I agree with most of the above, but, I would like to see the Avondale Town centre developed. At present too many \$2 shops, too many people loitering around the place, some are quite intimidating. Also there should be a ban on car washers, as I think they are expanding and put drivers at risk.

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** This is a first step for Council walking the talk on climate action. We have a long way to go to reduce emissions in line with Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri, Auckland's Climate Plan.

Urban ngahere and food gardens aren't only great in terms of climate action but also help build community, connect with nature, improve mental and physical health outcomes, and create green jobs and educational opportunities.

Use of the fund needs to be front-loaded so that the most gains can be seen before 2030.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending



- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** A rates-funded system seems more cost-effective and equitable, however, there should remain strong incentives built into the system to send less to landfill, rewarding the reduction in total waste and separation of compostable materials.

Council should pursue decentralised, community-based composting operations alongside the industrial trucking anaerobic digestion based system. Local composting hubs can make a significant contribution to returning organic material to the soil/food production, and is required for the food gardens seen elsewhere in this plan. These also have much stronger outcomes relating to behaviour change, seeing food material as a closed loop system rather than another thing to throw 'away' in a bin. Local compost hubs create green jobs, support community gardens, will reduce contamination (vs industrial composting), and produce a higher quality end product.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 | don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units



#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 4589



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We are embarrassing with our lack of meeting climate goals.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I live out West and would like to stop buying tags. We will pay more because we don't even put our bin out monthly, but that's okay.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I want safer bike lanes.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I strongly support the climate action targeted rate; as a sustainability professional I know that there is a limited time for us all to address the climate emergency, and that it is cheaper in the long run to invest now than later. Road transport is 18% of NZ's carbon footprint, and importantly has increased by 96% since 1990, so nearly doubled over 32 years. Reducing roading emissions is the easiest GHG emission source to reduce it just requires good investment (unlike say agriculture which is much harder to reduce)

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Yes I support, as long there is good wage increase for Council staff, with increases in the cost of living with higher levels of inflation it is important to also invest in the staff who work at the Council with good pay rises and less spending on consultants.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** A good assessment is a great idea, but assessments need to be publicly available and used across the board and not pick and choose depending on the investment.

## 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Having a regular landfill waste collections does not incentivise people to minimize and reduce waste to landfill. And for households like mine who don't produce a lot of landfill waste I don't want to fund a service which I will infrequently use - the current proposal is ridiculous and does not make any sense.

Also there should be an ability for households to opt our of the foodwaste collection service, I have a large decent compost system and again don't want to fund a food waste collection service which I will never use. Plus the long drive to Reporoa carrying food waste which is easily 80 - 80% water to just create energy when modern landfill energy parks in the Auckland Landfills do the same thing for a lower carbon footprint is also not a good solution which I don't want my rates to fund.

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support	
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support	
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support	



Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 4703



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate change is the biggest crisis facing NZ and the world right now, it must be prioritised

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** It's is a very small amount to add to rates with a potential for good to come of it in our climate action and improvements to non car based infrastructure. Adding the amount to rates is good because the larger proportion of the payments should come from property owners, who largely have more free income as they are not spending as much on their living.

Increasing non car based transport will make getting around the city more equitable to everyone as it is currently difficult and unsafe to use cycles and micromobility on roads. These types of transport are far more accessible because of the much lower purchase and maintainence costs. They also do significantly less damage to the roads because of their lower weights. Increasing and safety and viability of these types of transport in conjunction with bus, trains and other long-distance transports will make more of the city accessable to more people in a safer way and with less congestion.

We can go further with this funding to increase local community in many ways. Local community gardens to help people use their yards for more than just grass to increase biodiversity in flora and fauna. Community workshops to bring people together in the effort to reduce waste and single use items by increasing knowledge on fixing and maintaining items around the home. Outdoor park and recreation spaces with ecosourced gardens and trees can work in conjunction with community gardens to educate and empower locals to know what their area once was and can be again.

Housing developments should have to consider all of these things when they are being planned.

What else is a council for other than to make the lives of it's citizens better



# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** Increasing non car based transport will make getting around the city more equitable to everyone as it is currently difficult and unsafe to use cycles and micromobility on roads. These types of transport are far more accessible because of the much lower purchase and maintainence costs. They also do significantly less damage to the roads because of their lower weights. Increasing and safety and viability of these types of transport in conjunction with bus, trains and other long-distance transports will make more of the city accessable to more people in a safer way and with less congestion.

We can go further to increase local community in many ways. Local community gardens to help people use their yards for more than just grass to increase biodiversity in flora and fauna. Community workshops to bring people together in the effort to reduce waste and single use items by increasing knowledge on fixing and maintaining items around the home. Outdoor park and recreation spaces with ecosourced gardens and trees can work in conjunction with community gardens to educate and empower locals to know what their area once was and can be again.

Housing developments should have to consider all of these things when they are being planned.

What else is a council for other than to make the lives of it's citizens better

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other **Tell us why:** I personally do not always fill a rubbish bin in the week and I feel that people who do try to reduce their waste to a point where they do not fill a bin should not be paying for those who refuse to try and reduce waste. I do however appreciate that the rates based system is simpler to implement as it is applied to everyone. Also a rates based funding may serve to shift some of the cost away from renters and onto landlords which I'm always in support of

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: I like all of these priorities. I feel the Whau walkway and promotion of te reo are particularly important to me.

All of these priorities seem to have a lot of overlap and therefore communication between projects and collaboration with community groups such as the ecomatters gardens and volunteer groups are vital.

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Please see my earlier responses for my opinions on community services

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to be constantly working towards a better future, not just waiting for it to magically happen.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell we when	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because it will most likely go to the wrong areas.

Look at what is already in place.

For example, Keep the trees we have instead of mass felling.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: at the cost of citizens living environments.

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Disadvantage to the more environmentally conscious people in our society.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developmen or more units)	nts (10 Do not support	
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties between two and nine units	<b>s with</b> Do not support	
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Do not support	
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabite of a property	ed part Do not support	
Toll up why		

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support any priorities

Tell us why: why give a free licence to spend rate payers money on useless projects.

The council can cut down trees on public reserves, and then state they're going to increase the Whau's urban tree canopy.

Just an example of the hypocrites that promote on one hand and do the opposite with the other.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** increasing walking and public transport will tackle climate change and transport inequities simultaneously. increasing the tree canopies around Auckland will restore Aotearoa's green image, and make Aucklanders happy and healthy.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Think there should be more electric buses instead of diesel powered ones

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



Tell us why: Councillors salaries need to be halved!!! Too many being paid way above normal rates.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: Those of us who recycle our own waste should not have to pay rates for bins that we do not use

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Because it makes good sense and it will provide a fairer process for.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: They are relevant and progressive.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

A youth hun for our young people that promotes building cultural identity, family connectedness, arts and sports interests and potentials, targeting our young people and families whereby extra-curricula activities not possible due to their family social and economic situations.

# Important privacy information



# 4820



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I support this because I think it's imperative we take action on climate change, and this is one practical way to achieve this.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Acting on climate change to keep Auckland awesome

# Important privacy information

Have your say # 4840



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** As a young person in New Zealand, I think it's important we focus on putting in the infrastructure that our country needs in order to leave a clean world for youth. This is a great step towards that goal.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Have your say # 4852



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 4900



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Auckland city needs to reduce our carbon emissions as a matter of urgency. Moving away from private cars as our main form of transport is vital.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)
 I don't know

 Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units
 I don't know

 Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties
 I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Climate action is critical to setting up a decent future for my children - decent is the least we can do, it should really be good. These measures are a start

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10I don't knowStandardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsI don't knowStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesI don't knowApply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited partI don't know

of a property Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 4930



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Greater access to public transport and active transport are essential to ensuring an equitable city. They are also a necessary step towards reducing our reliance on private transport and thus reducing our transport related emissions, in line with goals to reduce the future damage caused by climate change induced extreme weather and sea level rise. I firmly support contributing a CATR levy towards this.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



Tell us why: Start by streamlining the way council operates and reduce council salaries and staff numbers

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We minimise waste, we compost and recycle. This means we has less waste than most. Paying for what you use encourages awareness of consumption, use more you should pay more

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 5000



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 5044



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Climate change always happens and always will.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I won't support anything this Council does regards rubbish because they have this naive idea that rubbish can be reduced to zero which is manifestly stupid.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10Supportor more units)Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsI don't knowStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesSupport

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

Tell us why: I don't support the promotion of Maori language and identity.



It very quickly goes down an anti European anti Christian pathway.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 5053



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to do more. The status quo isn't enough and it needs to be funded. This is one small way to do so.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I don't believe that selling assets is the way to go to. Rates increases are a better way to fund shortfall even if politically unpalatable. In the long run for majority of households the costs of losing assets and services (and jobs) costs a lot more than the rates increase required to fund.

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** So long as maintaining and improving vital community services such as the hubs and libraries are put in foremost consideration then looking at operating services is okay.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I prefer this system even though theoretically it reduces incentive to reduce waste. Through education this reduction can still take place.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: These priorities are a start towards resolving many of the needs of our area.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Just another rate/tax with no clear detail on what it is going to and how the money will be spent. At least 50% will probably be spent on consultant fees and staff costs with no tangible benefits

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Sounds logical and a good way forward

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: Framework looks ok, but subjective, but would like to see some detail to know what is going to be affected

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** I totally DO NOT support this. Why because those who generate small amounts of waste will subsidise those who generate more. The calculations used in the example are not correct for everyone. I currently only need to put out my 140L bin once every 3 weeks not every week (2 persons living at home).

Those with only 1-2 people living at home (possible 3 in some cases) will end up paying more than twice what they currently pay. There will be no incentive to throw out less as I might as well fill my bin each week and put it out. It is a step backwards in time.

I hope no-one is thinking of doing away with water meters and just charging everyone the same. Same principle, people need an incentive to throw out less and use less water.

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

**Tell us why:** As previously mentioned. The majority of Climate change money will be spent on consultants and Council staff not actual physical benefits, hence complete waste of money.

I agree with the proposed park improvements.

Promoting Te reo is not what rates should be spent on

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Review the number of Council staff in each sector and ask yourself why some parts have increased by more than 10% in the past 2 yrs?

## Important privacy information



# 5298



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Councils have a big role to play in saving our planet from catastrophe and helping us all live healthy lives.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 5420



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: common sense

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why: too short a description for this topic.s

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: too complex to answer in this context

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Small amount for important objectives

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Always good to reduce operational spending

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 5468



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Council has an important role to play in providing climate-friendly infrastructure and services, to help Aucklanders make sustainable choices. We can't expect people to cycle or take PT if there are no safe and viable alternatives for them. A CATR that is focused on reshaping our urban environment to tackle transport emissions will have long-term positive benefits.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Please also stop funding projects that lead to greater emissions, e.g. road widening, renewals that do not include improved walking and cycling infrastructure, network optimisation programme that makes it easier and faster to drive cars.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

#### Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I feel terrified at the future of our children and grandchildren if we don't grasp the nettle and reduce emissions.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Canny targetting of what gets built and upgraded is necessary to be sustainable and keep within budget.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** I like the concern for sustainability and hope tat budget constraints do not result in Council cutting back on this

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10Supportor more units)Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties withSupportbetween two and nine unitsSupportSupport

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 5548



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We must do everything we can to address climate change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Makes sense to me!

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: It seems like parks in Blockhouse Bay are missing out again...

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 5582



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I'm a musician/teacher from West Auckland. I strongly support the CATR for a number of reasons. First, I think that this is an incredibly important step in mitigating climate change. As Auckland Council announced a climate emergency in 2019, it's imperative that we collectively take steps to combat this. I also believe that Individuals can't make a change without support from more powerful companies and governing bodies.

Second, I frequently commute on my bicycle and I'm excited to see \$228 million towards building more cycleways and walkways. My commutes often feel unsafe or difficult, because of aggressive drivers and badly kept pathways. I think more cycleways are essential for people to feel safe to make the change away from cars and towards other forms of transport. This will also have a flow on effect of helping our population become happier and healthier.

Third, urban ngāhere and food gardens are great to build community and help people connect with nature. I think of how much my local feijoa trees help our community, and the thought of things like this being more accessible to everybody is really exciting.

Finally, only \$60 a year for ratepayers means the CATR is incredibly affordable. I feel like it would be a tragedy if Auckland Council doesn't take this opportunity to improve our city and the environment.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 5588



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We are living in a climate emergency and bandaid action is insufficient. We need active and immediate action towards climate restorative practices, and these are a step towards this.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Climate change and climate informed policies are a priority.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: We need to work towards safe work practices in line with climate policies

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Reducing organic waste per landfill is a crucial step towards better climate policy

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** As a resident of the Whau, and someone who works in recreational services, community space is crucial and being those ammenities up to date will provide wonders for social intervention and interactions

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: An attempt at survival -

It's for the biggest issue that face the human race - our own extinction

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 5667



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: The words need money behind them. This targeted rate is long overdue

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



Tell us why: At some point I must trust politicians. It might as well be now.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I shouldn't have an opinion on proposals until I see examples of what is proposed.

## 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why: I haven't read enough about the two opposing systems.

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

The greens seem to have got it right. I see no reason not to trust them.

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Reducing emissions is vital for the future of our planet

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 5805



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Climate action is important and urgent. More funding must be spent in the effort to reduce emissions.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Have your say # 5809



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** The issue of continual climate change is both politically and scientifically a catastrophic mess, which is why the culture is not going to be united in investing money into this when there are far more pressing issues that the culture is far more united on than this.

One reason this is the case is because it's very difficult to separate the science from the politics in the academic literature.

And let's even say that the more radical claims about the extent of climate change are true, nobody has any real idea what to do about it. This means any investment into what to do about it is decided by a minority of people who are most likely going to waste the money on drops in the bucket of preventing climate change. Then they will go on to complain how it was not enough and more needs to be done, only further aggravating the vast majority of the country who will think those who pushed these policies are ignorant foolish incompetent leaders.

And it's even worse than this. As one projects their relatively unreliable climate change estimates forward in time. The unreliability of your calculations increase over time. This is just a function of compounding errors in estimations of cumulative effects using statistical scientific methods. My honours research project was on this kind of thing in my engineering degree, so I know and any scientist who works in applied mathematics and stochastic mathematical models understands this. And because of the cumulative errors, the errors in projection become so wide within a 15 year projection that it's literally impossible to measure any beneficial effect whatsoever of what we do right now or measure any of the consequences of our actions. Which means even if our efforts were at best a drop in the bucket of this



problem, we can't even measure what degree of a drop it will be. This means every aspect of a plan to fight climate change is completely unverifiable. Thus, even if you are doing any good at all, it will be impossible for you to prove that you are, leading everyone opposing your plans to point to the fact that you cannot provide any proof that you did anything. This will only age badly over time. Nobody can see any capacity of proof and people already think everyone in government is just constantly bullshitting them. This will just be another piece of evidence of this. And there are just soo many issues which you can have a far more obviously beneficial and measurable effect on. So many more things to invest in which you can prove you made a real impact on which people appreciate explicitly in their own life and can point to you as the cause of.

Germany tried to move to solar and wind power but because of the irregularity of it all caused more emissions than previous because they had to urgently turn on their coal fired power plants to fix the irregularities. Interestingly if you want to make a good argument for the best policies to reduce emissions, the best statistical evidence shows that the drastically poor make the worst environmental impact through their emissions. And you can probably easily estimate this per person under a certain poverty line. So you could probably prove that humanitarian aid to third world countries has a drastic effect on climate change by reducing emissions due to their becoming more wealthy and more able to be conscious about climate matters. You could show the number of people you pull out of poverty from your programes and then multiply this by the average difference in emissions between the poverty levels these people were at and the new financially sustainable levels these people now fall into. And this data would be so readily available it would be virtually un opposable. Not to mention the moral high ground you would have in talking about the many people you helped out of abject poverty! A far superior plan than pissing off your elite members of societies by increasing traffic by building bus lanes and bike lanes around the city which many voters don't want to be forced to use.

Poor people are very resource inefficient, they burn wood and coal, they can't pay attention to the environment because they're constantly dying and have no privilege to focus on all broader issues. So the most effective thing we can do to help the world evironmentally is to help poor people become able to focus on more environmentally efficient and effective and healthy things to do. You can literally prove this by looking at every country and the number of abject poor people in that country and the amount of emissions per poor people there are in that country. Building roads and bike trails and all this crap is an absolute joke.

You want a justification to spend money on helping the poor overseas. How about expecting an auditable trail of where every product that comes into this country is from. And if you cannot provide the information which is traceable to our government on where you are making your products (i.e not through slave labour), then you get taxed through the roof to pay for our humanitarian aid overseas. Easy as. You would win every argument and you could blame all who oppose you on the greedy rich and evil selfish members of society while simultaneously proving that you are making the biggest difference to climate change in the world as well as helping the poorest of the world. And you could say that every person who agrees there should be minimum wage in NZ because of human rights is a liar if they don't support your plan. Because your plan is literally about human rights and a fair wage for the people who make the products which we buy and sell in NZ every single day.

Anyone who doesn't agree with this policy is an absolute fake and a liar and a head in the sand selfish salesman just trying to get social credit and money for their own little communities. They don't care about humanity or the climate. They only care about their image.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

## What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Frankly if the different size bins affect your rates payments or waste payments differently, then so be it. But making everyone pay according to their rates and size of property, when a large property could be owned by one person is obviously dumb as heck. So if it factors in the size of the bin the household chooses, then it seems reasonable. Otherwise I don't know enough about how rates are calculated to assess whether my rates factoring in waste management for my area are going to affect me badly or affect those who don't use much or bad rubbish... I don't like averaging stuff as it lets the biggest abusers off the hook and punishes the good people who don't abuse systems. If you average the cost of things people in a community pay in general, you are not being equitable. And rates for certain areas affecting waste management prices sounds something like a dumb averaging issue to me... But like I said, I just don't know enough about it.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Because climate actions to fight climate change are a waste of money and have nothing to do with any measurable science which prove your actions make any difference. Only humanitarian aid to reducing the worlds poor will actually affect climate change significantly. The poor make the greatest amounts of pollution. Read my comments about this in the first question about climate action.

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: \$58 per year, or even more, is an absolute bargain for what we stand to gain in return.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Standardisation and options of bin sizes, in a rates funded system is both better for the climate and more fair and equitable for Aotearoa.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: This is a great start to encouraging our communities Maori identity and fostering ecological growth.



# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** we are supporters of "user pay" when it comes to Roading, ie: alot more toll roads / motorways. we do not support fuel tax for fuel we put in lawn mower, weed eater, etc .. as rate payer's we totally support the charge to be in the rates, to go towards free public transport, and a cycleway over the harbour (oh hang on, that was cancelled???)

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023

• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** its how it used to be ... and we also support 1 x trip the the transfer station per year instead of the ugly horrible putting rubbush on the footpath

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why: because they all make sense :)

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

yes, local make decisions over local .... like the bob harvey days when we lived out in Laingholm

## Important privacy information



# 5978



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I would rather spend a small amount to support the climate than receive a small tax break.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



Tell us why: Covid has come at a huge cost to the economy. It makes sense to cut back on spending in some areas.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: This is a sensible approach

## 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** we need consistency, current system (remembering to purchase bin tags) is frustrating. We need recycling bins to be emptied weekly not fortnightly.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We need to push for free public transport and increase services.

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Because the clock is ticking and we are on borrowed time already. All efforts must be made to systematically address the looming climate chaos

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



## What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed?

Community well-being initiatives

Marine protection initiatives

Water resilience initiatives

**Environmental protection initiatives** 

Advocating for a bespoke public transport service

Tell us why:

## Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

## Henderson-Massey Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Howick Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Kaipātiki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Manurewa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

## Tell us why:

## Ōrākei Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Community resilience

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

**Environmental initiatives (other)** 

Arts initiatives

Tell us why:

## Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Puketāpapa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Waitākere Ranges Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

# Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 6026



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** Under the proposed rates system our household yearly spend on general waste would increase from \$42.50 to \$124.

That's an increase of \$81.50. That would almost triple our spend on waste disposal. There would no longer be any incentive to reduce waste.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 6093



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The thoughts are good but laying yet another rate onto the ratepayers of Auckland is milking the cash cow. My pension hasn't gone up \$58 so don't know where this extra is coming from.We must have more sustainable vehicles to move the population of Auckland around, wer are so diverse and spread out, time for the so-called Super-city theory to be abandoned.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I would support this suggestion only if it was actually implemented, particularly the cost reductions. The City needs to be able to live within its budget and not keep squeezing more money out of ratepayers for frivolous spending. The council should live within its budget, just not simply increase rates from a dry well.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** I'd agree if prioritisation meant money was spent where it is actually needed, not re-surfacing street in wealthy suburbs etc unnecessarily. So much money is wasted by the council. Time they looked seriously at their income source, we are not a cash cow anymore, no jobs because of covid and for those thaat are lucky enough to retain a job (outside the old boys club) there has been no increase in wages for at least the last two years.

## 4. Standardising waste management

## **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

## What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

**Tell us why:** Not sure I have faith in any recycling system considering somuch recycling either can't be done or simply isn't being done properly. Why should someone who lives in South Auckland (poor) not have the same service as the fat cats?

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** The removal of trees from building sites, Maunga, parks etc. in Auckland must stop and a tree protection order re-instated.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We are dying out here with the waste of money your council demands, We are not your cash cow!

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Important as we need to do more about climate change.

One suggestion I would make is to use some of this budget to have campaigns through print, social media, radio, TV etc encouraging Aucklanders to walk, cycle, scooter, skateboard etc, if they are within say a 1/2 kilometer radius of their destination (especially trips to the diary, school or park) instead of using petrol fueled cars. This campaign would be similar to such campaigns as our Water restrictions one we had during Auckland's recent drought.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: I agree as long as our parks and libraries are well maintained

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: I don't like having to buy rubbish tags and I always forget them when at the supermarket or library

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Parks and Libraries are important to me and improving public transport creating more "loop bus" services around Green Bay, Titirangi. for example it would be great to have a loop bus that connects Blockhouse Bay, Green Bay, Titirangi, Glen Eden and New Lynn as currently there is no way to get to Titirangi or Glen Eden directly from Green Bay ( as I live in Green Bay and would like to be able to visit Titirangi and Glen Eden without having to drive and worry about parking.)

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Increasing cycle ways would make it easier for people to commute without fear of getting run over

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: We already pay high amount of rates, why would we be required to pay more for rubbish tags

## **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: You've called it a climate crisis - hurry up and do something about it

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



Tell us why: You've called it a climate crisis - hurry up and do something about it

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: You've called it a climate crisis - hurry up and do something about it

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: PAYT Incentivises people to reduce their waste

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** As the Mum of two young children, I am really worried about climate change and the impact it will have on moot just my life but the lives of my children and possible grandchildren. We have a responsibility to take as much action as can be done to mitigate and slow the effects of climate change. We need to be good ancestors and not just think about what works for us in the very near future

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

**Tell us why:** While I don't actively DISAGREE with your proposal for prioritisation, e.g. legal requirements have to be met. There are no definitions of what are "essential" services, so I can't tell you if I agree with that or not.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We needed to act on climate change yesterday, let's get this happening!

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** This will prevent people in poverty resorting to nefarious means to get rid of their waste if they cannot afford to pay

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units) Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know

between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I support priorities relating to climate action, canopy protection and growth



# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** We are currently on PAYT and only put our bin out less than once a month. We also already compost. The new method means we will pay more and will make it less likely to separate our rubbish as we never fill the bin anyway and would have to pay regardless. It also leads to the temptation to fill the bin with garden waste for example to make the most of it.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
	<b>o</b> 1
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities



**Tell us why:** More trees and parks are good and needed in the areas that have few. During the lockdowns having an area with many parks and beaches made things easier compared to when were living in an area that did not have these in easy walking distance. Also generally better for environment and as we have more high density important so the kids have somewhere safe to play within walking distance

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10	l don't know
or more units)	

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Unlike other OECD countries our major GHG emissions are from agriculture, our next it transport and our power come from relatively green sources, so unlike these other countries our only wiggle room in reducing our emissions is in said agriculture and transport. we therefore need these targets- or we will see more and worse rains, droughts and fires in our little land.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Seem logical- especially with rates not changing. In these inflationary times fewer taxes/rates will be welcome.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Again seems logical and well thought through

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I handling waste is important and should come under rates funding- more education is needed and a well integrated service too.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell ve why	

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Thank you for the opportunity to feedback- great work!

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 6442



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Kia ora I am a Public Health registrar. As part of my profession, I was able to read in-depth the Ināia tonu nei Report: a low emissions future for Aotearoa. While the report leaned too heavily on EV cars, it was clear that following public consultation, the Commission emphasised the need for increased investment in infrastructure that lends people to favour walking, cycling or taking public transport over private vehicle use (Report p260). This includes providing affordable, well-connected public transport, safe and extensive cycle and walkways and urban planning with higher density communities that maintain a healthy environment (such as increased green space which is positive for both physical and mental health) (Report p262). The overall aim is to make active or public transport the easiest option and reduce the reliance on cars.

Positive health outcomes from investing in public transport and non-car infrastructure will stem from improving urban connectivity and access, more greenspace, increased uptake in physical activity (with flow-on positive health outcomes) and a total reduction of motor vehicles on the road. Inequities in access, severed connection, and road traffic injury will continue or worsen if the focus for reducing transport emissions lies too heavily with EVs, which it has been for the past year. Poor connectivity disproportionately affects low-income communities and can cause barriers to accessing employment, education, basic necessities, health services and socialization (encompassing many of the social determinants of health).

There is also the issue of green space inequity and the rife cutting down of trees in the a of climate crisis. In more socially deprived neighbourhoods it is well known that there is less safe green space for physical activity. Having less



tree cover and lush parks makes it less appealing to engage in physical activity, contributing to the differences seen in seeking physical activity between more deprived areas versus wealthier areas. About 1 in 3 adults and 1 in 10 children in Aotearoa are obese. Obesity is a risk factor for diabetes which disproportionately affects Māori, Pasifika and those with socioeconomic disadvantage. Therefore solutions that reduce non-communicable diseases have the potential for widespread positive health impacts for individuals, the healthcare system and reducing inequities in health outcomes. Furthermore, physical activity is extremely beneficial for mental health (which affects those in poverty at higher rates) and seeing more green space alone is beneficial for mental health due to its relaxing effect. In Auckland alone at least 1000 trees are being cut down on private land every week, occurring at such staggering rates due to National's changes in tree protection rules. This is obsurd given we are in a state of climate emergency and many of these trees have taken decades or centuries to grow. We must address improved protection of current trees, especially natives, as well as increased planting of trees.

This work needs to be started immediately, we live in a country of blinding inequity and the world is in a climate emergency.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I don't agree with taking the Better Off Support package, that has been allocated and needed where it's allocated. There is money in the transport sector which need to be diverted away from constant road expansion and focus on cars. Just because there are road user taxes, there is no stipulation that all of that money has to be spent to benefit cars only. With more buses, trains, cycleways and walkways there will be fewer cars on the road and less need for extra car-only roads and bridges.

I do agree with keeping the general rates increases of 3.5%. I am a homeowner and that is a contribution that can be made from those of us who have such securities.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

**Tell us why:** I think there are other ways to accrue that money rather than constantly making cuts to services. A key area would be a means-tested superannuation. Why would be dishing out money to the wealthy when they have been in excess their whole life and every other benefit is means / hardship tested. I mean excess wealth and cash assets, not just owning the one home that you live in (people are still paying mortgages at retirement).

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** This cost should be kept within the home rates. While I am 100% in favour of reducing waste, I have found this method does not work for poorer communities - being the experience of where I live. People are struggling to cover week to week costs, the most important being shelter, food, warmth. While some may find it hard to fathom, there are many people that cannot afford the \$4-6 bin tag so we have a terrible dumping issue where I live in West Auckland. This makes it extremely unhygienic for kids to play in the neighbourhood and unpleasant to even want to go up your street to exercise. Again causing inequities in physical activity and mental wellbeing.

Prior to this experience, I would have been highly in favour of PAYT, but many people are in survival mode, living day-today and are not able to keep fronting all of these extra costs. This cost should be footed within the rates for the homeowner, with the highest homeownership being Pākehā (yet another inequity), rather than pushing it onto the tenants who more often are those that have the least.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

**Tell us why:** Centres on increasing tree canopy, enticing physical activity by improving green space, paths, parks. Promoting Māori identity <3 More could be done, but good start.

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Be more radical, stop pandering to the noisy wealthy or uninformed who want to centre cars. What are the true goals? Address climate emergency, improve living and health equity, create a better future and stop delaying.

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 6448



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I would like to see funding for incentives/opportunities to move people away from the use of their cars and onto public transport (which has improved greatly in recent years).

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10I don't knowor more units)Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsI don't knowStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesSupport

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 6481



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** \$1.12 per week is a small sum for important ameliorations. But I would also support any move to exempt the lowest income houses from paying this. They already have higher burdens to bear - e.g. they often have to travel further. The burden should be on ratepayers with higher incomes (I include myself here).

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities



**Tell us why:** Far too little is is being done to make Whau easier to move around by any means other than a car. Arterial roads are often dominated by congestion or speeding traffic, and in some places its hard or dangerous for pedestrians to find places to cross the road. Under social distancing advice, it's become clear how narrow many footpaths are. For pedestrians to pass at even distance, one often has to step out onto a busy road or wait in a driveway until the other has passed. Main roads, especially, feel even more dangerous for cycling than they were a decade ago. There are one or two dedicated cycleways, but they are nothing close to what is needed to make this area genuinely accessible to bikes or accessibility scooters. Any proposed changes seem to disappear into endless consultations or some other form of bureaucratic oblivion.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 6491



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Essential to reduce global warming.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



Tell us why: Proactive.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Support prioritising rationale.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** We've reduced our rubbish to a 1 x per month collection for both recycling & general. Would appreciate \$\$ reward ie cheaper rate. Unclear about food scraps etc as we compost/worm farm/feed birds our organic material. Plastic packaging from supermarkets is worst offender.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** I'm VERY concerned about significant and speedy loss of mature tree cover on private property throughout the rohe eg Canal Rd. Currently on Gilfillan St Blockhouse Bay developers are lawfully cutting down trees on site to build either apartments, or very large 4/5 bedroomed houses with insufficient parking so tenants/residents park on trees or on berm slowly killing mature pohutakawas. Result is a concretising a previously green mature trees suburb. I'm happy to supply photos to illustrate. Council cannot plant quickly enough to offset the loss of the private tree canopy. Please amend your local planning laws urgently.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

My comment above on the rapid loss of tree cover is stro gly shared by those who also volunteer to plant, weed & maintain trees throughout my rohe (Oakley Creek, Matuku Li k, Ark in the Park), also my cycli g & book groups! We believe both public & private trees enhance the health & wellbeing of the whole community but developers are not required by council planning to consider this element.

## Important privacy information



# 6497



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Other

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** By doing this a flat rate for Waste collection people will tend to throw all rubbish every week as they are anyway paying for it.

On the other hand where is the incentive for those who are very cautious about our planet and either recycle the refuse in their garden/ compositing, hence do not put their bins out weekly. We put our bins out once in 3 weeks. Where is the incentive for the environment friendly people?

I feel it should be now region wide "Pay as you Go" policy by the council, if they want to reduce the rubbish and go green. Make people aware that indirectly they are helping the planet.

Council has sufficient money for their roads, and other requirements and doesn't have to go to this extreme of going against nature.

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 6561



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I have lived in Auckland my whole life, and now have three children (7, 10 and 12 years old). Climate change is the issue that will most impact on them and their lives. Please, please take whatever action is in your power to give them a future.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 6569



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

## What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** It's time to change what Auckland Council invests in. Stop investing in infrastructure and activities that drive global warming and use that money for these ' targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation'. Spending more money isn't the answer - Council must repurpose current budget first.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

**Tell us why:** The service prioritisation document is gobbeldy gook. It's a hopeless jumble of jargon that is an insult to the concept of plain English. If it's so hard to describe what is proposed, the policy is doomed to failure.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** Wahu LB - The whole of Avondale, not just Rosebank needs park improvements. Brownfield housing developments mean that children in the whole suburb don't have access to open space. In my street, a section that had two dwellings on it, now has 18 dwellings and the space for cars is much greater than the space for children to be outside to exercise and play.

# 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I support the Tupuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023

# Important privacy information



# 6599



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 6601



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to do much more to reduce emissions than limited efforts so far. Despite Auckland Low Carbon 2014 Plan, the budget was not there to support some aspects of the plan, and emissions have not reduced. But we must also involve Enviromark more, especially to monitor heaviest emitters like NZ Steel.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Especially second point on capital spending to upgrade posh waterfront areas for 'showoff' events like yacht racing for the rich and famous. But we shouldn't reduce services like libraries which benefit all, especially those who lack such support at home.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: As above - my comment below ?2

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** This encourages individual responsibility for reducing waste but other services for all - such as public deposit places for ubiquitous plastic wrappings of most supermarket products [as for clothing deposit containers] are needed. Only a few supermarkets have these.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	I don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to reduce dependency on cars and make it easier for people to use public transport. We also need to protect our urban canopy, rather than removing established trees and replacing them with saplings that will take years to be as effective in removing carbon and providing animal sanctuary.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** We need to act now as quickly as possible to create prepare the city for climate change and meet its goal of reducing emissions. This may mean borrowing more (when interest rates are low) and possibly increasing taxes more than the 3.5%

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** Aiming to do/ as much as possible and partnering with others. Developers should be responsible for providing infrastructure, e.g. expanding roads, building cycleways to the development, ensuring adequate locations for bus stops---at their own cost as part of their responsible management. Developers should not be making huge profits at the expense of taxpayers to accommodate housing intensification. Rainwater collection should be mandatory for every new build to decrease runoff into storm water, and reduce pressure on water reserves in the summer. Plugs for EVs should also be planned and solar panelling a part of community builds (e.g. the Avondale Community Centre). Increased bus routes, possibly with small vans and provide secure park and ride near train stations. (Encourage the government to provide Free public transportation.)

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** PAYT can be abused, resulting in illegal dumping and theft of tags. Move to the choice of bin sizes with flat yearly fee.

### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10	l don't know
or more units)	
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with	l don't know

between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties



I don't know

# Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

### Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Other

**Tell us why:** We should have a pool and have been promised on for years. The Henderson and Mt Albert pools are overwhelmed. Avondale has a growing population and needs more services for young people. A sports facility with pool and recreation centre located near the community centre would be a further draw card to bring people into Avondale town and would take advantage of bus and train transport.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Meet our climate change commitments by encouraging green space with infill housing that would allow for community gardens, or roof top gardens for apartments and multi story units. Encourage building to be energy efficient and include solar paneling and EV plug access.

# Important privacy information

Have your say # 6649



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: We need to be pro active in tackling the coming climate crisis.

Please proceed with these initiatives at pace.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 6665



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



**Tell us why:** What assets will be sold? If these are revenue producing assets then that will add more budget pressure in future so what is the plan then? Is this just kicking the can down the road?

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: It doesn't offer much information. What are the actual services that fall into each category?

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** If people are producing more waste than others then they should pay for it. There should be opportunities to save waste like soft plastic recycling and communal compost dropoff stations.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	

## 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: climate change is on the top of my worries and we need to do as much as possible.

we all notice these changes already in our daily lives let alone watching the horrors on the news all over the world and need action straight away. Public transport needs to be free and more cycle lanes, more cycle hubs to support cyclists, more encouragement/support to get solar panels. work from home. more education on what to do, reduce eating meat.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services



- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

Tell us why: I would like to see more focus on cycle lanes.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: All are essential actions to combat climate change, strong measures are urgently needed by 2030

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: Too vague & complicated

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Paying for bin tags is a hassle & the tags are environmentally unfriendly

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 6842



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 6941



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): EthNix Links and Advocacy Networks

Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Benefit to all communities. Best use of public transport to control emissions. Encourages active networks, ie. walking and cycling. Need for tree regeneration in appropriate areas

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other

**Tell us why:** Agree in principal, however every attempt for a shortfall reduction must be carried out with more prudence, reduce operational spending, asset recyling, and no new high risk capital investment. Agree the effects of Covid will impact on the future growth and development of Auckland as we have a huge economic change, however there are surpluses that need to be accessed, deferring high risk capital projects is practical and financial prudence with cost reduction for maximum efficiency is urgently required. The effects of the Covid has also befallen the Ratepayers of Auckland, small business and individuals. Considerations must be given to all aspects versus the impact of any future infrastructure growth. Government funds allocation needed to keep Auckland Council financial rating for economic benefits.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** Community can lead community development with collaboration We can do better by being creative and doing things differently by design We must maximize value outputs for all residents and ratepayers (equity)

## 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** Minimization of house waste for 20% emissions reduction is extremely important so support the three bin policy. This will be equitable to all, plus feeding birds and throwing food scraps to animals will cease as people currently are already dumping. Households vary so there will be some resistance to this plan. Smaller families versus larger ones and single dwellings, older individuals may not be creating large amounts of rubbish for recycle or food scraps.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know	
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know	
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know	
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part	l don't know	

of a property



# 5. Local Boards

## Whau Local Board

# Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** More could have been done in Whau over the last three years, however funding has been an issue and Covid has had an impact. More effort needed for ethnic communities development especially learning about Mana Whenua. The Whau Ethnic Collective be resourced to ensure safety, be included in decision making to enhance belonging and ownership in the Whau. Whau Ethnic Collective are seeking a space which can be a safe space to meet for their well-being, especially the elderly who are isolated. Continue with cultures and traditions reflecting value, food and fashion to the changing demography.

# 6. What is important to you?

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

As a ratepayer and a resident of the area for over three decades, local board decisions must provide equity, enhance community wellbeing. Local board must reflect the resident of Whau to ensure all people are well represented. We encourage diverse participants to stand for local government elections to be voted without discrimination.

# Important privacy information



# 6969



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Save the planet now

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7032



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7055



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I am father to two children (5 and 6 years old). We have kicked the can down the road for long enough. We must pay more now to prevent colossal costs down the road. Let's be ambitious and actually genuinely attempt to meet our climate commitments as a city. Both my children (and myself and my partner) cycle and I want them to be safe as they range further on their bikes. The existing cycle ways are an amazing facility and great infrastructure that I love using and expanding/improving and maintaining these will encourage more and more people to cycle. This in turn will improve environmental health and the health of our citizens.

We try to avoid using the car as much as possible. We can afford public transport but it must be made equitable and accessible to all through affordable fares and regular services. De-carbonising the bus fleet as quickly as possible is important to meet our city's climate commitments and to making commuting on foot and bike more enjoyable and therefore popular.

The loss of tree canopy in Tamaki Makaurau is alarming and needs to be halted then rapidly reversed. At the moment, we seem to be in a space where development trumps trees and the canopy is being destroyed. We need development that is sympathetic to the trees we need to be a healthy city. On top of tree protection, we need to be planting and rehabilitating our urban ngahere - particularly in the neglected lower socio-economic areas of the the city.

The council has declared a climate emergency. Words are not enough. I urge you to walk the walk, do the mahi and protect the future. It is your duty.



# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I'm in support of keeping the rates rise but am hesitant about supporting asset sales as in my view this leaves the city and communities within it poorer in the longterm. For example, building a new community centre in Avondale is great, but losing the site of the existing centre and its community potential to private interests is a major longterm loss to a heavily densifying community.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

#### Tell us why:

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cannot afford more charges at the moment with high inflation. May be later

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cannot afford more charges at the moment with high inflation. May be later

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cannot afford more charges at the moment with high inflation. May be later

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cannot afford more charges at the moment with high inflation. May be later

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cannot afford more charges at the moment with high inflation. May be later

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cannot afford more charges at the moment with high inflation. May be later

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** No not at all. Why do we as home owners have to pay the cost in terms of higher rates? Council should charge the polluters like the big factories that are making lots of money and polluting the environment?

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Because as a rate payer I

will be the one who ends up paying for this proposal...which is not fair to those who are not contributing to it.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To help address the climate emergency

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not a good time for this rate increase

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not a good time for this rate increase

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not a good time for this rate increase

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not a good time for this rate increase

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Not a good time for this rate increase

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** Health is the main emergency at the moment and restoration of faith in the system that keeps increasing the costs.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We are just managing with the price increase of food, utilities, rent and other bills

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We have only one income earner, its challenging times

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I am helping out my children

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: Constructing a bike trail in the midst of a already

heavily packed city centre only just adds to the

number of constructions already occurring, not to

mention with current COVID impacts and isolating

the length of time in which it would take to

complete this project would be several several

years from today. We are living in a time where

funds and services should not be wasted and used on things that are more useful for our country. If \$574M over the next 10 years is being put in for this bike track, if one tree costs an average of \$1 to plant one tree imagine how many trees we can plant with that price - 574 MILLION TREES which would have a much better impact than a bike track.

```
Annual budget 2022/2023
```

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units



#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

### Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 7360



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7364



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7365



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7369



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I support anything that improves climate change and especially for the next generation

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We are just coping with the current household expenses, school fees and support for families in Tonga

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There are financial challenges at the moment and we have enlisted on my Aunt to assist us

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7440



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Its just not a good time financially for this to be going ahead. But it's a good idea.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7465



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I managing to support myself here in NZ and family in Tonga

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: I need to see some changes that can help with us moving forward.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Albert-Eden Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Aotea/Great Barrier Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? More specifically, what do you think of each priority we've listed?

Community well-being initiatives

Marine protection initiatives

Water resilience initiatives

**Environmental protection initiatives** 

Advocating for a bespoke public transport service

Tell us why:

#### Devonport-Takapuna Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Franklin Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

In addition, would you support the sale of Ardmore Hall and/or Bell Field if the proceeds of that sale were reinvested in Clevedon Showgrounds and/or public facilities in Clevedon Village (e.g. Cricket grounds and the Clevedon Hall)?

Tell us why:

#### Henderson-Massey Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Hibiscus and Bays Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Howick Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Kaipātiki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Māngere-Ōtāhuhu Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Manurewa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Maungakiekie-Tāmaki Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right?

#### Tell us why:

#### **Ōrākei Local Board**

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

### Ōtara-Papatoetoe Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Community resilience

Community resilience (other)

Environmental initiatives

**Environmental initiatives (other)** 

Arts initiatives

Tell us why:

#### Papakura Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Puketāpapa Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Rodney Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Upper Harbour Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Waiheke Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Waitākere Ranges Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Waitematā Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Its a difficult time for our household and recovering from the bout of Covid

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7601



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Its already expensive and rates going up will just not be financially a good thing for me due to covid

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Because if we fail to minimize our emissions, it will severely affect our environments and the living things which our mother earth need to replenish our human destructive impacts.

Public transport and making provisions for usage of cycling will all contribute to minimal emissions which will be very healthy for our geographical environments and the people.

Also, we will contribute to fulfil NZ's pledge to minimize carbon emissions to certain limits.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending

- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7656



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cost of living is too high already.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7679



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We a recovering from the financial cost of my mother's funeral

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7689



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Has to be incorporated into the General Council Rates

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7690



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Has to be incorporated into the General Council Rates

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: i support the move

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: For my children's future

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: We are recovering from our debts

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We were affected in the reduction our jobs a few years ago and it has taken time to recover and trying to maintain the cost of living for our household

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 7744



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: i support planting more and more trees

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why: To save other small Islands like Tuvalu

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I do support the proposal but with the tree canopy I'm not too sure especially when looking at today's forest compared to couple of years back, the amount of green places that has been clear for more roads with the majority of vehicles imports that something major to look at. Other than that great!

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information







*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: The proposal should already be part of the general council rate.

There has been a significant increase in the cost of living that has hit families hard, and additional cost from the council would be just add more stress to home owners whose option is to increase the rent for tenants.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We are a growing family with growing needs. The council Climate Energy proposal should be part of the general council rates not separate on its own.

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

# 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

# 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 7759



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There has been a reduction in our work and we are managing at the moment

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7768



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: There are more important things to spend our money on like building more houses

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Spend the money on building houses and charge the businesses that produce emissions

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7822



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Should be equal for every one

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Our pasifika people are included more than ever

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: I do not support targetted rates,

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why: I only put out my rubbish every two weeks so I support PAYT.

Rates funded systems do not encourage waste minimisation.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 7896



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal?

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

**Tell us why:** This would allow some fairness for those people who do not require regular pickups and a separate charge for inorganic collections. Its more convenient to go to the tip than wait 12 months for pickup.

The food scrap collection bin service requires more clarification around how this works.

Possible problems:

- 1: Cleaning dirty bins
- 2: Odours and flies
- 3: Storage space at homes/apartments for 3-4 bins per household

4: More bins out for collection whether full or not ( if in rates )meaning more trucks to pick up and more pollution.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

## Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 7908



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to take action now if we are to address the climate emergency, and the only way to change the course we are on is to fund action.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: Trust that the Council will be fiscally responsible with spending and budget.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** I know that the work has been done to understand how these changes will affect the community, and Pay As You Throw is not the best for large households and low income households.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10<br/>or more units)SupportStandardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsSupportStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesSupportApply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part<br/>of a propertySupport

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 8003



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Other



Tell us why: Doesn't go far enough, we should introduce an Auckland Land Value Tax if the central govt is not willing to do it

#### Prioritising operating spending 3.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: I would rather you increase revenue rather than reduce costs. As mentioned above, introduce a land value tax.

#### 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	l don't know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	l don't know
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

#### Local Boards 5.

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 - have we got it right? support all priorities

#### Tell us why:

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** Improves sustainable transport choices through investment in buses, safe cycleways and footpaths. We urgently need more protected cycleways to encourage cycling as a transport mode.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why: It makes sense given the pressures of COVID-19.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** It makes sense to prioritise as outlined given the pressures, and keep the delivery of key priorities like climate action, transport, the environment and community development as a top priority after legal obligations.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why: Looks as though the benefits outweigh the costs - economical and reduces waste to landfill.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10Supportor more units)Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsSupportStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesSupport

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



**Tell us why:** I support Climate Action initiatives and improvements to the town centre, Te Whau pathway and parks, as well as increasing our tree canopy. I would want to ensure that these are progressed with enabling more walking, cycling and public transport in mind. For example re-purposing car parking and other road space to protected cycle facilities. I would also expect to see more amenities in the town centre, including safe cycle parking, drinking fountains, shade and quiet rest areas for all to enjoy.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I am currently a PhD student at the University of Auckland working alongside Council and Kaipatiki Project on the Para Kore Northcote kaupapa. I fully support the CATR. YES PLEASE. We are in a climate emergency, and the citizens of the world, of Aotearoa want urgent action now!! We would rather pay a little now than pay a lot later for the consequences of climate inaction. This is the first step for Council walking the talk on climate action, so thank you.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023



• considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

### 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

#### Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): The Asian Network Inc. (TANI) (Community hui 1)

Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The information was collated from the community consultation hui focused on ethnic communities that took place on 24th March 2022.

Most of participants supported the ideas of the plan suggested, in particular improvement of public transport close to home that should be more frequent, affordable, and accessible for all including people with disabilities. The idea of planting trees was supported but need to stop from removing trees from the developing area. They also share some ideas and suggestions as follows:

• Participants thought in general that the plan to improve bus services within 500m is very helpful for most Aucklanders. This action aims to reduce the usage of private car and it will reduce emissions if Aucklanders move to public transport.

• In terms of cycle lane, it is very suitable to build because in Auckland, there are not many cycle lane that are built very close to the arterial roads which is unsafe for cyclists.

Safe cycleways in local communities need to be improve

• Planting the trees are a great proposal to reduce emissions, but the primary focus is to reduce vulnerability to future heat events. By planting trees in areas that currently have lowest canopy cover and therefore is the most vulnerable to heat. Planting trees should be all over Auckland especially in the new suburbs.



• In terms of improving bus services, it is very helpful for smaller buses on less busy routes and off-peak hours. And more frequent buses every 15 minutes will be great.

• Bus services also need to improve on better connection to have better covers on more places of destination and also should be accessible to wheelchairs and prams. This will encourage people to move to public transport.

• Ferries should also be cleaned regularly to attract passengers and with cheaper price.

• To improve the network of public transport, car park should also be provided like in Albany bus stop where people can have the option to park the car somewhere and ride the public transport.

• There should be an upgrade on the bus stops and train stations to have covers/shelters so people can have shelter on rainy and windy days especially on winter season.

• For disagree responders, someone said that many trees have being cut down due to new building projects. So, instead of planting more trees, it is better to enforce the law to protect the existing trees.

• One responders said that 50% puts the date are out too long. We need to make significant changes by 2025, and get 95% reduction by 2050 and the last 5% by 2050.

• Respondents said Auckland council should run cost-effective proposal and also campaigning hybrid and electric car to reduce emissions.

• Auckland council should provide more electric car charging station which will be cost-friendly and good for the environment (including more electric freight trains with Wifi as a hub).

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Most participants are agree for Auckland Council to spend a billion dollars, in order to develop a better Auckland Living style and social welfare.

Responds to the rates and borrowing levels are vary among participants, there are some people who don't mind paying the property rates and there are some who are disagree.

People who disagreed said that increase of 3.5% rates will be hard for those that are experiencing higher food costs. Especially during this pandemic which will be a big hit for low-socioeconomic status people.

Some people don't mind increasing the rates as planned.

Regarding sale or long-term lease for non-strategic asset, there are no or limited thought on it and not enough information to say the respondents agreed or not.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.



#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: Most responders agree to the idea of major development

• Major development site deliveries must be only permitted between 6.00 PM to 6.00 AM to reduce traffic.

• There should be a condition of developers to replant when finished developing, even if it has to be in planters. or on walls of high rise apartments.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** From the participants' responses, most participants agreed with the management proposals and expressed their strong interest in different size of bins and prices as they felt reducing household waste is necessary to protect the environment. However, they felt that there are a lot of improvement needed to do with the rubbish bins in particular along with the smell and hygiene. Some ideas and good insight about how to do improve it were provided as follows:

• The rubbish bin in every household is very dirty and insanitary that harming the health of the locals especially in the challenging time the Covid brought. To solve this how about we add a small charge to the service to wash, cleaning, and disinfect, I suppose we could install certain equipment or hire specialist company to do this on a regular basis, and add this extra cost into the tag fee

• Solutions for the smelly bins question: we know that around 40% of most people's bins is made up with food waste. With the introduction of the food waste collection service, households will be able to separate out their food waste from their general waste. The food waste bin will use liners and has a lockable lid, meaning it will be pest-proof and minimize smell escaping. It is also much smaller, making it easier to keep clean than the landfill bin. It will also be collected weekly. We will also be providing lots of tips and tricks about how to keep smells to a minimum.

large bins should cost significantly more , maybe make the mall bin really cheap

• Providing three different sizes of rubbish bin and also the kerbside food scraps collection service is a very good idea said one of the participants because it will reduce the smell and easier to distinguish different types of waste.

• Furthermore, gardening waste can be collected by the council that will be helpful

• Household waste / food scraps - composting be encouraged by having composting bins in premises and residents who are not willing be provided with smaller bins

• To reduce household waste, the Auckland council should have campaign in the TV or billboard at the community, and also give education at school and community halls. So, everyone can understand how to manage the waste.

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.



#### What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

• Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

· Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Most people agreed that Local board need to covers these plans. Other suggestions are as follows:

• Council needs to plant shady trees in the green areas all over Auckland.

• Running shuttle service in residential areas not served by public transport to connect up to main public transport locations and shopping areas is the priority. Because many people in the rural areas are having limited access to cars and transport. Which is a burden for them to go out.

• Regarding disabled, elderly people, they should be served by shuttle services. The shuttle services have to be smaller coaches/ vans etc at schedule times only to help with their mobility. This also should be the mobility.

• Food security in the living area should be improved.

• I have been in Auckland for many years. I like living here and like some old buildings. I hope the City Council can reserve some good landmark buildings.

- The weed on the roadside that Auckland Council to do something.
- some noise control to be improved in neighbourhood.

It might be good to include a preliminary question to ask feedback on the whole budget plan along with the questions on each subject that you prepared. Many didn't answer each question but they expressed whether they support the plan in general or not, which should be included as a kind of barometer to see a glimpse of public opinions.

## Important privacy information



## Collective feedback of Ethnic Communities on Annual Budget Plan 2022-23

### The Asian Network Incoorporated (TANI)

## on behalf of Ethnic communities and community groups leaders.

Phone: 09 815 2338

Email: infor@asiannetwork.org.nz

101 Church Street, Onehunga, Auckland

#### Introduction

The purpose of this submission is to provide the voices of a wide range of ethnic community group members and leaders on the Auckland Council's Annual Budget Plan 2022-23.

It is important to reflect the feedback of the groups on the planning process and to be heard of voices of ethnic communities.

The information was collated from the community consultation hui focused on ethnic communities that took place on 24<sup>th</sup> March 2022.

#### **Participants information**

30 people from a range of different ethnic communities and community groups across the wide Auckland region joined the community consultation hui. They included community members and leaders of the ethnic communities.

The flyer below that was design and highlighted the major subjects was used to invite people and groups in the Chinese Community.



# **Community Consultation Forum**

Auckland Council and TANI cordially invite you to attend a series of Community Consultation Forum, in order to have your voices on the Annual Budget Plan 2022-2023.

1. Community Consultation Forum for "Chinese community" When: 11 am, Wednesday 16 March 2022 Where: Zoom

2. Community Consultation Forum for "ethnic community" When: 11 am, Thursday 24 March 2022 Where: Zoom

## Highlight of discussion at this forum is:

- Major development
- Rate & tax changes
- Public transport improvement
- Waste management change and choice



These plans will, directly and indirectly, affect our living in Auckland city as they are closely interrelated to our daily life. In particular, around this time of challenge with COVID-19 uncertainty and unfavorable economic trends, we need to be smart to bring all our ideas and make the plan to be inclusive.

## You are all welcome!

## Let your voice be heard - We encourage all Aucklanders to attend!



Please register to jian.chen@asiannetwork.org.nz (A Zoom invitation will be sent to you.)





For subject experts of Auckland Council provided a full presentation and TANI encouraged the participants to take part in the discussion and share their idea by providing questions that specified each major subjects to help participants to understand what they meant to them.

#### Summary of feedback

Participants were invited to a poll after the full presentation whether they support the annual budget plan in general or not. 18 people participated in the poll. 15 out of 18 people voted for supporting the plan, whereas 3 out of 18 people didn't support the plan. It indicated that the majority ethnic participants who took part in the hui had a good understanding of Auckland Council annual budget plan 2022/2023 and supported the idea on each major subject in general. Some people had different idea on some subjects.

They provided their ideas and suggestions on each subject that are collated below under each subject.

### Climate action targeted rate

Most of participants supported the ideas of the plan suggested, in particular improvement of public transport close to home that should be more frequent, affordable, and accessible for all including people with disabilities. The idea of planting trees was supported but need to stop from removing trees from the developing area. They also share some ideas and suggestions as follows:

- Participants thought in general that the plan to improve bus services within 500m is very helpful for most Aucklanders. This action aims to reduce the usage of private car and it will reduce emissions if Aucklanders move to public transport.
- In terms of cycle lane, it is very suitable to build because in Auckland, there are not many cycle lane that are built very close to the arterial roads which is unsafe for cyclists.
- Safe cycleways in local communities need to be improve
- Planting the trees are a great proposal to reduce emissions, but the primary focus is to reduce vulnerability to future heat events. By planting trees in areas that currently have lowest canopy cover and therefore is the most vulnerable to heat. Planting trees should be all over Auckland especially in the new suburbs.
- In terms of improving bus services, it is very helpful for smaller buses on less busy routes and off-peak hours. And more frequent buses every 15 minutes will be great.
- Bus services also need to improve on better connection to have better covers on more places of destination and also should be accessible to wheelchairs and prams. This will encourage people to move to public transport.
- Ferries should also be cleaned regularly to attract passengers and with cheaper price.
- To improve the network of public transport, car park should also be provided like in Albany bus stop where people can have the option to park the car somewhere and ride the public transport.
- There should be an upgrade on the bus stops and train stations to have covers/shelters so people can have shelter on rainy and windy days especially on winter season.
- For disagree responders, someone said that many trees have being cut down due to new building projects. So, instead of planting more trees, it is better to enforce the law to protect the existing trees.



- One responders said that 50% puts the date are out too long. We need to make significant changes by 2025, and get 95% reduction by 2050 and the last 5% by 2050.
- Respondents said Auckland council should run cost-effective proposal and also campaigning hybrid and electric car to reduce emissions.
- Auckland council should provide more electric car charging station which will be costfriendly and good for the environment (including more electric freight trains with Wifi as a hub).

#### Managing on-going budget pressures

Most participants are agree for Auckland Council to spend a billion dollars, in order to develop a better Auckland Living style and social welfare.

Responds to the rates and borrowing levels are vary among participants, there are some people who don't mind paying the property rates and there are some who are disagree.

People who disagreed said that increase of 3.5% rates will be hard for those that are experiencing higher food costs. Especially during this pandemic which will be a big hit for low-socioeconomic status people.

Some people don't mind increasing the rates as planned.

Regarding sale or long-term lease for non-strategic asset, there are no or limited thought on it and not enough information to say the respondents agreed or not.

#### Prioritising operating spending

Most responders agree to the idea of major development

- Major development site deliveries must be only permitted between 6.00 PM to 6.00 AM to reduce traffic.
- There should be a condition of developers to replant when finished developing, even if it has to be in planters. or on walls of high rise apartments.

#### Standardising waste management

From the participants' responses, most participants agreed with the management proposals and expressed their strong interest in different size of bins and prices as they felt reducing household waste is necessary to protect the environment. However, they felt that there are a lot of improvement needed to do with the rubbish bins in particular along with the smell and hygiene. Some ideas and good insight about how to do improve it were provided as follows:

- The rubbish bin in every household is very dirty and insanitary that harming the health of the locals especially in the challenging time the Covid brought. To solve this how about we add a small charge to the service to wash, cleaning, and disinfect, I suppose we could install certain equipment or hire specialist company to do this on a regular basis, and add this extra cost into the tag fee
- Solutions for the smelly bins question: we know that around 40% of most people's bins is made up with food waste. With the introduction of the food waste collection service, households will be able to separate out their food waste from their general waste. The food



waste bin will use liners and has a lockable lid, meaning it will be pest-proof and minimize smell escaping. It is also much smaller, making it easier to keep clean than the landfill bin. It will also be collected weekly. We will also be providing lots of tips and tricks about how to keep smells to a minimum.

- large bins should cost significantly more , maybe make the mall bin really cheap
- Providing three different sizes of rubbish bin and also the kerbside food scraps collection service is a very good idea said one of the participants because it will reduce the smell and easier to distinguish different types of waste.
- Furthermore, gardening waste can be collected by the council that will be helpful
- Household waste / food scraps composting be encouraged by having composting bins in premises and residents who are not willing be provided with smaller bins
- To reduce household waste, the Auckland council should have campaign in the TV or billboard at the community, and also give education at school and community halls. So, everyone can understand how to manage the waste.

### Local Board priorities

Most people agreed that Local board need to covers these plans. Other suggestions are as follows:

- Council needs to plant shady trees in the green areas all over Auckland.
- Running shuttle service in residential areas not served by public transport to connect up to main public transport locations and shopping areas is the priority. Because many people in the rural areas are having limited access to cars and transport. Which is a burden for them to go out.
- Regarding disabled, elderly people, they should be served by shuttle services. The shuttle services have to be smaller coaches/ vans etc at schedule times only to help with their mobility. This also should be the mobility.
- Food security in the living area should be improved.

## What is important to you? Is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

- I have been in Auckland for many years. I like living here and like some old buildings. I hope the City Council can reserve some good landmark buildings.
- The weed on the roadside that Auckland Council to do something.
- some noise control to be improved in neighbourhood.

## How can Auckland Council improve the process of submitting feedback to Auckland Council?

It might be good to include a preliminary question to ask feedback on the whole budget plan along with the questions on each subject that you prepared. Many didn't answer each question but they expressed whether they support the plan in general or not, which should be included as a kind of barometer to see a glimpse of public opinions.





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** We should've started action on Climate impact reduction decades ago. Making better choices will make Auckland a better place!

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** I support to some degree; as long as it doesn't impact our ability to reduce our climate impact and improve accessibilit and inclusion.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

Tell us why: I support this but think what you have in "should do, needs to be in "must do".

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10<br/>or more units)SupportStandardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with<br/>between two and nine unitsSupportStandardise the opt-out rules for non-residential propertiesSupportApply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part<br/>of a propertyI don't know

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities



**Tell us why:** Avondale is in dire need of more accessible transport options, and needs to stop prioritising cars for getting all the benefits. As it grows, congestion will become an issue for everyone if we don't act now.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

We NEED to move to more micro-mobility infrastructure for the good of the nation. It will improve autonomy for many, mental health, physical health, save lives and so much more!!

## Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Have your say



## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): The Asian Network Inc. (TANI) (Community hui 2)

Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** The purpose of this submission is to provide the voices of a wide range of Chinese community group members and leaders on the Auckland Council's Annual Budget Plan 2022-23.

Most of the Chinese leaders who took part in the hui had a good understanding of Auckland Council annual budget plan 2022/2023 and supported the idea on each major subject in general. However small number of participants at the hui had different idea and suggestions were as follows. They were listed in the format of Auckland Council's online submission below.

I like to ride cycles, but not in Auckland because our local area likes the maintain road – high and low. I think cycling roads could be enough now and wish to spend more money to widen the walking routes in Auckland.

It is good to encourage more people to take the bus to reduce transport emissions. It is important to improve Auckland bus services to reduce the bus fee and waiting time for the bus at the bus stop.

I really agree to plant more trees in our city area to improve our environment. I would like to join those activities that is beneficial o the public.

I saw currently many buses on the road is almost empty. There are only 1 or 2 people. It looks quite energy waste from my perspective. Perhaps Auckland council can consider buy some mini bus and use these buses when it is not a busy time.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- · implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

**Tell us why:** Most of the Chinese leaders who took part in the hui had a good understanding of Auckland Council annual budget plan 2022/2023 and supported the idea on each major subject in general. However small number of participants at the hui had different idea and suggestions were as follows. They were listed in the format of Auckland Council's online submission below. Most of the Chinese leaders who took part in the hui had a good understanding of Auckland Council annual budget plan 2022/2023 and supported the idea on each major subject in general. However small number of participants at the hui had different idea and suggestions were as follows. They were listed in the format of Auckland Council's online submission below. Most of the Chinese leaders who took part in the hui had a good understanding of Auckland Council annual budget plan 2022/2023 and supported the idea on each major subject in general. However small number of participants at the hui had different idea and suggestions were as follows. They were listed in the format of Auckland Council's online submission below. The speaker presented the great reasons for current budget pressures. I totally understand and agree with the council's plan. Hope City Council creates more opportunities to get income from the business plan and development projects, otherwise inevitable to increase more rates & other fees from residents.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

**Tell us why:** We need to get the development, but still need to save money. Just like the public toilets, some place has gotten the old and new toilets buildings together. I mean if the old one can be converted into a new one, just do that which would be able to save some money.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### **Question A**

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system) **Tell us why:** The presentation seams not very clear to explain about waste services: How to choose three bin sizes. How to use the food scraps box which might be with unpleased smell in the community, especially in summertime. How to deal with this issue well... Hope to get more details about that during next event. Face to face event could be better than the online session.

Everyone is a little confused about the new waste disposal scheme, especially for the tenants or rental housing, garbage may sometimes be more and sometimes less, so the choice of the size of the garbage can often change. In addition, some single households do not eat at home, so they may not have kitchen waste. It is important to consider the different situation for household for their garbage charges. Hope the plan includes more details or instructions to our residents in order to be more fair and reasonable charges for each person.

• kerbside food scraps collection services: The cost of this service is \$71 some new houses have equipped with the food scraps disposal. They work quite well and smash the food scraps and lead them into the drainage system. Why we still need the food scraps collections? Furthermore, the food scraps in a small bin on the road side, will lead to bad smell during the summer and cause environmental issues.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).

• Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).

· Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

I have been in Auckland for many years. I like living here and like some old buildings. I hope the City Council can reserve some good landmark buildings. the weed on the roadside that Auckland Council to do something. some noise control to be improved in neighbourhood.

## Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any



interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.



## Collective feedback of Chinese Community on Annual Budget Plan 2022-23

### The Asian Network Incoorporated (TANI)

### on behalf of Chinese community groups and leaders.

Phone: 09 815 2338

Email: <u>infor@asiannetwork.org.nz</u>

101 Church Street, Onehunga, Auckland

#### Introduction

The purpose of this submission is to provide the voices of a wide range of Chinese community group members and leaders on the Auckland Council's Annual Budget Plan 2022-23.

It is important to reflect the feedback of the groups on the planning process and to be heard of voices of Chinese community.

The purpose of this submission is to provide the voices of a wide range of Chinese community group members and leaders on the Auckland Council's Annual Budget Plan 2022-23.

#### **Participants information**

51 people from a range of different Chinese community groups across the wide Auckland region joined the community consultation hui. They included members and leaders of Chinese community groups.

The community groups who took part in the hui should be acknowledged:

- Onehunga Chinese Association
- Epsom Chinese Association
- Panmure Chinese Association
- Central Chinese Association
- Massey Chinese Community group
- New Lynn Chinese Association
- North Shore Chinese Association
- Browns Bay Chinese Association
- Chinese Taichi group
- Cancer Support group
- Chinese Environmental group
- Blockhouse Bay Chinese Association
- And all community members



The flyer below that was design and highlighted the major subjects was used to invite people and groups in the Chinese Community.



# **Community Consultation Forum**

Auckland Council and TANI cordially invite you to attend a series of Community Consultation Forum, in order to have your voices on the Annual Budget Plan 2022-2023.

1. Community Consultation Forum for "Chinese community" When: 11 am, Wednesday 16 March 2022 Where: Zoom

2. Community Consultation Forum for "ethnic community" When: 11 am, Thursday 24 March 2022 Where: Zoom

## Highlight of discussion at this forum is:

- Major development
- Rate & tax changes
- Public transport improvement
- Waste management change and choice



These plans will, directly and indirectly, affect our living in Auckland city as they are closely interrelated to our daily life. In particular, around this time of challenge with COVID-19 uncertainty and unfavorable economic trends, we need to be smart to bring all our ideas and make the plan to be inclusive.

## You are all welcome!

## Let your voice be heard - We encourage all Aucklanders to attend!



Please register to jian.chen@asiannetwork.org.nz (A Zoom invitation will be sent to you.)





A Senior Advisor of Auckland Council provided a full presentation in Chinese language and TANI encouraged the participants to take part in the feedback and sharing their idea by prompting questions.

#### Summary of feedback

Most of the Chinese leaders who took part in the hui had a good understanding of Auckland Council annual budget plan 2022/2023 and supported the idea on each major subject in general.

One of Chinese community group leader reflected the atmosphere of the hui by saying, "I feel satisfied with this budget plan from my perspective. This plan has taken the factor of the covid situation, higher inflation, and environment sustainability into account. I don't have any questions."

However small number of participants at the hui had different idea and suggestions were as follows. They were listed in the format of Auckland Council's online submission below.

Question 1 - Climate action targeted rate

- I like to ride cycles, but not in Auckland because our local area likes the maintain road high and low. I think cycling roads could be enough now and wish to spend more money to widen the walking routes in Auckland.
- It is good to encourage more people to take the bus to reduce transport emissions. It is important to improve Auckland bus services to reduce the bus fee and waiting time for the bus at the bus stop.
- I really agree to plant more trees in our city area to improve our environment. I would like to join those activities that is beneficial o the public.
- I saw currently many buses on the road is almost empty. There are only 1 or 2 people. It looks quite energy waste from my perspective. Perhaps Auckland council can consider buy some mini bus and use these buses when it is not a busy time.

Question 2 - Managing on-going budget pressures

- The speaker presented the great reasons for current budget pressures. I totally understand and agree with the council's plan. Hope City Council creates more opportunities to get income from the business plan and development projects, otherwise inevitable to increase more rates & other fees from residents.
- I think that our city's development should slow down this stage which can cut costs
- We worried about petrol fees increasing before. However, the government made the quick policy to control this increase which reduced our residents' stress. I trust the City council also can make the right budget to be beneficial for our life.

Question 3 - Prioritising operating spending

• We need to get the development, but still need to save money. Just like the public toilets, some place has gotten the old and new toilets buildings together. I mean if the old one can be converted into a new one, just do that which would be able to save some money.

Question 4 - Standardising waste management



- The presentation seams not very clear to explain about waste services: How to choose three bin sizes. How to use the food scraps box which might be with unpleased smell in the community, especially in summertime. How to deal with this issue well... Hope to get more details about that during next event. Face to face event could be better than the online session.
- Everyone is a little confused about the new waste disposal scheme, especially for the tenants or rental housing, garbage may sometimes be more and sometimes less, so the choice of the size of the garbage can often change. In addition, some single households do not eat at home, so they may not have kitchen waste. It is important to consider the different situation for household for their garbage charges. Hope the plan includes more details or instructions to our residents in order to be more fair and reasonable charges for each person.
- kerbside food scraps collection services: The cost of this service is \$71 some new houses have equipped with the food scraps disposal. They work quite well and smash the food scraps and lead them into the drainage system. Why we still need the food scraps collections? Furthermore, the food scraps in a small bin on the road side, will lead to bad smell during the summer and cause environmental issues.

Question 5 - Local board priorities

Question 6 - What is important to you?

Is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

- I have been in Auckland for many years. I like living here and like some old buildings. I hope the City Council can reserve some good landmark buildings.
- the weed on the roadside that Auckland Council to do something.
- some noise control to be improved in neighbourhood.

How can we improve the process of submitting feedback to Auckland Council?

It might be good to include a preliminary question to ask feedback on the whole budget plan along with the questions on each subject that you prepared. Many didn't answer each question but they expressed whether they support the plan in general or not, which should be included as a kind of barometer to see a glimpse of public opinions.



# 8620



## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

Tell us why: Because I don't know if it will help enough

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?



## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.





## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I believe that climate change does not have enough action being taken around the world by nations and we must start a change or else humanity will drive itself to extinction

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system?

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

· Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).



- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.



# 8625



## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? I don't know

**Tell us why:** I support the proposal itself and the way it will help New Zealand achieve its goals however the rate increases could be detrimental to families already in the middle of a cost of living crisis.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change?

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

**Tell us why:** This proposal allows everyone to have access to the same services which means people are more likely to use the resources and it doesn't leave an excuse not to. Having more bin sizes available, which initially maybe be harder to phase in, also means that people are able to choose based on their household.

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

## 6. What is important to you?

Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Have your say # 8665



## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

**Tell us why:** I generally support but would like to see not only low-emission ferries but also ferries with a low wake ie. on foils

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support

Tell us why:

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	l don't know

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

**Tell us why:** I would like to see investigation of Whau River access and use and even the instigation of the Whau Waitemata to Manukau Harbour's connection plan.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Clean waterways and access to waterways

Reduce and work to eliminate dependence of flushing our human waste down toilets and out to the sea

Subsidise dry toilet systems for residential and business

Subsidise bicycles for the needy

Free public transport

## Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

Have your say # 8696



## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Rosebank Business Association

Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

**Tell us why:** We are opposed, in principle, to the Council's proposal to introduce a substantial new targeted rate of 2.3% through an Annual Plan. This was not signalled through the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 and represents an unfair and destabilising approach to rates, especially at a time when businesses are facing tremendous uncertainty from the ongoing pandemic, world events, and inflation.

While we agree with the need to take climate action, in our view this must involve decisions by the Council to reduce, stop or reprioritise services, not simply add more.

If further funding is absolutely necessary, we ask that funding mechanisms be employed that incentivise climate action and more appropriately spread the cost (eg such as a UAGC).

Turning to the proposal for the Climate Action Targeted Rate (CATR), we do not accept that a business differential (even at 25.8%) should be applied to this targeted rate if introduced. We accept that business should contribute its share for climate action, but not through a differentiated targeted rate.

Further, with an existing and increasing number of sustainably designed and constructed commercial buildings, we disagree there is always a relationship between high property values and higher generation of greenhouse emissions. As a consequence, and also as a means of incentivising the action in Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Plan to accelerate the uptake and sustainable design and construction of buildings, we ask that Council provide for remissions of any climate action funding requirements for these kinds of buildings. Finally, as the majority of businesses across the



Auckland Region are small to medium-sized, we welcome initiatives that support business to take climate action. Business education concerning sustainability and climate action is particularly important to raise awareness and drive change.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures?

**Tell us why:** We have serious concerns expressed from our local business members that COVID-19 is having a significant ongoing impact on their businesses. The impacts include direct financial impacts on businesses (especially hospitality businesses), supply chain and market disruption as well as effects on production and human resourcing. More particularly, COVID-19 has had major impacts on exporters to China and those relying on international visitors and students. For hospitality and events organisers, the ongoing disruptions have been devastating. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down. Businesses tied to travel, tourism, and hospitality have experienced losses that will not be recoverable. We still do not know how long this will continue. We have lost many businesses already, with the outlook for some businesses now dire. We have also noticed a decline in the security of our town centres, likely due in part to COVID-19 measures by the central government to address homelessness and reallocate police resources.

With very little direction from the government, the vast majority of landlords have decided to share in some of their tenant's financial stress with proportional rent relief throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures.

While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences). We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic. Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of \$31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.

We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs, and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

- · keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg. not introduce the CATR); and
- make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then
- · prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments;
- sell more surplus property; and
- make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value, or can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest

criteria asking whether an activity needs to be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right.

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Other

**Tell us why:** We have serious concerns expressed from our local business members that COVID-19 is having a significant ongoing impact on their businesses. The impacts include direct financial impacts on businesses (especially hospitality businesses), supply chain and market disruption as well as effects on production and human resourcing. More particularly, COVID-19 has had major impacts on exporters to China and those relying on international visitors and students. For hospitality and events organisers, the ongoing disruptions have been devastating. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down. Businesses tied to travel, tourism, and hospitality have experienced losses that will not be recoverable. We still do not know how long this will continue. We have lost many businesses already, with the outlook for some businesses now dire. We have also noticed a decline in the security of our town centres, likely due in part to COVID-19 measures by the central government to address homelessness and reallocate police resources.

With very little direction from the government, the vast majority of landlords have decided to share in some of their tenant's financial stress with proportional rent relief throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures.

While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences). We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic. Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of \$31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.

We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs, and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

- keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg. not introduce the CATR); and
- make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then
- prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments;
- sell more surplus property; and
- make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value, or can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest criteria asking whether an activity needs to be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.



We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

#### What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Other

**Tell us why:** We share concerns about the high rates of waste generated per person in Auckland and agree that the Council can play a role in influencing waste reduction. We also support the goals of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, including minimising kerbside household waste.

We support the proposal to allow certain business properties to opt-out of the Council's waste management services and charges from 1 July 2023, because the council's kerbside service is more suitable for domestic waste than dealing with the wide range and nature of business waste. We agree that charging for a service that the council cannot deliver is inequitable and that the case for maximising the council's ability to influence waste behaviour is also irrelevant when the service required cannot be delivered by the council, such as to certain business properties.

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

#### Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right?

**Tell us why:** As we enter another very uncertain year, especially for small and medium-sized businesses, we ask the Council to carefully consider their needs in its approach to the Annual Budget 2022/2023 and provide more focus on growing the economy and supporting local businesses.

## 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- · Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

(5) Rating Policy Proposals

Our overall feedback is that what businesses need most from Council is a fair, transparent, and stable approach to rates. As we said above, we are concerned about the un-signalled and compounding effect on businesses of increases in rates and charges.

#### **Business differential**

While we appreciate that the business differential is being reduced to 25.8% by 2037/2038, fundamentally, we do not accept that a business differential should be applied to rates, especially for

reasons that "businesses are better able to manage additional costs than residential properties" or because "businesses can claim back GST and expense rates against tax." These reasons do not justify the business differential, particularly for small businesses that make up most businesses in Auckland.

#### Watercare's increase in charges

To support an increase in capital investment, Watercare's board of directors resolved to increase water and wastewater tariffs by 7 percent. This follows an increase of 7% for the current financial year. We are concerned about these substantial increases in water charges from Watercare and question whether they are a priority or reasonable.

#### (6) Regional Fuel Tax underspend

Our preference is to introduce initiatives that both manage demand and raise funding equitably as soon as possible, balanced with investment into affordable and more frequent public transport in order to effect sustainable behavioural change. We understand, for example, that technical work on the 'Congestion Question' project that has been examining the potential to apply congestion charging in Auckland is progressing.

In the interim, while we have previously supported a regional fuel tax, we are very concerned about the ongoing underspend of the Regional Fuel Tax and in light of recent world events, we ask that the Council advocate to the central government for the Regional Fuel Tax to be suspended.

## Important privacy information

The personal information that you provide in this form will be held and protected by Auckland Council in accordance with our privacy policy (available at **aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/privacy** and at our libraries and service centres) and with the Privacy Act 1993. The privacy policy explains how we can use and share your personal information in relation to any interaction you have with the council, and how you can access and correct that information. You should familiarise yourself with this policy before submitting this form.

#8696



## SUBMISSION TO AUCKLAND COUNCIL ANNUAL BUDGET 2022/2023

23rd March 2022

Rosebank Business Association Kim Watts – Executive Engagement Manager Phone 09 820 0551 or 021 639 509 Email: <u>kim@rosebankbusiness.co.nz</u>



www.rosebankbusiness.co.nz

18 Jomac Place, Rosebank, Auckland 1026 PO Box 151190, New Lynn, 0640, Auckland, Phone: 820 0551



885



23<sup>rd</sup> March 2022

Auckland Council, Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/2023, Freepost 190158, Private Bag 92300, Victoria Street West Auckland 1142

akhaveyoursay@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz

## Submission to Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/2023

#### Introduction

The Rosebank Business Association (RBA) representing the Rosebank Business Improvement District (BID) welcomes the opportunity to make this Submission to Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022 - 2023.

The RBA is a significant industrial Business Improvement District (BID), representing over 600 commercial property owners and businesses within the West Auckland area. Collectively they employ over 9,000 Auckland residents and ratepayers.

The organisation is located within the Whau Local Board area and is one of the leading commercial and industrial areas within Auckland.

The RBA represents and works with a wide range of businesses comprising of a mix of sole traders, Small Medium Enterprises (SME), through to multi-national organisations representing sectors such as ICT, business services, specialist manufacturing, light-medium warehousing, logistics, retail, and hospitality. In addition, we have key educational institutions bordering our boundary, including Rosebank School, Avondale Intermediate, and Avondale College.

There are currently 50 BIDs in Auckland, representing over 25,000 businesses with a combined capital value estimated at \$24 billion. Through the BID programme, Auckland's BIDs work with the Auckland Council to improve the local business environment and grow the regional economy.

This Submission and our feedback will cover:

- (1) Ongoing concerns regarding the impact of COVID-19
- (2) Climate Action Targeted Rate
- (3) Budget Pressures and Service Prioritisation
- (4) Waste Service Standardisation
- (5) Rating Policy Proposals
- (6) Regional Fuel Tax underspend
- (7) Vacant Land
- (8) Local Board Feedback

#### (1) Ongoing concerns regarding the impact of the COVID-19

We have serious concerns expressed from our local business members that COVID-19 is having a significant ongoing impact on their businesses.



The impacts include direct financial impacts on businesses (especially hospitality businesses), supply chain and market disruption as well as effects on production and human resourcing. More particularly, COVID-19 has had major impacts on exporters to China and those relying on international visitors and students. For hospitality and events organisers, the ongoing disruptions have been devastating. Small and medium-sized businesses have had their business models turned upside down. Businesses tied to travel, tourism, and hospitality have experienced losses that will not be recoverable. We still do not know how long this will continue. We have lost many businesses already, with the outlook for some businesses now dire. We have also noticed a decline in the security of our town centres, likely due in part to COVID-19 measures by the central government to address homelessness and reallocate police resources.

With very little direction from the government, the vast majority of landlords have decided to share in some of their tenant's financial stress with proportional rent relief throughout the duration of the pandemic, but particularly during lockdowns and the most recent Omicron outbreak. However, there has been no support from banking institutions and little from Auckland Council or Government to support these measures.

While we do acknowledge there have been initiatives from Auckland Council, such as the dropping of fees on outdoor dining licences and the offer of rates postponements, we ask for more focus in the Annual Budget 2022/2023 on measures Council can take to assist businesses. This might include, for example, extending the rates postponement policy and extending 'no fees' on outdoor dining licences to other hospitality-related licences (such as food safety licences). We also ask for an overall review of the 'cost recovery' model used to fund many Council services in light of the ongoing impacts from the pandemic.

#### (2) Climate Action Targeted Rate

We are opposed, in principle, to the Council's proposal to introduce a substantial new targeted rate of 2.3% through an Annual Plan. This was not signalled through the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 and represents an unfair and destabilising approach to rates, especially at a time when businesses are facing tremendous uncertainty from the ongoing pandemic, world events, and inflation.

While we agree with the need to take climate action, in our view this must involve decisions by the Council to reduce, stop or reprioritise services, not simply add more.

If further funding is absolutely necessary, we ask that funding mechanisms be employed that incentivise climate action and more appropriately spread the cost (eg such as a UAGC).

Turning to the proposal for the Climate Action Targeted Rate (CATR), we do not accept that a business differential (even at 25.8%) should be applied to this targeted rate if introduced. We accept that business should contribute its share for climate action, but not through a differentiated targeted rate.

Further, with an existing and increasing number of sustainably designed and constructed commercial buildings, we disagree there is always a relationship between high property values and higher generation of greenhouse emissions. As a consequence, and also as a means of incentivising the action in *Te Tāruke-ā-Tāwhiri: Auckland's Climate Plan* to accelerate the uptake and sustainable design and construction of buildings, we ask that Council provide for remissions of any climate action funding requirements for these kinds of buildings.



Finally, as the majority of businesses across the Auckland Region are small to medium-sized, we welcome initiatives that support business to take climate action. Business education concerning sustainability and climate action is particularly important to raise awareness and drive change.

#### (3) Budget Pressures and Service Prioritisation

Our overall feedback is that while we support the general direction taken in the Long Term Plan 2021/2031 for an investment of \$31.8 billion over the next 10 years, we ask that this investment be further prioritised and any non-priority investments or services be deferred or cancelled.

We remain concerned about the compounding effect on businesses of an increase of 3.5% in general rates, an increase of 7% in Watercare's wastewater tariffs, and the proposed additional Climate Action Targeted Rate of 2.3%.

To reduce these impacts, we believe Council should first:

- keep rates and charges increases to a minimum (eg. not introduce the CATR); and
- make deeper cost savings and further prioritise services (including the services of CCOs); and then
- prioritise capital investment and defer or cancel non-priority investments;
- sell more surplus property; and
- make additional use of borrowings (within financial prudence policy settings)

On the proposal for a set of criteria for supporting decisions to reduce, stop or change some services, we agree with that proposal and ask it be applied urgently. In our view, any current services that don't align to key priorities, don't deliver value, or can be provided just as well by others should be cancelled or reduced in scope immediately. We also suggest criteria asking whether an activity needs to be done right now or can be deferred. If it does need to be done right now, then do it once and do it right.

#### (4) Waste Service Standardisation

We share concerns about the high rates of waste generated per person in Auckland and agree that the Council can play a role in influencing waste reduction. We also support the goals of the Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018, including minimising kerbside household waste.

We support the proposal to allow certain business properties to opt-out of the Council's waste management services and charges from 1 July 2023, because the council's kerbside service is more suitable for domestic waste than dealing with the wide range and nature of business waste. We agree that charging for a service that the council cannot deliver is inequitable and that the case for maximising the council's ability to influence waste behaviour is also irrelevant when the service required cannot be delivered by the council, such as to certain business properties.

#### (5) Rating Policy Proposals

Our overall feedback is that what businesses need most from Council is a fair, transparent, and stable approach to rates. As we said above, we are concerned about the un-signalled and compounding effect on businesses of increases in rates and charges.



#### **Business differential**

While we appreciate that the business differential is being reduced to 25.8% by 2037/2038, fundamentally, we do not accept that a business differential should be applied to rates, especially for

reasons that "businesses are better able to manage additional costs than residential properties" or because "businesses can claim back GST and expense rates against tax." These reasons do not justify the business differential, particularly for small businesses that make up most businesses in Auckland.

#### Watercare's increase in charges

To support an increase in capital investment, Watercare's board of directors resolved to increase water and wastewater tariffs by 7 percent. This follows an increase of 7% for the current financial year. We are concerned about these substantial increases in water charges from Watercare and question whether they are a priority or reasonable.

#### (6) Regional Fuel Tax underspend

Our preference is to introduce initiatives that both manage demand and raise funding equitably as soon as possible, balanced with investment into affordable and more frequent public transport in order to effect sustainable behavioural change. We understand, for example, that technical work on the 'Congestion Question' project that has been examining the potential to apply congestion charging in Auckland is progressing.

In the interim, while we have previously supported a regional fuel tax, we are very concerned about the ongoing underspend of the Regional Fuel Tax and in light of recent world events, we ask that the Council advocate to the central government for the Regional Fuel Tax to be suspended.

#### [7]Local Board Feedback

Section Six: Local board supporting information 6.21 Whau Local Board Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/2023 Supporting Information

#### Te Poari ā-Rohe o Whau

## 6.21 Whau Local Board

#### He korero mai i te Heamana

#### Message from the Chair

As chair of the Whau Local Board, I am aware that this has been a challenging year for residents of our area. We have had ongoing Covid-related lockdowns, which have impacted many people's incomes, relationships, mental health, and wellbeing. Businesses have struggled, events have been cancelled and we have not been able to work and socialise in the ways we usually do. Furthermore, the horrific terror event at Lynnmall created distress but also showed how cohesive and supportive the Whau community is.

Lockdowns have also challenged Auckland Council's revenue and delivery of services. Covid-19 financial recovery planning by the council means the proposed Whau Aquatic Centre and Crown Lynn Park have been deferred for a few years.

Despite the challenges, we are continuing in the general direction we set in the Whau Local Board Plan 2020. In the 2022/2023 financial year, we will be working to progress Climate Action initiatives, the Avondale multi-purpose facility as well as Avondale streetscape improvements. We will be



continuing to seek ways to enhance Māori identity in the Whau. While we continue to deliver sections of Te Whau Coastal Walkway connection, we will also advocate for regional and national funding to complete this project. We will continue to invest and advocate for an improved tree canopy in the Whau, all the while ensuring that our treasured parks continue to meet the needs of our community.

I encourage you to take part and provide feedback on the council's Annual Budget– let us know if you think we are on the right track and help shape not only the Whau's, but Auckland's future. Ngā mihi nui

Kay Thomas Chairperson, Whau Local Board

216 Section

Section Six: Local board supporting information 6.21 Whau Local Board Auckland Council Annual Budget 2022/2023 Supporting Information

#### Introduction

Each year we plan the projects and initiatives we will deliver in your local board area. These are based on our 2020 Local Board Plan, which sets our three-year direction. We are seeking your input on our proposals for the 2022/2023 financial year.

#### What we propose in your local board area in 2022/2023

• Climate Action – support council and national initiatives and aim to increase Whau's urban tree canopy by

continued investment in ecological planting projects and progressing the Urban Ngahere project – knowing, growing and protecting.

• Avondale Town Centre - progress work on the Avondale multi-purpose facility and streetscape improvements.

- Māori identity promote te reo and enhance visibility of Māori history in the Whau.
- Te Whau Coastal Walkway develop the connection from Rizal Reserve towards Ken Maunder Park.

• Park improvements – focused on Green Bay, Kelston, Glen Avon, New Windsor, and Rosebank, including future-proof planning for Olympic Park and all amenities.

#### **Conclusions**

As we enter another very uncertain year, especially for small and medium-sized businesses, we ask the Council to carefully consider their needs in its approach to the Annual Budget 2022/2023 and provide more focus on growing the economy and supporting local businesses.

Yours sincerely,

Kuku

Kim Watts Executive Engagement Manager



# 8768



## Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not support

Tell us why: Cost of living has gone up and a lot of job losses cannot afford this bill. Because as a rate payer I

will be the one who ends up paying for this proposal...which is not fair to those who are not contributing to it.

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? I Don't Know

Tell us why: I believe some will benefit from this but not everybody

## 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why: it's not clear how the funds will be prioritised or used.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	I Don't Know
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	I Don't Know
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support many of the proposals

Tell us why: A lot

### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Interesting ideas on the proposed plans I hope they are met with success.

## Important privacy information



# 8825



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 I don't know or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with I don't know between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties I don't know

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Do not support
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

Do not increase the rate and improve water quality

# Important privacy information

Have your say # 8882



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Other
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Other

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information

Have your say # 8968



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information



# 8970



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 8984



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 8985



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 8986



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 8987



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

Have your say # 8988



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

#### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Do not support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part I don't know of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I do not support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

# Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Do not support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Other
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell ve why	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

## Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 9388



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

# 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

# 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

### What do you think of our proposal to manage on-going budget pressures? Support



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

## What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

# 4. Standardising waste management

## Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

## Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 9401



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

## Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

# 9402



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
Tell us why:	

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

# 9404



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

# 9409



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information





# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
- ·· ·	

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Nejashi Community Centre

Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why: It's a good proposal

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

#### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

# 9446



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
<b>T</b> U ( )	

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

# 9452



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support all priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

# 9454



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Support (prefer rates-funded system)

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
<b>T</b> U ( )	

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information

# 9482



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

## Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why: The overall budget is too much to be implemented on Climate change.

### 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



Tell us why: Council has been increasing the general rates too frequently.

### 3. Prioritising operating spending

We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? I don't know

Tell us why: I do not know about this much.

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### Question B

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I support most priorities

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- · Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 9525



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

#### What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? I don't know

Tell us why:

#### Question **B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 or more units)	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with between two and nine units	Support
Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties	Support
Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part of a property	Support
- ·· ·	

Tell us why:

### 5. Local Boards

#### Whau Local Board

Tell us your thoughts on our proposed priorities for the local board area in 2022/2023 – have we got it right? I don't know

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information



# 9529



# Annual budget 2022/2023

*Note: this version of the feedback form has been created for the purpose of publishing submissions. As such, contact and demographic information has been removed and handwritten submissions have been transcribed.* 

### Submitter details

Organisation (if applicable): Your local board: Whau

# Your feedback

## 1. Climate action targeted rate

To meet our climate ambitions, we must reduce transport emissions in Auckland by 64 per cent by 2030. To address the climate emergency and contribute to this climate goal, we are proposing spending an additional \$1.045 billion (including government funding and other sources) over the next 10 years on a series of targeted actions to reduce emissions and support adaptation. This includes:

- improving transport choice for over one million Aucklanders who will be living within 500 meters of new or improved bus services
- moving to low-emission ferry services
- increasing provision for walking and cycling, and
- increasing tree canopy cover in communities that need it most.

We propose introducing a climate action targeted rate (separate from general rates) to pay for \$574 million of this over the next 10 years. We estimate the targeted rate to be about \$1.12 per week (about \$58 a year) for the median value residential property.

#### What do you think of this proposal? Do not Support

Tell us why:

## 2. Managing on-going budget pressures

Due to on-going impacts of COVID-19 on our revenue, and growing inflationary pressure, we are forecasting a budget shortfall of \$85 million for 2022/2023 compared to what was budgeted for in the 10-year Budget 2021-2031. Some of the budget pressures would be ongoing.

We plan to use a range of levers to manage next year's, and on-going, budget pressures, including:

- using the Government's Better Off support package funding
- changing the timing of some capital spending
- implementing cost reductions in the form of efficiency savings and potential reduction in some services
- keeping the previously agreed general rates increase of 3.5 per cent for 2022/2023
- considering the sale or long-term lease of additional non-strategic assets as required.



We need to prioritise operating spending to help manage on-going budget pressures. We have proposed a set of criteria to be used when making decisions about cost reductions, including those that could reduce, stop or change some services.

What do you think about how we propose to choose which services to reduce, stop or change? Do not Support

Tell us why:

## 4. Standardising waste management

#### Question A

Over the next three years we are moving to standardise waste services and charges across Auckland. Currently, some of Auckland pays for rubbish collections on their rates bill, and other parts of Auckland buy bin tags or bags to get their rubbish collected.

We are proposing a move to a region-wide rates funded refuse collection service with a choice of three bin sizes to accommodate different household needs, as this is the most cost-effective, equitable and climate friendly option to achieve waste minimisation outcomes.

This proposal is instead of the current Auckland Waste Management and Minimisation Plan approach to move to a region-wide pay-as-you-throw (PAYT) system (e.g. tags and bags).

What do you think about our proposal to move from a planned region-wide PAYT system to a region-wide ratesfunded rubbish collection system? Do not support (prefer PAYT system)

Tell us why:

#### **Question B**

In addition to how we fund region-wide kerbside rubbish collection, we are also proposing to standardise waste management services and charges across Auckland.

This includes standardising which properties can opt out of council waste services and charges.

What do you think about these proposals?

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential multi-unit developments (10 Do not Support or more units)

Standardise the opt-out rules for residential and lifestyle properties with Do not Support between two and nine units

Standardise the opt-out rules for non-residential properties Do not Support

Apply a minimum base charge to every separately used or inhabited part Do not Support of a property

Tell us why:

## 5. Local Boards

### 6. What is important to you?

#### Do you have feedback on any other issues, including:

- Local board decision-making over local community services (page 53 in the consultation document).
- Tūpuna Maunga Authority Operational Plan 2022/2023 (page 53 in the consultation document).



• Changes to fees and charges (page 53 in the consultation document).

Or is there anything further you would like to give feedback on?

## Important privacy information